

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Richard Emch
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2008 10:39 AM
To: William Burton; Paul Kallan; Harriet Nash
Cc: 'Daniel C Mussatti'; Cristina Guerrero; Brent Clayton; Andrew Kugler; Richard Raione; Barry Zalcman; 'Eva Hickey'; 'Nona H Diediker'
Subject: Re: Alt. site meetings

No, the concept of a separate alternate site audit trip is not discussed in the stepwise yet (because it was not part of license renewal and I had never been involved in that part of the process and to some extent the process is a work under construction). Yes, we can include information in stepwise about alternate site audit and meetings.

Rich

>>> William Burton 02/21/2008 9:33 AM >>>
Hi Paul,

There seems some remaining questions about who the alternate site team will be talking to. Can you confirm if outside agencies have been contacted and scheduled. Please make sure that the team members know who they're going to talk to and when. Harriet plans to talk to TPWD and FWS and to schedule her discussions with them by phone, unless you've made other arrangements, in which case, she needs to know what you've set up. Similarly, the other team members need to be clear about who they're meeting with and when. Can you confirm these planned interactions?

Rich, planning and scheduling of alternate site visits is important and probably should be discussed in the Stepwise Procedure. do you know if it? And if not, can we put something in? Barry and Andy probably have the most knowledge of what should be in there.

Thanks,

Butch

>>> Paul Kallan 02/21/2008 6:30 AM >>>
Hi Harriet,

I was talking with Nona to set up meetings with the County Officials where we could all meet in a room and discuss the various areas whether it be hydrology, socio-economics, cultural resources or aquatic and terrestrial. I should know more tomorrow.

Will be in later.

Paul

>>> Harriet Nash 2/20/2008 5:40 PM >>>
Paul,

After talking to Barry, I don't think we need to invite TPWD or FWS to the alternative site audit. However, I want to see if you're on the same page. I have a feeling that I can handle any potential concerns regarding protected species via phone. Your e-mail mentioned meeting with local agencies for each alternative site. To which agencies are you referring? Would the meetings include the water allocation commissions for each site? Are those meetings already arranged? Do I need to be calling anyone?

Thanks,
Harriet

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 233

Mail Envelope Properties (CEEA97CC21430049B821E684512F6E5E4DA2926DB5)

Subject: Re: Alt. site meetings
Sent Date: 2/21/2008 10:39:02 AM
Received Date: 2/21/2008 10:39:02 AM
From: Richard Emch

Created By: Richard.Emch@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Daniel C Mussatti" <dmussatti@embarqmail.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Cristina Guerrero" <Cristina.Guerrero@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Brent Clayton" <Brent.Clayton@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Andrew Kugler" <Andrew.Kugler@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Richard Raione" <Richard.Raione@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Barry Zalcman" <Barry.Zalcman@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Eva Hickey" <eva.hickey@pnl.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Nona H Diediker" <nona.diediker@pnl.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"William Burton" <William.Burton@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Paul Kallan" <Paul.Kallan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Harriet Nash" <Harriet.Nash@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2277	2/21/2008 10:39:02 AM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: