

07/31/08  
73FR44780

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

13

As of: September 11, 2008  
Received date: Not specified  
Status: Pending Post  
Tracking No. 806fe252  
Comments Due: September 30, 2008  
Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2008-0419

Security and Continued Use of Cesium-137 Chloride Sources and Notice of Public Meeting

**Comment On:** NRC-2008-0419-0001

Request for Comments on the Security and Continued Use of Cesium- 137 Chloride Sources and Notice of Public Meeting

**Document:** NRC-2008-0419-DRAFT-0012

Comment on FR Doc # E8-17545

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Amato Giaccia

**Address:**

269 Campus Drive, CCSR-South 1255  
Stanford, CA, 94305-5152

**Organization:** Stanford Medical School

RECEIVED

2008 SEP 11 AM 11:47

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
ENR/PC

## Comment

Overall, as head of the Division of Radiation Biology at Stanford and in charge of two cesium irradiators, I find this proposal to be unrealistic as it has not considered the cost to society both in tax payer money and its impact on research. These cesium irradiators are work horses and are the most cost effective means of irradiating cells and tissues. Their removal would also involve additional costs of removal and disposal. I believe this proposal is shortsighted and has not sufficiently weighed the cost to benefit of these irradiators.

*SONSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM-013*

*E-RIDS = ADM-03  
Add = J. Jankovich (JF52)*