

# OCCUPATIONAL RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM ANNUAL SURVEY

NEW HAVEN DEPOT

AUGUST 25-27, 2003

Prepared by
Robert L. Skruck
Directorate of Environmental Management
DNSC-E

# OCCUPATIONAL RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM SURVEY NEW HAVEN DEPOT

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On August 25-27, 2003, Mr. Robert L. Skruck, Radiological Safety Officer, performed a survey of the radiological operations at the DNSC New Haven Depot in New Haven, IN. The results of the survey indicated that the depot had an effective Occupational Radiation Protection Program. Two (2) items were identified that did not meet the requirements of the DNSC Nuclear Regulatory Commission License or the DNSC ORPP and are identified in sections VII and XI of this report. Exposures for depot personnel have been maintain ALARA.

Implementation of the following recommendations will improve the overall management and regulatory compliance of the ORPP at New Haven.

- a. Each depot having licensable radioactive materials in storage will have on hand as a minimum, two instruments capable of detecting alpha radiation. New Haven only has the E-600 meter with SHP-380A probe. A second alpha meter is on order as of 8Aug03. [ORPP Section 4.4].
- b. Dispose of radioactive waste still on depot since July 2001. [ORPP Section 7]

### DISCUSSION

### I. ADMINISTRATION

Lois Huddlestun and Nikki Horther are designated as the Radiological Protection Officers (RPOs) here at New Haven Depot. Robert L. Skruck has been assigned as the Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) of this depot on January 15, 2003. Lois Huddlestun and Nikki Horther received the minimum 40 hours of radiation training in March 1997 and November 2002 respectively.

### **LICENSE**

Radiological operations were authorized under NRC license STC-133, Amendment No. 24, issued May 5, 2003, expiring on February 28, 2010. The license authorizes the storage, sampling, repackaging and transfer of natural uranium and thorium mixtures as ores, concentrates and solids. The license was implemented under the DNSC ORPP manual dated December, 2002.

### **INVENTORY**

The license authorizes the DNSC to possess a total of 2,000,000 kg of radioactive material in the form of uranium and thorium contained in ores, concentrates, and solids. Inventories at

specific locations were not limited. DNSC records dated August 12, 2003 indicate that the depot possessed a total of 1,009,133 pounds of Columbium/Tantalum(containing 514 kg uranium plus thorium) with a total of 0.29 curies and 53,172 pounds of Tungsten Scrap (containing 147 kg uranium with a total of 0.10 curies. The weights agree with depot records. A physical inventory was last conducted by Lois Huddlestun on June 18, 2003. Inventory results were in agreement with records. A decommissioning file is in place and is acceptable per paragraph 4.11 of the ORPP, latest edition.

### II. DOSIMETRY

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD) are available for all employees with access to the radioactive materials; a supply is kept on hand for visitors. TLD's are supplied and analyzed by the U.S. Army Ionizing Radiation Dosimetry Center (USAIRDC) at the Redstone Arsenal. USAIRDC possess an NVLAP certification. All TLD's are stored in the administration building in the RPO's office and are issued to workers when they have to enter the restricted area, section 3 in warehouse 214.

The writer reviewed the results of the personnel monitoring for the past year. A total of 18 TLD's had been issued to personnel working at the depot. The monitoring results indicated that the exposures were below the 5.0 Rem annual limit specified by the ORPP. The range of recorded annual exposures was 0.000 to 0.037 Rem.

Each person issued a TLD had completed an exposure history (Form 1952). Copies were maintained by the RPO. Exposure records had been reviewed quarterly and the RPO provided each worker with an annual report for the previous year.

Internal dosimetry is not routinely performed due to the low dose rates and closed containers of Tantalum/Columbium and the Tungsten Scrap now stored at the New Haven Depot

### PUBLIC DOSE COMPLIANCE

A total of 18 dose rate measurements were made at the perimeter of the depot which indicated that levels were at background. Therefore the annual dose to members of the public in the area outside the depot was zero. The property inside the depot fence is a controlled area. Section 3 in warehouse 214 is a restricted area.

Members of the public are not routinely present in the controlled area with the exception of the Depot Secretary at New Haven Depot. The annual dose for the Depot Secretary was calculated at 6.24 mrem per year which is within the allowable limits (100 mrem) noted in 10 CFR 20.1301. This was done by subtracting background (0.022 mR/hr) from the dose rate in the depot office which was 0.025 mR/hr and multiplying the net result (0.003 mR/hr) by a 40 hr. work week.

Annual dose for members of the public intermittently present in the controlled area was calculated at 0.21 mrem per year which is within the allowable limits. This was accomplished by subtracting background (0.022 mR/hr) from the dose rate of 0.026 mR/hr taken at 1 meter outside section 3, warehouse 214. The net result (0.004 mR/hr) was multiplied by an occupancy factor of no more than 1 hr. per week to represent the activities of service workers.

### III. TRAINING

The RSO has received the formal radiological safety classroom training required by the ORPP [section 17.1] and has also received training in DOT Regulations.

General worker training of depot employees and security personnel was accomplished by the RSO on Aug 26, 2003. The RSO maintained a detailed outline of the training topics presented during the class. Scope of the training met the requirements of the ORPP [section 17.2]. The RSO upon completion of this training shall notify the ORPM, in this report, of the names of all attendees at the meeting including security personnel.

### IV. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

The depot has an Emergency Response Plan dated July 10, 2003. Emergency response personnel have been informed, by memo dated July 10, 2003, of the location of radioactive material stored at the depot. Material safety data sheets are maintained at the security office for an emergency.

### V. RADIATION SURVEYS

The last annual survey conducted at this site was completed by Mr. William Till in July of 2002. In August 2002 an external audit was conducted by ERS Solutions, Inc. of Sacramento, CA.

Instrumentation used to perform this survey was an Eberline E-600 multi-purpose digital survey meter with a SSPA-3 probe. Measured dose rates are shown on the attached "Monitoring Summary" sheet.

LOCATION	NILLADED OF DEADDICG
LOCATION	NUMBER OF READINGS
Background	10
Depot fence line	18
1 meter from closed door exteriors	18
1 meter from Block Stack, Tant/Col	. 8
1 foot from Block Stack, Tant/Col.	8
Rows between drums	10
Center of Aisles	10
Contact drums	20
1 meter from drums	20
3 meters from drums	10
6 meters from drums	10
Former Zirconium Ore (Contact)	5
Fence Surrounding Zirconium Ore	6
Tungsten Scrap at Contact	10
Depot Office Space	5

### VI. RECEIPTS, SHIPMENTS, AND DISPOSAL

No receipts, shipments or disposals were made since the last annual survey.

### VII. INSTRUMENTATION

The depot does not have an adequate supply of instrumentation on hand to comply with the ORPP. The depot has an Eberline Geiger Counter model E-520, a Dosimeter Corp. model 5-0002 GM detector, and a model E-600 Multi-Purpose Survey Meter with SHP-380A and SPA-3 probes; Only one instrument (E-600 with SHP-380A probe) is capable of measuring alpha, two are required (See Recommendations). All calibrations had been accomplished within the allowable (every 365 days) time frames by Thermo Electron Company of West Columbia, SC. Cs-137 gamma check source and a Th-230 alpha check source are also on depot and calibrated per the manual and regulations.

Calibration Certificates were on file for all units at the New Haven Depot.

### VIII. INCIDENTS

No incidents were reported since the last survey.

### IX. STORAGE AREAS

Radioactive material, Columbium/Tantalum and Tungsten Scrap, is stored in warehouse 214, Section 3, Bays 15, 18, 9, 14, 34, 46, and 77. Section 3 is a secured with locks on all four rollup doors located on each side (north and south) of the section. The east fire wall (adjacent to section 4) contains two fire doors which are kept locked. The west firewall (adjacent to section 2) contains two firedoors which are kept closed but not locked. Due to the dose rates that are recorded from the Columbium/Tantalum exceeding 0.5 mR/hr, Section 3 in Building 214 is designated as a restricted area.

Radioactive (Zirconium Ore) was formerly stored at open area #7A. This material was sold and shipped in 2001 but this area has not yet been decontaminated. A decontamination project/contract has been issued.

### X. POSTING

Copies of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 section 206, NRC Form 3, the license, operating procedures were posted in the depot office.

