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### 2.0 Acceptance Criteria

1. A minimum sump pH of 7.0 is required to limit radioactive Iodine $\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ from being released from the irradiated water pool to the containment atmosphere. The higher pH decreases the level of airborne Iodine in containment and reduces the radiological consequences from containment atmosphere leakage following a LOCA. (Reference 1 - B 3.5.5)
2. A maximum sump pH of 8.0 is required to prevent excessive corrosion of materials in the containment building, especially the dissolution of Aluminum which could lead to the formation of chemical precipitates that could increase the head loss of the sump strainers (References 25 and 26).

### 3.0 Summary of Results

The analysis calculates that $13,448 \mathrm{lb}$ of Borax Decahydrate is the minimum mass required to raise the containment sump pH following a LOCA to 7.0. This result was obtained for an accident scenario that injects the maximum possible concentration of boric acid into the containment building with the maximum possible amount of water. The resultant concentration of buffer solution using $13,750 \mathrm{lb}$ of Borax Decahydrate in an alternate accident scenario that injects the minimum possible concentration of boric acid delivered by the minimum possible amount of water into containment was determined to produce a final pH of approximately 7.6. The addition of margin to the calculated required minimum mass ( 13,448 increased to $13,750 \mathrm{lb}$ ) provides reasonable conservatism for potential minor changes in plant design. Therefore, it is concluded that $13,750 \mathrm{lb}$ of buffer mass satisfies the acceptance criteria for this evaluation.

It should be noted that the equilibrium pH calculation assumes $100 \%$ chemical assay of buffer material present in the containment basement. Plant personnel must determine the chemical assay of buffer material that is to be placed in containment baskets and adjust the mass accordingly. Therefore, the amount of $100 \%$ Borax Decahydrate that conservatively meets the criteria for pH control is $13,750 \mathrm{lb}$.

The pH variation as a function of time during the recirculation phase was modeled as a pH variation as a function of sump water temperature. The effect of temperature on the pH of the containment sump water was evaluated using the complete dissolution of the required mass of buffer. The analysis shows that the effect of temperature is not very significant. As calculated, the decrease in temperature from $194^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ( 1 hour after the accident) to $125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ( 30 days after the accident) results in a drop in pH from 7.12 to 7.05 for the highest boric acid case, and 7.61 to 7.60 for the lowest boric acid case.

The calculation determines that the effect of nitric and hydrochloric acids, generated by radiolysis, on the pH or the mass of buffer needed is negligible. Table 8-1 indicates that approximately $3 \%$ of the borax decahydrate mass will be used to neutralize the strong acids.

### 4.0 BACKGROUND

In the event of a Loss of Coolant Accident at Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, cooling of the reactor core will be provided by the Safety Injection System (SIS). During the injection mode, the High Pressure Safety Injection (HPSI) pumps and Low Pressure Safety Injection (LPSI) pumps start automatically and take suction from the Refueling Water Tank (RWT). The Containment Spray (CS) pumps will also take suction from the RWT. Coolant lost from the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) rupture will drain to the containment sump and mix with the borated spray water. When the borated water level in the RWT reaches the Recirculation Actuation Signal (RAS) setpoint, the two containment sump isolation valves will open and the LPSI pumps will shut down. As a result, the SIS continues to operate in the recirculation mode with the HPSI and CS pumps taking suction from the containment sump through a set of sump strainers that filter any large pieces of debris.

The water in the RWT contains dissolved boric acid which yields a solution with a pH of approximately 5.0 at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. When the alkaline reactor coolant from the break mixes with spray water, the resulting solution will have a pH of 5.05 (Reference 2 - Section 6.4). The acidic containment spray water will contact most surfaces in the containment building, including the equipment, which will make the metals susceptible to chloride stress corrosion cracking. Furthermore, at low pH levels Iodine may come out of solution as Iodine gas, and eventually be released to the environment, resulting in increased off site radiation exposure (Reference 3 ).

To achieve passive pH control, CCNPP currently uses trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate (TSP) stored in baskets placed in the containment basement. As the water level in the containment pool rises, the TSP dissolves and flows out of the stainless steel mesh screens on the sides of baskets. Mixing will be achieved as the fluid is recirculated. The TSP increases the pH to greater than or equal to 7.0 .
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Calculation No.
The following assumptions are made in this analysis:

1. All chemical species dissolved in the containment sump solution are assumed to be in
equilibrium. Therefore, the results for the mass of NaTB required to achieve the target
pH are applicable for steady state conditions.
2. The contribution of Hydriodic acid (HI) to lowering the pH of the containment sump pool
is assumed to be negligible. Reference 3, Section 2.2 .2 states that the total amount of
iodine in containment would be of the order of 100 moles with roughly $5 \%$ given off as
HI. Because of this expected low concentration for iodine ions, they are not accounted
for in this analysis.
3. The contribution of Cesium Iodide (CsI) to the containment pool buffer chemistry is
assumed to be negligible. Reference 6 , Section 3.5 states that $95 \%$ of the iodine released
should be assumed to be CsI. End-of-Cycle Total Integrated Dose information for Iodine
is given in Reference 27 as 14260 grams, or approximately 112 moles. This is
comparable to the estimated value given in Assumption 2 . Hence, $95 \%$ of 112 moles
does not yield a significant concentration for iodine or cesium ions in the sump water
volume.
4. In a dilute aqueous solution, the addition of a solute makes a negligible change in the
volume of the solution. Therefore, in this calculation molality and molarity are assumed
to be equivalent. The units for molarity are moles of solute per liter of solution, denoted
as 'M'.
5. The piping between the various tanks (namely SIT, BAST, and RWT) and the RCS is
assumed to hold a negligible amount of water compared to the storage capacity of the
tanks.
6. The total liquid mass in the containment sump at the start of recirculation is determined
by adding the amount of water provided by the following sources:
a. Reactor Coolant System (RCS)
b. Pressurizer Water Volume
c. Safety Injection Tanks (SIT)
d. Boric Acid Storage Tanks (BAST)
e. Refueling Water Tank (RWT)
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7. The amount of water in the containment atmosphere is assumed to remain unchanged before and after the accident and thus will not contribute to the liquid mass delivered to the containment sump. This is conservative since the relative humidity inside the containment building will be higher following a LOCA.
8. The initial amount of water in the containment sump is assumed to be 50 gallons from condensation and unidentified leaking water from pipes. This value is higher than the sump level alarm setpoint of 49 gallons (Reference 2, Section 4.3.3). This water is conservatively assumed to be at 0 psig and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, which is below the maximum average ambient air temperature ( $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ according to Design Input 23 of Reference 13-part 2). Additionally, this water is assumed to have the same limits of Boron concentration as the RWT (Input 15).
9. The Boron concentration of the water held by the RCS and the Pressurizer is assumed to be the same.
10. The water volume of the condensed steam in the Pressurizer is assumed to be negligible compared to the other water sources.
11. The temperature of the sump water between 19 and 30 days following the accident is linearly extrapolated from the temperature at times less than 19 days provided as design input (Reference 22). The containment pressure at 30 days is assumed to be atmospheric.
12. During the recirculation phase, the containment sump fluid is assumed to be fully mixed with the buffer solution.
13. The pH of the sump water is assumed to be influenced only by the chemistry of boric acid, nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, and borax decahydrate.

## $6.0 \quad$ INPUT

1. The approximate effective ionic radii of Hydrogen and Hydroxide ions, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, are taken from Reference 7, Table 8.2 in aqueous solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The following values are used in the computation of the ionic activity coefficient: $\mathrm{aH}^{+}=9.0$ Angstrom, $\mathrm{aOH}^{-}=3.5$ Angstrom.
2. The individual ionic activity coefficient, $\gamma$, is estimated from the following equation of the Debye-Hückel theory (Reference 7, Section 8.1):

$$
-\log \gamma_{i}=\frac{A z_{i}^{2} \sqrt{I}}{1+B a_{i} \sqrt{I}}
$$
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where $A$ and $B$ are the Debye-Hückel constants, $z$ is the ionic charge, $a$ is the effective ionic radius, and $I$ is the ionic strength of the solution.
3. The constants ' A ' and ' B ' for the Debye-Hückel equation from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are taken from Reference 7, Table 8-3.

| ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.4918 | 0.3248 |
| 5 | 0.4952 | 0.3256 |
| 10 | 0.4989 | 0.3264 |
| 15 | 0.5028 | 0.3273 |
| 20 | 0.5070 | 0.3282 |
| 25 | 0.5115 | 0.3291 |
| 30 | 0.5161 | 0.3301 |
| 35 | 0.5211 | 0.3312 |
| 40 | 0.5262 | 0.3323 |
| 45 | 0.5317 | 0.3334 |
| 50 | 0.5373 | 0.3346 |
| 55 | 0.5432 | 0.3358 |
| 60 | 0.5494 | 0.3371 |
| 65 | 0.5558 | 0.3384 |
| 70 | 0.5625 | 0.3397 |
| 75 | 0.5695 | 0.3411 |
| 80 | 0.5767 | 0.3426 |
| 85 | 0.5842 | 0.3440 |
| 90 | 0.5920 | 0.3456 |
| 95 | 0.6001 | 0.3471 |
| 100 | 0.6086 | 0.3488 |

4. The ionic strength of the solution, ' I ', is defined as the summation of the product molarity ' $C$ ' times ionic charge ' $z$ ' squared for all the ionic species present in the solution (Reference 12, Chapter 6):

$$
I=0.5 \sum_{i}^{n} C_{i} z_{i}^{2}
$$
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5. The ionic product constant of water ' $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ' as a function of temperature is taken from Reference 7, Table 8.5. By definition, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=10^{(-\mathrm{pKw})}$.

| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 14.938 |
| 5 | 14.727 |
| 10 | 14.528 |
| 15 | 14.340 |
| 18 | 14.233 |
| 20 | 14.163 |
| 25 | 13.995 |
| 30 | 13.836 |
| 35 | 13.685 |
| 40 | 13.542 |
| 45 | 13.405 |
| 50 | 13.275 |
| 55 | 13.152 |
| 60 | 13.034 |
| 65 | 12.921 |
| 70 | 12.814 |
| 75 | 12.711 |
| 80 | 12.613 |
| 85 | 12.520 |
| 90 | 12.431 |
| 95 | 12.345 |
| 100 | 12.264 |
| 125 | 11.911 |
| 150 | 11.637 |
| 175 | 11.431 |
| 200 | 11.288 |
| 225 | 11.207 |
| 250 | 11.192 |
| 275 | 11.251 |
| 300 | 11.406 |
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6. The molar weights of compounds used in the calculation are given below (Reference 7 for atomic weights):
a. Boron: $\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}}=10.81 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. Boric Acid: $\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}=61.83 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
c. Borax Decahydrate: $\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=381.37 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
7. The density of pure water as a function of temperature and pressure is taken from Reference 8 . The following values are used in the calculation.

| ${ }^{\circ}$ F | Pressure <br> (psia) | $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{w}$ (lb/ft $\left.{ }^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 14.7 | 62.43 |
| 70 | 14.7 | 62.31 |
| 77 | 14.7 | 62.25 |
| 100 | 14.7 | 62.00 |
| 120 | 14.7 | 61.71 |
| 125 | 14.7 | 61.63 |
| 196 | 14.7 | 60.20 |
| 103.5 | 29.7 | 61.96 |
| 115 | 29.7 | 61.79 |
| 120 | 264.7 | 61.76 |
| 200 | 2250 | 60.55 |

