

# SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Providence Hospital 16001 West Nine Mile Rd Southfield, MI 48037		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD LISLE IL 60532	
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008001			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-02022	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-02802-03	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION Aug 19, 2008	

**LICENSEE:**

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

\_\_\_\_\_ non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):

- 4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

### Statement of Corrective Actions

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

TITLE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
LICENSEE			
NRC INSPECTOR	G. Parker		8/19/08

(10-2003)  
10 CFR 2.201

**Docket File Information**  
**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT**  
**AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE <b>Providence Hospital</b> REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>2008-001</b>		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE <b>Region III</b> <b>2443 Warrenville Road</b> <b>Lisle, IL 60532</b>	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) <b>030-02022</b>	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) <b>21-02802-03</b>	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION <b>8/19/08</b>	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED <b>87130</b>	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS <b>03.01-03.07</b>		
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION</b>			
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) <b>2240</b>	2. PRIORITY <b>2</b>	3. LICENSEE CONTACT <b>Allan Fraiber</b>	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER <b>248/849-8622</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: <b>8/2010</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Office _____			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site _____			

**PROGRAM SCOPE**

Licensee is a medical center located in Southfield, Michigan. Licensee has a large nuclear medicine program conducting routine diagnostic, imaging, and therapy procedures. The licensee has five techs who perform approximately 20 procedures per day. This hospital receives its radiopharmaceuticals in the form of generators from Mallinckrodt and occasional doses from Cardinal Health. This hospital also performs approximately two to three iodine therapies per week using encapsulated iodine.

**Performance Observations**

The inspector toured the facilities and interviewed authorized users and staff members. Each appeared knowledgeable in radiation safety and isotope handling techniques. Package receipt procedures were demonstrated for the inspector as well as rad waste handling practices. Independent surveys by the inspector did not detect any abnormal reading and were within the expected range.

The inspector observed the nuclear medicine staff perform injections of radiopharmaceuticals. Techniques employed by the staff demonstrated good handling practices as well as adequate knowledge of radiation safety. During the course of the inspection, no abnormalities were noted.