

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Chet Poslusny
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2008 8:08 AM
To: Paul Kallan
Subject: Re: Feedback from Scoping Meetings

The presence of the same speakers at both meetings is a matter of activists making sure that their voices are heard especially when there are media present. It gives them two chances to criticize the process as well and often they update their comments after they have heard others during the first session.

I too was impressed by the level of courtesy and respect that was present by all participants. It made it very comfortable for the staff.

I was impressed by our speakers as a member of the audience. It went over very well I thought. Great job.

The DEIS meeting will be much more challenging.

>>> Paul Kallan 2/12/2008 3:38:57 PM >>>

I feel the public meetings went well as we had prepared well for the meetings.

The scoping process has two objectives:

-One is to inform the public of our environmental process which we did in both meetings.
-The second is to receive comments from the public and we received a number of comments.

I found that the same people that spoke in the first meeting, spoke in the second meeting, providing the comments (which made the process redundant).

In general, the public was very courteous and well behaved and clapped after each speaker.

regards,

Paul

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 320

Mail Envelope Properties (CEEA97CC21430049B821E684512F6E5E4DA2926D01)

Subject: Re: Feedback from Scoping Meetings
Sent Date: 2/13/2008 8:07:49 AM
Received Date: 2/13/2008 8:07:49 AM
From: Chet Poslusny

Created By: Chet.Poslusny@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Paul Kallan" <Paul.Kallan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 1251 | 2/13/2008 8:07:49 AM |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: