TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOGGA, FENNESSEE 37401
58 1578 Lookout Place

January 28, 1986

WBRD-50-390/85-55 WBRD-50-391/85-52 P4: 1

U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission Region II Attention: Dr. J. Welson Grace, Regional Administrator 101 Karietta Street, MW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 36323

Dear Dr. Grace:

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 - EXCESSIVE CONDUIT BENDS - WBRD-50-390/85-55, WBRD-50-391/85-52 - FINAL REPORT

The subject deficiency was initially reported to WRC-OIE Inspector Al Ignatonis on October 22, 1985 in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55(e) as MCR WBM 6347. Our interim report was submitted on December 20, 1985. Enclosed is our final report. We no longer consider this deficiency to be reportable under 10 CFR 50.55(e).

This report provides information requested by Tom Conlon and Tom Gibbons in a December 20, 1985 telephone conversation.

Delay in submittal of this report was discussed with Bob Carroll on January 21, 1986.

If there are any questions, please get in touch with R. H. Shell at FTS 858-2688.

Very truly yours,

TEMPESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

R. L. Gridley

Manager of Likensing

Enclosure

cc: Mr. James Taylor, Director (Enclosure)
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Records Center (Enclosure)
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500
Atlanta Georgia 30339

8602130252 860128 PDR ADOCK 05003370 S PDR

IEN]

ENC ASURE

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR FLANT UNITS 1 AND 2
EXCESSIVE COMMUTE BENDS
WBRD-50-390/85-55, WRED-50-391/85-52
RCR WEN 147
10 CFR 50.55(e)
FINAL REPORT

Description of Deficiency

A condition was identified at Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBW) in which several electrical conduits (37 total) were installed with more than 360 degrees of accumulated bends between cable pull points. This condition does not meet the requirements of TVA Electrical Design Guide DG-E13.1.1 nor the requirements of TVA General Construction Specification G-40 (GCS G-40). The 37 deficiencing were from a worst case sample of 82 conduits selected for cable sidewal: pressure (SWP) calculations. A generic review is still in progress.

TVA has determined the cause of this deficiency to be that the original version of GCS G-40 did not clearly prohibit the use of more than 360 degrees of accumulated bends between pull points as required by the National Electrical Code (1984 Edition).

Safety Implications

TVA has completed calculations of cable pulling tension and SWP for all cables pulled in the affected conduits. SWP limits were exceeded in 12 of the conduits. Those cables whose allowable SWP has not been exceeded are considered acceptable for use as-is. Cables for which the allowable GWP has been exceeded are also considered acceptable based on an evaluation of representative electrical megger and high potential test results at five TVA plants, which provided no evidence of a trend of degradation of cable insulation properties. The small number of identified cable failures also demonstrates that electrical cables are reliable components. Thus, TVA considers that any class 1E cable failure resulting from excessions SWP during installation, would occur in a random manner and would produce no significant adverse impact on plant safety.

As such, TVA no longer considers 10 CVR 50.55(e) applicable to this item.

To prevent recurrence, conduits installed at WBW in the future shall hav. 20 more than 360 degrees of bends between pull points. This requirement has been added to GCS G-38, section 3.2.1.1.b on revision 6, and has been added to GCS G-40, section 3.1.2.3 on revision 9.