At the longitudinal centerline of each exterior wall (north & south) outside of section 3 one National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) sign with the radiation symbol and a stencil sign stating No Smoking Within 30 feet were posted. The exterior sides of all ten doors into the section are posted with a sign that states "Caution Radioactive Materials". The radioactive material in the section is marked/posted "Caution Radiation Hazard". A circular fence that surrounds the area of the former zirconium ore piles is posted intermittently "Caution Radioactive Materials".

### XI. OTHER

A project to sample columbium-tantalum ore, currently stored in warehouse 214, occurred in CY2001. Two drums of waste material (maslin wipes and coveralls) were collected, surveyed and not disposed of in an approved disposal facility to date. This project concluded in July 2001 and the area was cleaned/cleared on 14 August 2001 by the RPO. See recommendations item #2.

The most recent audit of the New Haven radiation program was conducted by ERS Solutions, Inc. in August 2002. It concluded that the depot had an effective Occupational Radiation Protection Program. One burlap bag of Cb/Ta was over packed in a metal 30 gal. drum as recommended in that audit.

The NRC completed an inspection of the New Haven Depot radiation program April 2002. No violations and no recommendations were noted.

### CONCLUSION

The ORPP, at the DNSC New Haven Depot, was effective. Implementing the following recommendations will improve the overall management and regulatory compliance.

- 1. Each depot having licensable radioactive materials in storage will have on hand as a minimum, two instruments capable of detecting alpha radiation. New Haven Depot only has the E-600 meter with SHP-380A probe. A second alpha meter is on order as of 8Aug03. [ORPP Section 4.4]
  - 2. Dispose of the radioactive waste still on depot since July 2001. [ORPP Section 7]

### ANNUAL RADIATION TRAINING AT NEW HAVEN DEPOT 2003 – ATTENDEES

Lois Huddlestun, GSS
Nikki Horther, GSS
William Till, GSS
Dale Arnos, Acting Eng. Equip. Supv.
Warren Flood, Eng. Equip. Supv.
Richard Whitman, Eng. Equip. Oper.
Snowden Hensley, Eng. Equip. Oper.
Brian Kilpatrick, Eng. Equip. Oper.
Scott Smith, Eng. Equip. Oper.
John M. Colgate, Security
Daniel L. Fogel, Security
Steven A. Langston, Security

Item	Question	Reference	Comments	R	C	)
001	Verify that the ORPM has designated a Depot RPO, in writing	ORPP 3.1	Lois Huddlestun & Nikki Horther are the designated RPOs in writing	Х		
002	Certify that the RSO has extended the training program among depot personnel and continued training of old and new employees	ORPP 3.3	Twelve (12) depot/security employees were trained 8/26/03	x		
003	Verify that the RPO has the most recent copy of the ORPP	ORPP 4.1	Copy of ORPP on file at the depot	Х		
004	Verify that the RPO has periodically reviewed all plans and procedures, maintained instruments, inspected records and materials in storage.	ORPP 4.1	Plans on file. Calibration by Thermo Electron.	x		
005	Verify that all personnel entering a restricted area completed a DD Form 1952, "Dosimeter Application And Record Of Occupational Radiation Exposure".	ORPP 4.2	DD Form 1952's are completed and on file.	x		
006	Verify that dosimetry results have been mailed to all non-DNSC personnel annually.	ORPP 4.2	Mailed when results come back from the Army	х		
007	Verify that a permanent record (DD 1141 or ADR) has been maintained for all potentially exposed individuals	ORPP 4.2	Records are on file in the radiation book, records are complete	Х		
008	Verify that Section 206 of Public Law 93-438 "Energy Reorganization Act of 1974", NRC Form 3 "Notice To Employees", and the location of the NRC license are posted so as to be clearly visible.	ORPP 4.3	Posted inside the Depot Office		X	
009	Verify that the depot has at least 2 GM counters, 2 alpha counters, alpha & gamma check sources, and TLDs for each employee	ORPP 4.4	See equipment list. There are nine employees at the Depot and 18 TLD's		X	
010	Verify that sufficient TLDs are available for visitors	ORPP 4.4	Nine employees are issued TLD's, one is a control TLD, and eight for visitors		Х	
011	Date of the last RSO survey	ORPP 4.5	Enter Date: July, 2002	$\perp$ X		
012	Verify that the RPO has reviewed and documented all exposure records quarterly	ORPP 4.6	Exposure records are reviewed and on file	Х		
013	Verify that the RPO has notified each employee of his/her accumulated dose and obtained written acknowledgements from the employees that were placed in the depot records annually	ORPP 4.6	Annual/quarterly history of exposure are signed by each employee		X	

