

STATES UNITED

	REGULATORY	
AT IT CT E A D		

Interview of Walter F. Sullivan

INVESTIGATIVE INTERVIEW

10 CFR 50, APPENDIX B

3

1

4

5

IN THE MATTER OF:

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

1 4

15

16

1 7

18

19

20

2 1

2 2

23

24

25

202-347-3700

by and Lefore Sean M. Fallon, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, at the offices of

TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken

Stone & Webster, Three Executive Campus, Route

70 & Cuthbert Boulevard, Cherry Hill, New

Jersey, on Wednesday, March 4 1987, commencing

at 12:50 o'clock in the afternoon.

ACE FEDERAL REPORTERS 444 North Capitol Street Washington, DC 20001

MR. MURPHY: It it now 12:50, March
4th, 1987. This is an interview of Mr. Walter
F. Sullivan, who is employed by Stone &
Webster Engineering Corporation. The location
of the interview is at Cherry Hill, New
Jersey, headquarters for the Stone & Webster
Engineering Corporation.

Present during the interview are Mr.

Sullivan, Mr. William G. Meserve, who is representing the Stone & Webster Corporation as an attorney, Len Williamson, Larry Robinson, Leo Norton, Mark Reinhart, Dan Murphy.

As agreed, this is being transcribed by a court reporter. The subject matter of this interview concerns TVA March 20th, 1986 letter to the NRC regarding their compliance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix B. Mr. Sullivan, would you please stand and raise your right hand? Do you swear or affirm the information you about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

MR. MESERVE: In accordance with our

2 4

2 3

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

1 2

1 3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 0

2 1

2 2

prior procedure, I'll make a statement for the record. My name is William G. Meserve. I'm a lawyer with the firm of Ropes & Gray in Boston and I am here today as counsel for Stone & Webster Engineering Cooperation. I am not the counsel for Mr. Sullivan, individually, but I am here at the request of the company of which Mr. Sullivan is an officer, with Mr. Sullivan's concurrence. I have explained to Mr. Sullivan that he is entitled to his individual counsel and he has indicated that he is content to go forward without his own counsel, but with me fitting in as counsel for the company.

I think we've also mentioned previously that it would be our preference, in order to insure the accuracy of the record, to permit the witness to read and to sign the transcript after it has been prepared. It is our understanding that the NRC procedure does not permit this, so we are going along, obviously, with the procedure in this investigation, but I do want to state on the record that it would be our preference to give the witness an opportunity to review the

1 1

1 2

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

- record and to sign it before it's used by the agency.
- 3 BY MR. MURPHY:

8

9

10

1 1

12

1 3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2.4

25

- Q. Mr. Sullivan, would you please give us a brief biographical background as to your educational background and employment experience?
 - A. I graduated from Massachusetts Maritime Academy in 1952 with a B.S. Degree in marine and electrical engineering. From that point I worked for Gulf Oil Corporation as a licensed marine engineer. In 1955 I entered the Navy and my career in the Navy spanned twenty-two years on active duty.

I spent a year and a half on a surface ship and was accepted into the submarine program. Spent about three years in the diesel submarine and then what into the houselear submarine program.

I stayed at sea for essentially my whole career, served on attack submarines, commanding officer of the U.S.S. NATHAN HALE, which was a ballistic missile submarine. I commanded two divisions of attack submarines and had command of a squadron of nuclear

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

1 2

13

14

15

16

1 7

18

19

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

2 5

senior member of the nuclear propulsion
examining board and that position conducted
seventy-five approximately seventy five
underway reactor safeguards examinations for
nuclear powered ships in the Atlantic fleet
and also some about a dozen evaluations of
TENDEL AND
radiologic controls of shore based
facilities. I also operated the largest
shore-based maintenance activity for the
Navy, Services about thirty nuclear
submarines.

In 1977 I retired from the Navy as a Captain, went to work for Stone & Webster. My early career with Stone & Webster was in cost and scheduling. I later became manager of the EARLENINGLED Staff group, took over purchasing. Cost and scheduling. My next assignment was to take over the Houston, Texas office. In December of last year I was sent to Cherry Hill to take over this office. That's essentially my career.