8. The density of borated water is approximated using the following expression from

Reference 9 , which incorporates the effect of boric acid concentration ' $\mathrm{C}_{\text {boric }}$ ' on water density. $\mathrm{C}_{\text {boric }}$ is evaluated as the ratio of mass of boric acid to mass of fluid:

$$
\rho\left(T, C_{\text {boric }}\right)=\rho_{w}(T)+315 C_{\text {boric }}+100 C_{\text {boric }}^{2}
$$

where $\rho$ is the density of borated water, $\rho_{\mathrm{w}}$ is the density of pure water in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and T is the temperature. Converting to $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ :

$$
\rho\left(T, C_{\text {boric }}\right)=\rho_{w}(T)+19.665 C_{\text {boric }}+6.243 C_{\text {boric }}^{2}
$$

9. The total gamma dose at the surface of the sump is taken from Reference 29. This value will be used in the calculation of nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ produced from irradiation of water:

$$
\gamma_{\text {dose }}=16.84 \mathrm{MegaRad}
$$

10. The total gamma and beta doses at the surface of the iodine removal filter are taken from Reference 29. This value will be used in the calculation of the hydrochloric acid ( HCl ) produced from irradiation of Hypalon cable in containment:

$$
\gamma \beta_{\text {dose }}=567.63 \mathrm{MegaRad}
$$

11. The mass of chloride bearing cable that contributes to the formation of HCl is taken from Reference 10 as $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cable }}=550 \mathrm{Kg}$ or approximately 1213 Lb . This mass is the maximum for the two units at Calvert Cliffs.
12. The nitric acid generation rate is from Reference 3, Section 2.2.4. The generation rate in moles of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is a function of the total gamma dose and volume of sump water:

$$
G_{H N O 3}=7.3 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{MegaRad}} \cdot \gamma_{\text {dose }}
$$

13. The hydrochloric acid generation rate is from Reference 3, Section 2.2.5.2. The generation rate in moles of HCl is a function of the total gamma and beta doses and mass of electrical cable exposed to radiation:

$$
G_{H C l}=4.6 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{lb} \cdot \text { MegaRad }} \cdot \gamma \beta_{\text {dose }}
$$

14. The molal equilibrium quotients ' $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}$ ' for boric acid-borate dissociation schemes are taken from Reference 13, page A-8, and reproduced below as a function of Temperature ' T ' in units of Kelvin, and Ionic strength ' I ' in units of mole/L:
a. $\quad \log Q_{1,1}=\frac{1573.21}{T}+28.6059+0.012078 \cdot \mathrm{~T}-13.2258 \cdot \log (\mathrm{~T})+f(I)$
b. $\quad \log Q_{2,1}=\frac{2756.1}{\mathrm{~T}}-19.1998+0.00033 \cdot \mathrm{~T}+5.835 \cdot \log (\mathrm{~T})+f(\mathrm{I})$
c. $\log Q_{3,1}=\frac{3339.5}{\mathrm{~T}}-8.3178+0.00033 \cdot \mathrm{~T}+1.497 \cdot \log (\mathrm{~T})+f(\mathrm{I})$
d. $\quad \log Q_{4,2}=\frac{12820}{\mathrm{~T}}-134.7938+0.00033 \cdot \mathrm{~T}+42.105 \cdot \log (\mathrm{~T})+f(\mathrm{I})$
where $f(I)=(0.325-0.00033 \cdot \mathrm{~T}) \cdot \mathrm{I}-0.0912 \cdot \mathrm{I}^{1.5}$
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15. The minimum RWT Boron concentration considered in this calculation is 2300 ppm , while the maximum RWT Boron concentration is 2700 ppm (Reference 14 - SR 3.5.4.4).
16. The minimum SIT Boron concentration considered in this calculation is 2300 ppm , while the maximum SIT Boron concentration is 2700 ppm (Reference 14 - SR 3.5.1.4).
17. The minimum BAST Boric Acid concentration considered in this calculation is $6.25 \%$ by weight. The maximum BAST Boric Acid concentration is $8 \%$ by weight (Reference 20).
18. The minimum RCS coolant Boron concentration is 0 ppm (towards the end of the fuel cycle). The maximum RCS coolant Boron concentration is 2700 ppm , based on limit for Boron precipitation in the core following a LOCA (Reference 1 - B 3.5.4).
19. The minimum RWT berated water volume that is injected to the containment sump is 360,000 gallons (Reference 2, Section 6.4.2). The maximum RWT borate water available for injection is taken as 420,000 gallons, which is equivalent to the total tank volume (Reference 2, Table 6-4).
20. The minimum SIT borate water volume considered in this calculation is $1113 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, while the maximum SIT borate water volume is $1179 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ in each tank (Reference $14-$ SR 3.5.1.2). There are four SITs at CCNPP (Reference 31 - Input 3.1).
21. The maximum BAST borate water volume per tank is taken as $1270 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ (Reference 13 Design Input 3 of part 2). The minimum BAST borated water volume is calculated based on the minimum tank level given in Figure 15.1.2-1 of Reference 21 as 107 inches (at 8 w.t. \%). The maximum tank level is given as 130 inches (at 6.25 wt. \%). Therefore, the minimum BAST liquid volume is $1270 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \times(107 / 130)=1045 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$. There are two BAST at CCNPP.
22. The RCS water volume excluding the Pressurizer is $9576 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ (Reference 2, Table 4-1).
23. The minimum Pressurizer water volume considered in this calculation is $600 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, while the maximum Pressurizer water volume is $800 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ (Reference 2, Table 4-7).
24. The minimum temperature of the RWT is $40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, while the maximum temperature is $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Reference 1 - B 3.5.4). Atmospheric pressure (Reference 2, Table 6-4) will be used to evaluate the density of water at those temperature extremes.
25. The operating temperature of $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and the design pressure of 250 psig for the SIT will be used to evaluate the density of water in the SITs (Reference 2, Table 6-3).
26. The temperature limits of water in the BAST are from Reference 21, Figure 15.1.2-1. The minimum temperature in the BAST is $103.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (at 8 w.t. \%), and maximum temperature is
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$115^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (at 6.25 w.t. \%). The design pressure of 15 psig will be used to evaluate the density of water at those temperature extremes (Reference 13 - Design Input 4 of part 2).
27. The RCS normal operating pressure of 2250 psia (Reference 2, Table 4-1) will be used to evaluate the density of coolant at the lowest average coolant temperature of $200^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to cover Operational Modes 1 to 4 (Reference 13 - Design Input 19).
28. The Pressurizer normal operating pressure of 2250 psia (Reference 2, Table 4-7) will be used to evaluate the density of coolant at the lowest average coolant temperature of $200^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Reference 13 - Design Input 19).
29. The sump water temperature as a function of time following the LOCA is extracted from Reference 22. The input temperatures are graphically shown in Appendix G.
30. In accordance with Reference 29, the Boric Acid Storage Tanks are isolated for the calculation of the lowest possible Boron concentration case in the containment post LOCA sump. This is a conservative approach.

### 7.0 Methodology

The solubility of boric acid in water has been studied extensively in the literature. At very low concentrations ( $\leq 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ ), only the mononuclear species produced by the following reaction were found (Reference 15, p. 297):

$$
\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

In relatively concentrated solutions ( $\geq 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ ), such as the boric acid concentration expected at CCNPP following a LOCA with several thousand ppm Boron concentration sump water, polymeric ions (Borates containing $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{OH})_{y}$ where $\mathrm{x}>1$ ) from the acceptance of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions by $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ are formed. The determination of the exact structure of those polynuclear species of Boron has been the subject of several experiments (References 11, 16, 17, 18, and 19). All of those studies present data and analyses that support the formation of polyborate ions. Moreover, the data presented in Reference 11 was collected at three different temperatures ( $50^{\circ}, 100^{\circ}$, and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). This calculation uses the dissociation schemes identified in Reference 11. A verification of the method was performed by comparing pH predictions with the Reference 11 dissociation scheme to the pH of buffered boric acid/borax solutions from the literature. The results of the comparison are given in Appendix A.
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### 7.1 Boric Acid and Borax Equilibria

The dissolution of Borax Decahydrate whose molecular formula is $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, releases two positively charged Sodium ions, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, and one doubly negatively charged Tetraborate ion into the solution. However, the tetraborate ion will break down to produce the mononuclear ion $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}$, and at equilibrium will only be present to a minute extent (References 15 and 17). Therefore, the boric acid/borax equilibrium equations can be written in the following scheme. The subscripts on the equilibrium quotients $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}}$ satisfy the formation of borate ions of the form $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{OH})_{3 x+y}{ }^{-y}$. The brackets [ ] denote the equilibrium concentration in mole/L of a given species, so the quotients are assigned based on the thermodynamic equilibrium relationship (Reference 28, pg. 77):

Main Reactions Scheme (Reference 11) - Steps 1, 2, and 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-} \\
& Q_{1,1}=\frac{\left[B(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}\right]}{\left[B\left(\mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]} \\
& 2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{7}^{-} \\
& Q_{2,1}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{7}^{-}\right]}{\left[B\left(\mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]} \\
& 3 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{B}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{10}^{-} \\
& Q_{3,1}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{10}^{-}\right]}{\left[B\left(\mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4 - Option I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 B(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow B_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{14}^{-2} \\
& \qquad Q_{4,2}=\frac{\left[B_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{14}^{-2}\right]}{\left[B\left(\mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)\right]^{4}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4 - Option II

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow B_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{18}^{-3} \\
& \qquad Q_{5,3}=\frac{\left[B_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{18}^{-3}\right]}{\left[B\left(\mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)\right]^{5}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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According to Reference 11 , the contribution of the $(4,2)$ and the $(5,3)$ species are so similar that replacement of one by the other for modeling the fourth dissociation step has no significant impact on the formation quotients of the other species. This analysis relies on the equilibrium quotients given in Input 14 for the Option I scheme. Furthermore, the methodology is validated in Appendix A, and it is demonstrated that the dissociation scheme with Option I gives very good results in modeling the measured pH values when compared to experimental data. Thus, the calculation of the NaTB mass required to achieve the target pH at CCNPP is conducted using the Option I scheme.

### 7.2 Containment Sump Water Mass

Table 7-1 below summarizes the different borated water sources identified in the Input Section, their corresponding volumes, temperatures, pressure, and Boron concentrations. Note that only the operating temperature was available for the SIT, and only one volume was considered for the RES.