Item	Question	Reference	Comments	R	0	1
	Verify that the RPO monitored such					
	operations as material handling,					
014	repackaging, spills, clean-ups, and/or any	ORPP 4.6				
014	other operational activities relating to these	ORFF 4.0				
	materials, and maintain appropriate records					
	of such operations.		None since the last report.		Х	
	Verify that the RPO has coordinated any					
	shipments and paperwork associated with	ORPP 4.7				
	the shipment including NRC Form 741.		None since the last report.	Х		
	Cerify that radiation protection training has					
016	been provided at least once per fiscal year	ORPP 4.8				
	to depot employees		Records are on file in the radiation book, records are complete	Χ		
	Certify that the RSO notified the ORPM in					
017	writing of the names of all attendees at the	ORPP 4.8				
	training		Sent in Radiation Survey Report conducted during 25-27Aug03.	Х		Ш
018	Verify that the layout of storage facilities	ORPP 5			<u> </u>	
0.0	minimizes exposure to ionizing radiation.	014110	All material is stored to minimize exposures and no shielding is required.		Χ	
	Verify that prior to the beginning of a					
	repackaging, relocation, or decontamination					
	project, an assessment was made by the					
019	DNSC ORPP Manager, the radiological	ORPP 5.1				
	officers, and other stockpile personnel, to					
	determine if there is a need for additional		Name was also as distanced in the most was			, l
	controls.		None were planned in the past year.	-	1	<u> </u>
020	Verify that where necessary shielding has	ORPP 5.2	No objection is required for New Hoven Depot		x	
	been used to reduce exposures  Verify that Time, Distance, and Shielding		No shielding is required for New Haven Depot.	-	^	$\vdash$
021	have been used as necessary to reduce	ORPP 5.2;5.3;5.4				
021	exposures to depot personnel	ORFF 5.2,5.5,5.4	Time and distance are utilized, shielding not required at this depot.		X	
	Verify that the use of respirators has been in		Time and distance are dainzed, sinciding not required at this depot.	1	<u> </u>	$\vdash \vdash$
	· ·					
022	accordance with (IAW) DNSC Occupational	ORPP 5.5				
	Health Guidelines for Respiratory Protection		Respirator guidelines were on file, dated 4/27/97.	Х		
	Verify that personnel using PPE have		,		l	$\Box$
023	received appropriate training in the use and	ORPP 5.5				
	care of the PPE		Training was accomplished on 15Jul03, nine people were trained.	Х		
	Verify that depot manager & RSO are					
024	cognizant of Precautionary Measures noted	ORPP 6				
	in the ORPP		This subject was covered in the training materials			Х
	Verify that personnel are monitored during					
025	and after contact with licensed radioactive	ORPP 6				
	material		No containers were opened since the last report.			Χ
	Verify that any shipment of radioactive					
026	material, in the past year, has been	ORPP 6				
	inaccordance with federal regulations		No shipments since the last report.	Χ		Ш

O = Personal observation

I = Interview

None on file.

**ORPP 9.1.6** 

Nikki Horther and Lois Huddlestun received regulatory guide.

039

Exposure".

Review any declarations of pregnancy reported to the RPO for the past year for

compliance with 10 CFR 20.1208

Health and Safety Manager/employees receive physicalsOcc. Health Ft. Wayne.

