#### ATTACHMENT A

### ATTACHMENT B

Corrective Action Tracking Documents
80400-WBN-01 - response received/concur
80400-WBN-02 response received/concur
80400-WBN-03 - response received/concur
80400-WBN-04 - response received/concur
80400-WBN-05 - response received/concur
80400-WBN-06 - response received/concur
80400-WBN-07 response received/concur
80402-BLN-01 - response received/concur
80402 -SQN -01 response received/concur
80402-WBN-01 - response received/concur
80404-WBN-01 response received/concur
80405-BFN-01 - response received/concur
80406-WBN-01 - responses received/concur
80407-WBN-01 - response received/concur
80407 BFN-01 response not received
80407-BLN-01 - response received/concur
80408-BLN 01 - response received/concur
80409-WBN-01 - response received/concur
80412-WBN 01 response received/concur
80412-WBN-02 - response received/concur
80413-WBN 01 response received/concur
80454-NPS-01 - response received/concur
80106 BLN 03 response received/concur
80103-WBN-01 - response received/concur

- ECTS132J-ECPS132C TREQUENCY - REQUEST - 1555 - RIM CALEGURY. QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

PAGE - 1 RIIN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11:23:87

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY 804 NONCOMFORMANICE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CUNCERN MAISER	CAT	SUB CAT		LL L		REI SAI BF	R	ELA	PPL TED HB	HISTORICAL REPORT.	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION TO CALL - 9A SUBCAT - 894
DIR GCT 10.35-C 01	QA.	80408	-	DLII	1 2		 Y				OECP	MANY TIMES QCIR DISPOSTION IS HRONG OR INADEQUATE. EXAMPLES CITED.	3.7.3
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	AP	80457	S	BLII	1 2	HA HA	Y SR	HA	HA	i			
EX -85-039-00401 150146	111	60200	S	HBII	1 2	II HA	HA	HA	Y		910	THE QUALITY PROGRAM IS INADEQUATE TO HAVE IDENTIFIED ALL NON-CONFORMANCE AS ID HIEN A NON-CONFORMANCE HAS ID	3.1.5
•2	AP	80400	S	11811					Y SR	:		ENTIFIED, THE IDENTIFIER HAS FREQUENTLY HARASSED TO THE POINT THEY HERE RELUCTANT TO EVER HRITE ANOTHER HUNGONFORMANCE. (HAMES) DETAILS TO THE SPECIFIC CASE ARE KNOWN TO GIC AND HITHELD TO HAINTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY). CONST. DEPT. CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION. NO FOLLOWOP REQUIRED.	
EK -85-177-00101 150223	QA	80415	s	нан					HA II		QTC	A COPY OF AN NCR IS NOT STORED IN THE E VAULT, OR ANY OTHER KNOWN DEPARTMENT, UNTIL THE NCR HAS BEEN CLOSED.	3,12.1
•2	QA	80462	S	HBH	2	HA	SF	11	Y SR	w_ •		IF THE IN-PROCESS HER BECOHES LOST THE ONLY RECORD HOULD BE THE HER HUMBER LOG SHOHING AN HER HAS HRITTEN. CONSTRUCTION DEPARTMENT CONCERN. CI	
•3	QΛ	80403	5	11811					A SR		N.	HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.	
ML -85-077-H090L	AP	80119	5	11811	1 2	11	11	11	Y NO		HRC	HRC IDENTIFIED THE FOLLOHING CONCERN FROM REVIEW OF THE QTC FILE: "FSAR DOES NOT REFLECT CURRENT HON ORGANI	3.4.2
02	QA	80454	•	11811	2	11	11	11	A VIII		•	ZATION AND PLANT HANAGER AND ASSISTA NT PLANT MANAGER DO NOT MEET FSAR RE QUIRMENTS.	
" HE -85-077-H2001	· QA	80117	•	HBH	1	11	11	N 84	Y A SR		HRC	NRC IDENTIFIED THE FOLLOHING CONCERN FROM REVIEW OF THE QTC FILE: "TVA DGES NOT INFORM NRC OF DEVIATION FRO H CODES AND STANDARDS."	, ,
•2	AP	89454	:	11811					A SR			H CONES WIIN STAUMWERS."	3.10.3

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

18 >

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C - REQUEST REFERENCE WIN' - 1555 - RIMI

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

TEMMESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF MUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

PAGE - 2 RIM TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87 .

CUNCERN NUMBER		CAT	SUB		PLT		REF SAF BF	R	ELAI	ED	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERNORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION B CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
1-85-129-11811 0	1	QA	80402	s	нви	1 2	II NA	II AII	II NA	Y. 53		HSRS	CI IS CONCERNED THAT CARS ARE NOT ACTED UPON IN A TIMELY MAINIER. CARS A	3.2.1
•	2	Ap	80452	S	нан	2	HA	IIA IIA	HA	Y SS			RE NOT HUMBERED INIEN INITIATED, INST EAD THEY ARE NUMBERED WHEN APPROVED BY QA. THIS PROCESS IS ESTABLISHED IN AN CIL. ALSO, DRS INITITATED BY OR THROUGH THE SURVEILLANCE GROUP AR E NOT ISSUED UNTIL THE SUPERVISOR AG REES MITH IT.	9.7
1-65-517-BFH 0	1	QA	80402	S	BFH	1 2	Y	Y SS	II NA	N NA	I-85-517-BFN	HSRS	CI IS CONCERNED THAT CORRECTIVE ACTI ON REPORTS (CARS) AT BROWNS FERRY NU CLEAR PLANT ARE HOT BEING ISSUED IN	3,2.1
0	2	AP	80452	S	BFH	2	Y SS	Y 55	Y SS	Y SS	:	•	A TIMELY MANNER AFTER BEING SUBMITTE D BY THE INITIATOR. CI BELIEVES THI S CAN BE ATTRIBUTED TO THE FACT THAT THE NOAM PART II, SECTION 7.2 DOES NOT PROVIDE ANY REQUIREMENTS FOR TIMELINESS.	
1-86-252-BLN 0	1	Αp	80401	s	BLH	1 2	H	Y SR		H		HSRS	AN ANONYMOUS INDIVIDUAL CALLED HSRS STATING THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF PROBLE MS ARE NOT BEING DOCUMENTED ON THE P	, ,
•	12	ĄĄ	80451	S	BLH		HA			NA	٠.		MS ARE HOT BEING DOCUMENTED ON THE PIR AND SCR FORMS. THE PROCESS OF HR ITING NOTES (45'S) TO FILES ARE BEING STRESSED INSTEAD OF USING THE FORMS. THO EXAMPLES HERE PROVIDED BY THE CONCERNED INDIVIDUAL.	3.1.1
IH -85-110-0020 150074	1	14	60300	S	HBH		H NA			Y	·	QTC	INADEQUATE HANDLING OF NCR'S GENERAT ED BY OFFICE OF ENGINEERING PERSONNE	2.10.1
•	2	AP	80411	S	HBH	. 2	H	Y	N NA	Y		•	L SUCH THAT OF MANAGEMENT CURRENTLY HAS THE CAPABILITY TO "COVERUP" SAFE TY CONCERNS. EXAMPLE: NCR'S HHICH O RIGINATOR STATES ARE SIGNIFICANT AND	
	0.5	AP	80460	S	НВИ		N			Y SR		•	REPORTABLE TO HIRC HAVE BEEN CHANGED TO HON-REPORTABLE BY DE MANAGEMENT (HAMES KNOHN) HITHOUT ORIGINATORS KNOHLEDGE OR CONCURRENCE. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN FILE.	
													INTORINATION IN VILL.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

80400 ATTACHMENT PAGE 2 of 1

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C - REQUEST REFERENCE FREQUENCY OM - 1355 - RIM

PAGE RUN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY SUBCATEGORY: 804 HONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CONCERN NUMBER	CAT	SUB	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APP 2 SAF RELATE BF JL SQ H	D HISTORICAL	CONCERNORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION & CAT - 9A SUBCAT - 894
14 -85-247-X0301 150086	QA AQ	80412 80461	S HBII S HBII	1 M M M Y 2 MA MA HA S 1 M M M Y	R	<b>QTC</b>	HCR'S REPORTING CODE ITEM DEFICIENCE ES ARE NOT CHECKED AS "ASME" ON THE HCR, THEREFORE AVOIDING REQUIRED REV IEH CYCLE FOR CODE REQUIREMENTS AND	3,11.1
III -85-251-00201 150051	QA	80606	S HBII	2 MA HA NA S 1 N N N Y 2 MA HA NA S	I-85-436-HDN	QIC	APPLICABILITY.  EHPLOYEES PERFORMING HORK REQUIRED BY MAINTENANCE REQUESTS ARE NOT ALLOH	3.15
•2	QA	80461	S HBH	1 M M M Y 2 MA MA MA S			ED TO HRITE HCR'S OR ADDRESS HOHCOHF ORHANCES HOTED DURING PERFORMANCE OF HORK. CI REFUSED TO GIVE ANY FURTH ER INFORMATION.	
IN -85-279-00101 T50154		80413		1 H Y H Y 2 NA HO HA H 1 H Y H Y 2 NA HO HA H	O ji	QTC	HO NCR TRENDING ANALYSIS PROGRAM. THIS VIOLATES NCR PROCEDURE. CI HOULD NOT PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL DETAILS SPECIFICS. CONSTR. DEPT. CONCERN. NO FOLLOHUP REQUIRED.	3.15
18 -85-279-00201 150154	ĄĄ	80404	S HBN	1 H H H Y	I-85-623-HBN	QTC	FCRS ARE HRITTEN TO CORRECT NCR CONC ERN BEFORE NCR IS DISPOSITIONED THEN THE NCR IS VOIDED DUE TO FCR CORREC	3,3,2
•2	QA	80453	S HBII	1 H H H Y			TING NER CONCERN. FERS AND NERS DO NOT HAVE SAME APPROVAL ROUTE. CI HOULD NOT PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL DETAILS. CONSTR. DEPT. CONCERN. NO FOLL OHUP REQUIRED.	
IN -85-279-00301 150154	QA	80404	S HRII	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA S	S	QTC	FCRS ARE MISINCORPORATED ONTO DHGS.  INSTEAD OF AN NCR BEING HRITTEN TO IDENTIFY THE DISCREPANCY, ANOTHER FC	3.3.2
-	QΛ	80453	2 14811	1 H H H Y			R IS HRITTEN PER PROCEDURE. HOHEVER, MONTHS CAN ELAPSE BEFORE 2ND FCR I SHRITTEN AND HORK/INSPECTION ARE PERFORMED TO INVALID DATA. CI HOULD NOT PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. CONSTR. DEPT. CONCERN. NO FOLLO HUP REQUIRED.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C - REQUEST REFERENCE FREQUENCY WW - 1555 - RIM

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

PAGE - 4 RUN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/07

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY 804 HOHCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CONCERN NUMBER	CAI	SUB CAI	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION 0 CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
18 -85-2/9-00601 150155	AP AP	<b>804</b> 06	S HON	1 M M M Y ON AM S M AM A	IN-85-279-806	erc	HCRS LIST CAUSE AS "UNKNOWN" TOO FRE QUENTLY. CI HOULD HOT PROVIDE ANY A DDITIONAL DETAILS/SPECIFICS. CONSTR. DEPT. CONCERN. NO FOLLOHUP REQUIR ED.	3.5.1
IN -85-290-00101 150178 02	ga ga	80455	3 HBH	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR 1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR		QTC	CI FEELS THAT THE FIELD CHANGE REQUE SI (FCR) SYSTEM IS NOT BEING UTILIZE D PROPERLY; HHEREAS AN ITEM HILL DE INSTALLED AND A "FCR" MILL DE GENERA TED. SINCE THE INSTALLED ITEM DID N OT HEET THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS AS S TATED IN THE DRAHING, THIS CONCERN S HOULD DE A NON-CONFORMING CONDITION (NCR). CONSTRUCTION DEPT. CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.	3.3.2
IM -85-273-00101 150065 02	AP AP	80415	S HBH	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SS 1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SS	1-85-267-HBM	QIC	HCR 14412 HAS HRITTEH TO ADDRESS UND ERSIZED ORIFICE PLATES IN SYSTEM 67, BOTH UNITS 1 & 2. HCR 14412 HAS DISPOSITIONED TO CHANGE OUT (REPLACE) UNDERSIZED ORIFICE PLATES (PURCHASED FROM MERIAN INST. CO., CLEVELAND, OHIO, CONTRACT 183520-1) HITM NEH ORIFICE PLATES. C/I STATES THAT HCR 14412 HAS BEEN CLOSED BUT THE UNDERSIZED ORIFICE PLATES HERE HOT REHOVED FROM THE SYSTEM. HO DOCUMENTATION EXISTS TO SUPPORT THE CLOSURE OF HCR 14412. HO FURTHER INFORMATION AVAILABLE. HO FOLLOM-UP REQUIRED.	3.13.1
tu -45-375-00101 150098 02	QA QA	80408 80457	S (484)	1 M M M Y 2 MA MA MA SR 1 M M M Y 2 MA MA MA SR		qtc	INSTRUMENT LINE REJECTED FOR NOT HAY ING MATERIAL SPEC, HEAT 0, SIZE, AND GRADE AS REQUIRED BY QCP 3.06-7, FOR TENGINEERING ACCEPTED LINE BY SAYIN G THAT VERIFYING THOSE PARAMETERS HA S HOT REQUIRED. LATER, ENGINEERING REVISED QCP 3.06-7 TO DELETE THESE V ERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. UNIT 2. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.	3.7.1

CUNCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

80400 ATTACHMENT PAGE 4 of 1

- ECPSIS2J-ECPSIS2C - REQUEST

PAGE - 5 8 1111 TIME - 12:21:29 RUN BATE - 11:23:07

QA/QC PROGRAMS

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

c	MICERII IMMBER	CAT	SUB CAT	R PLI	}	2 54	FI	IEL	APPL ATED HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION ( CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804	
14	-45-375-00201 150078	QA	80408	5 1181	į	2 11	11	. #	Y SR		QTC	HESTINGHOUSE DRAHINGS SPECIFIED HOT ONLY MODEL HO. BUT EXACT INSTRUMENT SERIAL NUMBER ON CERTAIN RELAY RACK	3.7.1	
	•2	<b>QA</b>	60457	S HO		2 114			A SR		1	MOUNTED INSTRUMENTS. INSTRUMENTS TH AT ARE INSTALLED HAVE CORRECT HODEL HO., BUT HERE REJECTED FOR HAVING IN CORRECT SERIAL NUMBERS, PER 9CP 3.06 -7. ENGINEERING ACCEPTED INSTRUMENT S BY SAYING THAT EXACT SERIAL HO.S A RE HOT REQUIRED, AND ENGINEERING IS CHANGING 9CP 3.06-7. (BOTH UNITS) C I HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.		
10	1 -85-414-00201 150016	QA	80415	5 481	1	l 11 2 11			A HO	IN-85-414-002	QTC	QC INSPECTORS DO NOT INITIATE AN INS PECTION REJECTION NOTICE UPON REJECT ING AN INSPECTION. PER PROCLOURE TH	3.12.3	
	•2	QA.	80462	S 1481	1	1 # 2 ##	. 14	A 11	A HO			EY MUST HAIT UNTIL END OF SHIFT TO INITIATE AN IRN. DURING THE SHIFT THE INSPECTOR MAY MAVE BEEN RE-CALLED NUMEROUS TIMES TU RE-INSPECT THE SAME ITEM AND ALL HERE REJECTED. HO DOCUMENTATION EXISTS TO VERIFY THE REJECTIONS OR CONDITIONS MHY IT HAS REJECTED. NO NCR INVOLVED.		
10	1 -85-414 <b>-00</b> 401 150016	QA	80413	S HB	1	1 11	A 64	A #	A 110	IN-85-414-004	QTC	AN ITEM THAT IS INSTALLED "OUT OF TO LERANCE" TO A DIG. RECEIVES AN REJE CTION BY QC AND IS THEN CUT OUT AND	3.12.3	
	•2	QA	80462	S HB	1	1 11	11	A #	A NO			RE-CONSTRUCTED. HO FOR OR NOR TO DO CUMENT THE PROBLEM, ONLY AN IRM.		
10	1 -85-414 <b>-0050</b> 1 150016	ĄĄ	80404	5 118	1	1 11	N 86	A #	A HO	IN-85-414-005	QTC	AN ITEM CONSTRUCTED MOUT OF TOLERANCE TO A DHG, REJECTED BY QC, EVALUATED AS MACCEPT AS IS BY ENGINEERING	3.3 2	
	02	QA	80453	S HB		1 11		H A	A HO		1	REQUIRES A FCR. NO NCR IS REQUIRED.		