The computation of the BAST content of Boron in ppm is obtained from the weight \% of boric acid concentration as such:

Boron $(\mathrm{ppm})=$ Mass of Boron $/$ Mass of Liquid $\times 10^{6}=\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}} \times$ w.t. $\% \times 10^{4}$,
where wit. $\%=6.25$ or 8.0 (Input 17). Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { BAST_MinBoron }_{\mathrm{ppm}}:=\frac{10.81 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~mol}}}{61.83 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~mol}}} \cdot 6.25 \cdot 10^{4} \\
& \text { BAST_MaxBoron }_{\mathrm{ppm}}:=\frac{10.81 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~mol}}}{61.83 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~mol}}} \cdot 8.0 \cdot 10^{4} \\
& \text { BAST_MinBoron }_{\mathrm{ppm}}=10927 \\
&
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\text { BAST_MaxBoron }_{\mathrm{ppm}}=13987
\end{array}
$$

The above Boron concentrations are inserted into Table 7-1.

| NHDP |  |  |  |  |  | PR Associates, Inc. 20 King Street exandria, VA 22314 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculation No. } \\ & 0090-0148-01 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prepared By } \\ \text { Maswo Charrous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Page: 19 <br> vision: 3 |
| Table 7-1. Containment Sump Water Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | Minimum Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ ) | Maximum Volume (ft ${ }^{3}$ ) | > Minimum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) | Maximum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ}$ F) | Pressure (psia) | Minimum Boron (ppm) | Maximum Boron (ppm) |
| RWT | 48125 | 56146 | 40 | 100 | 14.7 | 2300 | 2700 |
| SIT | 1113 | 1179 | 120 | 120 | 264.7 | 2300 | 2700 |
| BAST | 1045 | 1270 | 103.5 | 115 | 29.7 | 10927 | 13987 |
| RCS | 9576 | 9576 | 200 | 200 | 2250 | 0 | 2700 |
| Pressurizer | 600 | 800 | 200 | 200 | 2250 | 0 | 2700 |
| Initial Inventory | 6.68 | 6.68 | 70 | 120 | 14.7 | 2300 | 2700 |

Note: Minimum and maximum volumes are given per each tank for SIT and BAST.
In the following evaluations, the tabulated input from Table 7-1 along with the minimum density of fluid (at maximum temperature), and maximum density (at minimum temperature) based on the corresponding Boron concentration is used to calculate the limiting sump water mass and total Boron content. Furthermore, water sources that will be used or isolated in the most limiting cases are incorporated in the analysis.

## INPUT

$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {Boron }}:=10.81 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{mol}} \quad \quad \mathrm{MW}_{\text {BoricAcid }}:=61.83 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{mol}} \quad \quad \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}:=381.37 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{mol}}$

$$
\rho_{w}:=61.63 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}
$$

Pure Water Density at 125 F \& 14.7 psia (lower bound temperature for long term cooling)

Water $_{\text {src }}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { "RWT" } \\ \text { "SIT" } \\ \text { "BAST" } \\ \text { "RCS" } \\ \text { "Pressurizer" } \\ \text { "Initial Inventory" }\end{array}\right) \quad$ MinWater $_{\text {vol }}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}48125 \\ 1113 \\ 1045 \\ 9576 \\ 600 \\ 6.68\end{array}\right) \mathrm{ft}^{3} \quad$ MaxWater $_{\text {vol }}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}56146 \\ 1179 \\ 1270 \\ 9576 \\ 800 \\ 6.68\end{array}\right) \mathrm{ft}^{3}$

MPR Associates, Inc.
320 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Calculation No.
0090-0148-01


Page: 20
Revision: 3
at max. temperature
(Input 7)
MinPureWater $_{\rho}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}62.00 \\ 61.76 \\ 61.79 \\ 60.55 \\ 60.55 \\ 61.71\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}$

$$
\text { MinBoron }_{\mathrm{ppm}}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2300 \\
2300 \\
10927 \\
0 \\
0 \\
2300
\end{array}\right)
$$

at min. temperature
(Input 7)
MaxPureWater $_{\rho}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}62.43 \\ 61.76 \\ 61.96 \\ 60.55 \\ 60.55 \\ 62.31\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}$
MaxBoron $_{\text {ppm }}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}2700 \\ 2700 \\ 13987 \\ 2700 \\ 2700 \\ 2700\end{array}\right)$

The isolation of BASTs is considered a
Single Point Failure. This gives the lower bound for sump Boron concentration
(See Assumption 5 \& Input 30).

## COMPUTE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MaxAcidMassRatio }:=\frac{\mathrm{MW}_{\text {BoricAcid }}}{\mathrm{MW}_{\text {Boron }}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\text { MaxBoron }} \mathrm{ppm} \cdot 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { MinAcidMassRatio }=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.0132 \\
0.0132 \\
0.0625 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0.0132
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { MaxAcidMassRatio }=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.0154 \\
0.0154 \\
0.08 \\
0.0154 \\
0.0154 \\
0.0154
\end{array}\right)
$$
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Borated Water Density as a function of Boric Acid Mass Ratio (Input 8):
MinBWater $_{\rho}:=$ MinPureWater $\left._{\rho}+\left(\overline{\left(19.665 \text { MinAcidMassRatio }+6.243 \text { MinAcidMassRatio }{ }^{2}\right.}\right)\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}$
MaxBWater $_{\rho}:=$ MaxPureWater $_{\rho}+\left(\overline{\left(\text { 19.665MaxAcidMassRatio }+6.243 \text { MaxAcidMassRatio }^{2}\right)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{bb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}$

$$
\text { MinBWater }_{\rho}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
62.26 \\
62.02 \\
63.04 \\
60.55 \\
60.55 \\
61.97
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}} \quad \text { MaxBWater }_{\rho}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
62.74 \\
62.07 \\
63.57 \\
60.86 \\
60.86 \\
62.62
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}
$$

MinWater $_{\text {mass }}:=\overrightarrow{\left({\left.\text { MinFactor } \cdot \text { MinWater }_{\text {vol }} \cdot \text { MinBWater }_{\rho}\right)}\right.}$
MaxWater $_{\text {mass }}:=\overline{\left({\left.\text { MaxFactor } \cdot \text { MaxWater }_{\text {vol }} \cdot \text { MaxBWater }_{\rho}\right)}\right.}=\$$.

$$
\text { MinWater mass }=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2996252 \\
276112 \\
0 \\
579827 \\
36330 \\
414
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{lb} \quad \text { MaxWater mass }=\left(\begin{array}{c}
3522329 \\
292699 \\
161476 \\
582749 \\
48684 \\
418
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{lb}
$$

Total Sump Water Mass:
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### 7.3 Containment Sump Boron and Boric Acid Concentrations

Based on the calculated individual contribution from each borated water source, the collective containment sump Boron concentration can be calculated as:
$C_{\text {sump }}=\frac{\sum_{i} C_{i} M_{i}}{\sum_{i} M_{i}}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the Boron concentration, and water mass from each
source. Given, the possibility for accident scenarios that generate a combination of minimum Boron/minimum Liquid, minimum Boron/maximum Liquid, maximum Boron/minimum Liquid, or maximum Boron/maximum Liquid, four collective containment sump Boron concentrations are analyzed to determine the range of expected boric acid concentrations that will influence the pH calculation.

Calculate Boron Mass in each Case from each source:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Camel mas }:=\overline{(\text { MinBoron }} \mathrm{ppm} \cdot \text { MinWater mass }) \cdot 10^{-6} \\
& \text { CaseIn Brass }:=\overline{(\text { MinBoron }} \overline{\mathrm{ppm}} \cdot \mathbf{M a x W a t e r} \underset{\text { mass }}{\longrightarrow}) \cdot 10^{-6} \\
& \text { CaseIII Brass }:=\overline{(\text { MaxBoron }} \overline{\mathrm{ppm}} \cdot \overline{\text { MinWater }} \text { mass }) \cdot 10^{-6} \\
& \text { CaseIn mas } \left.:=\overline{(\text { MaxBoron }} \overline{\mathrm{ppm}} \cdot \text { MaxWater }_{\text {mass }}\right) \cdot 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Case I Amass }=\left(\begin{array}{c}
6891 \\
635 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{lb} \quad \text { CaseII Amass }^{\text {(b }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
8101 \\
673 \\
1764 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{lb} \\
& \text { CaseIII }_{\text {Bass }} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
8090 \\
746 \\
0 \\
1566 \\
98 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{lb} \quad \text { CaseIV }_{\text {Bass }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
9510 \\
790 \\
2259 \\
1573 \\
131 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { CaseI } \mathrm{ppm}=1936
$$

$$
\text { CaseIII }_{\mathrm{ppm}}=2700
$$


CaseII $_{\mathrm{ppm}}=2287$

CaseIV $_{\text {ppm }}=3095$

Therefore, in summary:
Case I: Minimum Boron concentration delivered via minimum water mass:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {sump }}=1936 \mathrm{ppm} .
$$

Case II: Minimum Boron concentration delivered via maximum water mass:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {sump }}=2287 \mathrm{ppm} .
$$

Case III: Maximum Boron concentration delivered via minimum water mass:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {sump }}=2700 \mathrm{ppm} .
$$

Case IV: Maximum Boron concentration delivered via maximum water mass:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {sump }}=3095 \mathrm{ppm} .
$$

Case V: Design Basis for TSP Buffer (Reference 13, considered here for comparison):

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {sump }}=3105.5 \mathrm{ppm} .
$$

Consequently, the two extremes for Boron concentration (Case I \& Case IV), and the current licensing case for TSP (Case V) are used to calculate the un-dissociated Boric acid concentrations which will be used in the pH buffer calculation.
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Converting from Boron ppm , the concentration of boric acid in moles $/ \mathrm{L}$ is:
$\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{0}=\left(\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}} / \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}} \times\right.$ Boron $\left._{\mathrm{ppm}} \times 10^{-6}\right) \times$ Mass of Liquid $/ \mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}} \times$ Density of Liquid / Mass of Liquid. Grouping the limiting cases, we get:

LimitingCases $_{\text {ppm }}:=\binom{$ CaseI $_{\text {ppm }}}{$ CaseIV $_{\text {ppm }}}$
Calculate corresponding Boric acid mass ratio

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {boric_acid }}:=\frac{\mathrm{MW}_{\text {BoricAcid }}}{\mathrm{MW}_{\text {Boron }}} \cdot \overline{\text { LimitingCases }}_{\mathrm{ppm}} \cdot 10^{-6}
$$

$$
C_{\text {boric_acid }}=\binom{0.0111}{0.0177}
$$

For conservatism, the long term cooling density is used

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho:=\rho_{\mathrm{w}}+\left(\overline{\left(19.665 \mathrm{C}_{\text {boric_acid }}+6.243 \mathrm{C}_{\text {boric_acid }}\right)}\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}} \\
& \quad \rho=\binom{61.848}{61.98} \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Un-dissociated Boric acid concentration

$$
\left.\mathrm{BOH} 3:=\left(\overline{\left(\frac{\rho}{\mathrm{MW}}\right. \text { Boron }} \cdot \text { LimitingCases } \mathrm{ppm}\right)\right) \cdot 10^{-6}
$$

$$
\mathrm{BOH} 3=\binom{0.1774}{0.2843} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$



### 7.4 Acids Produced by Radiolysis

Based on Input 9 and 12, the amount of Nitric acid produced as NO3 is calculated for Case I and IV. Similarly, based on Input 10, 11, and 13 the amount of Hydrochloric acid as $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is calculated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{\text {dose }}:=16.84 \\
& \gamma \beta_{\text {dose }}:=567.63 \\
& \text { RadWater mass }:=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\sum \text { MinWater mass }_{\sum_{\text {MaxWater }}^{\text {mass }}}\right) \\
\text { Cable mass }:=1213 \mathrm{lb} \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{HNO}}:=7.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \cdot \frac{\overline{\text { RadWater mass }}}{\rho} \cdot \gamma_{\text {dose }} \\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{HCl}}:=4.6 \cdot 10^{-4} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{lb}} \cdot \text { Cable mass } \cdot \gamma \beta_{\text {dose }}
\end{array}\right. \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{HNO} 3}=\binom{218.9}{258.8} \mathrm{~mol}
$$

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{HCl}}=316.726 \mathrm{~mol}
$$

Concentration quantities considering the volume of the water are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{HCl}:=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{HCl}}}{\frac{\text { RadWater }_{\text {mass }}}{\rho}} & \mathrm{HNO} 3:=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{HNO} 3}}}{\frac{\text { RadWater }_{\text {mass }}}{\rho}} \\
\mathrm{HCl}=\binom{1.779 \times 10^{-4}}{1.504 \times 10^{-4}} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} & \mathrm{HNO}=\binom{1.229 \times 10^{-4}}{1.229 \times 10^{-4}} \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{array}
$$
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### 7.5 System of Equations

The analysis of the boric acid/borax dissolution and dissociation mechanism is governed by three independent equations which relate the concentrations of the different species in the solution to the pH . The following sections describe the setup of the analytical equations.

