

GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy

Richard E. Kingston
Vice President, ESBWR Licensing

P.O. Box 780 3901 Castle Hayne Road, M/C A-55 Wilmington, NC 28402 USA

T 910.675.6192 F 910.362.6192 rick.kingston@ge.com

MFN 08-773

October 8, 2008

Docket No. 52-010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Subject:

Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 231 Related to the ESBWR Design Certification – SRP 5.4.6 Applicability – RAI Number 5.4-62

The purpose of this letter is to submit the GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy (GEH) responses to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Request for Additional Information (RAI) sent by NRC letter No. 231 (Reference 1). GEH response to RAI Number 5.4-62 is addressed in Enclosure 1. DCD markups associated with this response is provided in Enclosure 2.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Richard E. Kingston

Vice President, ESBWR Licensing

Richard E. Kingston

DO68

Reference:

1. MFN 08-628, Letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Robert E. Brown, GEH, Request For Additional Information Letter No. 231 Related To ESBWR Design Certification Application, dated August 5, 2008

Enclosures:

 Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 231 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application – SRP 5.4.6 Applicability – RAI Number 5.4-62

 Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 231 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application – SRP 5.4.6 Applicability – RAI Number 5.4-62 – DCD Markups

cc: AE Cubbage

USNRC (with enclosures)

RE Brown

GEH/Wilmington (with enclosures)

DH Hinds

GEH/Wilmington (with enclosures)

eDRFs

0000-0091-6126

Enclosure 1

MFN 08-773

Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 231 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application

SRP 5.4.6 Applicability

RAI Number 5.4-62

NRC RAI 5.4-62:

In DCD Tier 2, Table 1.9-5, Summary of Differences from SRP Section 5, SRP Section 5.4.6 is shown as 'Not Applicable to ESBWR', but in DCD Tier 2, Table 1.9-20, NRC SRP and BTP Applicability, Section 5.4.6 is shown as 'Applicable to ESBWR'. SRP 5.4.6 Rev.4 (1996) is applicable to isolation condenser system (ICS) and hence Table 1.9-5 needs to be corrected to show that the SRP 5.4.6 is applicable to ESBWR.

GEH Response:

DCD Tier 2, Table 1.9-5 will be changed to indicate SRP 5.4.6 is applicable to the ESBWR as requested.

DCD Impact:

DCD Tier 2, Table 1.9-5 will be revised as noted on the attached markup.

Table 1.9-5
Summary of Differences from SRP Section 5

SRP Section	Specific SRP Acceptance Criteria	Summary Description of Difference	Subsection Where Discussed
5.2.1.1		None	
5.2.1.2		None	·
5.2.2		None	·
5.2.3	II.3.b.(3)—Reg Guide 1.71, Welding Qualification for Areas of Limited Accessibility.	Alternate position employed.	5.2.3.4
5.2.4	II.1—Inspection of Class 1 pressure-containing components.	Some welds inaccessible for volumetric examination.	5.2.4.2
5.2.5		None	
5.3.1		None	
5.3.2	,	None	
5.3.3		None	
5.4.1.1		Not applicable to the ESBWR	
5.4.2.1		Not applicable to the ESBWR	
5.4.2.2		Not applicable to the ESBWR	
5.4.6	II.1, II.4, II.5, II.6, II.7a, b, c & f, II.8, II.9 and II.10	Not applicable to the ESBWRAs applicable to a passive-design isolation condenser system (ICS) in place of an active-design reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC) system.	5.4.6
	II.2, II.3 and II.7d & e	Not applicable to ESBWR	
5.4.7	Except of RCPB portions for structural integrity, none of the criteria apply.	No safety-related RHR system, the ESBWR uses a nonsafety- related RWCU/SDC system	
5.4.8		None	