provided

Item	Question	Reference	Comments	R	0	I
	Verify that the depot RPO has established a					l
	Radiological Data Book containing license					l
	data, exposure data, calibration data, the					ł
	DNSC ORPP and all other documents					l
051	related to the source material at the site.	ORPP 16.2				ł
	Included shall be written records of quarterly					l
	exposure reviews, annual radiation					ł
	exposure notifications, and initial and annual					l
	radiation safety training		The data is on file in the Depot Radiation Library in conference room.		Х	l
052	Verify that personnel dosimetry records are	ORPP 16.3				1
	maintained IAW DLAD 5025.30	O1(11 10.0	Records are on file.	Х		<u> </u>
	Verify that each RPO has been provided 40					l
	hours of formal classroom training that					l
	includes the fundamentals of ionizing					ł
053	radiation, its characteristics, and appropriate	ORPP 17.1				ł
	units of measure, evaluation techniques,					ł
	instrumentation, biological effects, NRC					l
	Regulations, and control measures.		Depot RPOs trained in Mar. 97 and Nov. 02, see report.	Χ		<u> </u>
054	Verify that the RPO has received training in	ORPP 17.1				l
	DOT regulations		DOT Training in RPO's records.	Х		<del> </del>
	Verify that all depot personnel (except					l
	clerical staff) have received annual training					l
	which includes potential hazards,					ł
055	precautions to minimize exposure, work	ORPP 17.2				l
	practices and operating procedures,					l
	personal hygiene, information contained in					l
	NRC Regulatory Guide 8.13, and use of					l
	personal protective clothing and equipment.		Training was completed 8/26/03.	Χ		<u> </u>
	Certify that the RSO developed and					l
056	maintained a detailed site specific training outline and maintained attendance rosters	ORPP 17.2				ł
	for each training session		Training outline and roster is on file at New Haven complete 25-27Aug03.	Χ		ł
	Verify that security personnel who may		<u> </u>			Ī
057	encounter radiological hazards are properly	ORPP 17.3				ł
	instructed annually		Security personnel were trained.	Χ		1

O = Personal observation

Item	Reference	Question	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
A		Quantities (Ci & kg U + Th) in storage?				NEW HAVEN DEPOT, NEW HAVEN, IN
		Radiation Protection Programs				, , ,
	00.4404	(b) Are procedures & engineering controls in place for ALARA	Х			
В	20.1101	(d) Are the provisions in the radiation protection manual	Х			
		being implemented				
		Occupational Dose Limits for Adults				
		(a)(1)				
С	20.1201	(i) Are radiation workers TEDE < 5 rem/year	Х			
	20.1201	(d) Were there any DAC & ALI assigned exposures		Χ		
		(f) Was any radiation worker employed outside the organization	Χ			Kanorado/Rubber project.
		If so, did the employee receive any occupational exposure	X			0.037 rem
		Compliance with Requirements to Sum External & Internal Doses				
D	20.1202	(b)(c)(d) Did any exposure occur due to an assigned or measured		Х		
		inhalation, ingestion or skin absorption uptake				
		If so, was there any uptake, either assigned or measured		Χ		
	20.1203	<u>Determination of External Dose from Airborne RAM</u>				
E		Were DDE, eye DE & shallow DE exposure included in a dose from			х	
		airborne RAM				
F	20.1206	Planned Special Exposures				
•	20.1200	Did a planned special exposure occur		Х		
		Occupational Dose Limits for Minors				
G	20.1207	Were any minors (< 18 years old) employed		Х		
		If so, was the TEDE < 500 mrem/year			Х	
		Dose to an Embryo/Fetus				
		Did any female worker voluntary inform her supervisor/RPO of her		Х		
		pregnancy, in writing, to include date of conception		^		Two females in the program (Nikki Horther & Lois Huddlestun)
н	20.1208	(a) If yes, did licensee take action to ensure that the dose to the			Х	
		embryo/fetus was < 500 mrem during entire pregnancy				
		(b) Did licensee maintain a uniform monthly exposure rate			Х	
		(c) Was dose to embryo/fetus summed using the deep DE & CDE to			Х	
		both the embryo/fetus & the woman				
		Dose Limits for Individual Members of the Public				
		(a)(1) Was the TEDE to individuals of the public < 100 mrem	X			
1	20.1301	(2) Was the dose in an unrestricted area < 2 mrem/hour	X			
		(b) Did any member of the public have access to a controlled area	Х			
		(d) Did licensee request a dose limit increase for any member of the		Х		
		public				