### 7.5.1 Mass Balance

The mass balance for the ionic species is one of the conservation laws that the buffered solution must satisfy (Reference 12, Section 1.5). The dissociation of the initial molecules of boric acid and borax decahydrate produces several ions as discussed in Section 7.1. Therefore, the sum of concentrations of all species of Boron at equilibrium must equal the initial concentration of Boron supplied by both boric acid and sodium tetraborate decahydrate:

$$
\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{\mathrm{o}}+4\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{0}=\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]+2\left[\mathrm{~B}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{7}^{-}\right]+
$$

$3\left[\mathrm{~B}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{10}{ }^{-}\right]+4\left[\mathrm{~B}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{14}{ }^{-2}\right]$.
The left-hand side terms are known from the initial concentration of boric acid in the sump, and the mass of borax decahydrate which is a parameter. The terms on the right-hand side are substituted with their corresponding equilibrium quotient expressions, so that only the term $\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]$ remains in the equation. The substitution involves the following relationships from Section 7.1:
$\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}\right]=\mathrm{Q}_{1,1}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]$,
$\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{7}{ }^{-}\right]=\mathrm{Q}_{2,1}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{2}$,
$\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{10}{ }^{-}\right]=\mathrm{Q}_{3,1}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{3}$,
$\left[\mathrm{B}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{14}{ }^{-2}\right]=\mathrm{Q}_{4,2}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]^{4}$.

### 7.5.2 Charge Balance

The charge balance for the ionic species is the second conservation law that the buffered solution must satisfy (Reference 12, Section 1.5). Therefore, electroneutrality of the solution as a whole requires that the sum of all the positive and negative charges add up to zero as such:
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{7}{ }^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}(\mathrm{OH}) 10{ }^{-}\right]+2\left[\mathrm{~B}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{14}{ }^{-2}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{NO} 3^{-}\right]$
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The concentration of sodium ions is taken to be constant and is determined as twice the concentration of sodium tetraborate decahydrate, since there are two sodium atoms per molecule of sodium tetraborate decahydrate. The concentrations of hydrogen and hydroxide ions are covered in Section 7.5.4 and 7.5.5.

### 7.5.3 Ionic Strength Balance

The ionic strength $I$ is a measure which counts the ions in solution, with each ionic concentration weighed by the square of its valence (Reference 12, Section 6.1), as formulated in Input 4. Similar to the pH , the Ionic strength is a property of the final equilibrium state of the buffer solution. The ionic strength also comes into play in the activity coefficients of the cations and anions as described by the Debye-Hückel theory (see Input 2). For this reason, in this analysis the ionic strength balance is imposed as a third equation to solve the coupled system of equations, as follows:
$I=1 / 2\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{NO}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{7}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}(\mathrm{OH})_{10}{ }^{-}\right]+\right.$ $\left.4\left[\mathrm{~B}_{4}(\mathrm{OH}) 14^{-2}\right]\right)$.

### 7.5.4 Water Dissociation

When accounting for activity corrections in the equilibrium constant for water, the following relationship must be satisfied (Reference 12, Section 6.4):

$$
K_{w}=\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \gamma_{\mathrm{OH}-[ }\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] .
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is a function of temperature, and its value at the analysis temperature (see Input 29) is interpolated from the data referenced in Input 5. The activity coefficients for $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$are computed from Inputs 1 and 2 .

### 7.5.5 Determination of pH

By definition, the pH of the solution is

$$
p H=-\log \left(\gamma_{H+}\left[H^{+}\right]\right)
$$

The pH in this analysis is unknown along with the ionic strength ' I ', and the equilibrium concentration of boric acid $\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}\right]$. To start the analysis, a guess is first made for all three variables and the three balance equations are examined to determine the magnitude of the error. The process is iterated until convergence is achieved and a solution pH has been calculated. The mass of sodium tetraborate decahydrate is adjusted until a calculated pH is achieved that satisfies the acceptance criteria.
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### 7.5.6 Chemical Model Validation

As mentioned before, the pH of buffered solutions of boric acid and borax decahydrate has been reported in the literature at varying mixture concentrations. To verify the adequacy of the chemical analysis model described above, the balance equations were solved for a number of input concentrations of initial boric acid and borax. For the eight experimental data points that were modeled, the analytical pH was at most 0.22 units from the experimental pH measurement. In the pH range between 7.0 and 7.5 , the model predicted an error of approximately 0.1 pH units. Figure 7-1 below compares the results of the validation to literature data. The details of the validation analysis are given in Appendix A.


Boric Acid / Borax Concentration

Figure 7-1. Chemical Model Validation Results
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### 8.0 Results

### 8.1 Maximum Boric Acid Concentration

Solving for a target pH of 7.0 using the higher initial boric acid concentration of Case IV, the required borax decahydrate concentration that should enter in the containment sump to neutralize the solution is determined to be $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{\circ}=0.0074 \mathrm{M}$. The calculation steps and the balance equations are given in Appendix B. The borax decahydrate concentration was obtained by trial-and-error to achieve the target pH of 7.0. The absolute mass balance error, the charge balance error, and the ionic strength balance error from the governing equations are each less than 0.0001 . The relative errors are also of the same order of magnitude. Therefore, the analytical pH prediction of 7.0 satisfies the first acceptance criterion. This pH value is consistent for $77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Given the required buffer concentration, the corresponding buffer mass is calculated as such:
[buffer] $=$ moles of borax/liters of solution
where: moles of borax $=$ Mass $_{\text {Borax }} / \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}$, and

$$
\text { liters of solution }=\left(\text { Mass }_{\text {liquid }}+\text { Mass }_{\text {Borax }}\right) / \text { Density of Sump Liquid. }
$$

The density of the sump liquid for the buffer mass calculation is determined at the temperature when the recirculation phase starts (at 30 minutes $\mathrm{T}=196^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ - Reference 30, and Appendix G). This provides a conservative result since the temperature will decrease at later times. Thus, rearranging to solve for Mass $_{\text {Borax }}$ using the maximum mass of water from Section 7.2 yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { CaseIn }_{\text {Borax }}:=0.0074 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\text {boric_acid }_{2}}=0.0177 \quad \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}=381.37 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \\
& \sum \text { MaxWater }_{\text {mass }}=4608356 \mathrm{lb} \\
& \rho \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ras}}:=60.2 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}} \tag{Input7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\mathrm{ras}}=60.55 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}} \\
& \text { CaseIn }_{\text {BoraxMass }}:=\text { CaseIn }_{\text {Borax }} \cdot \frac{\sum \text { MaxWater mass }_{\rho_{\text {rms }}-\text { CaseIn }_{\text {Borax }} \cdot \text { MW }_{\text {Borax }}} \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}, ~(1)}{} \\
& \text { CaseIn }_{\text {BoraxMass }}=13448 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$
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To determine the corresponding equilibrium Boron concentration that results from the addition of the borax decahydrate buffer, the total mass of Boron must be summed. One mole of NaTB, contains four moles of Boron, and one mole of boric acid contains one mole of Boron. Thus, Concentration of Boron $=4 \times$ Concentration of Borax $+1 \times$ Concentration of Boric Acid.

The limiting total Boron concentration given in Appendix B as part of the pH calculation occurs for Case IV conditions (for $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ to 7.1). Simplifying:

TotalBoron $_{\text {conc }}:=\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}$

$$
\text { TotalBoron }_{\text {conc }}=0.3139 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

TotalBoron ${ }_{\mathrm{ppm}}:=\frac{\text { TotalBoron conc } \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Boron }}}{\rho_{\text {ras }}} \cdot 10^{6}$

$$
\text { TotalBoron }_{\mathrm{ppm}}=3498
$$

Appendix C provides a similar pH calculation for Case IV without the contribution of the radiolysis generated acids. The results are reported here to aid in the surveillance testing of the buffering agent.

CaseIV_noa ${ }_{\text {Borax }}:=0.0072 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
CaseIV_noa BoraxMass $:=$ CaseIV_noa Borax $\cdot \frac{\sum \text { MaxWater mass }}{\rho_{\text {ras }}-\text { CaseIV_noa Borax } \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}} \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}$
CaseIV_noa BoraxMass $=13083 \mathrm{lb}$

Table 8-1 below summarizes the mass of buffer needed to neutralize the different acids, and provides the units in grams per liter.

$$
\mathrm{CaseIV}_{\text {Borax }} \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}=2.822 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

$$
\text { CaseIV_noa }_{\text {Borax }} \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}=2.746 \frac{\mathrm{gm}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

MPR Associates, Inc. 320 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Calculation No.
0090-0148-01


Page: 31
Revision: 3

Table 8-1. Borax Decahydrate Concentration and Mass for $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$

| Acid Type | NaTB Quantity Required to Neutralize |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{m o l} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathbf{l b}$ |
| Boric | 0.0072 | 2.74 | 13,083 |
| Radiolysis <br> Generated | $0.0002^{(1)}$ | 0.08 | 365 |
| Total | 0.0074 | 2.82 | 13,448 |

Note (1): Effective concentration estimated as 'Total' minus 'Boric'.

### 8.2 Minimum Boric Acid Concentration

To insure that the predicted amount of buffer does not violate the second acceptance criterion under less acidic sump conditions, the pH for Case I using the above buffer mass must be calculated. First, the initial concentration of borax decahydrate in the Case I water volume must be determined. For conservatism, the higher density of water at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is used. The mass of Borax is conservatively rounded up to establish an upper bound:

$$
\text { Deploy BoraxMass }:=13750 \mathrm{lb} \quad \sum \text { MinWater mass }=3888935 \mathrm{lb} \quad \rho_{1}=61.848 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}
$$

Casel $_{\text {Borax }}:=\frac{\text { Deploy }_{\text {BoraxMass }} \cdot \rho_{1}}{\text { MW }_{\text {Borax }} \cdot\left(\text { Deploy }_{\text {BoraxMass }}+\sum \text { MinWater mass }\right)}$

CaseI $_{\text {Borax }}=0.0092 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$

The above initial buffer concentration is entered into the pH calculation in Appendix B. The results indicate that the pH is 7.6 at a temperature of $77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Therefore, the calculated buffer mass of $13,750 \mathrm{lb}$ results in a containment sump pH that satisfies the second acceptance criterion.

| NMPD | MPR Associates, Inc. 320 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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### 8.3 Current Design Basis Case

To compare the mass of NaTB from Case IV required to achieve a final sump pH equal to 7.0 to that of TSP, the current licensing basis case is used to calculate the mass of NaTB for the latter conditions of boric acid concentration and sump water mass. Solving for a target pH of 7.0 using the initial boric acid concentration of Case V , the required borax decahydrate concentration that should enter in the containment sump to neutralize the solution is determined to be
$\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{4} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{\circ}=0.0075 \mathrm{M}$. Fluid properties are evaluated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ to reflect the conditions used in Reference 13, Part 1, neglecting the participation of nitric and hydrochloric acids. The input boric acid concentration is calculated in this section. The pH calculation steps and the balance equations are given in Appendix D. The borax decahydrate concentration was obtained by trial-and-error to achieve the target pH . The absolute mass balance error, the charge balance error, and the ionic strength balance error is each less than 0.00001 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { CaseV ppm := } 3105.5 & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Reference 13, }
\end{array} \quad \text { M SUMP }^{\text {pg. } 18 \text { of Calc. No. }}:=4503500 \mathrm{lb}
\end{array}
$$ 000811-86-C93-02