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

**6** >

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C - REQUEST

TEMMESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

PAGE - 6 RUH TIME - 12:21:29 RUH DATE - 11/23/87

CAI	EGURT	· QA	<b>AWAGC</b>	PKU	KAIT:

COUCERN HUMBER	CAI	SUB	R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERNORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION I CAT - 9A SUBCAT - 804
IN -85-442-00801 150090	QA	80405	S. HBH	1 H H H Y 2 NA NA NA SR		QTC	ENGINEERING EVALUATIONS PER QCP 1.08 ARE BY DEFAULT, BEING UTILIZED TO D ISPOSITION NONCONFORMANCES RELATIVE	
•2	HE	50441	S HBN	1 II II N Y 2 NA NA NA SR		: :	TO DOCUMENTATION ON HARDHARE ITEMS. CI EXPRESSED THAT THE 2 RESOLUTION POSSIBILITIES ON AN ENGINEERING EVAL	3.4.1
•\$	AP	80253	S HBII	1 N N Y 2 NA NA HA SR			UATION ALL INDICATE THAT THE ITEM IS ACCEPTABLE, REGARDLESS OF THE COUDING TION OR AVAILABILITY OF THE DOCUMENT ATION. THREE SPECIFIC INSTANCES HERE RELATED TO SUPPORT THIS CONCERN.  ON 6/7/85 AN ENGINEERING EVALUATION HAS HRITTEN CONCERNING THE LACK OF INSTALLATION DOCUMENTATIONS ON EM	
1H -85-457-00201 150054	AP	80406	S HBH	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR	I-85-193-HBN	QTC	INADEQUATE CONSTRUCTION/DESIGN QA PR OGRAM FOR DETERMINING ROOT CAUSE OF THE NUMEROUS NCR'S HRITTEN AGAINST T	3.5.1
•2	ĄΑ	80455	S HBII	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR			HE SPENT FUEL POOL RACKS (UNIT 01) DURING VENDOR FABRICATION RECEIPT INSPECTION, INSTALLATION AND NCR'S HRITTEN SUBSEQUENT TO INSTALLATION. PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED HITH THE RACKS ARE AN NSRS OPEN ITEM HITH NO RESOLUTION TO DATE.	
IN -85-472-00201 150037	AP	80606	S HBH	1 II II II Y 2 HA HA HA SR	I-85-176-HBN	QTC	INSPECTORS INVOLVED IN THE INSTALLATION OF ERCH (EMERGENCY RAH COOLING HATER) SUPPLY LINES RECEIVED DIRECTION	3,15
02	AP	80461	S HBH	1 II II II Y 2 HA HA HA SR			N THROUGH THEIR SUPERVISORS, FROM KINDXVILLE TVA ENGINEERING, NOT TO HRITE ANY MONCONFORMANCE REPORTS ON THIS INSTALLATION. SITE ENGINEERS VERBALLY DISPOSITIONED MONCONFORMANCES REPORTED BY INSPECTION PERSONNEL.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGURY NUMBER.

80400 ATTACHMENT A PAGE 6 of 18

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C - REQUEST REFERENCE FREQUENCY OHP - 1555 - RIMI

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

PAGE --

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF HUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY, 804 HONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CONCERN NUMBER	CAT	SUB	S II R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION 8 CAT - 9A SUBCAT - 804
111 -85-606-00301 150057	QΛ	80412	S HBII	1 II II II Y 2 IIA HA HA SR		QTC	SUPERVISOR REQUIRING HONCOMFORMANCE REPORTS TO BE ROUTED THROUGH HIM FOR CONCURRENCE AND APPROVED PRIOR TO B	3.11.1
02	QΛ	₹4461	S HBN	1 II II II Y 2 IIA IIA HA SR			EING ASSIGNED NCR CONTROL NUMBER. THIS IS IN VIOLATION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF QCP 1.2 AND EFFECTIVELY PREVENTS TRACKING/TRENDING/INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF NCRS HHICH ARE DISAPPROVED BY SUPERVISION FOR ISJUANCE. (DETAILS KNOWN TO QTC)	
1N -85-621-00101 150059	Λp	80412	S HBII	1 II II II Y 2 NA NA NA SR		QTC	ENGINEERS DO NOT PREPARE FORMS FOR H ATERIAL NONCOMPORTANICES AS REQUIRED BY PROCEDURE AND DO NOT ALHAYS PERSO	3.11.1
02	QΛ	80461	S HBN	1 II II II Y 2 HA IIA HA SR		•	NALLY EXAMINE DISCREPANT MATERIAL PR 10R TO SIGNING DISPOSITION FORMS. ( DETAILS KNOWN TO QTC)	
14 -85-661-00101 150067	QA	80405	S HBII	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR		QTC	THE CLOSURE OF HCR #5612, 1HVOLVING SPLICING OF A CABLE TRAY, IS QUESTIO NABLE.	3.4.1
02	Λp	80454	S HBII	1 II II II Y 2 NA IIA HA SR		•		
111 -85-671-00201 150123	QΛ	80412	S HBH	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR	1-85-443-HBN	QTC	CIVIL/STRUCTURAL INSPECTORS (GEHERIC ) ARE NOT ISSUING IRN'S/HRN'S HILEN R EQUIRED. INSTEAD OF ISSUING IRN'S/H	3.11.1
02	QΛ	80461	S HBH	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR			RN'S AS PER PROCEDURE THE INSPECTORS ARE JUST REFUSING TO SIGN OFF INSPECTION POINT. REASON GIVEN HAS THE EXCESSIVE AMOUNT OF TIME REQUIRED TO ISSUE THE PAPERHURK. AS AN EXAMPLE: HELDS HERE REHORKED ON PACKAGE 4392-1-64 TIME FRAME: LAST HEEK OF JUNE 185. CONSTRUCTION CONCERN.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

8 2

REFERENCE - ECT FREQUENCY - REQ ONP - 1555 - RINI CATEGORY: QA (QA/Q	UEST		SZC C	EMPLÖYÉE SUBCATEG	EMPLQ /	TEHNESSEE VALLE OFFICE OF NUCL EE CONCERN PROG RN INFORMATION 04 NONCONFOR	EAR POHER RAM SYSTEM BK-CATEGOR	RUH (ECPS)	그 경험 중에 많아 있습니다. 사람이 가장 수 있었다. 장치
CONCERN NUMBER	CAT	SUB	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT 2 SAF REE BF BL	LATED SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	COUCERH O' GIH	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	RE'. SECTION N CAT - 9A SUBCAT - 804
IN -85-688-00201 150080	٩٨	80401	S HBII	1 II II 2		1-85-933-HBN	61C	TVA MANAGEMENT (DEPARTMENT KNOHN) H ESITANT OR REFUSES TO PROCESS DEFICE ENCY REPORTS OR CORRECTIVE ACTION RE	3.2.4
02	, QA	80402	S HBII	1 Y Y 1 2 SR 5R				QUESTS CONCERNING INADEQUATE TVA PRO CEDURES, UNLESS THE INADEQUANCY IS B	3
03	۸p	80452	S HBII	1 Y Y Y 2 SR SR 5				ASES ON A HIGHER TIER TVA PROCEDURE, HILLOH ITSELF HAY BE INADEQUATE. NO FURTHER DETAILS AVAILABLE.	10
111 -85-688-00401 150080	٩٨	80401	2 HAN	1 # # 1 2 HA HA		1-85-424-HBH	QTC	TVA MANAGEMENT (NAME KNOHN) PREVENTI NG ISSUANCE AND PROCESSING OF CORREC TIVE ACTION REQUESTS. NAMES AND OTH	3.2.1
02	ΛP	80402	S HBH	1 Y Y I		<b>\( \sigma_{\text{od}} \)</b>		ER DETAILS KNOWN TO QTC. DETAILS HI THHELD, TO MAINTAIN CI'S CONFIDENTIAL	
03	۸p	80452	S HBN	1 Y Y 2 2 55 55		. 1	:	ITY.	
1M -85-830-X0101 150085	٩٨	80406	S HBH	1 H H (	I Y IA SR	( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	QTC	NCR PROGRAM ADDRESSES SPECIFIC ITEMS BUT NOT ROOT CAUSES FOR DESIGN CHAN GES. RESPONSIBLE ENGINEERING SECTIO	3, 3, 2
02	AP	80455	S HBH	1 H H I 2 HA HA I				N RESOLVES PROBLEM IDENTIFIED ON A N CR BUT THE NEED FOR THE DESIGN CHANG E IS NOT IDENTIFIED OR RESOLVED HITH IN THE EXISTING NCR SYSTEM.	
14 -85-855-00101 150090	AP	80413	S HBN	1 H H H		· · ·	QTC	THE TVA HCR PROGRAM DOES NOT ALLOH F OR COMPLETE CYCLING OF ALL NCRS REGA	3,12,3
02	٩٨	80462	S HBH	1 Y Y Z 2 SR SR S				RDLESS OF VALIDITY/CONTENT. THIS PR OGRAM ALSO ALLOHS HICRS TO BE VOIDED HITHOUT COMPLETE JUSTIFICATION.	
CONCERNS ARE G	ROUPE	D BY FI	RST 3 D	16115 OF S	UBCATE	GORY NUMBER.			
				Ç <sub>6</sub>			!		
							( i		
:					( %		•		P A T
		,					0		80400 ATTACHMEN PAGE 8 of
								어느 생각이 많은 사람이 모든 이 보고 있다는 이상 함께 다음하였다.	A STATE OF THE STA

REFERENCE - ECPS132J-ECPS132C · FREQUENCY - REQUEST OHP - 1555 - RIM

PAGE - 9 RUN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC, PROGRAMS

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

				S ()				1		
•	CONCERN NUMBER	CAT	SUB	H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT 2 SAF REL BF BL S	ATED	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION & CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
1	IN -85-862-00101 150224 02	•	80404 80453		1 H II H 2 HA HA H 1 H '! H 2 HA EA H	A SR	·.	q1C	HCR REPORTS SHOULD NOT BE REHRITTEN TO CHANGE THE DECISION OF THE INSPECTOR. SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL CHANGE THE HORDING OF NCR AND SIGN OFF THE DOCUMENT. DETAILS KNOWN TO GTC HITHH ELD DUE TO CONFIDENTIALITY. NO FURT	3.3.3
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						HER INFORMATION MAY BE RELEASED. NU C POHER DEPT. CONCERN. CI DECLINED TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. NO FOLLOH UP REQUIRED.	
1	IN -85-867-00101 150093	AP	80404	S HBN	1 H H H 2 NA HA N			QTC	THE EMPHASIS IS PUT ON PRODUCTION RA THER THAN QUALITY AND A LARGE NUMBER OF FOR'S ARE GENERATED AND/OR PROCE	· · 3.3.2
	02	AP	80453	S HBN	1 H H A A A A			1	SSED HITH NO (OR LITTLE)REGARD TO QUALITY AND HITHOUT A THOROUGH ANALYSI S OF THE CONDITION. (NAMES/DEPTS./DETAILS KNOHN TO QTC AND RELEASE OF THIS INFORMATION HOULD JEOPARDIZE CI'S CONFIDENTIALITY) NO MORE INFORMATION AVAILABLE. NO FOLLOH UP REQUIRED	
1	IN -85-887-00201 150255	AP	80408	S HBII	1 H H H			910	NCR DISPOSITIONS ARE CHANGED TO SUIT PERSONAL PREFERENCES. (SPECIFICS K	3.7.7
	02	٩٨	80457	S HBN	1 H H H 2 NA HA N				HOWH TO GIC, HITHWELD TO MAINTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY OF CL.) NO FURTHER INFORMATION MAY BE RELEASED.	
1	IN -85-900-X0201 150090	٩٨	80413	S HBH	1 H H H 2 NA NA N	Y. ⊖ A, SR	<b>A</b> , i	QTC	RESOLUTION/PROCESSING METHODS FOR NO PROCESSING METHODS FOR NO PROCESSING METHODS FOR NO PROCESSING THE PROCESS	3,12.3
	02	QΛ	80462	S HBH	1 Y Y Y 2 SR SR S	Y R SR	<b>(</b> )		OT SPECIFIED BY PROCEDURE.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

18 A

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C CHERNES TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY PAGE FREQUENCY - REQUEST OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER RUH TIME - 12:21:29 OHP - ISSS - RIM EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS) RUN DATE - 11/23/87 EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CRIEGORY/SUBCATLGORY CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION H 1 REPORT APPL REF. SECTION 1 RPIT HISTORICAL 2 SAF RELATED CONCERN CAT - 94 COHCERII HUMBER D LOC BF BL SQ HB SUBCAT - 804 CAT 6.1 RCPORT ORIGIN CONCERN DESCRIPTION 14 -85-973-00501 80409 S HBII QTC REJECTABLE ITEMS ARE "ENGINEER EVALU 150156 2 HA SR HA SR ATED" TO DETERNINE ADEQUACY OF ITEM 3.8.1 FOR SYSTEM TURNOVER TO PONER. THIS PRACTICE CONSISTS OF PLACING A "X" S 02 S HBH 1 H Y H Y 2 NA SR NA SR YMBOL OIL A COMPUTER RUN INDICATING A CCEPTANCE BY EVALUATION BUT THERE IS NO DOCUMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION METHOD. THEN THE ITEM IS TURNED OVE R TO POHER. CONSTRUCTION DEPT. CON CERN. BOTH UNITS. CI HAS NO HORE I NFORMATION. NO FOLLOW UP REQUIRED. 14 -85-993-00101 80415 S HBN 1 N II II Y QTC A QUALITY DOCUMENT HAS CLOSED PREMAT 3,13.1 150258 2 NA HA HA SR URELY IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT VARIO US ITEMS OF BOTH ASSOCIATED HORK AND 80463 1 H H H Y PAPERHORK HERE NOT COMPLETE OR CONT 02 3 HBII 2 HA HA HA SR AINED DISCREPANCIES AND ERRORS. DET AILS KNOHN TO QTC; HITHHELD TO MAINT AIN CONFIDENTIALITY. NO FURTHER INF ORNATION MAY BE RELEASED. CONSTRUCT ION DEPARTMENT CONCERN. 111 -85-993-00801 80405 S HBH 1 11 H H Y OIC MANY OF THE PROBLEMS THAT HERE IDENT IFIED ON AN NCR HERE "EVALUATED AHAY 150261 2 HA HA HA SR " RATHER THAN FOLLOHING PREESTABLISH ED AND VALID PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS 02 S HBII 1 H H Y 2 NA HA HA SR FOR ACCEPTING HARDHARE. THE HARDHA

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

S HBII

1 H H N Y

1 H H H Y

2 NA HA HA SR

2 NA NA NA SR

80405 S HBH

10 -85-993-01101

02

150261

EFFECTS OF THIS REHORK. DETAILS KNO
HIS TO QTC, HITHHELD DUE TO CONFIDENT
IALITY. NO FURTHER INFORMATION MAY
BE RELEASED. CONSTRUCTION DEPARTMEN
T CONCERN.