Compliance with Dose Limits for Individual Members of the Public (a) Was survey made of radiation levels in unrestricted & controlled areas   Were there any radioactive effluents (b) Did survey show compliance with annual dose limits (c) Did survey show dose rate from external sources in an unrestricted area to be < 2 members and < 50 members   X	Item	Reference	Question	Y	N	N/A	Comments
Survey show compliance with annual dose limits   X			Compliance with Dose Limits for Individual Members of the Public				
(b) Did survey show compliance with annual dose limits (c) Did survey show dose rate from external sources in an unrestricted area to be < 2 mem/hour and < 50 mem/year    Surveys and Monitoring			areas	Х			
(c) Did survey show dose rate from external sources in an unrestricted area to be <2 mrem/hour and <50 mrem/year a	J	20.1302	3		Χ		
A				X			
R 20.1501  R 20.1501  (a) Does survey evaluate radiation levels & quantities of RAM					v		
Carrier   Carr			area to be < 2 mrem/hour and < 50 mrem/year		^		
(b) Are instruments calibrated at least annually (c) Are TLDs NAVLAP accredited   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X							
(b) Are instruments calibrated at least annually (c) Are TLDs NAVLAP accredited  Conditions Requiring Individual Monitoring (a)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1201  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1207 or 20.1208  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (3) Are radiation workers likely to enter a high radiation area If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive and they are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive and they are they provided monitoring devices (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive and they are they provided monitoring devices  Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  N 20.1801  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  P 20.1901  O 20.1902  O 20.1902  O 20.1902  O 20.1902  O 20.1903  O 20.1904  O 20.1904  O 20.1904  O 20.1904  O 20.1905  O 20.1907  O 20.1908  O 20.1907  O 20.190	K	20.1501	(a) Does survey evaluate radiation levels & quantities of RAM	Χ			
Conditions Requiring Individual Monitoring   (a)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1201   If yes, are they provided monitoring devices   X   (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1207 or 20.1208   X	IX.		3	Χ			
(a)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1201  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1207 or 20.1208  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (3) Are radiation workers likely to enter a high radiation area If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea (B)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea (CEDE > 50 mrem If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (B)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (CEDE > 50 mrem (CED				Χ			
L   20.1201							
If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1207 or 20.1208  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (3) Are radiation workers likely to enter a high radiation area If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea (EEDE > 50 mrem If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  X  Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  X  Security of Stored Material Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  Posting Requirements (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix  X			1, 4, 5, 4		Х		
(2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receive a dose > 10% of limits in 20.1207 or 20.1208  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (3) Are radiation workers likely to enter a high radiation area If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea (CEDE > 50 mrem If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  X  Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  N  20.1801  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  Postting Requirements (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix						Х	
20.1502   If yes, are they provided monitoring devices   X							
(3) Are radiation workers likely to enter a high radiation area  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > 10% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea CEDE > 50 mrem  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  X  Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  N  20.1801  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  P  20.1902  A   Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  Security of Stored Material Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix			> 10% of limits in 20.1207 or 20.1208				
If yes, are they provided monitoring devices (b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > !0% ALIs (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea (EDE > 50 mrem  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  N 20.1801 Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  O 20.1901  Are stored licensee using the standard radiation symbol  A Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  P 20.1902  (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix	L	20.1502	<u> </u>			Χ	
(b)(1) Are radiation workers likely to receive an intake > !0% ALIS (2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea CEDE > 50 mrem  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  Respiratory Protection and Controls (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  N 20.1801  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  O 20.1901  P 20.1902  (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  P 20.1902  (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix					Χ		
(2) Are minors and declared pregnant women likely to receivea CEDE > 50 mrem  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  Respiratory Protection and Controls  (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  N 20.1801 Security of Stored Material Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  P 20.1902 (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix						Х	
CEDE > 50 mrem  If yes, are they provided monitoring devices  X  Respiratory Protection and Controls  (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  X  Security of Stored Material Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  Posting Requirements (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X					Χ		
CEDE > 50 mrem						х	
Respiratory Protection and Controls  (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne (2) If yes, does the licensee have a complete respiratory protection program as required in 20.1703  X  Security of Stored Material Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  O 20.1901  Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  Posting Requirements (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix							
M 20.1701 (1) Does the licensee possess RAM that could become airborne						Χ	
Color   Colo							
program as required in 20.1703  Security of Stored Material  Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  20.1901  Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol  Posting Requirements (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix	М	20.1701		Χ			
Posting Requirements  [a] I program as required in 20.1/03  [b] Security of Stored Material				Х			
N 20.1801 Are stored licensed materials in controlled or unrestricted areas secured from unauthorized removal  O 20.1901 Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol X  P 20.1902 (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix							
from unauthorized removal  O 20.1901 Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol X  P 20.1902 (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in A							
O 20.1901 Caution Signs (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol X  P 20.1902 (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix	N	20.1801		Х			
P 20.1901 (a) Is licensee using the standard radiation symbol X  Posting Requirements (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix							
P 20.1902 (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area of the qu	0	20.1901		Χ			
P 20.1902 (a) Is a radiation area properly posted (b) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (c) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (d) Is a radiation area properly posted (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is an area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of material specified in Appendix (e) Is a real area of the quantity of materia							
(e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix		20.4000	(a) Is a radiation area properly posted	Χ			
C posted with Caution – Radioactive Materials	P	20.1902	(e) Is an area > 10 times the quantity of material specified in Appendix	V			
			C posted with Caution – Radioactive Materials	Х			