## Boric Acid mass ratio is

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{BA}}:=\mathrm{CaseV}_{\mathrm{ppm}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{MW}_{\text {BoricAcid }}}{\mathrm{MW}_{\text {Boron }}} \cdot 10^{-6}$
$C_{B A}=0.01776$
$\rho_{\mathrm{W} 77 \mathrm{~F}}:=62.251 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}} \quad$ pure water density
$\rho_{\text {sump } 77}:=\rho_{\text {W77F }}+\left(19.665 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{BA}}+6.243 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{BA}}{ }^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}} \quad$ borated water density

Initial Boric Acid Concentration is
$\rho_{\text {sump } 77}=62.602 \frac{\mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{ft}^{3}}$
InitBoricAcid $:=\frac{\rho_{\text {sump } 77}}{\text { MW }_{\text {Boron }}} \cdot \mathrm{CaseV}_{\mathrm{ppm}} \cdot 10^{-6}$
InitBoricAcid $=0.2881 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad$ input to pH calc - Appendix $D$
$\mathrm{CaseV}_{\text {Borax }}:=0.0075 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad$ output from pH calc - Appendix D

CaseV $_{\text {BoraxMass }}:=\mathrm{CaseV}_{\text {Borax }} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\text {SUMP }}}{\rho_{\text {sump } 77}-\mathrm{CaseV}_{\text {Borax }} \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}} \cdot \mathrm{MW}_{\text {Borax }}$
$\mathrm{CaseV}_{\text {BoraxMass }}=12882 \mathrm{lb}$

MPR Associates, Inc.
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## 8.4 pH vs. Time

The containment sump pH as a function of time is calculated for the recirculation phase in a postulated LOCA. The recirculation phase begins roughly 30 minutes from the start of the accident (Reference 30). It is assumed that borax granular material would have already dissolved due to the relatively elevated temperature of the water and the rising pool level. The analysis performed in this section, assumes that the buffer mass obtained in Section 8.1 is fully dissolved and mixed with the fluid (Assumption 12). Therefore, the effect of the sump water temperature on the pH can be evaluated. In order to minimize the sump pH transient, Case IV sump conditions with the full acid load of the radiolysis generated acids, which in reality are produced over time, are considered at the start of the transient. In order to maximize the sump pH transient, the production of radiolysis generated acids in Case I sump conditions is considered to occur 12 hours after the start of the transient, with the maximum attainable concentrations released into the water.

The sump water temperatures are selected from Input 29. The following set of times and temperatures are evaluated for the pH transient calculations. The pH computations for Case I and Case IV transients are performed in Appendix B, and the results are summarized in Table 8-2.

Table 8-2. Sump water temperature and pH as a function of time

| Hour | ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{F}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{p H}\left(\right.$ Case IV) ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathbf{p H}_{(\text {Case I) }}{ }^{(2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 194.34 | 363.34 | 7.12 | 7.61 |
| 2 | 193.19 | 362.70 | 7.12 | 7.61 |
| 3 | 190.03 | 360.95 | 7.11 | 7.61 |
| 4 | 186.46 | 358.96 | 7.11 | 7.61 |
| 8 | 177.12 | 353.77 | 7.10 | 7.61 |
| 12 | 169.76 | 349.68 | 7.09 | 7.60 |
| 24 | 154.89 | 341.42 | 7.08 | 7.60 |
| 125 | 160.79 | 344.70 | 7.08 | 7.60 |
| 242 | 153.42 | 340.61 | 7.07 | 7.60 |
| 361 | 145.11 | 335.99 | 7.07 | 7.60 |
| 720 | 125.70 | 325.20 | 7.05 | 7.60 |

Note (1): Strong acids included in the pH calculation at each time.
Note (2): Strong acids included in the pH calculation from 12 hours.


As shown in the above table, the initial higher temperature of the sump water will result in a slightly larger value for the equilibrium pH than at lower temperatures. This can be explained from the dynamics of the equilibrium quotient relationships of Section 7.1. Since ' $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ ' is inversely proportional to temperature (See Input 14), the decrease of water temperature with time leads to higher values for $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{xy}}$, thus an increase in the equilibrium concentrations of some dissociated borates in the temperature range of interest. In simple terms, the concentration of positively charged hydrogen ions must increase to maintain electro-neutrality with the negatively charged borate ions, effectively reducing the pH as temperature declines.
In conclusion, the temperature impact on the pH of the sump water is negligible. The results obtained in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 are conservative since the mass or concentration of sodium tetraborate decahydrate fulfills the requirement of pH greater than or equal to 7.0 at ambient temperature. Moreover, at the highest temperature shortly after the initiation of recirculation, the resultant pH is below the upper limit of $\mathrm{pH}=8.0$.
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## pH Predictions of Solutions with Boric Acid and Borax Decahydrate

The chemical analysis methodology described in Section 7 was used to predict the theoretical pH value of buffer systems of boric acid and borax decahydrate whose pH was known experimentally. Table A-1 below summarizes the results of the validation analyses. Note that the Reference 5 borax decahydrate concentration was given in g/L (See Figure A-1), so it was converted to molar units and reported in Table A-1.

Table A-1. Results of Validation Using Option I Dissociation Scheme at $298^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$

| [Boric Acid] / [Borax] | $\mathbf{p H}$ <br> (measured) | $\mathbf{p H}$ <br> (calculated) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.500 \mathrm{M} / 0.005 \mathrm{M}$ | 6.0 | 6.16 | 23 , Table I |
| $0.500 \mathrm{M} / 0.050 \mathrm{M}$ | 7.4 | 7.21 | 23, Table I |
| $0.100 \mathrm{M} / 0.025 \mathrm{M}$ | 8.5 | 8.45 | 24, pg. 682 |
| $0.231 \mathrm{M} / 0.001 \mathrm{M}$ | 6.5 | 6.40 | 5, Figure 5-1 |
| $0.231 \mathrm{M} / 0.003 \mathrm{M}$ | 7.0 | 6.87 | 5, Figure 5-1 |
| $0.231 \mathrm{M} / 0.010 \mathrm{M}$ | 7.5 | 7.38 | 5, Figure 5-1 |
| $0.231 \mathrm{M} / 0.029 \mathrm{M}$ | 8.0 | 7.84 | 5, Figure 5-1 |
| $0.231 \mathrm{M} / 0.083 \mathrm{M}$ | 8.5 | 8.28 | 5, Figure 5-1 |

The worksheet in this appendix presents the analysis steps undertaken to validate the chemical dissociation methodology. The inputs of this model correspond to the data points in Table A-1. The exact values for pH , equilibrium $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ concentration, and ionic strength obtained from the iterative program are used to compute the error in the balance equations. The dissociation scheme with option I is used. Individually, the mass balance error, the charge balance error, and the ionic strength balance error is less than 0.0001 . The relative errors are also of the same order of magnitude.
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Figure A-1. Adjustment of pH with addition of sodium tetraborate decahydrate to 2500 ppm Boron solution (reproduced from Reference 5)

| VMPD |  | MPR Associates, Inc. 320 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation No. 0090-0148-01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prepared By } \\ & \text { Mawnar Chamal } \end{aligned}$ | Checked By | Page: A-3 <br> Revision: 2  |

## INPUT

Room Temperature
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}:=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$
Initial Concentrations (Each row represents a validation case):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Boric }_{\text {Acid }}: & :\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.5 \\
0.5 \\
0.1 \\
0.231 \\
0.231 \\
0.231 \\
0.231 \\
0.231
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \text { Borax }:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.005 \\
0.05 \\
0.025 \\
0.001 \\
0.003 \\
0.010 \\
0.029 \\
0.083
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \\
\mathrm{Na} & :=2 \cdot \text { Borax } \quad \text { Sodium ion concentration }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Na}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.01 \\
0.1 \\
0.05 \\
0.002
\end{array}\right) \underline{\text { Remove units on variables }}=1 \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{moric} & \text { Acid }:=\text { Boric }_{\text {Acid }} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { Borax }:=\text { Borax } \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Na}:=\mathrm{Na} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{TK}:=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{~K}}
$$

Initial Total Boron Concentration

$$
\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}:=4 \cdot \text { Borax }+ \text { Boric }_{\text {Acid }}
$$

$$
\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.52 \\
0.7 \\
0.2 \\
0.235 \\
0.243 \\
0.271 \\
0.347 \\
0.563
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## CONSTANTS

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}:=9 \quad$ effective ionic radius of $\mathrm{H}_{+}$
${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{OH}:=3.5 \quad$ effective ionic radius of $\mathrm{OH}-$
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}}:=.5115$
Debye-Huckel Constants
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}}:=.3291$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}:=1.0116 \cdot 10^{-14} \quad$ water equilibrium constant

## GUESSES

$\mathrm{pH}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}6.15629 \\ 7.21160 \\ 8.44780 \\ 6.40220 \\ 6.87007 \\ 7.38344 \\ 7.84066 \\ 8.27814\end{array}\right)$

$$
\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.01005 \\
0.10486 \\
0.05431 \\
0.00201 \\
0.00606 \\
0.02058 \\
0.06228 \\
0.19123
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{BOH}_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.49115 \\
0.42228 \\
0.10236 \\
0.22977 \\
0.22740 \\
0.21987 \\
0.20417 \\
0.17920
\end{array}\right)
$$

## COMPUTE

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}:=\frac{-\left(\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { loni }_{\text {strength }}}\right)}{1+\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { lonic }_{\text {strength }}}}
$$