ERII.

QTC

RE SO DISPOSITIONED STILL APPEARS TO BE HONCONFORMING AND HILL PROBABLY BE RE-HORKED PIECEMETAL VIA MAINTENA NICE REQUEST. DETAILS KNOHN TO QTC, HITHHELD TO MAINTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY. NO FURTHER INFORMATION MAY BE RELEASED. CONSTRUCTION DEPARTMENT CONC

REHORK OF A NONCONFORMING CONDITION

HAS DETERMINED HITHOUT APPROPRIATE I HVESTIGATION INTO POTENTIAL ADVERSE

HILOURI HOHBER.

ATTACHMENT A PAGE 10 of 18

PAGE - 11 RIII TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87

TEMMESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POWER
EMPLOYEE CONGERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EHPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY 804 NONCOMFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CONCERN MUMBER	CAT	SUB	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION 0 CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
1H -85-998-00201	l QA	80413	S HBH	1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR	I-85-443-HBH	QTC	THE SITE INSPECTION REJECTION NOTICE (IRM) PROGRAM NEEDS IMPROVEMENT. CURRENTLY, RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT (KILL)	3,12.3
g a	2 QA	80156	S HBH	1 H Y H Y 2 HA SR HA SR	ı		OHII) IS NOT REQUIRED TO EISURE IKI'S ARE CLOSED BEFORE "BUYING OFF" EQUI DEEN THIS MEANS THAT IRN'S ON OCCA	3,,4,,5
( 0.5	S QA	80124	S HBH	1 II Y II II 2 HA SR HA NA			SION ARE JUST "LOST". IRM'S SHOULD BE LIFE OF PLANT DOCUMENTS. CI HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. NO FOLLO	17
0	4 QA	80400	S HBII	1 II Y II Y 2 HA SR HA SR			H UP REQUIRED.	
14 -86-087-0030 150120	1 QA	80404	S HBN	1 H H Y H 2 HA HA SS HA	I-85-424-HBN	QTC	CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTS (CARS) AND DEFICIENCY REPORTS (DRS) ARE NOT INSUED IN A TIMELY MANNER, HAICH ADVE	3.2.1
0.	Z QA	80402	S HBII	1 Y Y II Y 2 SS SS HA SS			RSELY AFFECTS PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS. CI EXPRESSED THAT THE DELAYS ARE D UE TO MANAG "NT'S (KNOHN) RELUCTANC	
•	3 QA	80452	S HBII	1 Y Y Y Y Z SS SS SS			E TO DOCUMEN. IGNIFICANT CONDITIONS ADVERSE TO QUALITY. AN EXAMPLE PRO VIDED HAS THE CAR ON THE CSSC "Q" LI ST, HHICH HAS HRITTEN IN FEBRUARY, A ND NOT ISSUED UNTIL JULY OR AUGUST. CI STATED THAT THIS HAS A COMMON OC CURRENCE ON THESE TYPES OF DOCUMENTS FURTHER DETAILS KNOW TO QTC,	
III -86-090-0020 150120	1 94	80402	S HBN	1 Y Y H Y 2 SS SS HA SS	I-85-424-HBN	910	CAR'S/DR'S ARE NOT ISSUED IN A TIMEL Y MANNER. PROCEDURE FOR CAR'S (A.1. 7.3) PROVIDES FOR A DRAFT CAR TO GO.	3.2.1
0	2 QA	80404	S HBH	1 II II Y II 2 NA IIA SS NA		1	THE INITIATOR'S SUPV, TEN TO THE AFF ECTED ORGANIZXATION, THEN BACKTO THE INITIATOR FOR "FINAL DRAFT" BEFORE	
	. QA	80452	S HBII	1 Y Y Y Y Z SS SS SS		:	TYPING. THIS PROCESS HAS TAKEN IN EXCESS OF 3 MONTHS TO GET A CAR ISSUED. EXAMPLES GIVEN TO QTC HITHELD DUE TO CONFIDENTIALITY. CI HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. NUCLEAR POHER CONCERN. UNIT 1 & 2 SYSTEMS- VARIOUS, NON-SPECIFIC TIME FRAME ONGOING.	
						<b>;</b> .		

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

111

- REQUEST FREQUENCY OHP - 1555 - RIM

PAGE - 12 RUH TIME - 12:21:29 RUH DATE - 11/23/87.

CATEGORY: QA QAZQC PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER

EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)

EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY

SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CONCERN MUNBER	CAT	SUB	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT;	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION D CAT - 9A SUBCAT - 804
144 -86-098-00101 150120 02	AP AP	80402 80452	S HBII	1 Y Y H Y 2 SS SS HA SS 1 Y Y H Y 2 SS SS HA SS	1-85-424-HBN	QTC	CAR/DR NOT ISSUED IN A TIMELY MANNER. ANYONE ISSUING A CAR/DR HAS TO GET IT APPROVED BY THEIR SUPERVISOR PRIOR TO ITS ISSUE. AN EXAMPLE IS THE CAR ON THE CSSC "Q" LIST (HRITEN FEB. '85) HHICH HAS NOT BEEN ISSUED TO DATE. UNITS 1 & 2. HUC POHER CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.	3. 2. 1
14 -86-116-00201 150249 02	•	80404 80453	( '	1 H H N Y 2 HA HA HA HO 1 H H N Y 2 HA HA HO	:   	QTC	ENGINEERS/INSPECTORS SEEM TO GO OUT OF THEIR HAY TO HAVE CONFLICTS HITH THE CRAFT. THEY (ENGINEERS) HILL OF TEN ATTRIBUTE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY ENGINEERING TO THE CRAFT HHEN THE ENGINEERS HRITE IRN'S (CONSTRUCTION, UNIT II). (NO NAMES GIVEN.) NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN FILE. NO FOLLOH UP REQUIRED.	3.3.3
14 -86-153-00101 150131 02	-	80413 80462		1 H II H Y 2 NA HA NA HO 1 H II H Y 2 HA HA HA HO	i	QTC	IRN SYSTEM SHOULD BE REEVALUATED. I RH'S SHOULD NOT BE USED IF PROBLEM C AN BE CORRECTED ON THE SPOT. CI HAS NO DETAILS OR FURTHER INFORMATION.	3,72.3
18 -86-215-00101 150134 02	٩٨	804 <b>0</b> 2 80462 80413	S 14B11	1 Y II N II 2 NO HA HA HA 1 Y II N Y 2 NO HA HA NO 1 N N II Y 2 NA NA HA NO	IN-86-215-001	<b>QTC</b>	TVA NEEDS A SIMPLIFIED METHOD FOR EM PLOYEES TO IDENTIFY/REPORT TECHNICAL PROBLEMS. A CENTRAL ORGANIZATION C OULD BE ESTABLISHED TO RECEIVE, EVALUATE, AND PROVIDE CORRECTIVE ACTION. THE CURRENT METHOD OF REQUIRING THE EMPLOYEE REPORTING THE PROBLEM TO SHOULDER THE BULK OF THE PAPERHORK (TACFS, FCRS, HORK PLANS, ETC.) CONTRIBUTE TO EMPLOYEES NOT REPORTING PROBLEMS. CI HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. NUCPHR. DEPT CONCERN. NO FOLLOHUP REQUIRED.	3,2,02

CUNCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

80400 ATTACHMENT PAGE 12 of

- ECPS132J-ECP\$132C - REQUEST FREQUENCY OHP - 1555 - RIM

PAGE - 13 RUN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87

QA/QC FROGRAMS

TEMPESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POWER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCOMFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

CONCERN NUMBER	CAT	SUB	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION 8 CAT - 9A SUBCAT - 804
14 -86-231-00201 150222	. HP	71011	S HBII	1 11 11 11 11 2 HA 11A HA 11A		QTC	HEPT. (KNOHN) IS REQUIRED TO TRACK A ND STATUS NCRS THAT THEY HAVE GENERA TED, AND PROVIDE THE INFORMATION TO	3.8.2
02	QA.	80409	S HBN	1 Y Y N Y 2 NO NO NA NO			HNOEVER IS GOING TO REPRESENT THE DEPT. AT A REGULARLY SCHEDULED STATUS HEETING. FOR DEPT. PERSONNEL TO TRA	<b>3.0</b>
0.3	AP .	80458	S HBH	1 Y Y Y Y Y			CK THE STATUS OF THEIR NCRS IS VERY TIME CONSUMING. IT IS BELIEVED THAT THE TRACKING AND STATUSING OF NCRS SHOULD BE HITH THE PERSONS RESPONSIB	
4						:	LE FOR RESOLVING THE HCR. CI HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. NUC. POHER DEPT. CONCERN.	. F
IN -86-243-00201 150143	AP	80407	S HBH	1 H H H Y 2 NA HA HA SR	•	QTC	RAHDOM SAMPLING PLANS (AND RANDOM RE-INSPECTION PLANS) USED TO RESOLVE N CRS MIGHT HAVE NOT BEEN DONE TO ESTA	3.4.1
02	AP S	80456	S HBN	1 Y Y Y Y 2 SR SR SR SR			BLISHED RECOGNIZED MIL STANDARDS AND THEREFORE, SAMPLING MAY BE INADEQUATE I.E. UNIT 41, CONTROL ROOM, 754' ELEV., CONTROL PANELS IVA PERFORMED	
					1	i	A 10% PRELIMINARY VERTIFICATION OF A S-CONSTRUCTED DRAMINGS TO THE ACTUAL INSTALLATION AND FOUND SO MANY ERRO	
					3		RS THEY DID A 100% VERTIFICATION. A RANDOM RE-INSPECTION HAS DONE, HHICH IS QUESTIONABLE, AS NO KNOHN HILS TANDARD HAS	
IN -86-255-00301 150210	MP.	70605	S HBH	1 H H H H 2 NA HA HA HA	:	QTC	TVA MANAGEMENT IS SO QA NEGATIVE THAT THE SITE QA UNIT CAN ONLY IDENTIFY	3, 11.1
0.5	AP :	80461	S HBH	1 H H Y 2 NA HA NA SR	;		PROBLEMS HHEN THERE IS A CLEAR CUT VIOLATION OF PROCEDURES. (DETAILS K NOHN TO GIC BUT HITHHELD FOR CONFIDE	
04	AP i	80412	S HBN	1 H II H Y 2 HA HA HA SR	;		NTIALITY). NUCLEAR POHER DEPT. CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

RUN TIME - 12:21:29 OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER FREQUENCY - PEQUEST RUN DATE - 11/23/87 EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS) DIM - 1555 - RIM EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY HONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION SUBCATEGORY: 804 CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS REF. SECTION . 1 REPORT APPL CAT - QA CONCERN HISTORICAL SUB R PLT 2 SAF RELATED SUBCAT - 804 CONCERN DESCRIPTION ORIGIN D LOC BF BL SQ HB REPORT CONCERN NUMBER CAT CAT THE IRM (INSPECTION REJECTION NOTICE OTC 1 H H H Y 1-85-443-HBH S HBH IN -86-290-00101 ) IS STORED IN PLACES OTHER THAN THE 2 HA HA HA SR 3.12.2 150146 VAULT OR DOCUMENT CONTROL AND ARE H OT CONSIDERED QUALITY RECORDS. THE 1 H Y H Y S HBH 80156 STATUS OF IRMS NEEDS TO BE RECONSIDE 2 HA SR HA SR RED AS QUALITY RECORDS FOR THAT SPEC IFIC HORK PLAN/HORK PACKAGE. CONST. 1 H Y H H 80124 S HBH DEPT. CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER I 2 NA SR NA NA NFORMATION. NO FOLLOHUP REQUIRED. 1 H Y H Y 80400 S HBH 2 HA SR HA SR THE SAMPLING PROGRAMS ASSOCIATED HIT IN-85-887-001 QTC 1 H H H Y MS -85-001-X0301 S HBII H THE CORRECTION METHOD BLOCK ON SEV 3.4. 2 NA NA NA SR 150217 ERAL HONCONFORMING CONDITION REPORTS (NCRS) SUCH AS 2375R, 2019R, 2634R, AS HELL AS OTHERS, DO NOT COMPLY HI S HBII 1 Y Y Y 2 SR SR SR SR TH ANSI N45.2 (71) SECTION 11, "...H HERE A SAMPLE IS USED TO VERIFY ACCE PIABILITY OF A GROUP OF ITEMS. THE SAMPLING PROCEDURE SHALL BE BASED ON RECOGNIZED STANDARD PRACTICES AND S HALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SAMPLE SIZE AND SELECTION PR OCESS". CI IS AHOHYHOUS. CI FEELS THAT CORRECTIVE ACTION TO N DECP 1 Y H Y H S BEN OE-0115-2 CR BEHNEB8502 IS NOT ADEQUATE AND TH 2 SS HA SS NA AT THE NRC SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. IYYYY S BFII 2 \$5 \$5 \$5 \$5 SAMPLING PROGRAMS FOR HOMP STRUCTURA QTC 1 H H N Y S HBH PH -85-032-00101 L HELDS FOR SUPPORTS. SAMPLING INSP 3.4.1 2 NA NA NA SR 150167 ECTIONS FOR SUPPORT HELDS FOR NCRS; BASIS FOR SELECTING THE SAMPLE, AND 1 Y Y Y S HBH REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLING ARE QUESTION 2 SR SR SR SR ABLE. THIS IS A GENERIC CONCERN. C I REQUESTED THIS CONCERN TO BE INVES TIGATED BY ERT (QTC). CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER. 80400 ATTACHMENT PAGE 14 of