Q. Mr. Sullivan, would you describe for us how Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation got involved with TVA and what role they had

M

in the preparation of the March 20th, 1986

letter, the technical reviews performed by

TVA's line organization, the Stone & Webster

report that was prepared under the -- by Mr.

Kirkebo under the guidance, I guess, of Mr.

Nace, the study done by Craig Lundin, which we

are told was a review of NSRS Perceptions, and

there was an assessment made in the October,

November time frame of 1985, which we've been

told involved Stone & Webster employees.

Could you give us a brief -- could you give us the chronology on Stone & Webster's involvement in all these -- A. I can't help you on the early time period. My first involvement was in late December of '85 or might even have been early January. I was told that Admiral White wanted me as one of his advisors in Chattanooga. That I was to be there on the 13th and that's what I did. So, what happened before that -- I was in Houston. I have no idea what happened. I was not involved.

My first association with the Appendix B problem was -- I was asked to attend a meeting, I think it was the first

2 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

1 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1

2 2

2 3

2 4

A

1 1

1 2

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 4

2 5

week I was there, I'm not sure when that was.

Could have been the second week. It was rather early. There were about a dozen people at the meeting. I was there with Mr. Wegner. I know Kermit Whitt was there. I think Mr. Harrison was there, Mr. Whitt's number two man. I don't remember who else was there. Chuck Mason might have been there. I just don't remember.

at this meeting there were two groups of people, the NSRS and the line organization from TVA. Essentially the meeting was somewhat adversarial. To summarize, the NSRS's position was intransigent. You were not in compliance with Appendix B and the line organization was equally intransigent. We are in compliance. There wasn't much of an enlightening nature out of that meeting. It lasted about an hour or so and I left the meeting. That was all.

Mason who directed the Nuclear Safety Review staff as soon as possible to flesh out and be more specific about the individual statements they had made to Mr. Asselst 102 It was a

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

1 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

24

2 5

single piece of paper with ten or twelve items, alleged violations of Appendix B. He asked them to, as quickly as possible, get into specifics, write up something so that it could be properly responded to.

At the same time, and I believe either it had been done or he directed Bob Mullin to do the same thing on the QA side. Bob might have already had something. I'm not really clear on that. So, after that was done, since I went to that first meeting, I followed to see what was happening, and soon after the NSRS effort to be more specific in the allegations took place, I had a meeting with Mr. Mullin and I called in Dick Kelly, because QA is not an area that I have any expertise in. The meeting lasted about two hours. I can't remember who else was there. I know Bob Mullin, Dick Kelly and myself were there. Dick listened to both sides, and essentially that was the end of my involvement.

I got the two parties together and I'm really not an expert in Appendix B or QA. I had other things to do and went off and did

- them. So, essentially, that was my
 involvement. I might have checked on Craig

 Lundin periodically, maybe once or twice,

 saying, how are doing, Craig? Are you making

 progress? More of a getting a status of

 progress town, rather than getting into any
 - Q. How about the Nace effort?

technical details.

A. The Nace effort was an effort that started very soon after we got there, where we attempted to get anything that was said in anything derogatory about TVA from an outside authority, the NRC, the INPO results of SALP inspections, anything, even newspapers, congress, anything we could find that mentioned a potential problem or a potential deficiency with TVA, we decided we ought to get those things all up on the table, put them in a computer program, so that we could get a feel for what were the problems, as far as the outside world was concerned.

we planned to use these problems

as—to make sure that we had covered them

in the preparation of the Volume 1 of the

Nuclear Performance Plan. It was an

2 5

7

8

3

10

1 1

1 2

1 3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 1

2.2

2 3

- 1 interesting exercise. The results were sorted
- 2 as to plant, as to type of problem, so that if
- 3 you were after a specific area, as I was when
- 4 I got into the training area, I wanted to know
- 5 all about training at Sequoyah and Browns
- 6 Ferry, so I had it reported as by training and
- 7 by plant. I could do the analysis of the
- 8 training area. That's essentially all I know
- 9 about that effort.
- 10 Q. Let me -- were the problems identified
- 11 in this report regarding training?
- 12 A. Oh, yes.
- 13 Q. Were they -- did they turn out to be
- 14 | valid?
- 15 A. I didn't I got into the training area
- 16 | because Browns Ferry had eighty percent fail
- 17 rate on their requalification. I looked at
- 18 the I asked for a run to see what else was
- 19 wrong. But, I really focused in on a real
- 20 problem, which was the failure rate.
- 21 Q. Did you have any participation in the
- 22 | March 20th letter, itself?
- 23 A. No, none at all.
- 24 BY MR. WILLIAMSON:
- 25 Q. What were your duties as advisor to Mr.

```
White? What did they -- were you there as a
1
    permanent party? I mean, when you went down
2
    on January the 13th, were you there for two or
3
    three months or was it an intermittent stay?
        I committed to be there full time for
5
    the first month, and then I don't think I
6
    really made -- well, I was there for the first
7
    two weeks. Then perhaps half time for
8
    February and March and then it began to
9
    dissipate.
10
     Q. As an advisor, the first -- from March,
1 1
1 2
     I guess, through -- excuse me. From February,
13
    through March or April --
           Well, it was from January 13th.
14
     λ.
           January 13th on, what was your --
15
     Q.
           Well, essentially I got involved in
16
     areas that either I picked up myself as
17
     potential problem areas or at White's
18
     direction. For example, in the training area,
19
2 0
     the Browns Ferry requalification effort was a
     real problem. They all failed the exam. Or
2 1
     eighty-five percent. Steve says, spend the
2 2
2 3
     time and get involved and see if you can
```

repair that problem. Which I did.

25 Q. Did you --

- number of other areas where I could -- where I had some experience and could get something done.
- Did anyone, either SWEC employees or TVA

 employees, personally express any concern to

 you about the condition of TVA's Quality

 Assurance Program?
- 9 A. In what respect? I don't understand.
 10 Q. Well, did anybody that had been there
 11 before you, SWEC member who had participated
 12 in the systematic analysis, or you said you
 13 had some contact --

was those when that thing was

- Q. Or with Mr. Lundin, who you had some contact with, was there -- any of those people express any concern, particular concern, about the condition of the Quality Assurance Program at TVA?
 - A. I really don't recall. Nothing on the overall program. I think -- I just don't remember. Could have mentioned some minor areas where they found some problems. I don't remember.
- 25 BY MR. NORTON:

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 0

2 1

2 2

23

- Q. Mr. Sullivan, I know you already stated that you had no participation in the March
- 3 20th letter, but did you review any of the
- 4 earlier drafts of that letter?
- 5 A. They were available. I don't recall --
- 6 I might have glanced through one. I made no
- 7 comments. I didn't get into the technical
- a part of it. Essentially, my input was zero.
- 9 I didn't make any comments.
- 10 Q. Do you recall discussing any of the
- 11 | verbiage in that letter?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Do you recall having any discussions
- 14 | concerning the significance of the issue?
- 15 What the issue might mean to TVA?
- 16 A. No. We were already overdue. I think
- 17 we had a certain deadline. We had already
- 18 gone by that, but as far as the eventual
- 19 effect on TVA, no, I had no recollection of
- 20 any of that.
- 21 Q. This meeting you referred to, Mr.
- 22 Sullivan, during the first or second week you
- 23 were there, attended both by line
- 24 | representatives and NSRS representatives, was
- 25 | there an attempt made during this meeting to

- 1 try to put together a letter or put together a
 2 response?
- 3 A. Oh, no, no. I don't think so. In fact,
- 4 I know there wasn't. There was no attempt to
- 5 | put together a letter, that I can recall.
- 6 BY MR. ROBINSON:
- 7 Q. That meeting was down in Chattanooga,
- 8 | right?
- 9 A. Yes, it was in Chattanooga.
- 10 Q. And soon thereafter you called a meeting
- 11 | with Kelly and Mullin and kind of got them on
- 12 track as far as coordinating the responses to
- 13 | those perceptions.
- 14 A. Also Craig Lundin.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. He wasn't at the meeting, but I had -- I
- 17 | don't recall whether I asked Mr. Kelly for a
- 18 QA representative to help out or someone else
- 19 did, but Craig got involved in the matter
- 20 | rather early.
- 21 MR. MESERVE: At that meeting or
- 22 | afterwards?
- THE WITNESS: It was -- it could
- 24 have been before. I don't remember. I can't
- 25 remember when the meeting was and what the

