

RAS #AA-15

Hearing Docket

From: SaraSfitz@aol.com
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2008 11:39 AM
To: Hearing Docket
Subject: Limited Appearance Bellefonte Nuclear Plants Units 3 & 4 Docket No. 52-014/015
August 11, 2008 11:39 am

DOCKETED
USNRC

**Limited Appearance
Bellefonte Nuclear Plants Units 3 and 4
Docket No. 52-014--COL and 52-15--COL**

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket No. 52-014-COL and 52-015-COL

Sirs:

I wish to express my opposition to the approval of the COL's for the Bellefonte Nuclear Plants. My major reasons for opposing these plants are as follows:

1. Water Usage -- We currently have extreme drought conditions in much of the area feeding the Tennessee River, to the extent that many communities have had to curtail water usage (including Brown's Ferry Nuclear Plant). The Bellefonte plants will have a loss of more that 8 billion gallons of water per year -- a loss we cannot afford. In addition, the 91° return water will be devastating to the aquatic life, which is already reduced significantly by other plants.
2. Excessive Cost -- The current projected costs for building a Westinghouse AP1000 plant (the specifications and design keep changing) are at least \$15 billion. This is an unacceptable cost for the rate payers of the TVA area. Even TVA has acknowledged that efficiency and demand response measures can easily obviate the need for at least one plant and these benefits could begin immediately, at almost no cost, instead of in 2013 or thereafter. This amount of debt would also hamper TVA in any attempts to use solar or wind power because of the Congressional-mandated debt ceiling.
3. Unstable Site -- Bellefonte is in an active seismic zone and the subsurface rock is extremely brittle in drought conditions, adding to the instability. There is already a sink hole very close to the existing cooling towers after only one year of severe drought.
4. Nuclear Waste -- Until some viable and safe storage and/or other usage of the nuclear waste generated by these plants has been identified, it is too dangerous to build any additional nuclear plants. This waste problem will be exacerbated if nuclear power becomes "the answer" to the climate crisis.

Thank you for your attention to my comments and concerns,
Sincerely,
Sara S. Fitzgerald
1203 Melrose St.
Tullahoma, TN 37388

Looking for a car that's sporty, fun and fits in your budget? [Read reviews on AOL Autos.](#)

Temp = SEC4-038

DS03

Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by OWMS01.nrc.gov
(148.184.100.43) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.0.751.0; Mon, 11 Aug 2008
11:47:27 -0400

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 4.8

X-MID: 19823026

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AoUAAAzxn0jNvJ0jIGdsb2JhbACCLy+NZSJ1AQEBAQkDCgcRA5kH

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.31,342,1215403200";
d="scan'208,217";a="19823026"

Received: from imo-d03.mx.aol.com ([205.188.157.35]) by mail2.nrc.gov with
ESMTP; 11 Aug 2008 11:46:40 -0400

Received: from SaraSfitz@aol.com by imo-d03.mx.aol.com (mail_out_v38_r10.8.)
id f.ca1.3afdff5f (33856) for <hearingdocket@nrc.gov>; Mon, 11 Aug 2008
11:39:25 -0400 (EDT)

From: <SaraSfitz@aol.com>

Message-ID: <ca1.3afdff5f.35d1b72d@aol.com>

Date: Mon, 11 Aug 2008 11:39:25 -0400

Subject: Limited Appearance Bellefonte Nuclear Plants Units 3 & 4 Docket No. 52-014/015

To: hearingdocket@nrc.gov

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----1218469165"

X-Mailer: Unknown sub 34

X-Spam-Flag: NO

Return-Path: SaraSfitz@aol.com