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

REFERENCE

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C

PAGE

00

- ECPS132J-ECPS132C REFERENCE - REQUEST FREQUENCY OHP - ISSS - RIMI

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

PAGE RUN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY, 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

COUCERH HUMBER	CAT	SUB		PLT LOC	2 5	AF	RE	API LATI	ED	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	. CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION B CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
PH -85-038-00201 150143	AP	80606	S	HBH	1 1			HA :		į	91C	OFFICE OF ENGINEERINGS PROCEDURE OFF -17 "REPORTING CONDITIONS TO QUALITY " STATES EMPLOYEES HHO IDENTIFY QUES	2 ()
02	<b>QA</b>	80461	\$ (					HA :				TIONABLE CONDITIONS SHALL HRITE THEM UP AND SUBHIT THEM TO THEIR SUPERVISOR. THE SUPERVISOR SHALL REVIEH, LOG AND ASSIGN A NUMBER TO THE ITEM. CONTRARY TO THE ABOVE AND IN ACCORD ANCE HITH AN INFORMAL MEMO ISSUED BY A BRANCH CHIEF (?) IN NUCLEAR POHER HHICH STATES HE "DOESN'T HANT THE SYSTEM CHUTTERED UP HITH UNNECESSARY PAPERHORK." THE SUPERVISORS ARE NOT LOGGING OR	3.71.1
5911-86-002-00401 [50254	AP	80402	<b>s</b> :					Y		I-86-185-SQN	QTC	SPECIFIC SUPERVISION STOPPED CORRECT IVE ACTION ON A QUALITY PROBLEM THAT HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED MONTHS EARLIER.	3.15
02	AP	80461	S :					Y (				(NAMES/DETAILS KNOWN TO GTC, MITHN ELD TO MAINTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY.) NO FURTHER INFORMATION MAY BE RELEASE D. NUCLEAR POWER CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION. NO FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED.	
HBP-85-003-00101 150216	ĄĄ	80404	5 6					HA S		IN-85-770-002	QTC	NONCONFORMANCES (ALIGNMENT/LENGTH PR OBLEMS) REGARDING EXPANSION JOINT/PE NETRATION INSTALLATION HERE RESOLVED	3,3,3
●2	AP	80453	5 1	1BN	1 14	A N	ia i	HA :	Y SR			HITHOUT PROPER AUTHORIZATION OR HOR K PACKAGE/DOCUMENTATION. PENETRATION 33, UNIT 2, AZ. 277 DEGREES, ELEVATION 720, NO. 2 VALVE ROOM. CONSTRUCTION DEPT. CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION.	
HI -85-004-90101 150020	AP	80412	SF					AN S		•	QTC	THE CONCERNED INDIVIDUAL STATED THE	
02	AP	80461	5 1					HA S		i		PROCEDURE. CONCERNED INDIVIDUAL TRIED TO HRITE NCR ON A PALLET OF SHUBBERS THAT HAS STORED IN A HALLHAY UNPROTECTED AND HAS INSTRUCTED NOT TO DO SO. HOHEVER, IN LATE 1980 AN NCR HAS ISSUED FOR THE ABOVE	3.15

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

S

12 ×

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - FCPS132J-ECPS132C REFERENCE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER - REQUEST FREQUENCY EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS) pm - 1555 - RIM EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION SUBCATEGORY: 804 QA/QC PROGRAMS CATEGORY: QA 1 REPORT APPL HISTORICAL COHCERN 2 SAF RELATED R PLT SUB CONCERN DESCRIPTION REPORT ORIGIN D LOC BF BL SQ HB CONCERN NUMBER CAT CAT THE IRN TREND ANALYSIS PROGRAM DOES OTC

BY ERT.)

FOLLOH UP REQUIRED.

HE SIXTH INTERIM REPORT HAS ISSUED O N JUNE 17, 1981. THESE HCR'S HERE PR EVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED AS NON-SIGNIFICA NT. HUC POHER DEPT. CONCERN. CI HA

S NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

OIC

QTC

111-85-887-001

2 HA HA HA SR

2 NA SR HA SR

1 N II II Y

1 N N N Y

2 NA HA HA SR

1 H H H Y

IN H Y

Z NA NA NA SR

2 NA NA NA SR

2 NA HA NA SR

1 H Y H Y

NOT REFLECT ANY ACCURATE TRENDS AS R EJECTED ITEMS HAVE UNTIL END OF THE SHIFT TO BE CORRECTED BEFORE AN IRI IS HRITTEN. CI HAS NO HORE INFORMA TION. (NOTE: THIS ITEM HAS FORMELY PARTO OF 003. 006 HAS MADE TO SEPAR ATE THE ORIGINAL 003 CONCERN INTO TH O DISTINCT CONCERNS. THIS ITEM IS C URRENTLY UNDER ACTIVE INVESTIGATION INSPECTOR DIRECTED TO SIGN OFF AS AC CEPTABLE, ALL CABLE TRAY SUPPORT FIL 3,13.1 LET HELDS HADE PRIOR TO FEBRUARY 198 1, HITHOUT ADDITION INSPECTION, BASE D'ON NCR2375R; NCR HAS DISPOSITIONED IN MARCH 1982 AND TVA INTERNAL HEHO S (DATED HARCH 26 & APRIL 5, 1982) H ERE ADDRESSED TO ALL EEU INSPECTORS. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION. NO 10CFR50.55(E) REPORTING IN CONJUNCTI 3.10.2 OH HITH NCR 2111R (FAULTY FILLET HEL DS-QC BREAKDOHN) HAS QUESTIONABLE; T HE FIRST HOTIFICATION OF HONCONFORMA NCES HITH SIMILAR DEFICIENCIES (NCR' S 2806R, 2091R, 2101R 2120R, 2128R, 2 137R, 8 2375R) HAS NOT HADE UNTIL T

80400 ATTACHMENT PAGE 16 of

PAUE

RUH TIME - 12:21:29

RUN DATE - 11/23/87

REF. SECTION .

CAT - QA

SUBCAT - 804

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

80462 S HBH

S HBH

80411 S HBH

ML -85-015-00601

HE -85-030-00491

MI -85-038-00601

150185

150100

150114

- ECP3132J-ECPS132C REFERENCE TREQUEHCY - REQUEST 011P - 1555 - RIH

CATEGORY: QA QA/QC FROGRAMS

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POHER
EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)
EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY
SUBCATEGORY: 804 NONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

PAGE RUH TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87.

COUCERN NUMBER	CAT	SUB	S H R PLT D LOC	1 2	SA	FR	EL۸	PPL TED HB	HISTORICAL CONCERN REPORT ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
HE -85-030-01001	AP	80415	S HBH		HA			Y SR	वाद	HELDING AND NDE PROGRAM CORRECTIVE A CTION, AS IDENTIFIED IN OEDC QUALITY ASSURANCE EVALUATION NO. QAEO2, DAT	
02	HE	50310	S HBH		II NA			H KA	:	ED SEPTEMBER 1980, MAY NOT MAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTED FOR MATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT. THE SAME UNCORRECTED PROBLEMS	3,13.1
0.3	ĄΛ	80463	S HBH		HA			Y SR		HERE FOUND TO EXIST YEARS LATER AND STILL MAY EXIST TODAY. NUC. POHER DEPT. CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER IN FORMATION. (SQN ISSUES ADDRESSED IN RPT HP-10-SQN RO;	
HE -85-068-00301 150278	٩٨	80404	S HBH		II NA			Y	QTC	A HONCONFORMANCE REPORT DOCUMENTING A QUALITY VIOLATION HAS VOIDED. DET AILS KNOWN TO QTC, HITHHELD DUE TO C	3.3.1
02	AP	80453	S HBN		HA NA			Y SR	:	ONFIDENTIALITY. NO FURTHER INFORMAT ION MAY BE RELEASED. CONSTRUCTION D EPARTMENT CONCERN.	
HL -85-100-02501 150″ 2	HP	70605	S HBH		HA			II HA	QTC	TVA COMMITMENTS IN THE FSAR, SER AND NRC QUESTION RESPONSES ARE TREATED LIGHTLY, AND ARE NOT BEING MET IN A	3.4,2
02	AP	80119	S HBH		HA			Y SR		HIDE NUMBER OF AREAS. CI HAS NO FUR THER INFORMATION. ANONYMOUS CONCERN VIA LETTER.	
03	٩٨	80454	S HBN		Y SR			Y SR			
HI -85-100-02601 150212	ii.	70605	S 11B11		H HA			N NA	, QTC	PERSONNEL DO NOT FOLLOH REGULATIONS AND COMMITMENTS, AND DO NOT THINK TH EY EVEN NEED TO DOCUMENT DEVIATIONS	3,4,2
02	AP	80119	S HBH	1	H AH	II HA	H	Y		OR CHANGES IN COMMITMENTS AND OBTAIN NIC ACCEPTANCE. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION. ANONYMOUS CONCERN VIA	
	QA	80454	S HBII		II HA			Y SR		LETTER.	

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

REFERENCE - ECPS132J-ECPS132C - REQUEST FREQUENCY

OHP - 1555 - RINI

PAGE - 18 RUN TIME - 12:21:29 RUN DATE - 11/23/87

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

OFFICE OF NUCLEAR POWER

EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM SYSTEM (ECPS)

EMPLOYEE CONCERN INFORMATION BY CATEGORY/SUBCATEGORY

SUBCATEGORY: 804 HONCONFORMANCE CONTROL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION CATEGORY: QA QA/QC PROGRAMS

CONCERN HUMBER	CAT	SUB CAT	S H R PLT D LOC	1 REPORT APPL 2 SAF RELATED BF BL SQ HB	HISTORICAL REPORT	CONCERN ORIGIN	CONCERN DESCRIPTION	REF. SECTION ( CAT - QA SUBCAT - 804
HI -85-100-05401 150218		80409		1 H H H Y 2 HA HA HA SR	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	QTC	LACK OF KNOHLEDGE (ON SITE AND IN ENDES) AS TO STATUS OF QCIRS AND IRMS. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION. AN	3.8.2
02	Q٨	80458	S.HBII	1 II H N Y 2 HA HA HA SR		1	ONYHOUS CONCERN VIA LETTER.	
XX -85-089-00201 150146	AP	80124	S BLH	1 II Y II II 2 NA SR NA NA		QTC	BELLEFORTE: MARIAGEMENT DELETED THE U SE OF THE QCIR (QUALITY CONTROL THVE STIGATIVE REPORT) AND HRITE ONLY AN	.3. 14.1
02	QΛ	80156	S BLII	1 H Y H Y 2 HA SR HA SR		<b>!</b>	IRN (INSPECTION REJECTION NOTICE) HH ICH IS NOT CONSIDERFO A QUALITY RECO RD NOR. AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, IT DOE	
0.3	Q۸			1 II II II Y 2 HA HA HA SR		<u>.</u>	S NOT REQUIRE THE SAME FORMAL APPROV AL CYCLE AS A QCIR. ENGINEERING FRE QUENTLY EVALUATES AN IRN AND DISPOSI	
04	AP	80400	S BLN	1 H Y H Y 2 HA SR HA SR		:	TIONS IT "ACCEPT-AS-IS" HITHOUT QUAL ITY'S APPROVAL. CONSTRUCTION DEPT CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER INFORMATION. NO FOLLOHUP REQUIRED.	
XX -85-102-00401 150208	AP	80410	S BFII	1 Y H H H 2 SR HA HA HA		QTC	BROHUS FERRY: UHEN INSPECTION FINDS A NUMBER OF DEFECTS AND DEFECTIVE EQ UIPMENT AND ISSUES NOTICE OF INDICAT	3.5.1
02	ĄĄ	80459	S BFII	1 Y II H H 2 SR HA HA HA			TOUS (NOI'S), THEY ARE NOT PROPERLY FIXED. DETAILS KNOWN TO GTC, HITHHE LD DUE TO CONFIDENTIALITY. NUCLEAR POWER CONCERN. CI HAS NO FURTHER IN FORMATION.	
XX -85-102-01001 750172	AP	80402	S BFH	1 H H Y H 2 HA HA SR HA		QTC	BROHM'S FERRY: THE QUALITY PROGRAM A T BROHM'S FERRY LIMITS THE PROPER DO CUMENTATION AND REPAIR OF DEFECTS.	2
02	۸p	80412	S BFII	1 Y Y N Y 2 SR SR NA SR			IF INSPECTORS OBSERVE DEFECTS IN EQUIPMENT HHICH THEY HERE NOT AUTHORIZE D TO INSPECT, THEY ARE NOT ALLOHED T	5.75
_ 03	AP	80461	S BFH	1 Y Y Y Y 2 SR SR SR SR			O DOCUMENT THE DEFICIENCY IN A PROGRAMMATIC MAY MILCH ASSURES DOCUMENTED INSPECTION AND REPAIR. NUCLEAR POMER CONCERN. CI HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. NO FOLLOW UP REQUIRED.	

10 CONCERNS FOR CATEGORY QA SUBCATEGORY 804

CONCERNS ARE GROUPED BY FIRST 3 DIGITS OF SUBCATEGORY NUMBER.

# CATD TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. 80103-WBN-01	PAGE 2
	7
2. 80106-BLN-03	
3. 80400-WBN-01	10
4. 80400-WBN-02	13
5. 80400-WBN-03	18
6. 80400-WBN-04	21
	26
7. 80400-WBN-05	32
8. 80400-WBN-06	35
9. 80400-WBN-07	
10. 80402-BLN-01	40
11. 80402-SQN-01	42
12. 80402-WBN-01	<b>ુ46</b>
13. 80404-WBN-01	48
	51
14. 80405-BFN-01	59
15. 80406-WBN-01	
16. 80407-BFN-01	. 77
17. 80407-BLN-01	82
18. 80407-WBN-01	86
19. 80408-BLN-U1	90
20. 80409-WBN-01	93
	97
21. 80412-WBN-01	101
22. 80412-WBN-02	
23. 80413-WBN-01	104
24. 80454-NPS-01	10

# Action Tracking Document (CATD)

INTILA	LUN					
	1.	Immediate Co Stop Work Re	Frective Action	Required: /		No
	3.	CATD No. 8	0103-WBN-01	4 7107		m 3/00/07
i	5.	RESPONSIBLE	ORCANT ZATTON.	Project Engine	TIATION DAT	E_7/02/87
A .	6.	PROBLEM DESC	RIPTION: /I/	QR /T/NQR	eering-wan	
				Ar 1 indr		
376.	•		See Arra	ched Fact Sheet		
			Dee At La	thed race sneet	<u> </u>	
المراجع						
						9.4
	•					
1					11	ATTACEMENTS
	7.	PREPARED BY:	NAME K. MOTT	OV Shall	DAT	
<b>=</b>	8.	CONCURRENCE:		Kair IRun		E: 7.2-87
	9.	APPROVAL: E	CTG PROGRAM MGR	1111		2: 7-2-87
		•	,	1	100	7
CORRECT	IVE AC	TION			1,	
-						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10.	PROPOSED COR	RECTIVE ACTION	PLAN:		
				87 0977	03.0	
			· •			
·				. D. swelling ph. 14		
				•	• .	
	•	•	•			
•		,				
					••	
	• •	22020000			/ / /	ATTACHMENTS
	11.	PROPOSED BY:		Shutterna	HBB DAT	E: 10/6/87
	12.	CONCURRENCE:		E Roll D	DAT	19-13.87
•			SRP:	11/7	DAT	E:
			ECTG PROGRAM	AGR: REPLY	DAT:	10/14/27
************************************	TTON A	UTD CLOSEOUT				
		610 62032001	•			
	13.	Approved com		hana haaa		
•		implemented.	sective actions	have been ver	filled as	satisfactorily
		•				
		SIGNATUR	<u> </u>	TITLE		
			-	*****		DATE
			,			

NOTE: This applies to Concern HI-85-077-N20

#### CATD FACT SHEET

CATD No. 80103-WBN-01

Date: 07/02/87

Concern No(s). HI-85-077-N20

#### Problem Description:

The System 62 Volume Control Tank (VCT) Number 450 was over pressurized to the point of deformation during system hydrostatic testing. This tank is listed on TVA's N-5 Data Sheet Report 1-62-2/3-PIDRI as complying with the ASME code as a result of a Westinghouse However, since the tank yielded to the point of evaluation. deformation. ASME III code compliance cannot be obtained in accordance with NB 6222. This problem was previously identified in a memo from R. C. Parker to George Toto and M. L. Rayfield. The subject was "Watts Bar Nuclear Plant-ASME III Compliance Review". The RIMs Number is T19 860828 800. Since DNE's evaluation of the condition of the tank determined that the tank is fit for service, it was recommended that DNE reevaluate the need for code compliance and (1) Initiate an FSAR change identifying a code exception for the VCT; (2) Initiate documentation to "use-as-is" based on the FSAR change and (3) Correct the N-5 data report to clarify the VCT condition and eliminate the VCT from code certification. Corrective action has not been implemented to date.

7 ///e1/25

K. Morrow

RCM

ATE

JBJECT:

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Memorandum

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

P. D. Brackins. Project Manager, ONP, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

H. B. Bounds, Project Engineer, Watts Bar Engineering Project, DNE,

C102 IOB, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant

**SEP 2 2 1987** 

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) - CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS FOR CATD 80103-WBN-01

REVISION 1

Attached is the revised WBN Corrective Action Plans for CATD:

80103-WBN-01

Item 5 of this Corrective Action Plan (CAP) has been revised and coordinated with the Employee Concerns Task Group (ECTG) Quality Assurance Category Evaluation Group. If you have any questions regarding the content of this plan, please contact W. D. Goins at extension 1731.

WDG:CJS Attachment

cc (Attachment):

RIMS. SL 26 C-K

W. R. Brown, ECTG, Watts Bar

J. Karr, ECTG, Watts Bar

D. A. Kulisek, Trailer 38, Watts Bar J. R. Lyons, C105 IOB, Watts Bar

George Toto, ONP, Watts Bar

W. D. Goins, B101 IOB, Watts Bar



#### CATD No. 80103-WBN-01

- 1.0 PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN (CAP) (R1)
- (1) The volume control tanks were manufactured under a contract with Hestinghouse for the Nuclear Steam Supply System and as part of that manufacturing process an ASME "N" stamp was affixed to the tanks. An ASME manufacturers data report was completed cartifying the fabrication of the tanks as complying with the requirements of ASME Section III. TVA installed the tanks under its NA Certificate. At this point, the tanks moved from the jurisdiction of Section III to the jurisdiction of Section XI.
- Ouring the field installation phase of the piping systems, in which the tanks have been installed, the tanks were exposed to a pressure sufficiently high to cause physical deformation (the UI tank was overpressurized during a hydrostatic test of the system and the U2 tank during a system flush). The UI tank was subjected to a rigorous review and analysis by the original system designer (Westinghouse) and the U2 tank either has been or will be subjected to the same analysis. For UI, the result of the analysis was that the tank still meets all design requirements for service. It is expected that the same results will be received for the U2 tank. A design drawing reflecting the present dimensions of the tank and a stress analysis reconciling the new dimensions to Section III design criteria will be obtained from Westinghouse for each tank documenting the as-built condition of the tank.
- (3) If the manufacturer of the tank is still available, the manufacturer will be contacted, supplied the as-built drawing and the stress analysis and will be requested to supply certification that the tank still meets the requirements of Section III. If the manufacturer is not available, then either Westinghouse or TVA under their "N" certificate will provide this certification.
- (4) The results of the analysis and certification will be documented on an NIS-1 form which will be issued as a supplement to the ASME manufacturers data report form for the Ul tank. The approved supplement will be attached to the applicable manufacturers data report form in the Ul N-5 Data Package, which shall be annotated to reflect inclusion of the supplement and resigned by the same organizations which approved the original. The supplemented N-5 Data Report will then be distributed to controlled document holders. For U2, the certification will be issued documenting the results of the analysis and this certification and will be attached to the manufacturers data report.
- (5) The use-as-is disposition together with TVA's justification will be submitted for review and acceptance to the NRC as an FSAR change and when found acceptable, should be incorporated into the WBN FSAR.

identified or previously completed work	c? Yes No
If yes, list parent documents (e.g. NC) NCR 3877 6379	R. SCR. CAR. Audit. etc.)
Does the problem described constitute a	a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)?
If yes, CAQ No.	<del>-</del>
Schedule for completion of corrective milestone (e.g. BFL1, etc.) BFL1 for U	action (schedule date, if known, or 1 actions; BFL2 for U2 actions
Prepared by/Date	Proposed by Date)

11.

# Action Tracking Document (CATD)

INTITATIO	<del>'''</del>					
	1.	Immediate Corr	ective Action Red	uired: / / Ye	s /X/ No	
	2.	Stop Work Reco	mmended: /-/ Ye	s /X/ No		
	3.	CATD No. 801	06-BLN-03 21	4. INITIATI	ON DATE 4	/17/87
	5.	RESPONSIBLE OR	GANIZATION: Qual	ity Assurance		
	6.	PROBLEM DESCRI	PTION: /X/ QR	/_/NQR		
			1			
						A.I.
		See A	trached Fact Shee	et 80106-BLN-03	RI	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
					//ATT	ACHMENTS
						ACHMENTS
	7.	PREPARED BY:	NAME M.D. Bedna	IT/T.A. Guvn		4/17/87
	8.		CEG-H			4/22/9.)
	9.	APPROVAL: ECT	G PROGRAM HGR:	RKHINE	DATE:	4/23/27
	10.	PROPOSED CORRE	CTIVE ACTION PLAN	" < <u>= 6 A T</u>	4r HEN'	
						ACHIENTS R
	11.	•	DIRECTOR/MGR	- F Likeley		7/3/27
	12.	concurrence:	CEG-H	Jan J	DATE:	8/27/87
			SRP: ECTG PROGRAM HGR	MANUELLE		d/20/27
VERIFICA:	TIUN	AND CLOSEOUT		•	V	
	13.	Approved corrimplemented.	ective actions h	sve been verifi	ed as sat	isfactorily
		SIGNATUR	<u> </u>	TITLE		DATE

NOTE: This CATD applies to Concern Number XX-85-089-002

## CATD FACT SHEET

80106-BLN-03 K

04/17/87 Date:

Concern No(s). This is applies to Concern Number XX-85-089-002

Problem Description:

TVAs NQAM Part I, Section 1.2 "Scope of the Nuclear Quality Assurance Program," commits TVA to comply with the requirements of Appendix B to 10CFR50. Criterion XVII of Appendix B states in part that "Sufficient records shall be maintained to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality." BLN is utilizing Inspection Rejection Notices to document unsatisfactory inspections. However, this document is not retained as a quality record but is used as a communication and trending tool. BLN does not have a program in place to document rejectable in-process inspections.

· Remarks:

M. D. Bednar Preparer:

80400 ATTACHMENT B PAGE 9 of 110 CATE CAPP 1.0 REV. 1 ATTACHMENT A

## CATO NO. 80106-BLN-03 R

10. PROPOS	ED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:	130	
Bellefonte	Quality Control Procedure 1	SNP-QCP-10.43, "I	aspection Rejection
Notice."	rill be revised to make the 1	IRW a OA record.	The Revision Request (RR
has been p	repared and is currently in	the review cycle	
	್ ಕಾರ್ಷ್ಟ್ರಿಬಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಕ್ರಮ್ಮನ್ನು		*
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7			
identified	rrective action plan rely or or previously completed work	c? Yes	No I
If yes list	parent documents (e.g. NCR,	SCR, CAR, Audit	etc.)
-	W/A		
Does the pr	oblem described constitute a	condition adver	se to quality (CAQ)?
If Yes CAQ	No		
Schedule fo milestone (	e.g. BFL1, etc.) Octobe	ection (schedule (	iate, if known, or
11. <u></u>	FD Rum 17/29/87	Dann R	Bules 7/23/87

# ATTACHMENT B ECSP CORRECTIVE Action Tracking Document (CATD)

INITI	ATION			
	1. 2. 3.		/ Yes /I/ No 4. INITIATION	- N DATE 8/06/87
•	5. 6.	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION: PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /I/	Watts Bar Quality Ass	Burance Dept.
		See Attached	Fact Sheet 80400-WBN-	-01
			1	
				//ATTACHMENTS
	7.		Balverson	DATE: 8/06/87
			Exer Ifen	PATE: 8-6-47
	).	APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAMMO	il: RRYLL Z	DATE: 8/7/87
CORRE	CTIVE AC	TION		
	10	RADACTR CARRESTIN ACCION	. 97 AMA	
	10.	PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION	TLAN:	
			•	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Rev. Kos muse	1/1001	7 / ATTACRENTS
	11.	PROPOSED'BY: DIRECTOR/MGE	: Dd Chuck	DATE: 8ho/8
	12.	CONCURRENCE: CEG-H	Ekan	DATE: 21/1/87
		SRP: ECTG PROGRAM	T COLOR	DATE:
		ECIG PROGRA	mga:	DATE:
VERIF:	ICATION A	AND CLOSEOUT		
	13.	Approved corrective actio implemented.	ns have been verified	as satisfactorily
		SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE

NOTE:

#### CATD FACT SHEET

		Date:	08/06/87
CATD No.	80400-WBN-01		
No.			

## Problem Description:

QCP-1.02, Revision 0, dated April 27, 1987, "Inspection Rejection Notices," paragraph 6.2.3. states; "Those IRNs not closed within ninety days will be escalated to the Construction Engineer and QC Section Supervisor for resolution."

- 1.) This requirement is in conflict with paragraph 6.4.2 which states in part; "If the failed inspection condition has not been corrected, generate a new IRN per paragraph 6.1 and note in reinspection section of the IRN the second failed inspection and reference the new IRN number. Sign off previous IRN as complete." This paragraph, as written, could insure that an IRN will never go nirety days without closure.
- 2.) Currently, there are approximately 532 Open Unit 2 IRN's dating back to 1983. QCP-1.02, Revision 0, Paragraph 6.2.3 states that IRNs not closed within ninety days will be escalated for resolution. This paragraph is unclear as to its applicability to IRNs generated prior to Revision 0 of QCP-1.02. Clarification is required to establish if this current requirement is applicable to previously generated IRNs.

Remarks:

Preparer: R. D. Halverson

CATG
CAPP 1.0
REVISION 0
ATTACHMENT A

CATD	NO.	80400-WBN-01
------	-----	--------------

7.54.19		
	1. Paragraph 6.4.2 applies to reinspection after the failed condition h	15
	been corrected. The responsible craft and/or engineer are responsible f	OF
	correcting failed inspections and notifying Quality Control for	
	reinspection. If upon reinspection, the failed inspection condition has	1 /

10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:

Prepared by/Date

section of the old IRN the second failed inspection. The old IRN is signed off as complete. When the IRN is signed off, it is considered closed. Therefore, unless the reinspection was called for 90 days or more after an IRN was issued, the IRN would have been closed prior to 90 days from issue. While it is possible that a condition noted on an IRN could remain open for a period exceeding 90 days, it is unlikely. The reason OCP-1.02 was written to close an IRN upon unsatisfactory reinspection was to provide a means to trend those items. Furthermore, the inspection report program when implemented will alleviate the IRN program.

not been corrected, a new IRN is written and noted in the reinspection

	Drov.																	
[ e]	port	Drogs	CER L	rhen	impl	emer	ted	wil.	lal	levi	ate	the	IR	N p	rogi	en.		3
																		IRNs
																		itten
						sent	to	the	Con	stru	ctio	100	ngi	nee	r wh	o is	PE	esently
MOI	rking	to	<b>.es</b> 0]	Ve.														•
										•	-		-		-			
_					-							-						
										-				7			-	
																		7
	-												_					
	yes, AQR C		-		docu	ment	.s (e		. NC	R. S	CR.	CA	. A	udi	t, •	tc.)		
	es the						onst	itu	to a	con	diti	ion	adv	ers	• to	qua	lit	у
If	yes,	CAQ	No.															
	nedulo Lestor											che	dul	• d	ate,	if	kno	wn, or
	-	1/2	tin	3/	3/8	7	•				N	110	7-				01	1/2

WBEP - 2367d 02/09/87

Proposed by/Date

# ATTACHMENT B ECSP CORRECTIVE Action Tracking Document (CATD)

	N						
	1.	Immediate Cors	ective Action	Required: /	X/ Yes	// N	lo
	2.	Stop Work Reco	mended: /-/	Yes /I/ N	lo		
	3.	CATD No. 804	00-WEN-02	4. IN	ITLATION	DATE 8	1/06/87
	5.	CATO No. 804 RESPONSIBLE OF	GANIZATION:	HALLS Bar Ous	lity Ass	uzance	Dens.
	6.	PROBLEM DESCR	PTION: /X/	QR /T/NQR			
			See Attached	Fact Sheet 80	400-WBN-	02	
						//AT	CACHENTS
	7.	PREPARED BY:	NAME . R. D.	Halverson V	<del></del>		8/06/87
	8.	CONCURRENCE:		Kar IRu			3-6-8
	9.	APPROVAL: EC	CE PROGRAM (MCP	· B DOLLAR		DATE:	
	7.	APPROVAL. EC.	d Paudadinina	· _a. Kujia		UALE:	0/1/0/
	10.	PROPOSED CORRU	ECTIVE ACTION	PLAN:			
	10.		Απ. д.				
		<u> </u>	Revision Re	30.30 SH	4-11-57	, / /AT:	
	11.	PROPOSEL BY:	/Levised (E) DIRECTOR/MGR:	30. J. C. W. W.	g 4 to 5,	DATE:	8.10.21
		<u> </u>	ATT. A.  /LOV-SED LE  DIRECTOR/MGR: CEG-H / C	30.30 SH	g 4 4 57	DATE:	8.10.21
	11.	PROPOSEL BY:	ATT. A.  // Sed Ce  DIRECTOR/MGR: CEG-H SRP:	o p Sign	g g of 57	DATE: DATE:	8.10.21
	11.	PROPOSEL BY:	ATT. A.  /LOV-SED LE  DIRECTOR/MGR: CEG-H / C	o p Sign	g 4 to	DATE:	8.10.21
	11.	PROPOSEL BY:	ATT. A.  // Sed Ce  DIRECTOR/MGR: CEG-H SRP:	o p Sign	g 4 11 57	DATE: DATE:	8.10.21
ERIFICAT	11.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE:	ATT. A.  // Sed Ce DIRECTOR/MGR: CEG-H SRP: ECTG PROGRAM	MGZ:		DATE: DATE: DATE: DATE:	8:10:57 9/16/87

NOTE:

Page 1 of 2

#### CATD FACT SHEET

	80100-110M-05
CATD No.	80400-WBN-02

Date: \_\_08/06/87

#### Problem Description:

QACEG random review of closed IRN's revealed numerous discrepancies with the dispositing, voiding and closure of IRNs. Examples are as follows:

- 1.) IRN E-CET-24 was issued October 7, 1986 identifying that "stainless steel tag was attached to hanger without REU approval as stated in QCI-3.09, Revision 2, Paragraph 6.2.2, The responsible Engineer dispositioned the IRN by recommendation, "Revise QCI-3.09 (6.2.2) to comply with QCP-3.09, (7.1.6)." QC closed the IRM October 8, 1986 and revision to QCI-3.09, paragraph 6.2.2, has not been accomplished to date.
- 2.) IRN WLJ-139 was issued August 9, 1984 documenting; "Welds to EMB. to T-S (Tube steel), are over one side on one side welds undersize" (Direct quote).