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{OH}}:=\frac{-\left(\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { lonic } \mathrm{c}_{\text {strength }}}\right)}{{ }^{1+\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{OH}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { lonic }_{\text {strength }}}}}
$$
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$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.913 \\
0.823 \\
0.85 \\
0.954 \\
0.928 \\
0.888 \\
0.845 \\
0.799
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}:=\frac{\overrightarrow{10^{-\mathrm{pH}}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}}$
$\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}:=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{plus}} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{OH}}}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
7.643 \times 10^{-7} \\
7.464 \times 10^{-8} \\
4.195 \times 10^{-9} \\
4.15 \times 10^{-7} \\
1.453 \times 10^{-7} \\
4.656 \times 10^{-8} \\
1.709 \times 10^{-8} \\
6.596 \times 10^{-9}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1.612 \times 10^{-8} \\
2.174 \times 10^{-7} \\
3.522 \times 10^{-6} \\
2.685 \times 10^{-8} \\
8.159 \times 10^{-8} \\
2.828 \times 10^{-7} \\
8.807 \times 10^{-7} \\
2.703 \times 10^{-6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

| Calculation No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0090-0148-01$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{logQ}_{11}: & :\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1573.21}{\mathrm{TK}}+28.6059+0.012078 \mathrm{TK}-13.2258 \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic } \\
\text { strength } \ldots \\
+-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} 1.5
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
\\
\\
\mathrm{Q}_{11}: \\
\operatorname{logQ}_{11}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{logQ}_{21}:=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2756.1}{\mathrm{TK}}-19.1998+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+5.835 \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} \\
\cdots \\
+-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{21}:=\overrightarrow{\log _{21}}
$$

$$
\operatorname { l o g } Q _ { 3 1 } : = \xrightarrow [ {{\left.\left.{ [\begin{array}{l}
\frac{3339.5}{\mathrm{TK}}-8.3178+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+1.497 \cdot \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic } \text { strength } \cdots \\
+-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}
\end{array}}
\end{array}\right]{1.5}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{31}:=10^{\log \mathrm{Q}_{31}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\operatorname{logQ}_{42}:=\xrightarrow[{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{12820}{\mathrm{TK}}-134.7938+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+42.105 \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic } \\
\text { strength } \\
+-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}
\end{array}\right.}]\right]{ } \quad \mathrm{l} . \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{42}:=\overrightarrow{\log _{42}}
\end{aligned}
$$

| VMPD | MPR Associates, Inc. 320 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $Q_{11}=\left(\begin{array}{l}5.746 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.995 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.865 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.723 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.734 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.775 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.886 \times 10^{4} \\ 6.207 \times 10^{4}\end{array}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{21}=\left(\begin{array}{l}3.829 \times 10^{4} \\ 3.996 \times 10^{4} \\ 3.909 \times 10^{4} \\ 3.814 \times 10^{4} \\ 3.822 \times 10^{4} \\ 3.849 \times 10^{4} \\ 3.923 \times 10^{4} \\ 4.136 \times 10^{4}\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |
| $Q_{31}=\left(\begin{array}{l}4.872 \times 10^{6} \\ 5.084 \times 10^{6} \\ 4.974 \times 10^{6} \\ 4.853 \times 10^{6} \\ 4.863 \times 10^{6} \\ 4.897 \times 10^{6} \\ 4.992 \times 10^{6} \\ 5.263 \times 10^{6}\end{array}\right)$ | $Q_{42}=\left(\begin{array}{c}3.1 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.235 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.165 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.087 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.094 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.116 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.176 \times 10^{12} \\ 3.349 \times 10^{12}\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |



$$
\mathrm{BOH} 4=\left(\begin{array}{l}
4.548 \times 10^{-4} \\
5.504 \times 10^{-3} \\
2.115 \times 10^{-2} \\
3.531 \times 10^{-4} \\
1.064 \times 10^{-3} \\
3.59 \times 10^{-3} \\
1.058 \times 10^{-2} \\
3.007 \times 10^{-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1.489 \times 10^{-4} \\
1.549 \times 10^{-3} \\
1.443 \times 10^{-3} \\
5.407 \times 10^{-5} \\
1.612 \times 10^{-4} \\
5.261 \times 10^{-4} \\
1.44 \times 10^{-3} \\
3.591 \times 10^{-3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OHIO}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
9.304 \times 10^{-3} \\
8.323 \times 10^{-2} \\
1.879 \times 10^{-2} \\
1.581 \times 10^{-3} \\
4.665 \times 10^{-3} \\
1.472 \times 10^{-2} \\
3.741 \times 10^{-2} \\
8.188 \times 10^{-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14=\left(\begin{array}{l}
4.685 \times 10^{-5} \\
4.861 \times 10^{-3} \\
4.309 \times 10^{-3} \\
6.206 \times 10^{-6} \\
5.507 \times 10^{-5} \\
5.822 \times 10^{-4} \\
4.28 \times 10^{-3} \\
2.524 \times 10^{-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## MASS BALANCE ERROR

Sum $_{\text {Boron }}:=\mathrm{BOH}_{3}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+3 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{MBE}:=\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}-\text { Sum }_{\text {Boron }} \\
& \mathrm{MBE}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-8.463 \times 10^{-7} \\
-9.471 \times 10^{-6} \\
7.949 \times 10^{-6} \\
1.294 \times 10^{-6} \\
-2.466 \times 10^{-6} \\
2.602 \times 10^{-6} \\
5.869 \times 10^{-8} \\
-3.346 \times 10^{-5}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHARGE BALANCE ERROR

Pos $_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}+\mathrm{Na}$
Neg charge $:=\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+\mathrm{B3OH} 10+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14$
CBE: $=$ Pos $_{\text {charge }}-$ Neg $_{\text {charge }}$
$\mathrm{CBE}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-2.557 \times 10^{-7} \\ -2.738 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.848 \times 10^{-6} \\ -7.811 \times 10^{-8} \\ -4.614 \times 10^{-7} \\ 2.805 \times 10^{-7} \\ -3.838 \times 10^{-7} \\ -1.298 \times 10^{-5}\end{array}\right) \quad$ Pos $_{\text {charge }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.01 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.006 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.166\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.010001 \\ 0.100003 \\ 0.049998 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.006001 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.166013\end{array}\right)$
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## IONIC STRENGTH BALANCE ERROR

$\mathrm{Sum}_{\text {Ions }}:=\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}+\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14\right)$

SEE:= Ionic $_{\text {strength }}-$ Sum $_{\text {Ions }}$
Sum $_{\text {Ions }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.010048 \\ 0.104862 \\ 0.054308 \\ 0.002007 \\ 0.006055 \\ 0.020582 \\ 0.06228 \\ 0.191242\end{array}\right)$
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## B

## Containment Sump pH as a Function of Temperature

This appendix implements the methodology discussed in Section 7.5. The temperature of the fluid determines the constants to be used. The input concentrations are obtained from Sections 7.3 and 7.4. The two limiting cases for boric acid concentration are analyzed via a horizontal array containing two elements, while vertical arrays are used for the temperature variation, whereby each pH column representing a boric acid concentration.

## INPUT

Temperature Array

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}
363.34 \\
362.70 \\
360.95 \\
358.96 \\
353.77 \\
349.68 \\
341.42 \\
344.70 \\
340.61 \\
335.99 \\
325.20 \\
298.15
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad \text { Initial Case IV and Case I Concentrations: }
$$

Chloride ion concentration

$$
\mathrm{Cl}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1.504 \cdot 10^{-4} & 1.779 \cdot 10^{-4}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

Nitrate ion concentration

$$
\mathrm{NO} 3:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1.22910^{-4} & 1.229 \cdot 10^{-4}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

Initial Total Boron Concentration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}:=4 \cdot \text { Borax }+ \text { Boric }_{\text {Acid }} \\
& \mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.31390 .2142
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remove units on variables

$$
\text { Boric }_{\text {Acid }}:=\text { Boric }_{\text {Acid }} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

$$
\text { Borax := Borax } \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Na}:=\mathrm{Na} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \quad \mathrm{Cl}:=\mathrm{Cl} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{TK}:=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{~K}} \quad \mathrm{NO} 3:=\mathrm{NO} 3 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

Calculation No.
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## CONSTANTS

$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}}:=9$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{OH}}:=3.5$
effective ionic radius of $\mathrm{H}_{+}$
effective ionic radius of OH -
Debye-Huckel Constants at each temperature
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.5923 \\ 0.5913 \\ 0.5886 \\ 0.5855 \\ 0.5776 \\ 0.5717 \\ 0.5602 \\ 0.5647 \\ 0.5591 \\ 0.5530 \\ 0.5397 \\ 0.5115\end{array}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.3457 \\
0.3455 \\
0.3449 \\
0.3443 \\
0.3428 \\
0.3416 \\
0.3393 \\
0.3401 \\
0.3390 \\
0.3378 \\
0.3351 \\
0.3291
\end{array}\right)
$$

## GUESSES

$\mathrm{pH}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}7.11927 & 7.61491 \\ 7.11809 & 7.61481 \\ 7.11482 & 7.61455 \\ 7.11051 & 7.61370 \\ 7.09966 & 7.61207 \\ 7.09182 & 7.60203 \\ 7.07618 & 7.60170 \\ 7.08246 & 7.60184 \\ 7.07462 & 7.60167 \\ 7.06587 & 7.60169 \\ 7.04618 & 7.60273 \\ 7.00780 & 7.61179\end{array}\right)$

$$
\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.01485 & 0.01849 \\
0.01485 & 0.01849 \\
0.01485 & 0.01849 \\
0.01486 & 0.01850 \\
0.01486 & 0.01851 \\
0.01487 & 0.01852 \\
0.01488 & 0.01855 \\
0.01487 & 0.01853 \\
0.01488 & 0.01855 \\
0.01489 & 0.01857 \\
0.01491 & 0.01863 \\
0.01504 & 0.01900
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{BOH}_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0.28101 & 0.17983 \\
0.28094 & 0.17975 \\
0.28076 & 0.17952 \\
0.28055 & 0.17926 \\
0.28000 & 0.17856 \\
0.27957 & 0.17854 \\
0.27872 & 0.17737 \\
0.27906 & 0.17784 \\
0.27863 & 0.17726 \\
0.27816 & 0.17659 \\
0.27710 & 0.17498 \\
0.27484 & 0.17104
\end{array}\right)
$$

Calculation No.
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## COMPUTE

$\gamma_{H_{-} \text {CaseIV }}:=10^{1+\mathrm{DH}_{B} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}} \text { ( }}$

$\gamma_{\text {H_CaseI }}:=10$



$\gamma_{\text {H_CaseI }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.878 \\ 0.878 \\ 0.878 \\ 0.879 \\ 0.88 \\ 0.881 \\ 0.883 \\ 0.883 \\ 0.883 \\ 0.885 \\ 0.887 \\ 0.891\end{array}\right) \quad \gamma_{\text {OH_CaseI }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.853 \\ 0.853 \\ 0.854 \\ 0.854 \\ 0.856 \\ 0.857 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.859 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.861 \\ 0.864 \\ 0.869\end{array}\right)$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{W}}$
$\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus_CaseIV }}:=\overline{\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus_CaseIV }} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{H}_{-} C a s e I V} \gamma_{\mathrm{OH}}^{-C a s e I V}}$
$\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus_Casel }}:=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus_Casel }} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{H}} \text { CaseI } \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{OH}} \text { CaseI }}$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Q}_{11 \text { _CaseIV }}:=\underset{\log _{11} \mathrm{Q}_{11 \text { CaseIV }}}{ } \\
& \log Q_{11 \_ \text {CaseI }}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1573.21}{\mathrm{TK}}+28.6059+0.012078 \mathrm{TK}-13.2258 \log (\mathrm{TK}) \ldots \\
+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}{ }^{2} \ldots \\
+-0.0912\left(\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}\langle 2)^{1.5}\right.
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right) \\
& Q_{11 \_ \text {Casel }}:=\underset{10}{\log _{111 \_ \text {Case }}} \\
& \operatorname{logQ}_{21 \_ \text {CaseIV }}:=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2756.1}{\mathrm{TK}}-19.1998+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+5.835 \log (\mathrm{TK}) \ldots \\
+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}{ }^{\langle 1\rangle} \ldots \\
+-0.0912\left(\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}\langle \rangle\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { Q }_{21 \text { _CaseIV }}:=10^{\log Q_{21 \_C a s e I V}} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{logQ}_{21 \_ \text {CaseI }}:= \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2756.1}{\mathrm{TK}}-19.1998+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+5.835 \log (\mathrm{TK}) \ldots \\
+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}{ }^{\langle \rangle} \ldots \\
+-0.0912\left(\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}{ }^{\langle\nu}\right)^{1.5}
\end{array}\right]} \\
\text { Q }_{21 \_ \text {CaseI }}:=10^{\log _{21 \_ \text {Case }}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