Item	Reference	Question	Υ	N	N/A	Comments
		Labeling Containers				
	20.1904	(a) Is each container of licensed material labeled – Caution Radioactive				
Q		Material & radionuclide, quantity, date, and radioactivity determined,		Х		
		radiation level, and kind of material				
		Exemptions to Labeling Requirements				
R	20.1905	(e) Are containers accessible only to individuals authorized to handle	Х			
		If yes, is there a written record of the material readily available	Х			
		Waste Disposal				
S	20.2001	(a) Was any radioactive material disposed of		Χ		
		(b)(4) Was receiving activity licensed to receive the waste			Х	
		Transfer for Disposal and Manifests				
Т	20.2006	(a) Was any RAM transferred to a land disposal facility		Χ		
		(b) If yes, was a shipment manifest prepared			Х	
U	20.2101	Records				
0	20.2101	(a)(b) Are records kept in appropriate & distinct units	Х			
		Records of Radiation Protection Programs				
V	20.2102	(a)(1) Is a copy of the ORRP on hand	Χ			
		(2) Are audits conducted and available (3 years) for review	Х			
W	20.2103	Records of Surveys				
VV	20.2103	(a) Are survey and calibration records retained for 3 years	Χ			
		<b>Determination of Prior Occupational Dose</b>				
Х	20.2104	(a)(1) Are current records of occupational radiation dose on file	Χ			
		(2) Is a lifetime occupational radiation dose on file	Х			
		Records of Individual Monitoring Results				
Υ	20.2106	(a) Are the appropriate dose records maintained for each rad worker	Χ			
		(b) Are the records updated at least annually	Х			
		(c) Are the records maintained on NRC form 5 or equivalent	Х			
		Records of Dose to Individual Members of the Public				
Z		(a) Are any members of the public exposed to ionizing radiation	Х			Occasional visitors to depot; exposure <0.5 Mr/hr short term
		(b) If yes, are records maintained to demonstrate compliance			Х	
		Records of Waste Disposal				
AA		(a) Were any radionuclides disposed of		Х		
		(b) If yes, do adequate records exist			Χ	
BB	20.211	Form of Records				
_		Are records legible & safeguarded against tampering & loss	Х			
		Reports of Theft or Loss of Licensed Material				
CC		Has any licensed material been lost or stolen		Х		
		(a)(b) If yes, have the conditions in these paragraphs been met			Χ	

	Reference	Question	Υ			
DD 2		NT-4*C*4*CT*-14	_		N/A	Comments
ر ا الا	20 2202	Notification of Incidents				
	20.2202	(a) Have any incidents occurred		Х		
		(b) If yes, were appropriate and timely notifications made			Χ	
EE 2	20.2203	Reports of Incidents				
		(a)(b)(d) If 20.2202 is yes, were appropriate reports submitted			Χ	
		Postings of Notices To Workers				
		(a)(1)(2)(3) Has the licensee posted: copies of 10 CFR 19 & 20; the	Х			
FF	19.11	license with amendments; operating procedures	٠.			
		(4) any NOVs		Χ		
		(b) Is NRC Form 3 posted conspicuously	Χ			
		Instructions to Radiation Workers				
		Are radiation workers instructed on health protection from radiation,				
GG		NRC regulations, how to report abnormal conditions, appropriate	V			
		response to unusual occurrences, and advised on their radiation	Χ			
		exposure reports.				Training given to employees 26Aug03.
		Notifications and Reports to Individuals				
		(a) Are radiation exposure data reported, in writing, to each radiation				
		worker to include: name of license, name of individual, SSN, exposure				
HH		information, and the phrase: "This report is furnished to you under the	Χ			
		provisions of the NRC regulation 10 CFR Part 19. You should preserve				
		this report for further reference."				
		(b) Is each radiation worker advised annually of his dose	Χ			
	Ī					