IRN E052-WLS was issued December 15, 1983 documenting; "JB4128 is smaller than 24" x 24" but has four mounting bolts with less than 1-1/2 (mim.) edge distance not per drawing 47A056-101-R/5 (1") is what is in box. Also cannot verify anchors in unstruct to concrete per QCP-1.14 R/13 or thread engagement per QCP 1.42-2 R/4. They inacessable." (Direct quote including misspellings).

Both the above IRNs were closed with the statement "closed per JW?." Both IRN's do not have inspectors signature or date.

- 3.) IRN's E-RIH-5, E-RIH-6, E-RIH-7, and E-RIH-8 were written April 14, 1981 documenting "pipe off location." All IRN's were dispositioned "per memo by Tom Brown dated April 22, 1981." This memo did not accompany the IRN's and it is unknown what acceptance criteria was invoked.
- 14.) IRN WLJ-147 was issued August 20, 1984 documenting; "no unstrut installed between J.B. 3051 and wall." (Direct quote including misspelling).

IRN WLJ-140 was issued August 9, 1984 documenting; "hanger not per typical or by FCR-20448 Rl has 4-1/4" éanchors. But no unstrut is installed behind box JB-1026B. (Direct quote including misspelling).

IRN WLJ-147 was closed with a statement; "from Jeff Faucett X-304, no unistrut required. Of 6 02 Test waived per Art Greet." IRN WLJ-140 was closed with a statement; "waived per IZU." The IRNs were closed with the apparent lack of Engineering justification with no reference to design change documentation.

#### Page 2 of 2

- 5.) IRN H-REH-24 WAS ISSUED April 30, 1981 documenting; "Item #2 is not centered with pipe. Item #2 is not at a 30-60° angle." This IRN was voided without justification, date of voiding and identity of person voiding the IRN is not documented.
- 1 6.) The following IRN's were issued documenting burn through on unistrut base metal.

IRN	ISSUED DATE	CLOSE DATE
H-REH-10	04/17/81	11/07/81
E030-WLJ	12/10/83	03/29/84
WLJ-206-2	09/18/84	09/25/85
E-WID-8	08/28/84	09/20/84

Contrary to the requirements of AWS D1.1 - 1974, paragraph 3.7.4, no evidence exists that Engineering approval v-s gained for base metal repair on IRN's E030-WLJ and WLJ-206. Additionally, QACEG review of inspection records of the supports associated with the above IRN's reveals no historical data documenting base metal repairs.

Remarks:

Preparer: R. D. Halverson

CATG
CAPP 1.0
REVISION 0
ATTACHHENT A

#### CATD NO. 80400-WBN-02

### 10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:

- 1) This IRM should not have been written. The inspector was inspecting to the QCI, and should have inspected to the QCP. A Revision Request will be submitted to make procedures coincide.
- 2) IRN WLJ-139 was written against a support that has been deleted and removed from the Accountability Program.

IRN E052-WLS should read E052-WLJ. This IRN was closed according to JWP for the following reasons:

- 1. Note Inspection/Test number block denotes Page 1 of 2
- 2. Page 2 of 2 shows IRN to be voided in accordance with E-LRD-04.
- 3. E-LED-04 was voided according to David A. Dycus and referenced IRN E-DAD-041.

This IRM (E-DAD-041) shows support was inspected at a later date.

- 3) Copies of this particular memo have been attached to each respective IRN and placed back in the unit IRN file awaiting transfer to DCU vault. The pipe was inspected per the pipe procedures and drawings. The hangers were inspected per the hanger procedures and drawings.
- 4) IRN WLJ-147 should not have been written. The unistrut is optional.

QACEG stated that this IRN, WLJ-140, was "Waived per EEU" and the IRN was closed with lack of engineering justification. IRN WLJ-140 says the following: "Ol and O2 test waived per Art Greer." Art Greer was the EQC supervisor at that time. The EEU response was "From Jeff Fawcett no unistrut required." Art Greer waived Ol and O2 test because of Jeff Fawcett's response. See 101 drawing for justification. This IRN should not have been written.

5) Apparently IRN H-REH-24 was written in error, as documentation indicates that the same inspector who wrote the IRN accepted the affected support on May 8, 1981. The revision to the procedure which governed IRNs on April 30, 1981, gave no specific instructions as to how to void IRNs; therefore, there was no requirement to justify, date, or identify the employee voiding the IRN. The only condition addressed in the procedure was how to close valid and open IRNs.

QCP 1.02, Revision 2, dated January 20, 1987, currently in effect, includes provisions for voiding IRNs.

CL CO REVILION O ATTACHMENT A

CATD NO. \_\_80400-WBN-02

#### 10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: (Cont.)

- 6) IRN H-REH-10 was not written because of burn through on unistrut, but was written for the following reasons:
  - 1. Base metal ground away at weld between baseplate and embed.
  - 2. Clearances are not maintained between pipe and hanger.
  - 3. Pipe out of center of sleeve.
  - 4. Pipe off location.

However, the support has been reinspected and problems were found. CAQR WBP870875 RO has been issued to address these problems.

IRN WLJ-30 was written on conduit support Number 1-CSP-293-1041/X which identifies a discrepancy with weld burn through on unistrut where attached to embedded plate.

This support was reinspected and found that some undercut at the top of weld caused by cosmetic grinding. On the inside of unistrut some cosmetic work was also found. The present IRN procedure establishes a method to repair on the spot corrections for the repair of cosmetic damage only without an Inspection Rejection Notice being issued. Support-1041/X is not presently reflected in the Record Accountability Program but exist in the field and documentation in the vault. A memorandum will be sent to EEU-B to update program.

IRN WLJ-206-2 was written on support (O-CSP-292-3910/5) which was found to be inaccessible due to 3-M thermo lag fire barrier wrap installed on conduit and support. A review of this support will be done on a walkdown WP-3 to identify problems if they exist.

WTD-8 identifies the discrepancy with burn through at weld joint where unistrut attaches to building steel. Upon reinspection of this support, there is no evidence of burn through or basemetal damage where unistrut attaches to building steel. We feel that this support was replaced to correct TAN deficiency identified.

All Category I conduit and supports on unit 1 WBN are to be reinspected in accordance with Walkdown Procedure WP-3. This procedure establishes a requirement to update the Records Accountability Program.

There has never been a requirement for a Quality Control inspector to document the corrective action taken to correct a rejectable condition. When an inspector accepts and signs off "Accepted by" on an IRN, he is only saying that predetermined acceptance criteria have been met.

Lang Blatim

# ACTION Tracking Document (CATO)

رد/

INITIAT	ION	
	1. 2. 3. 5. 6.	Immediate Corrective Action Required: /X/ Yes // No Stop Work Recommended: /-/ Yes /X/ No CATD No. 8040C-WBN-03 4. INITIATION DATE 8/06/87 RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION: Watts Bar Quality Assurance Debt. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /X/ QR /-/NQR
		See Attached Fact Sheet 80400-WBN-03
	7. 8. 9.	PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Halverson DATE: 8/06/87  CONCURRENCE: CEG-H JF K
CORRECT	IVE AC	, —————————————————————————————————————
Ž,	10.	PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:
9		
		3
	11.	PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: AV. D. (. OH MAN DATE: 8-20-8) CONCURRENCE: CEG-H // Elmi DATE: 9/16/87
		SRP: DATE: DATE:

#### VERIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT

13.	Approved	corrective	actions	have	peen	verified	25	satisfactoril	y
	implement	ted.							
	-							- Ma.	
								No.	

SIGNATURE	サイナイド	عث ∓ ل
SIGNATURE		ער בב

NOTE:

#### CATD FACT SHEET

		00/00
CATD	No.	80400-WBN-03

Date: 08/06/87

#### Problem Description:

WBN-QCP-1.02, Revision 0, "Inspection Rejection notice," Addendum No.1, paragraph 4.2 states: "Failed In-Process Inspection - those inspections performed prior to acceptance of the item which identify conditions that can be returned to meet the original requirements using the original acceptance criteria and methods."

Contrary to the above requirements, IRN W8700985 was issued May 7, 1987 documenting; "on typical tube side weld to embedded plate detail, H4-H4, weld symbol calls for continuous weld. Hanger has two intermittent welds." In lieu of reworking the hanger to meet the original requirements, FCR # E20967 was issued to document as-built configuration.

...

Remarks:

Preparer: R. D. Halverson

ATTACHMENT B PAGE 20 of 110

CATG PA CAPP 1.0 REVISION 0 ATTACHMENT A

#### CAID NO. 80400-WBN-03

IRN Number W8700985 was written because of a drawing discrepancy in t
flare bevel weld symbol and the associated note (6" min. weld) in det H4-H4 on drawing 47W970-4 R6.
ne-ne on drawing e/wy/o-e go.
FCR-E20967 was issued to place the existing 6" minimum weld note in t
tail of the little bevel weld symbol as required per AUS A2 A Symbols
for Welding and Nondescructive Testing.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.,
Does the corrective action plan rely on or take credit for currently
Does the corrective action plan rely on or take credit for currently identified or previously completed work? Yes No
Identified or previously completed work? Yes we
If yes, list parent documents (e.g., NCR, SCR, CAR, Audit, etc.)  Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quelity
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)? Yes No
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)? Yes No
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)? Yes No
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)? Yes No
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)? Yes No

WBEP - 2367d 02/09/87

## ATTACHMENT B ECSP CORRECTIVE Action Tracking Document (CATD)

INITIATION		ý.	3	
1. 2. 3. 5. 6.	CATD No. 80 RESPONSIBLE (	rective Action Requiremended: /T/ Yes 0400-WBN-04 DRGANIZATION: Watts RIPTION: /I/ QR /	/I/ No4. INITIATION _Bar Quality Ass	•
		See Arrached Fact	Sheet 80400-WBN-	-04
				//ATTACIDEDITE
7. 8. 9.	PREPARED BY: CONCURRENCE: APPROVAL: EC	CEG-H JEKAN CTG PROGRAMAMGR: A	- IRM	DATE: 8/06/87 DATE: 4/7/97
CORRECTIVE ACT	ION			, ,
10.		ective action Plan:	Corrective A	ection Plan.
•		1/21/520 (23) 72	<u> </u>	
11.	-PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE:	DIRECTOR/MGR: CEG-H SRP: ECTG PROGRAM MGR:		DATE: 9/16/9> DATE: 9/16/9>
		Ec. o Program Mer:		DATE:
VERIFICATION A	ND CLOSEOUT	2010 PROBRAM MOR:		DATE:
VERIFICATION A		ective actions have	: been verified	-

ROTE:

#### CATD FACT SHEET

CATO No. 80400-WBN-04	re:	08/06/87
-----------------------	-----	----------

#### Problem Description:

Prior to the issuance of QCP-1.02, Revision 0, dated April 27, 1987, "Inspection Rejection Notices," IRN's were not considered LOP records and the past IRN programs did not require the inspector to note the IRN number on the Inspection Report. QACEG Review of QCI-1.08-1, Revision 1, dated March 11, 1987, "Records Retrieval," reveals the following related and unrelated deficient areas;

- Related Since IRN's are now LOP Records and Watts Bar Quality Assurance has committed to forward all (available)

  PRE QCP-1.02 IRN's to Records Storage, no mechanism is in place in QCI-1.08-1 to establish traceability with an IRN and the associated item/component. When retrieving inspection records for components, IRNs are not included.
- 2.) Unrelated QCI-1.08-1, Revision 1, requires revision to address CAQRS.

. . . ,

Remarks:

Preparer: R. D. Halverson

## PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN CATD 80400-WBN-04

A Revision Request has been submitted (copy attached) to revise QCI-1.08-1. Attachment A. Part III, to identify Inspection Rejection Notices (IRNs) and to add section 6.2.1.12 which addresses the retrieval method for IRNs.