- 1 | chronological events were.
- 2 BY MR. ROBINSON:
- 3 Q. Do you remember, did you review or
- 4 discuss any of the technical responses that
- 5 | were coming in through Mullin?
- 6 A. No, no. I looked at them, but I didn't
- 7 have the background to make any valid comment.
- 8 0. In what context did you look at them?
- 9 A. Just looked at them. I read through --
- 10 I could see both sides. I could see the NSRS
- 11 position, I could see the TVA position. I
- 12 | just didn't have the background to make any
- 13 | valid comment or any productive comment.
- 14 Q. Did you have any prior indication of an
- 15 | adversarial situation between NSRS and the
- line before you were exposed to that first
- 17 | meeting in Chattanooga?
- 18 A. No. No.
- 19 Q. Well --
- 20 A. At the meeting -- when I say
- 21 | adversarial, everyone was polite, it was a
- 22 | calm meeting, but widely divergent opinions.
- 23 Q. From your background at that point, and
- 24 obviously, limited or no experience at TVA at
- 25 | that point, did you form any opinion as to

- 1 which side was right or wrong at that meeting?
- 2 A. No. No.
- 3 Q. Was the primary direction of your
- 4 | activities after you got Kelly and Mullin
- 5 | together directed toward training from then on
- 6 and totally devoid --
- 7 A. As I remember it, for the next couple of
- 8 | weeks I was involved in other issues. I don't
- 9 recall when the training thing started, but I
- 10 was involved in looking at the organization at
- 11 TVA, making recommendations. Of course the
- 12 training effort. I don't recall the
- 13 | chronological order in which I got involved in
- 14 these things, but it was about that time that
- 15 | I did both of those things, plus a lot of
- 16 other things.
- 17 Q. Mr. -- and Mr. White didn't ask your
- 18 | advice regarding the propriety of this March
- 19 20th letter or in any way?
- 20 A. No. No.
- 21 Q. You didn't sit in on any meetings where
- 22 | that March 20th letter was discussed?
- 23 A. Not that I can recall.
- 24 MR. ROBINSON: I have no further
- 25 | questions.

- 1 BY MR. REINHART:
- 2 Q. Mr. Sullivan, who, among the advisors,
- 3 were Mr. Whit 's key players in that March
- 4 | 20th letter?
- MR. MESERVE: On what? On the March
- 6 | 20th letter?
- 7 MR. REINHART: Yes.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure who --
- 9 whether Dick Kelly had accepted the position
- 10 | at that time, but Dick Kelly was certainly a
- 11 key member. I think **J**im Huston was, and
- 12 | obviously Craig Lundin. I'm not so sure Craig
- 13 got involved in the letter, but Craig was part
- 14 of a six or seven man group that was looking
- 15 into both sides. Other than that, I really
- 16 don't know.
- 17 BY MR. REINHART:
- 18 Q. How about among the -- not so much the
- 19 loan managers like Mr. Kelly and Mr. Houston,
- 20 but the advisors that were Mr. White's
- 21 personal group of advisors there. Do you know
- 22 | who, among them, were involved with that
- 23 letter?
- 24 A. Not -- no, I really don't. I really
- 25 don't know the answer to that.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- You mentioned the -- what we are
- 3 | calling the Lundin effort, this Craig Lundin
- 4 effort, the six man thing. Who initiated
- 5 | that?
- 6 A. I really don't know.
- 7 Q. Do you know the purpose of the effort?
- 8 | A. I don't know for sure. I could guess at
- 9 | it, but I don't know. I wasn't informed at
- 10 | that time.
- 11 Q. Were you involved in that at all, other
- 12 | than knowing that it was going on?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Are you aware, either personally,
- 15 yourself, or knew of or heard of conversations
- 16 between TVA personnel, TVA loan managers,
- 17 advisors, contractors, anybody representing
- 18 | TVA and the NRC in trying to get clarification
- 19 to any questions that might have come up
- 20 regarding the June -- the January 3rd letter
- 21 from the NRC to TVA that raised the question
- 22 on Appendix B?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Do you know or are you aware of any
- 25 | legal counsel at TVA or advisors or loan