## **MONITORING SUMMARY**

Station No.	Location (Building & bay, area, etc.)	Item/Person (Name, object, material, etc.)	Instrument	Probe	Distance	Reading (mR/hr, Dose Rate)	Dose Rate (mRem/hr, µRem/hr, cpm, dpm, dpm/100cm2)	α, β, γ
1	Off Depot, Field	Background	E-600	SSPA-3	N/A	0.019-0.022		Υ
2	2 Fence Line		E-600	SSPA-3	N/A	0.020-0.023		Υ
3	Warehouse 214	Whse. 214, Sec. 3 (outside wall)	E-600	SSPA-3	1 Meter	0.022-0.026		Y
4	Warehouse 214	Columbium/Tantalum,Block Stack	E-600	SSPA-3	1 Meter	0.035-0.065		Y
5	Warehouse 214	Columbium/Tantalum,Block Stack	E-600	SSPA-3	1 Foot	0.04-0.059		Y
6	Warehouse 214	Rows Between Drums	E-600	SSPA-3	N/A	0.04-0.225		Y
7	Warehouse 214	Center of Aisles	E-600	SSPA-3	N/A	0.037-0.110		Y
8	Warehouse 214	Columbium/Tantalum Drums	E-600	SSPA-3	Contact	0.05-0.515		Y
9	Warehouse 214	Columbium/Tantalum Drums	E-600	SSPA-3	1 Meter	0.035-0.310		Y
10	Warehouse 214	Columbium/Tantalum Drums	E-600	SSPA-3	3 Meters	0.03-0.045		Y
11	Warehouse 214	Columbium/Tantalum Drums	E-600	SSPA-3	6 Meters	0.025-0.029		Y
12	Outside Storage	Former Zirconium Ore Pile	E-600	SSPA-3	Contact	0.420-0.730		Y
13	Outside Storage	Fence Surrounding Zirconium Ore	E-600	SSPA-3	At Fence	0.030-0.037		Y
14	Warehouse 214	Tungsten Scrap at Contact	E-600	SSPA-3	Contact	0.031-0.035		Y
15	Depot Office	Secretaries Desk Area	E-600	SSPA-3	1 Meter	0.022-0.025		Υ
	NEW HAVEN DEPOT	-						
	New Haven, Indiana							
	Robert L. Skruck, EP	S						
	25-27Aug03							

### **INSTRUMENTATION**

Location: NEW HAVEN DEPOT Date: August 25-27, 2003
NEW HAVEN, INDIANA

				Probe												Calib	ration	
х	Instrument	Serial	HP-270	SPA-3	SHP400	SABP-100	SSPA-3	SHP-380A	SHP-270	SHP-360	SHP-380AB	AC-3	Other	Condition		Calibration Certificate		( 'alibration
		No.	γ	γ	γ	αβ	γ	α	γ	β	αβ	α		OK	NG	Υ	N	
	E-120																	
Χ	E-520	3135											Χ	Χ		Χ		19-Nov-02
Χ	E-600 Multi-Purpose Survey Meter (Digital)	1883					Х	Х						Χ		Χ		20-Feb-03
Χ	Dosimeter Corp. Model 5-0002	5-0002											Χ	Χ		Χ		20-Nov-02
	Ludlum Mod. 2																	
	ASP-1 Multi-Purpose Survey Meter (Analog)																	
	ASP-2 Multi-Purpose Survey Meter (Digital)																	
	CDV-750 Charger																n/a	
	CDV-750 Charger																n/a	
	CDV-138 Pocket Dosimeter											•					n/a	
Х	Panasonic TLD's	18 Units												Χ			n/a	

	Check Source	Activity (µCi)	Туре	S/N	Manufacturer	Calibration Date
	Am-241		α			
	Co-60		γ			
Х	Cs-137	4.938 uCi	γ	948-84	Isotope Products	15-Dec-02
	Tc-99		β			
Х	Th-230	.9797 Nci	α	A8-528	Isotope Products	1-Dec-02