. . . ,

Title:REVISION REQUESTS	OMI 805.11	Rev1
•		
SEVISION REQUE	• 4	chment 1
PROCEDURE/INSTRUCTION: GCT-1.08-1  TITLE - Record Retirent	Revision - /	
REVISION REQUESTED (ATTACH MARKED-UP COPY IF NECE	SSART OR HELPFUL) -	
REASON FOR REVISION - CATD 80400-	WBN-04	
REVISION REQUEST SUBMITTED BY Mano  I CONCUR WITH REVISION REQUEST Tos The	9-10-97 Date	8723 Phone
Initiator's Super	9-10-37	8 723 Phone
Assigned To: // Electrical: //Mechanical: // P EVALUATION BY QE: REVISION REQUEST is acceptable COMMENTS	Yes// No// With C	ament //
QE Engineer/Date TRANSHITTAL: To:	QE Unit Supervises	r/Date
	Меслевия	Dete
BESPONSE BECEIVED Date	QEPU Supervisor	

Title: RE	Title: RECORDS RETRIEVAL 1.08-1			
Revision No.	DESCRIPT	Date Approved		
1	Revised to reflect curre documents (WBN-DNC-RR-55 shown. Changed organiza reorganization (no revis	<ol> <li>Revision lines tions! titles because</li> </ol>	are	03/11/87
. 2	Is limit scope in is all impector to attached A (po returned method Reference CATD 80	7 IRNs (6.	. ~	
V				
-				
	NFOR!	AATION ON		

## ATTACHMENT B ECSP CORRECTIVE Action Tracking Document (CATD)

1. Immediate Corrective Action Required:  \overline{\text{X}} \ Yes   No  2. Stop Work Recommended:  -  Yes  \overline{\text{X}} \ No  3. CAID No. 80400-WBN-05	LIAILUN		<b></b>	
2. Stop Work Recommended: /-/ Tes /F/ No. 3. CAID No. 80400-UBN-05 5. RESPONSIBLE ORGANICATION: Watts Bar Quality Assurance Dept. 6. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /K/ QR /-/NQR  See Attached Fact Sheet 80400-UBN-05  7. PREFARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87 8. CONCURRENCE: CEC-B //Confirm DATE: 8/06/87 9. APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM MGR: Q/W/W/W DATE: 4/7/97  RESCRIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION FLAN:  11. PROPOSED GORRECTIVE ACTION FLAN:  12. CONCURRENCE: CEC-B // Watts DATE: 1/7-67  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	1.	Immediate Corrective Action Required:	X/ Yes /_/ No	
5. RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION: Watts Bar Quality Assurable Dept.  6. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /I/ QR /- NQR    See Artached Fact Sheet 80400-UBN-05	2	Ston Work Recommended: /-/ Yes /X/ }	io	
5. RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION: Watts Bar Quality Assurable Dept.  6. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /I/ QR /- NQR   See Attached Fact Sheet 80400-WBN-05  7. PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87  8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H / Company DATE: 8/06/87  9. APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM MGR: Q/WWH DATE: 4/7/97  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:  11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: M. Watter DATE: 1/7-67  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H / Jan DATE: 1/7-67  SRP: Jan DATE: Jan DAT	3.	CAID No. 80400-WBN-05 4. II	AITIATION DATE 8/06/8/	_
See Attached Fact Sheet 80400-HBN-05  7. FREFARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87  8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B JECO-Kun DATE: 8/06/87  9. APPROVAL: ECTC PROGRAM MGR: Q/MULL DATE: 4/7/97  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:	5.	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION: Watts Bar Qui	lity Assurance Dept.	
7. PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87 8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H JEGGAM MGR: QUALITY DATE: 8/08/87 9. APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM MGR: QUALITY DATE: 4/7/07  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:  11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: 1/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2	6.	PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /I/ QR /T/NQR_		
7. PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87 8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H JEGGAN MGR: QUALITY DATE: 8/08/87 9. APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM MGR: QUALITY DATE: 4/7/07  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:  11. PROPOSED BY: DERECTOR/MGR: 1/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2/2				
7. PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87 8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H 9. APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM MGR: GINELL DATE: 4/7/97  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION FLAN:  11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: GINELL DATE: 1/7/67  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H SEP: V/A ECTG PROGRAM MGR: QRUIT DATE: 10/7/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.		See Attached Fact Sheet 8	0400-WBN-05	_
7. PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87 8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B 9. APPROVAL: ECTC PROGRAM MGZ: GINTED DATE: 4/7/97  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:	•	- J		
7. PREPARED BY: NAME R. D. Balverson DATE: 8/06/87 8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B 9. APPROVAL: ECTC PROGRAM MGZ: GINTED DATE: 4/7/97  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:		•	//ATTACRMENTS	<del>-</del>
8. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B  9. APPROVAL: ECTC PROGRAM MGR: GINGHA DATE: 4/7/97  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:  11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: J. Concurrence: CEG-B  SRP: DATE: 10/9/87  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B  SRP: DATE: 10/9/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.		PROPERTY BY NAME 2 D Belverson		_
9. APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM MGR: GINGLE DATE: 4/7/97  RECTIVE ACTION  10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION FLAN:		PRIMARED DI: MARE R. D. BEIVETEDE		7
PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:    Jee Attached Proposed Corrective Action Plan	• •	APPROVAT: FOTO PROCESSION MC2: Q/MW.U.		7
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: J. (Nature DATE: 1-7-67)  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H DATE: 1-7-67  SEP: VIA DATE: 10/1/67  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	7.	arimital. Dold radder lide		
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: J. (Nature DATE: 1-7-67)  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B (Internal DATE: 1-7-67)  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: ARMINE DATE: 10/1/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	DECTT VE AC	TION		
Jee Attached Proposed Corrective Action Plan  11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MG2: 2. (When DATE: 1-7-67)  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H  SRP: DATE: DATE: 10/1/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	ALCILIA III			
Jee Attached Proposed Corrective Action Plan  11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MG2: 2. When DATE: 1-7-67  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H  SRP:  ECTG PROGRAM MG2: 2 RW. 12. DATE: 10/1/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	. 10.	PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:	• /	_
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MG2: A. (White DATE: 8-17-67) 12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H SRP: V/A DATE: 10-9-6- ECTG PROGRAM MG2: RIVITA DATE: 10/9/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.		•	•	
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MG2: A. (NAME DATE: 5-17-67) 12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H  SRP: V/A  ECTG PROGRAM MG2: REVENUE DATE: 10/1/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.				
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: A. C. A. DATE: 17-57  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H  SRP: V/A  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVIEW DATE: 10/1/87  ERIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.		See Attached Proposed Correct	VP ACTION FIAN	
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: W. Which DATE: 17-57  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B  SRP: VIA  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVIEW DATE: 10/1/87  ERIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.				_
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: A. Concurrence: CEG-H  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H  SRP: VIA  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVIEW DATE: 10/1/87  ERIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.				
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: J. J. J. DATE: 17-57  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B  SRP: VIA  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVIEW DATE: 10/1/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.				
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: Jalland DATE: 10-9-61  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H DATE: 10-9-61  SRP: VIA DATE: 10/9/87  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVISE DATE: 10/9/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.				
11. PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: Jalland DATE: 10-9-61  12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H DATE: 10-9-61  SRP: VIA DATE: 10/9/87  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVISE DATE: 10/9/87  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.		1		
12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H  SRP:  ECTG PROGRAM MGR: REVITA DATE:  DATE:  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.		- 1//		
12. CONCURRENCE: CEG-B  SRP:  ECTG PROGRAM MGR:  RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	11.	PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/MGR: Id. Comme		
SRP: V/A DATE: DAT		CONCURRENCE: CEG-H	Kar 18:1/0-2-0	
RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	300	SR: VIA	DATE:	
RIFICATION AND CLOSEOUT  13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.			DATE: 10/9/8	7
13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.				
13. Approved corrective actions have been verified as satisfactor implemented.	RIFICATION	AND CLOSEOUT	-	
· implemented.				٠ :-
DATE TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	. 13.		n verified as satisfacto	
DATE TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY				
DATE TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY				
CTCN CTCN LANDS		SIGNATURE	TLE DATE	

BOTZ:

#### CATD FACT SHEET

CATO No.	80400-W3N-05	Date: 08/06/87

#### Problem Description:

QACEG review of QCP-1.02, Revision 0, dated April 27, 1987, "Inspection Rejection Notice," and QCI-1.22., Revision 9 with addendum 1 dated March 25, 1987, "Transfer of Permanent Features to the Division of Nuclear Power" has identified the lack of programmatic controls with regards to IRN Status vs System Turnover to NUC PR:

- 1.) No program controls exist to complete and close IRNs prior to System Turnover or provide an orderly transfer into QCI-1.22 "Outstanding Work Items List (OWIL)" at time of System Turnover.
- 2.) As identified in QCP-1.02, Revision 0, Paragraph 6.4.5, IRNs are LOP Documents. At time of System Turnover, open IRNs have been transferred to the outstanding work items list or punchlist as utilized in QCI-1.22. An inconsistancy exists in that OWIL's and punchlists are not considered LOP Documents.
- 3.) QCI-1.22, Revision 9 requires revision to address CAQRs.

Remarks:

Preparer: R. D. Halverson

#### PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

- 1) Revise QCP 1.02 to require completion/closure of IRNs prior to system turnover. A revision request will be made to QCI 1.22 to require IRNs to be closed prior to system turnover. This will be complete by 11/1/87.
- There is no reason or requirement for the OWIL or punchlist to be maintained as a lifetime document. The OWIL and punchlist are for administrative controls and are not required to be retained. The items on the punchlist and OWIL must be complete prior to final transfer (QCI 1.22, paragraph 6.4.1) and therefore the need to maintain as records is not needed (a prerequisite as defined in the ANSI N45.2.9).
- 3) This is a DNC responsibility and should be addressed to DNC.

8-11-87

17EM COPY TO R9

SEE 141,22

RR 1-1,22

RR 1-1,22

RA 1,28 87

80400 ATTACHMENT B PAGE 29 of 11

DIVISION OF NUCLEAR :UCTION REVISION RECUEST

Initiator: Robert Dazon	10.
Project or Branch: DNC - W3NP	AR NO. (LEN-DNC-14)
Cate: 4 - 4 - 87	(r) . At .
Document and Rev. No. WBN-OCI-172 29	-
Cocument Title: Tenners of Parages To To	
1. CHANGE ALL REFERENCES TO "STC" TO	Francis
Z. CHANGE "NCE" TO "CAGE" IN ! PARAGRAPH (	.3.7 AND IN ATTACHMENT H
3. ADD CHANGE "NCR" TO "NCR/CAGR" ON AT	FACEMENT D ITEMS.
· ·	<u> </u>
Evaluation of RR: Procedure is a CAQ Yes 🖾 No	
If yes, CAO No. & Rev. 1)/A	
Approved: A. LU & H. M. K.  Responsible Manager	•
Distribution:	•
Original to Organization	
Controlling Document (Identify From	
Other Affected Organizations	
RESPONSE TO REVISION HEQU	FST
Bevision is approved as requested	
( ) Revision action will be as described below	
( ) Revision cannot be approved for reason(s) stated below	
-	
1	
21/2	
Responsible Manager of	f Original Controlling Document
	or committee of the control of the c
Complement and the	
Commitment tracking index updated by	
Vare	
Distribution:	
Initiator of Request	
Retention Period:	•
DOC	
:	•

### ATTACHMENT A

### CATO ::0. 80400-43N-05

PERFORMS COMMETTIVE ACTION PLAN:	
For item three on CATO fact sheet	
QCI-1.22 R9 will be superseded by CEP	?-1.22 RO and RR 741 to OCI-1.22 R9
which addresses CAQRs will be incorpo	praced in CEP-1.22 RO. This will
be completed by 11/30/87.	
Copy of approved RR 741 is attached.	:
	<u> </u>
•	
•	
•	
des the corrective action plan rely on dentified or previously completed work of yes list parent documents (e.g. NC2,	:? Yes NoX_
•	
loes the problem described constitute of the second constitute of the s	condition adverse to quality (CAQ)?
If Yes CAQ No. NA	·
Ishedule for completion of intractive ; milestone (e.g. BFLL, etc.) <u>11/30/87</u>	action (schedule date, if known, or
::. A Ba Gardy 10/5/27	France 10/6/87

80400 ATTACHMENT B

REVISION REGULST

Initiator: Roper Dozon	100 100
Project or Branch: DNC - WBNP	AR NO (LEN-DAY LR-7.44
Cate: 4 - 4-87	104
Document and Rev. No. WBN-DC1-1.22 29	:
Cocument Title: Tennaser as Page	
Cocument Title: TANNESE OF PERMANENT FORMER TO T	HE DEFICE OF HILLY POWER
Description and Reason for Proposed Charge:	Lemon:
2. CHANGE "NCE" TO "CAGE" IN : PARAGRAPH (	2.3,7 AND IN AFFACHMENT H
3. ADD CHANGE "NCR" TO "NCR/CAGR" ON A	
ACIE/CACK OF X	FRACIMENT U MEMS.
•	• ;
Evaluation of RR: Procedure is a CAQ Yes & No	į.
If yes, CAQ No. & Rev //A	
Approved: Bill E. H. U. E.	
. Responsible Manager	
Distribution:	
Original to Organization	,
Controlling Document (Identify FROC)	
Other Affected Organizations	
RESPONSE TO REVISION REQU	UEST
Bevision is approved as requested	•
( ) Revision action will be as described below	•
( ) Revision cannot be approved for reason(s) stated below	
•	•
not a second	
Hermania	
	of Original Controlling Document
	•
Commitment tracking index updated by	
Pare	
Distribution:	
Initiator of Request	
Retention Period:	
DOC	
·	

## ATTACHMENT 3 ECSP CORRECTIVE Action Tracking Document (CATD)

-6/2

IN			

1.	Immediate Corrective Act	tion Required: /X/ Yes	/_/ No ·
2. 3.	Stop Work Recommended:	/ Yes /X/ No	DATE 9/19/03
5.	RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATION	4. INITIATION N: Office of Construction	DALE_8/12/8/
6.	PROBLEM DESCRIPTION: /	r/ OP /=/NOP	d Lagineering
	,	-	•
• .			
	See Attack	ned Fact Sheet 80400-WBN-	06
	•		
	•		
			44:55:55:55
. <b></b>	TOTAL		//ATTACRMENTS
7. 8.	PREPARED BY: NAME R. CONCURRENCE: CEG-H	D. Halverson	DATE: 8/12/87
9.	CONCURRENCE: CEG-H APPROVAL: ECTG PROGRAM		DATE: 8/12/67
•	AFFROVAL. ECID FAUGRACE	AND TO THE TOTAL T	DATE: 8/13/67
CORRECTIVE ACT	TION		•
. !			
10.	PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACT	ION PLAN:	••
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.:	
•			
• • •	•		
•	•		
•			
• "			
			/ /ATTACYMENTS
. 11.	PROPOSED BY: DIRECTOR/	HGZ: de + lamber	DATE: 10/6/87
12.	CONCURRENCE: CEG-H	J.F. Kara	DATE: 10-8-87
	SRP:	2/2 //	DATE:
4.5	ECTS PROG	REM MGR: /200111 /20	
•			77
VERIFICATION .	AND CLOSECUT	V	·
13.	Approved corrective ac:	tions have been verified	as satisfactorily
	implemented.	-	
	•		
	SIGNATURE		DATE
		• • • • •	unta

MOTE:

#### CATD FACT SHEET

CATO No. 80400-WEN-06

Date: 08/12/87

Problem Description:

QACES performed a random review of closed Unit 2 IRNs and found that numerous IRNs were dispositioned by Construction Engineering (examples cited in CATD 80400-WBN-02) without proper justifications or reference to inspection acceptance criteria.

It is unknown, what the accumulative affect will be to system operations when IRNs are not dispositioned by a FCR in which design changes occurred.

