



September 24, 2008  
NRC:08:076

Document Control Desk  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

**Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 34, Supplement No. 1**

- Ref. 1: E-mail, Getachew Tesfaye (NRC) to Ronda Pederson, et al (AREVA NP Inc.), "U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 34, FSAR Ch 15" August 1, 2008.  
Ref. 2: E-mail, Ronda Pederson (AREVA NP Inc.) to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 34, FSAR Ch 15" August 29, 2008.

In Reference 1, the NRC provided a request for additional information (RAI) regarding the U.S. EPR design certification application. Technically correct and complete responses to 21 of the 72 questions in RAI No. 34 were provided in Reference 2. The enclosure to this letter provides technically correct and complete responses to 31 of the remaining 51 questions in RAI No. 34.

The enclosed response consists of the following:

Question #	Start Page	End Page
RAI 34—15-8	2	2
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-1	3	4
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-8	5	5
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-11	6	6
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-12	7	7
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-13	8	8
RAI 34—15.01.05-2	9	12
RAI 34—15.01.05-3	13	14
RAI 34—15.01.05-8	15	15
RAI 34—15.01.05-9	16	16
RAI 34—15.01.05-12	17	17
RAI 34—15.02.01-15.02.05-2	18	18
RAI 34—15.02.01-15.02.05-4	19	21
RAI 34—15.02.01-15.02.05-6	22	23
RAI 34—15.02.01-15.02.05-7	24	24
RAI 34—15.02.01-15.02.05-8	25	25
RAI 34—15.02.06-1	26	26
RAI 34—15.02.07-1	27	28
RAI 34—15.02.08-2	29	30

DOTT  
LIRW

RAI 34—15.02.08-3	31	31
RAI 34—15.02.08-5	32	32
RAI 34—15.02.08-6	33	33
RAI 34—15.02.08-7	34	34
RAI 34—15.02.08-8	35	35
RAI 34—15.02.08-10	36	36
RAI 34—15.02.08-14	37	39
RAI 34—15.06.01-1	40	40
RAI 34—15.06.01-3	41	41
RAI 34—15.06.03-1	42	42
RAI 34—15.06.03-2	43	47
RAI 34—15.06.03-4	48	48

The schedule for a technically correct and complete response to the remaining 20 questions in RAI No. 34 is provided below:

<b>Question #</b>	<b>Response Date</b>
RAI 34—15-1	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15-2	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15-3	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15-4	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15-5	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15-6	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15-7	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-5	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.01.01-15.01.04-9	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.01.05-4	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.02.01-15.02.05-1	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.02.08-4	
RAI 34—15.03.03-15.03.04-1	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.03.03-15.03.04-4	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.03.03-15.03.04-5	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.03.03-15.03.04-6	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.03.03-15.03.04-7	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.03.03-15.03.04-8	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.06.01-2	October 30, 2008
RAI 34—15.06.03-3	October 30, 2008

AREVA NP considers some of the material contained in the enclosure to this letter to be proprietary. As required by 10 CFR 2.390(b), an affidavit is enclosed to support the withholding of the information from public disclosure. Proprietary and non-proprietary versions of the enclosure to this letter are provided in the enclosed CDs.

If you have any questions related to this submittal, please contact me at 434-832-2369 or by e-mail at [sandra.sloan@areva.com](mailto:sandra.sloan@areva.com).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sandra M. Sloan". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Sandra M. Sloan, Manager  
New Plants Deployment Regulatory Affairs  
AREVA NP Inc.

Enclosures

cc: J. Rycyna  
G. Tesfaye  
Docket No. 52-020

## AFFIDAVIT

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA                    )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF CAMPBELL                         )

1. My name is Ronda M. Pederson. I am Licensing Manager, U.S. EPR Design Certification, Regulatory Affairs for New Plants Deployment, for AREVA NP Inc. and as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit.

2. I am familiar with the criteria applied by AREVA NP to determine whether certain AREVA NP information is proprietary. I am familiar with the policies established by AREVA NP to ensure the proper application of these criteria.

3. I am familiar with the AREVA NP information contained in "Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 34, Supplement No. 1" and referred to herein as "Document." Information contained in this Document has been classified by AREVA NP as proprietary in accordance with the policies established by AREVA NP for the control and protection of proprietary and confidential information.

4. This Document contains information of a proprietary and confidential nature and is of the type customarily held in confidence by AREVA NP and not made available to the public. Based on my experience, I am aware that other companies regard information of the kind contained in this Document as proprietary and confidential.

5. This Document has been made available to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in confidence with the request that the information contained in this Document be withheld from public disclosure. The request for withholding of proprietary information is made in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390. The information for which withholding from disclosure is

requested qualifies under 10 CFR 2.390(a)(4) "Trade secrets and commercial or financial information."

6. The following criteria are customarily applied by AREVA NP to determine whether information should be classified as proprietary:

- (a) The information reveals details of AREVA NP's research and development plans and programs or their results.
- (b) Use of the information by a competitor would permit the competitor to significantly reduce its expenditures, in time or resources, to design, produce, or market a similar product or service.
- (c) The information includes test data or analytical techniques concerning a process, methodology, or component, the application of which results in a competitive advantage for AREVA NP.
- (d) The information reveals certain distinguishing aspects of a process, methodology, or component, the exclusive use of which provides a competitive advantage for AREVA NP in product optimization or marketability.
- (e) The information is vital to a competitive advantage held by AREVA NP, would be helpful to competitors to AREVA NP, and would likely cause substantial harm to the competitive position of AREVA NP.

The information in the Document is considered proprietary for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 6(b) and 6(c) above.

7. In accordance with AREVA NP's policies governing the protection and control of information, proprietary information contained in this Document has been made available, on a limited basis, to others outside AREVA NP only as required and under suitable agreement providing for nondisclosure and limited use of the information.

8. AREVA NP policy requires that proprietary information be kept in a secured file or area and distributed on a need-to-know basis.

9. The foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Alonda m Bedenro

SUBSCRIBED before me this 24<sup>th</sup>  
day of September, 2008.

Kathleen A. Bennett

Kathleen A. Bennett  
NOTARY PUBLIC, COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA  
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 8/31/2011