MPR Associates, Inc.
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$Q_{11 \_ \text {CaseIV }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}2.917 \times 10^{3} \\ 2.985 \times 10^{3} \\ 3.181 \times 10^{3} \\ 3.424 \times 10^{3} \\ 4.167 \times 10^{3} \\ 4.889 \times 10^{3} \\ 6.849 \times 10^{3} \\ 5.977 \times 10^{3} \\ 7.087 \times 10^{3} \\ 8.642 \times 10^{3} \\ 1.41 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.76 \times 10^{4}\end{array}\right)$
Q $_{11 \_ \text {CaseI }}=\left(\begin{array}{l}2.921 \times 10^{3} \\ 2.989 \times 10^{3} \\ 3.186 \times 10^{3} \\ 3.429 \times 10^{3} \\ 4.173 \times 10^{3} \\ 4.897 \times 10^{3} \\ 6.86 \times 10^{3} \\ 5.987 \times 10^{3} \\ 7.099 \times 10^{3} \\ 8.657 \times 10^{3} \\ 1.412 \times 10^{4} \\ 5.771 \times 10^{4}\end{array}\right)$

$$
\text { Q 31_CaseIV }=\left(\begin{array}{c}
6.744 \times 10^{4} \\
6.978 \times 10^{4} \\
7.668 \times 10^{4} \\
8.545 \times 10^{4} \\
1.14 \times 10^{5} \\
1.441 \times 10^{5} \\
2.352 \times 10^{5} \\
1.93 \times 10^{5} \\
2.471 \times 10^{5} \\
3.291 \times 10^{5} \\
6.643 \times 10^{5} \\
4.884 \times 10^{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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BOH4_CaseIV $=\left(\begin{array}{l}4.656 \times 10^{-3} \\ 4.628 \times 10^{-3} \\ 4.551 \times 10^{-3} \\ 4.463 \times 10^{-3} \\ 4.231 \times 10^{-3} \\ 4.048 \times 10^{-3} \\ 3.678 \times 10^{-3} \\ 3.826 \times 10^{-3} \\ 3.642 \times 10^{-3} \\ 3.435 \times 10^{-3} \\ 2.959 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.85 \times 10^{-3}\end{array}\right)$
$\binom{1.258 \times 10^{-3}}{1.246 \times 10^{-3}}$
$1.215 \times 10^{-3}$
$1.179 \times 10^{-3}$
$1.087 \times 10^{-3}$
B2OH7_CaseIV $=\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1.017 \times 10^{-3} \\ & 8.814 \times 10^{-4}\end{aligned}\right.$
$9.344 \times 10^{-4}$
$8.687 \times 10^{-4}$
$7.976 \times 10^{-4}$ $6.436 \times 10^{-4}$
$\left.3.389 \times 10^{-4}\right)$

$$
\text { BOH4_CaseI }=\left(\begin{array}{l}
9.479 \times 10^{-3} \\
9.443 \times 10^{-3} \\
9.343 \times 10^{-3} \\
9.23 \times 10^{-3} \\
8.92 \times 10^{-3} \\
8.501 \times 10^{-3} \\
7.971 \times 10^{-3} \\
8.189 \times 10^{-3} \\
7.918 \times 10^{-3} \\
7.605 \times 10^{-3} \\
6.833 \times 10^{-3} \\
4.698 \times 10^{-3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\binom{1.639 \times 10^{-3}}{1.627 \times 10^{-3}}
$$

$$
1.594 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
1.558 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
1.461 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
1.364 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$1.216 \times 10^{-3}$
$1.274 \times 10^{-3}$
$1.202 \times 10^{-3}$
$1.121 \times 10^{-3}$
$9.386 \times 10^{-4}$
$5.356 \times 10^{-4}$ )

| Calculation No. 0090-0148-01 | Prepared ByMamar Chamsus |  |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { Page: } & \text { B-10 } \\ \text { Revision: } & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3OH10_CaseIV = | $\left(\begin{array}{l}8.502 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.54 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.647 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.769 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.08 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.324 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.81 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.623 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.857 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.012 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1.07 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1.185 \times 10^{-2}\end{array}\right)$ | B3OH10_CaseI $=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}7.088 \times 10^{-3} \\ 7.133 \times 10^{-3} \\ 7.258 \times 10^{-3} \\ 7.403 \times 10^{-3} \\ 7.784 \times 10^{-3} \\ 7.986 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.611 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.364 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.675 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.03 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.857 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.166 \times 10^{-2}\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| B4OH14_CaseIV = | $\left(\begin{array}{l}5.23 \times 10^{-5} \\ 5.281 \times 10^{-5} \\ 5.427 \times 10^{-5} \\ 5.603 \times 10^{-5} \\ 6.106 \times 10^{-5} \\ 6.561 \times 10^{-5} \\ 7.679 \times 10^{-5} \\ 7.205 \times 10^{-5} \\ 7.805 \times 10^{-5} \\ 8.594 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.101 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.423 \times 10^{-4}\end{array}\right)$ | B4OH14_CaseI = | $\left.\begin{array}{l}8.863 \times 10^{-5} \\ 8.986 \times 10^{-5} \\ 9.338 \times 10^{-5} \\ 9.768 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.102 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.178 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.458 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.338 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.491 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.695 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.337 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.038 \times 10^{-4}\end{array}\right)$ |  |

Calculation No.


## REGROUP

$\mathrm{BOH} 4:=\left(\mathrm{BOH} 4 \_\right.$CaseIV BOH4_CaseI $)$
$\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7:=(\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7$ _CaseIV B2OH7_CaseI $)$
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}:=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus_CaseIV }} \mathrm{H}_{\text {plus_CaseI }}\right)$
$\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10:=(\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10$ _CaseIV B3OH10_CaseI)
$\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}:=\left(\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus_CaseIV }} \mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus_CaseI }}\right)$

B4OH14:= (B4OH14_CaseIV B4OH14_CaseI)

## MASS BALANCE ERROR

SumIV $_{\text {Boron }}:=\mathrm{BOH}_{3}{ }^{\left\langle{ }^{1}\right\rangle}+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,1}+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{OH}_{1,1}+3 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 3 \mathrm{OH10}_{1,1}+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH1} 4_{1,1}$
$\mathrm{SumI}_{\text {Boron }}:=\mathrm{BOH}_{3}{ }^{(2)}+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,2}+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{OH}_{1,2}+3 \cdot \mathrm{B3OH1}_{1,2}+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{OH}_{1,2}$
$\mathrm{MBE}_{\mathrm{IV}}:=\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }_{1,1}}-$ SumIV $_{\text {Boron }} \quad \quad \mathrm{MBE}_{\mathrm{I}}:=\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }_{1,2}}-$ SumI $_{\text {Boron }}$
$\mathrm{MBE}_{\mathrm{IV}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}2.069 \times 10^{-6} \\ 7.349 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.99 \times 10^{-6} \\ -1.391 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.109 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.325 \times 10^{-5} \\ 9.719 \times 10^{-7} \\ -1.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ 6.911 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.431 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.124 \times 10^{-6} \\ 5.379 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{MBE}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}-5.887 \times 10^{-6} \\ -6.172 \times 10^{-6} \\ 5.018 \times 10^{-8} \\ -5.975 \times 10^{-6} \\ 6.288 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.413 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \\ -4.353 \times 10^{-6} \\ -1.56 \times 10^{-6} \\ -5.84 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.83 \times 10^{-6} \\ 6.277 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right)$
SumIV $_{\text {Boron }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.313898 \\ 0.313893 \\ 0.313897 \\ 0.313901 \\ 0.313889 \\ 0.313887 \\ 0.313899 \\ 0.313912 \\ 0.313893 \\ 0.313897 \\ 0.313899 \\ 0.313895\end{array}\right) \quad$ SumI $_{\text {Boron }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.214206 \\ 0.214206 \\ 0.2142 \\ 0.214206 \\ 0.214194 \\ 0.214198 \\ 0.214188 \\ 0.214204 \\ 0.214202 \\ 0.214206 \\ 0.214197 \\ 0.214194\end{array}\right)$
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## CHARGE BALANCE ERROR

PosIV $_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}^{1,1}, ~+\mathrm{Na}_{1,1} \quad$ PosI $_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }_{1,2}}+\mathrm{Na}_{1,2}$
$\mathrm{Neg}_{\mathrm{IV} \text { charge }}:=\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }_{1,1}}+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,1}+\mathrm{B2OH}_{1,1}+\mathrm{B3OH}_{10}{ }_{1,1}+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14_{1,1}+\mathrm{Cl}_{1,1}+\mathrm{NO}_{1,1}$
$\mathrm{Neg}_{\text {Icharge }}:=\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }_{1,2}}+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,2}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH}_{1,2}+{\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10_{1,2}}+2 \cdot \mathrm{B4OH} 1_{1,2}$
$\mathrm{Neg}_{\mathrm{I}_{1} 12 \mathrm{Hrcharge}}:=\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}^{1,2} 1+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,2}+\mathrm{B2OH}_{1,2}+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH10}_{1,2}+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{10 \mathrm{OH} 14_{1,2}}+\mathrm{Cl}_{1,2}+\mathrm{NO}_{1,2}$
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$\mathrm{Neg}_{\text {IVcharge }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.0148 \\ 0.014799 \\ 0.014799 \\ 0.014801 \\ 0.014797 \\ 0.014797 \\ 0.014798 \\ 0.014803 \\ 0.014799 \\ 0.0148 \\ 0.014801 \\ 0.0148\end{array}\right)$
$\mathrm{Neg}_{\text {Icharge }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181 \\ 0.0181\end{array}\right)$
Neg $_{\text {I_12Hrcharge }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.0187 \\ 0.0187 \\ 0.0187 \\ 0.0187 \\ 0.0187 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184 \\ 0.0184\end{array}\right)$

## IONIC STRENGTH BALANCE ERROR


SumI Ions $^{:=\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Na}_{1,2}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }_{1,2}}+\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }_{1,2}}+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,2}+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH}_{1,2}+{\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10_{1,2}}+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 4_{1,2}\right)}$
SumI $_{12 \text { HrIons }}:=\frac{1}{2}\binom{\mathrm{Na}_{1,2}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }_{1,2}}+\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }_{1,2}}+\mathrm{BOH}_{1,2}+\mathrm{B2OH}_{1,2}+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH1O}_{1,2} \ldots}{+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH1} 4_{1,2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{1,2}+\mathrm{NO}_{1,2}}$


MPR Associates, Inc.
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| Calculation No. $0090-0148-01$ | Prepared By | $\begin{aligned} \text { Page: } & \mathrm{B}-14 \\ \text { Revision: } & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SBE}_{\text {IV }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-2.449 \times 10^{-6} \\ -2.391 \times 10^{-6} \\ -3.805 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.419 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.331 \times 10^{-7} \\ 6.053 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.964 \times 10^{-6} \\ -3.722 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.456 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.87 \times 10^{-6} \\ -5.983 \times 10^{-7} \\ -2.12 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right)$ | $\text { SumI }_{12 \text { HrIons }}=\left(\begin{array}{c} 0.01864 \\ 0.018641 \\ 0.018643 \\ 0.018649 \\ 0.018659 \\ 0.018516 \\ 0.018544 \\ 0.018535 \\ 0.018549 \\ 0.01857 \\ 0.018634 \\ 0.019003 \end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{SBE}_{\mathrm{I}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}5.304 \times 10^{-7} \\ -1.122 \times 10^{-7} \\ -2.891 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.579 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.493 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \\ 5.832 \times 10^{-6} \\ -5.323 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.034 \times 10^{-6} \\ -7.218 \times 10^{-8} \\ -3.861 \times 10^{-6} \\ -3.444 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |
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## c

## Containment Sump pH with Borax Decahydrate Buffer - Case IV (no strong acids)

This appendix implements the methodology discussed in Section 7.5. The temperature of the fluid determines the constants to be used. Input concentrations are obtained from Section 7.3.