Attachment: CATD 80400-WBN-02

Remarks:

80400 ATTACHMENT B PAGE 34 of 110

CATS -CAPP 1.0

REV. O

CATO ::0.80400-WBN-06

SO. PROPOSED COMMESTIVE ACTION PLAN:
A copy of proposed corrective action plan for CATD 80400-WBN-02 and copy
of pertinent IRN's are attached.
The following additional information is supplied for item 1) for
CATD 80400-WBN-02:
The current revision of WBN-QCI-3.09 Rev. 3 issued March 27, 1987, deleted
the requirement for REU approval of use of S.S. tag as was required in
WBN-QCI-3.09 Rev. 2 paragraph 6.2.2; therefore, as of March 27, 1987,
WBN-QCI-3.09 was in agreement with WBN-QCP-3.09 Rev. 3 paragraph 7.1.6 and
agrees with current revision of WBN-QCP-3.09 Rev. 4.
In reviewing the statements under proposed corrective action plan for
80400-WBN-02 and in reviewing the IRN's in question, it is concluded that there
were no design changes required and therefore there would not be any
accumulative affect to system operations because these IRN's were not
dispositioned by FCR's.
Does the corrective action plan rely on or take credit for currently identified or previously completed work? Yes X No
CATD 80400-WBN-02
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality (CAQ)?  Yes No
If Yes CAQ No
Schedule for completion of corrective action (schedule date, if known, or milestone (e.g. BFLL, etc.) Completed
CIEDY Propared 37/Date 9-9.87 proposed sylvated 7

# ATTACHMENT 3 ECSP CORRECTIVE Action Tracking Document (CATD)

MOLIALI							
		,					
1	•	Immediate Corr	ective Acti	on Require	d: <u>/\( \bar{X}</u> / \bar{Y}	les /_/	No
	,	STOR HOTE RACO	mmended: /	-/ Yes /	I/ No		
	3.	CATD No. 80400	-WBN-07	4. INI	TATION DAT	三 -10/16/	87
	j.	RESPONSTBLE OR	GANIZATION:	WBN Qual	ity Assura	ace Dest.	
_	; .	PROBLEM DESCRI	PTION: /X/	OR / / N	QR		
. (1	•		, <u>a</u> ,			:4: :	
			See A	ctached Ca	ID Fact St	Jeec	
					1		
						٠.	
					TO		TACEMENTS
7	7.	PREPARED BY:	NAME R. D.	Halverson			10/16/87
8	8.	CONCURRENCE:	CEG-H	NYVZ	-1	DATE:	10/16/5
9	9.	APPROVAL: ECT	G PROGRAM H	يرير كل ميحا	1/-	ATT DATE:	1012218
		•		,			
, 10	0.	PROPOSED CORRE	CTIVE ACTIO	n Plan: _			
10	0.	PROPOSED CORRE	CTIVE ACTION	ON PLAN:			
	o.	PROPOSED CORRE	ECTIVE ACTION	ON PLAN:			
10	o.						
1	1.	PROPOSED BY:	DIRECTOR/HO			DATE	:
1			DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E			DATE DATE	:
1	1.	PROPOSED BY:	DIRECTOR/HOCZG-E SRF:	;2: 		DATE DATE DATE	:
1	1.	PROPOSED BY:	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E	;2: 		DATE DATE	:
1	1.	PROPOSED BY:	DIRECTOR/HOCZG-E SRF:	;2: 		DATE DATE DATE	:
1	1.	PROPOSED BY:	DIRECTOR/HOCZG-E SRF:	;2: 		DATE DATE DATE	:
1	1.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE:	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E SRF: ECTG PRCGR	GZ:		DATE DATE DATE DATE	
I I RIFICATI	1.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE: ND CLOSEOUT Approved core	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E SRF: ECTG PRCGR	GZ:	beez veri	DATE DATE DATE DATE	
I I RIFICATI	1. 2.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE:	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E SRF: ECTG PRCGR	GZ:	been veri	DATE DATE DATE DATE	
I I RIFICATI	1. 2.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE: ND CLOSEOUT Approved core	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E SRF: ECTG PRCGR	GZ:	bees veri	DATE DATE DATE DATE	
I I RIFICATI	1. 2.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE: ND CLOSEOUT Approved core	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E SRF: ECTG PRCGR	GZ:	beez veri	DATE DATE DATE DATE	
I I RIFICATI	1. 2.	PROPOSED BY: CONCURRENCE: ND CLOSEOUT Approved core	DIRECTOR/MCCEG-E SRF: ECTG PRCGR	GZ:	bees veri	DATE DATE DATE DATE	:

NOTE: This applies to Concern Number 2X-35-039-004

### CATO FACT SHEET

			Date:	10/16/87
	80400-43:1-07			
CAT2 -NO.	90-00-4PM 41			

Concern No(s). This applies to Concern Number EX-85-039-004

Problem Description:

Appendix B to 10CFR50, Criterion XV states:

"Measures shall be established to control materials, parts, or components which do not conform to requirements in order to prevent their advertent use or installation. These measures shall include, as appropriate, procedures for identification, documentation, segregation, disposition, and notification to affected organizations. Nonconforming items shall be reviewed and accepted, rejected, repaired or reworked in accordance with documented procedures."

Contrary to the above requirements, evaluation by QACEG confirmed that prior to December, 1986, an unwritten policy existed at WBN to not document nonconforming conditions noted on vendor supplied items.

R	. 1	Halverson

~; ;N

Remarks:

CATG
CAPP 1.0
REVISION 0
ATTACHMENT A

CATD NO.	80400-WBN-07
----------	--------------

TION PLAN:

To severe to employee concern number EV-85 039-004, the following
In regard to employee concern number EX-85-039-004, the following procedures existed prior to December 1986, (the receipt inspection
procedure has been issued since June 1975) and implemented the correct
methods for the receipt of material, corrective action or disposition of
nonconforming material, and the tracking of issued nonconforming material:
Quality Control Instruction 1.06 "Receiving and Storage" Paragraph 6.4.1
Quality Control Procedure 1.06 "Receipt Inspection of Safety Related Items
Paragraphs 6.19, 6.20, 6.21, 6.22
Quality Control Instruction 1.02-1 "Inspection Rejection Notice"
Quality Control Procedure 1.02 "Inspection Rejection Notice"
Quality Control Instruction 1.02 "Control of Nonconforming Items"
Standard Operating Procedure PMS-08 "Receipt of Material" Paragraphs 7.1,
and 7.2
The inspection performed in accordance with QCP 1.06 primarily requires
100 percent inspection of those items not previously source inspected by
the Procurement Quality Assurance Branch (i.e. ASME loose and random
piping material and bolting). For those components previously inspected
the inspection activities basically are conformance to contract and
shipping damage, this is consistent with industry practice.
Watts Bar has previously identified two deficiencies in vendor components.
These deficiencies are consistent with those identified elsewhere in the
industry. As a result of these deficiencies, the following corrective
actions have been committed to:
1. CATD 80203-WBN-01 Vendor Welding
Watts Bar has committed to reinspect approximately 12 vendors for
which deficiencies have been noted. This reinspection will include
such components as piping subassemblies, pipe supports, tanks, heat
exchangers and instrument panels. (Cont'd on page 2)
Does the corrective action plan rely on or take credit for currently
identified or previously completed work? Yes No
If yes, list parent documents (e.g., MCR, SCR, CAR, Audit,
etc.)
Does the problem described constitute a condition adverse to quality
(CAQ)? Yes No
If yes, CAQ No
Ochodula den completion of compatitue cation (achodula data 10 to
Schedule for completion of corrective action (schedule date, if known, or
milestone (e.g., BFL1, etc.) BFL1
12/28/87 H.C. Juhuson 12/28/87
Proposed by/Date

### 10. PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:

2. Vendor Internal Wiring Verification	
In accordance with QCP 3.06-2 internal wiring of vendor panels is	
verified/inspected by Quality Control personnel. See attached	
procedure.	
3. Section Instruction Letter (SIL)	
SQA-SIL-5.6 (attached) was issued for inspections/supervisors to	
monitor activities in the plant. The SIL is being revised to be	
discipline specific and in checklist form. Review of vendor suppli	be
equipment will be an attribute for this activity.	$\overline{}$
If additional deficiencies are noticed in the future, additional correc	tive
action programs will be implemented.	
On December 15, 1986 in the Quality Control staff meeting, all managers	
were instructed to document deficiencies noted in vendor components.	
See attached.	

	QUALITY	NUCLEAR P ASSURANCE TION LETTE	STAFF	Document Control  U Plant Manager  U Maintenance Superintendent  U QA Supervisor (#1)  U QEC Supervisor (#8)  U QC Supervisor (#4)		
	SQA-S ONITORIN	IL-5.6 G ACTIVITI	ES	1U QC Coordinators (#5)  1U QS Supervisor (#3)  1U Site Quality Manager (#11)  1U QA Staff Library (#6)  1U QA Staff Secondary Library (#7)  1U QE Supervisor (#2)  1U QI Supervisor (#9)  1U Technical Services Supv. (#10)		
Rev.	R No.	Data	Parisad Page			
TLH	!	Date 3/29/85	Revised Page			
		Tejs 1	;	<del>-</del>		
GVI		1/28/87	ATI	<u>·</u>		
•				<u>'</u>		
	A		MAIN			
• • •	E3 28	<b>U</b>	18 4 93 3 3	1		
•				<u> </u>		
•	•			Prepared by: D. E. Smith		
	•			Submitted by: G. V. Tippens		
				Approved by: D.C. Dinner		
				SQA Supervisor		
•	•			<u>,</u>		
The las	t page o	of this ins	truction is	<u>-</u>		

## Action Tracking Document (CATD)

47

INITIATION	

11. 12. FICATION A	Approved corring implemented.	ECTG PROGRAM MG		fied as satisfact	ori
12.	ND CLOSEOUT	ECTG PROGRAM MG	R:	DAIS:	
		ECTG PROGRAM MG	R:	DAIE:	
				DATE:	
		SRP:		DATE:	
	CONCURRENCE:	CEG-H	1100	DATE: 4/16/	8
		DIRECTOR/MGR:	The in-	DATE: 5/4/E	
				/ TATTACHUEN	
			110 1/2 1/1	Warley 5/20	1.7
				5	
		DEL	TACHE!		
10.	PROPOSED CORE	ECTIVE ACTION PL	AN:		
ECTIVE AC	CION	•			
				7	
		TG PROGRAM MGR:		DATE: 4/6/2	
	CONCURRENCE:			DATE: 03/31/	
7.	PREPARED BY:	NAME M. J. Hu		/X/ATTACHMEN	
	this new pros				
				the implementation	
		Action for			
				7 The new programmed BLN-SDP-3.	
				ment a new standa	
				the Division of Nu	
		ESCRIPTION: $/\overline{X}/$			
		ORGANIZATION: P			
5.	CYTH MO. 90			ATION DATE 03/31/8	7
3. 5.		commended: /_/	Yes /X/ No		

CATC
CAPP 1.0 80400
REV. 0 ATTACHMENT
ATTACHMENT A PG 41

CATD NO. 90402-8LN-01

	7 - BLN-SDP-3.1.1, RC	), "Corrective	Action for Cond	Ltions Adverse
to Qua	ality," was issued	on March 27, 1	987, and fully	implemented on
March	30, 1987.			
		•		
2.				
KI. Note:				tion verification
	audit at BLN. T	The Audit No. i	s BL-A-87-0011.	
		•		
				·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			^	
	•			
			-	•
	orrective action p	lan rely on or nlered work?	take credit for Yes X NO	currently
Does the co	AP BPAUTOUELY COM			
identified	or previously com	(e.g. NRC, SC	R, CAR, Audit,	etc.)
identified If yes list	t parent documents	(e.g. NRC, SC	R, CAR, Audit, (	
If yes list Part I, Se	ection 2.16, R2, "C	Corrective Acti	R, CAR, Audit, (	
Part I, Se	ection 2.16, R2, "Cregrem by March 30, 1987.	(e.g. NRC, SC	on," required in	nplementation of
Part I, Se the CAORAB  Does the pr	ection 2.16, R2, "Gregoements regrem by March 30, 1987. problem described c	(e.g. NRC, SC	on," required in	nplementation of
Part I, Se the CAORAb  Does the pr	ection 2.16, R2, "Cregrem by March 30, 1987.  problem described c	(e.g. NRC, SC	on," required in	nplementation of
Part I, Se the CAORAD  Does the pr Yes  If yes CAQ	ection 2.16, R2, "Creater by March 30, 1987.  problem described creater No X	Corrective Acti	con," required in	nplementation of control of contr
Part I, Se the CAORAb  Does the pr Yes  If yes CAQ  Schedule f	ection 2.16, R2, "3 regrem by March 30, 1987.  problem described c No X  No. N/A	considure a considered acti	on," required in  dition adverse to	nplementation of control of contr
Part I, Se the CAORAb  Does the pr Yes  If yes CAQ  Schedule f	ection 2.16, R2, "Creater by March 30, 1987.  problem described creater No X	considure a considered acti	on," required in  dition adverse to	to quality (CAQ)?
Part I, Se the CAORAb  Does the pr Yes  If yes CAQ  Schedule f	ection 2.16, R2, "3 regrem by March 30, 1987.  problem described c No X  No. N/A	considure a considered acti	on," required in  dition adverse to	nplementation of control of contr

#### ATTACHMENT I

Page 1 of 6

## Action Tracking Document (CATD)

.12

HITLATION				• • •
ITT TATEOR	•		77 Van / 7/ No	
	1.	Imediate Corre	ective Action Required: /Y/ Yes // No	••
	2.	Stop Work Recor	mended: /-/ Yes /X/. No	••
•	3.	CATD No. 804	O2-SQN-01 4. INITIATION DATE 10/6/86  IGANIZATION: SQN-OA Staff	••
•	5.	RESPONSIBLE OR	GANIZATION: SON-OA STREE	•
	6.	PROBLEM DESCRI	PTION: /I/ QR /I/ NQR	<b>-</b> · ·
		Concern XX-85-	-102-010	-
			11 (KAPON 3/20/00) WOOD !!!	
		(nurpose/scope	the "QC Observation Log" use. This form w	4
		The Box	1 dated 3/30/03 but 10 beats	oc oc
1.41		Section Lette	er describing the purpose and scope of the	<u> </u>
		Observation L	or has not been issued as states	<b></b> .
		comments ident	tified in Attachment C.	
			//ATTACHMENTS	_
			10/6/86	
	7.	PREPARED BY:	NAME W. E. BEZERSON	_
	8.	CONCHESTION.	CEC-W R. K. Maxon	_
	9.	APPROVAL: ECT	TG PROGRAM HGR: MUKutoth: DATE: 10-7-86	_
		503 8610	13 833 DATED DEL 23, 1963	=
,			/ / ATTACHHENTS	_
	11.	PROPOSED BY:	DIRECTOR/HGR: Sylver Mucount DATE: plete	
	12.	CONCURRENCE:	CEG-H DETUNE	<u>٧</u> ,
•	14.	CONCORDANGE	SRP: DATE:	_
			ECTG PROGRAM HGR: DATE:	_:
•			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
		AND CLOSSOUT		
VERIFICA	TION	AND CLOSEOUT	1,00	.,
		Accessed acces	rective actions have been verified as satisfactor	•
	13.	WADLOARD ERE		ily
		11		ily
		implemented.		
,		implemented.		
Ÿ		implemented.		
•		implemented.		
,		implemented.		

### RESPONSE TO ECTG ELEMENT EVALUATION REPORT 804.02, SQN CATD NO. 80402-SQN-01

<u>Proposed Corrective Action Plan</u>: This CATD was issued to track the incomplete commitment made in response to Concern XX-85-102-010. Therefore, this response will only report the status of the commitment and will not propose any new corrective action.

On October 11, 1986, the preparation of the draft section letter describing all aspects of the QC Observation was completed. Presently, the draft is being reviewed and should be formally issued by November 28, 1986.