- 1 managers sought in that regard?
- 2 A. Not that I can recall, no.
- 3 0. How would you describe the overall
- 4 communication among different levels of
- 5 personnel, say, from the working level, first
- 6 line supervisor, middle management, through
- 7 | Mr. White's advisors, all the way to Mr.
- 8 White, including NSRS, the whole thing? How
- 9 | would you describe in a nutshell the
- 10 | communication in that organization, during the
- 11 period of time you were actually there?
- 12 A. I don't know if I can give you a
- 13 | complete comment. I was in Chattanooga most
- 14 of the time, although I did spend some time at
- 15 | Watts Bar later on, but I don't think I got
- 16 involved in knowing how middle management got
- 17 to Admiral White. I just don't know.
- 18 | Q. Okay.
- 19 A. He had a certain group of people that
- 20 reported directly to him and what their
- 21 reporting structure was, I don't know.
- MR. REINHART: Okay.
- 23 BY MR. ROBINSON:
- 24 Q. I have to just clarify one final thing.
- 25 After your initial meeting with -- when you

- called Kelly and Mullin together, you didn't
- 2 have any further contact with Mullin?
- 3 A. Only in the same context that I had
- contact with Lundin, once or twice. Bob, how
- 5 are you doing, that type of thing.
- 6 Q. No business on Appendix B type context?
- 7 A. No.
- MR. ROBINSON: That's all I have.
- 9 BY MR. REINHART:
- 10 Q. Did you have any contact with NSRS
- people?
- 12 A. With Kermit Whitt, in some respects. I
- 13 did talk to Kermit Whitt.
- 14 Q. Anybody else at NSRS?
- 15 A. No. I never met anybody from NSRS.
- 16 BY MR. ROBINSON:
- 17 Q. What did you talk to Kermit Whitt about?
- 18 | A. Generally, organization. I sat in with
- 19 a couple of meetings with Kermit Whitt and Mr.
- 20 White where Mr. White asked Kermit what his
- 21 | activities were for that period and,
- 22 essentially, Kermit would relate his
- 23 | activities. I had several discussions with
- 24 | him on management and organization and what
- 25 | his feelings were and I don't think I got into

- 1 the Appendix B issue. I don't know if Kermit,
- 2 himself, was that much involved in it.
- 3 BY MR. REINHART:
- 4 Q. Mr. Sullivan, during the time you were
- 5 at TVA, January, February, March, that period,
- 6 | could you categorize for us, from your
- 7 observation, the three major issues that
- 8 Office of Nuclear Power was dealing with? The
- 9 top three. There might have been only three,
- 10 | there might have been forty. I'm just -- your
- 11 | perceptions at the time.
- 12 A. Let me think about that for a minute.
- 13 I'd say getting Volume 1 assembled and written
- 14 was a major issue. Get that done. I don't
- 15 know if the Appendix B issue, from my
- 16 | standpoint was the major issue. I knew we
- 17 were overdue and there was some pressure to
- 18 get the letter out from outside, but I don't
- 19 know if that was a major issue or not. I
- 20 | think the effort that Mr. Kirkebo was going
- 21 | through to develop all the alleged problems
- 22 | from outside activities was a major activity.
- As far as my personal involvement, I
- 24 really think that the failure of the Browns
- 25 Ferry operators was something I was very

M

1	concerned with. I considered that my major
2	activity during about a three week period.
3	MR. REINHART: Okay.
4	MR. MURPHY: Anything else?
5	MR. NORTON: No.
6	MR. MURPHY: First off, Mr.
7	Sullivan, thank you for taking the time to
8	talk with us today and to close, I would like
9	to say, have I or any other NRC
10	representatives threatened you in any manner
11	or offered you any reward in return for this
1 2	statement?
1 3	THE WITNESS: No.
1 4	MR. MURPHY: Have you given this
15	statement freely and voluntarily?
1 6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
1 7	MR. MURPHY: Is there any additional
18	information you'd like to add to the record?
19	THE WITNESS: No.
2 0	MR. MURPHY: This interview is
2 1	concluded at 1:17, March 4th, 1987. Thank you
2 2	for your time. Appreciate it.
2 3	That Hill

2 4