INPUT
Temperature
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}:=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$
Initial Concentrations:
Boric $_{\text {Acid }}:=0.2843 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
Corresponding to 3095 ppm Boron

Borax := $0.0072 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
$\mathrm{Na}:=2 \cdot$ Borax Sodium ion concentration
$\mathrm{Na}=0.0144 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
$\mathrm{Cl}:=0.0 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
$\mathrm{NO}:=0.0 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad$ Nitrate ion concentration set to zero
Remove units on variables

Boric $_{\text {Acid }}:=$ Boric $_{\text {Acid }} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{mol}}$
$\mathrm{Na}:=\mathrm{Na} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{mol}}$
$\mathrm{Cl}:=\mathrm{Cl} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{mol}}$
Initial Total Boron Concentration
$\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}:=4 \cdot$ Borax + Boric $_{\text {Acid }}$
$E Q_{\text {Boron }}=0.3131$

| /VMP |  | MPR Associates, Inc. 320 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation No. $0090-0148-01$ |  | Checked By | Page: $\mathrm{C}-2$  <br> Revision: 3 |
| CONSTANTS |  |  |  |

${ }^{a_{H}}:=9$
${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{OH}:=3.5$
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}}:=.5115$
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}}:=.3291$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{W}}:=1.0116 \cdot 10^{-14}$
water equilibrium constant
effective ionic radius of $\mathrm{H}_{+}$
effective ionic radius of OH -

Debye-Huckel Constants

C

## GUESS

pH $:=7.00675$
Ionic $_{\text {strength }}:=0.01464$
$\mathrm{BOH}_{3}:=0.27439$

## COMPUTE

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}:=10 \\
\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}=0.9 \\
\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}:=\frac{-\left(\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { Ionic } \mathrm{SH}_{\text {strength }}}\right)}{\mathrm{DO}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}}} \\
\mathrm{\gamma H}_{\mathrm{H}} \\
\end{gathered}
$$

MPR Associates, Inc.
320 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\log Q_{11}:= & \frac{1573.21}{\mathrm{TK}}+28.6059+0.012078 \mathrm{TK}-13.2258 \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} \cdots \\
& +-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} 1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{11}:=10^{\log _{11}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{11}=5.758 \times 10^{4}
$$

$$
\log Q_{21}:=\frac{2756.1}{\mathrm{TK}}-19.1998+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+5.835 \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} \ldots
$$

$$
+-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} 1.5
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{21}:=10^{\log _{21}}
$$

$$
Q_{21}=3.838 \times 10^{4}
$$

$$
\log _{31}:=\frac{3339.5}{\mathrm{TK}}-8.3178+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+1.497 \cdot \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} \cdots
$$

$$
+-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {Strength }} 1.5
$$

$$
Q_{31}:=10^{\log Q_{31}}
$$

$$
Q_{31}=4.883 \times 10^{6}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{logQ}_{42}:= & \frac{12820}{\mathrm{TK}}-134.7938+0.00033 \mathrm{TK}+42.105 \log (\mathrm{TK})+(0.325-0.00033 \mathrm{TK}) \cdot \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} \ldots \\
& +-0.0912 \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }} 1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{42}:=10^{\log \mathrm{Q}_{42}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{42}=3.107 \times 10^{12}
$$

$\mathrm{BOH} 4:=\mathrm{Q}_{11} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }} \cdot \mathrm{BOH}_{3}$

$$
\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7:=\mathrm{Q}_{21} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }} \cdot \mathrm{BOH}_{3}^{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10:=\mathrm{Q}_{31} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }} \cdot \mathrm{BOH}_{3}{ }^{3}
$$

$$
\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14:=\mathrm{Q}_{42} \cdot \mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}{ }^{2} \cdot \mathrm{BOH}_{3}{ }^{4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{BOH} 4=1.84 \times 10^{-3} \\
& \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7=3.364 \times 10^{-4} \\
& \mathrm{B3OH10}=1.175 \times 10^{-2} \\
& \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH1} 4=2.387 \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$



## MASS BALANCE ERROR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sum Boron }:=\mathrm{BOH}_{3}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+3 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14 \\
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Boron }}= 0.3131 \\
& \mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}-\text { Sum }_{\text {Boron }}=4.0282 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHARGE BALANCE ERROR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pos}_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{plus}}+\mathrm{Na} \\
& \mathrm{Pos}_{\text {charge }}=0.0144 \\
& \mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14+\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{NO} 3 \\
& \mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}=0.0144 \\
& \quad \text { Pos }_{\text {charge }}-\mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}=2.18 \times 10^{-7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## IONIC STRENGTH BALANCE ERROR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Ions }}:=\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}+\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14+\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{NO} 3\right) \\
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Ions }}=0.01464 \\
& \quad \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}-\mathrm{Sum}_{\text {Ions }}=1.25 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## D

## Containment Sump pH with Borax Decahydrate Buffer - Case V

This appendix implements the methodology discussed in Section 7.5. The temperature of the fluid determines the constants to be used. Input concentrations are obtained from Section 8.3.

INPUT
25C Temperature
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}:=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$
Initial Concentrations:
Boric $_{\text {Acid }}:=0.2881 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad$ Corresponding to 3105.5 ppm Boron
Borax := $0.0075 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
$\mathrm{Na}:=2 \cdot$ Borax Sodium ion concentration
$\mathrm{Na}=0.015 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
Chloride ion concentration set to zero
$\mathrm{Cl}:=0.0 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
$\mathrm{NO} 3:=0.0 \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
Nitrate ion concentration set to zero

Remove units on variables
Boric $_{\text {Acid }}:=$ Boric $_{\text {Acid }} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{mol}}$

$$
\text { Borax := Borax } \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
$$

$\mathrm{Na}:=\mathrm{Na} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{mol}}$
$\mathrm{TK}:=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{K}}$
$\mathrm{Cl}:=\mathrm{Cl} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{mol}}$
NO3: $=\mathrm{NO} 3 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$
Initial Total Boron Concentration
$\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}:=4 \cdot$ Borax + Boric $_{\text {Acid }}$
$E Q_{\text {Boron }}=0.3181$
Calculation No.

## CONSTANTS

${ }^{a}{ }_{H}:=9$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{OH}}:=3.5$
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}}:=.5115$
$\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}}:=.3291$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{W}}:=1.0116 \cdot 10^{-14}$
water equilibrium constant

## GUESS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}^{\mathrm{H}}:=7.00888 \\
& \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}:=0.01525 \\
& \mathrm{BOH}_{3}:=0.27771
\end{aligned}
$$

## COMPUTE

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}:=10^{\frac{-\left(\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \sqrt{\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}}\right)}{1+\mathrm{DH}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}} \sqrt{\text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}}}} \\
\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}=0.899 \\
\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}:=\frac{10^{-\mathrm{pH}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}} \\
\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}:=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{W}}}{\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{H}} \cdot \gamma_{\mathrm{OH}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

| Calculation No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0090-0148-01$ |


| VIMDI |  | MPR Associates, Inc. 320 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation No. $0090-0148-01$ | Prepared By Marma Charrous | Checked By | $\begin{aligned} \hline \text { Page: } & \text { D-4 } \\ \text { Revision: } & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| MASS BALANCE ERROR |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Boron }}:=\mathrm{BOH}_{3}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+3 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14 \\
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Boron }}=0.3181
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{EQ}_{\text {Boron }}-\text { Sum }_{\text {Boron }}=-3.6339 \times 10^{-6}
$$

## CHARGE BALANCE ERROR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Pos}_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{H}_{\text {plus }}+\mathrm{Na} \\
& \mathrm{Pos}_{\text {charge }}=0.015 \\
& \mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}:=\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+2 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14+\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{NO} 3 \\
& \mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}=0.015 \\
& \quad \mathrm{Pos}_{\text {charge }}-\mathrm{Neg}_{\text {charge }}=-3.624 \times 10^{-7}
\end{aligned}
$$

## IONIC STRENGTH BALANCE ERROR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Ions }}:=\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{plus}}+\mathrm{OH}_{\text {minus }}+\mathrm{BOH} 4+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{OH} 7+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{OH} 10+4 \cdot \mathrm{~B} 4 \mathrm{OH} 14+\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{NO} 3\right) \\
& \text { Sum }_{\text {Ions }}=0.01525 \\
& \quad \text { Ionic }_{\text {strength }}-\mathrm{Sum}_{\mathrm{Ions}}=-4.496 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

MPR Associates, Inc 320 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

## Reference 27 - Record for lodine in Containment

From: Massari, John
Sent: Wednesday, July 16, 2008 5:54 PM
To: 'Kinsey, Steve'; KINSEY, STEPHEN P
Cc: Swailes, John
Subject: Iodine in Containment
Steve,
Here's the info you wanted on mass of iodine released to containment post-LOCA. The core inventories (in moles) come from the SAS2H/ORIGEN case CDCB.out in calculation CA06358 if you need a reference. I've covered both TID-14484 (current) and AST (NRC approved but not implemented until 2010 RFO) methods, and included decay and BOC/EOC effects to give you an idea of the range during the cycle. Decay actually doesn't do much for you since the bulk of the iodine is stable l-127 and longlived I -129. What's not considered is removal mechanisms that don't lead to the sump such as iodine filters or plate-out in the unsprayed region.

John
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MPR is adding a pH versus Time section to the calculation which will Provide Licensing with needed input for the Buffer LAR. pH is affected by temperature.
The sump water temperature varies with time. Therefore, the sump pH will Vary with time. The current design calculation, CA06774, Revision 1 provides sump water temperature to $1,000,000$ seconds or about 11 days. The pH versus Time results will be based on the temperature provided here.

* The dose rate for HCl and H2NO3 formation

The new dose adjustments for determining radiologically generated acids are \{Please fill in\}
The dose calculation changed about 2004 but was not carried into CA 04602, Revision 0.
The new generation rates will be based on the adjustments that you provide here.

Sump Surface (50' from filter)


Fitter Housing Surface


18-m ont cycle ty int doses from C A03879 (Bechtel C ald M-81-27 sh. 26, 32, 33)
18 m to 24 m -cycle multiplier for 1 y int. dose from NEU- $93-335$ (Bechtel M-91-44 sh 48 b 848 c )
App $K+$ VAR 1 y int. dose multiplier from CA06188 p. 48
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## Reference 22 - Temperature Profile to 30 Days Following LOCA

From: Massoud, Mahmoud
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2008 10:43 AM
To: KINSEY, STEPHEN P; Swailes, John; Massari, John; 'Kinsey, Steve'
Subject: RE: Data File

## Gentlemen

I've attached the result of extended GOTHIC analysis. The analysis runs for an additional 660,000 seconds (about 8 days). The code predicts that the sump water temperature at 19.2 days would be about 140 F.

Although I extended the decay heat to beyond 1 month, the code did not run to $2,592,000$ seconds due to the lack of sufficient data for the containment air coolers.
-Mahmoud


END OF EMAIL MESSAGE

## Extrapolation

The above temperature profile is used for a linear least-squares polynomial fit supplying the data only from 10 days to 19 days. The figure below shows the original temperature profile with the superimposed linear fit extending to 30 days. The extrapolated temperature value at 30 days is $125.763^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
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Figure G-1. Sump Water Temperature Extrapolated to 30 Days

