



Radiation Center
Oregon State University, 100 Radiation Center, Corvallis, Oregon 97331-5903
T 541-737-2341 | F 541-737-0480 | http://ne.oregonstate.edu/facilities/radiation_center

August 6, 2008

Mr. Alexander Adams
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Research and Test Reactors Branch A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Mail Stop O12-G13
One White Flint North
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Reference: Oregon State University TRIGA Reactor (OSTR)
Docket No. 50-243, License No. R-106

Subject: Oregon State University Submission of Relicensing Technical Specifications
Revision I

Mr. Adams:

Attachment I contains the most current revision of the technical specifications, Revision I. If you have any questions, please call me at the number above. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: Aug 6 '08

Sincerely,

S. Todd Keller
Acting Director

Enclosure

cc: Document Control, NRC
Al Adams, NRC
Craig Bassett, NRC
John Cassady, OSU
Rich Holdren, OSU
Todd Palmer, OSU
Steve Reese, OSU

ADZO
NRR

Attachment I

Oregon State University

Technical Specifications Revision I (Draft)

DRAFT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DRAFT

CHAPTER 14

DRAFT

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

(The Technical Specifications are contained in
USNRC Operating License R-106, Appendix A)

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DRAFT

APPENDIX A
TO
FACILITY LICENSE NO. R-106
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS
AND BASIS
FOR THE
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
TRIGA[®] REACTOR
DOCKET NO. 50-243

Revision 0.1

DRAFT

Current through Amendment #XX
Date of Issuance: XXXX XX, XXXX

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DRAFT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	DEFINITIONS.....	1
1.1	Audit	1
1.2	Channel:	1
1.3	Channel Calibration	1
1.4	Channel Check	1
1.5	Channel Test	1
1.6	Confinement.....	1
1.7	Control Rod.....	1
1.8	Core Lattice Position.....	1
1.9	Excess Reactivity:	2
1.10	Experiment.....	2
1.11	Experiment Safety Systems	2
1.12	Fuel Element	2
1.13	Instrumented Element	2
1.14	Measured Value	2
1.15	Irradiation Facilities	2
1.16	Operable.....	2
1.17	Operating.....	3
1.18	Operational Core.....	3
1.19	Pulse Mode.....	3
1.20	Radiation Center Complex.....	3
1.21	Reactor Operation.....	3
1.22	Reactor Safety Systems.....	3
1.23	Reactor Secured.....	3
1.24	Reactor Shutdown: The reactor is shut down when:	3
1.25	Reference Core Condition.....	4
1.26	Review	4
1.27	Safety Channel	4
1.28	Scram time:	4
1.29	Should, Shall, and May	4
1.30	Shutdown Margin.....	4
1.31	Shutdown Reactivity	4
1.32	Square-Wave Mode	4
1.33	Steady-State Mode	4
1.34	Substantive Changes	4
1.35	Surveillance Intervals.....	4
2	SAFETY LIMITS AND LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING.....	8
2.1	Safety Limit-Fuel Element Temperature	8
2.2	Limited Safety System Setting.....	9
3	LIMITING CONDITIONS OF OPERATION	10
3.1	Reactor Core Parameters.....	10
3.1.1	Steady-state Operation.....	10

3.1.2	Shutdown Margin.....	10
3.1.3	Core Excess Reactivity	11
3.1.4	Pulse Mode Operation.....	11
3.1.5	Core Configuration Limitations.....	12
3.1.6	Fuel Parameters.....	12
3.2	Reactor Control And Safety System	12
3.2.1	Control Rods	12
3.2.2	Reactor Measuring Channels	13
3.2.3	Reactor Safety System	14
3.3	Reactor Primary Tank Water	18
3.4	This section intentionally left blank.....	18
3.5	Ventilation System.....	18
3.6	This section intentionally left blank.....	20
3.7	Radiation Monitoring Systems and Effluents.....	20
3.7.1	Radiation Monitoring Systems	20
3.7.2	Effluents.....	20
3.8	Limitations on Experiments.....	21
3.8.1	Reactivity Limits.....	21
3.8.2	Materials	22
3.8.3	Failures and Malfunctions.....	22
3.9	This section intentionally left blank.....	23
4	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	24
4.1	Reactor Core Parameters.....	24
4.2	Reactor Control and Safety Systems.....	25
4.3	Reactor Primary Tank Water	26
4.4	This section intentionally left blank.....	27
4.5	Ventilation System.....	27
4.6	This section intentionally left blank.....	27
4.7	Radiation Monitoring System.....	27
4.8	Experimental Limits.....	28
4.9	This section intentionally left blank.....	28
5	DESIGN FEATURES.....	29
5.1	Site and Facility Description.....	29
5.2	Reactor Coolant System.....	29
5.3	Reactor Core and Fuel	30
5.3.1	Reactor Core	30
5.3.2	Control Rods	31
5.3.3	Reactor Fuel	32
5.4	Fuel Storage	33
6	ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS	35
6.1	Organization.....	35
6.1.1	Structure.....	35
6.1.2	Responsibility	35

6.1.3	Staffing.....	36
6.1.4	Selection and Training of Personnel.....	38
6.2	Review And Audit.....	38
6.2.1	Composition and Qualifications.....	38
6.2.2	Charter and Rules.....	38
6.2.3	Review Function.....	38
6.2.4	Audit Function.....	39
6.3	Radiation Safety.....	39
6.4	Procedures.....	39
6.5	Required Actions.....	41
6.5.1	Actions to Be Taken in Case of Safety Limit Violation.....	41
6.5.2	Actions to Be Taken in the Event of an Occurrence of the Type Identified in Section 6.6.2 Other than a Safety Limit Violation.....	41
6.6	Reports.....	42
6.6.1	Annual Operating Report.....	42
6.6.2	Special Reports.....	42
6.7	Records.....	44
6.7.1	Records to be Retained for a Period of at Least Five Years or for the Life of the Component Involved if Less than Five Years.....	44
6.7.2	Records to be Retained for at Least One Training Cycle.....	44
6.7.3	Records to be Retained for the Lifetime of the Reactor Facility.....	44

List of Tables and Figure

Table 1 - Minimum Measuring Channels.....	14
Table 2 - Minimum Reactor Safety Channels.....	15
Table 3 - Minimum Interlocks.....	15
Table 4 - Minimum Radiation Monitoring Channels.....	20
Figure 1 - Administrative Structure.....	36

Included in this document are the Technical Specifications and the “Bases” for the Technical Specifications. These bases, which provide the technical support for the individual Technical Specifications, are included for informational purposes only. They are not part of the Technical Specifications, and they do not constitute limitations or requirements to which the licensee must adhere.

This page intentionally left blank

DRAFT

1 DEFINITIONS

1.1 Audit: An audit is a quantitative examination of records, procedures or other documents after implementation from which appropriate recommendations are made.

1.2 Channel: A channel is the combination of sensor, line, amplifier, and output devices which are connected for the purpose of measuring the value of a parameter.

1.3 Channel Calibration: A channel calibration is an adjustment of the channel such that its output corresponds with acceptable accuracy to known values of the parameter which the channel measures. Calibration shall encompass the entire channel, including equipment actuation, alarm, or trip and shall include a Channel Test.

1.4 Channel Check: A channel check is a qualitative verification of acceptable performance by observation of channel behavior. This verification, where possible, shall include comparison of the channel with other independent channels or systems measuring the same variable.

1.5 Channel Test: A channel test is the introduction of a signal into the channel for verification that it is operable.

1.6 Confinement: Confinement is an enclosure of the overall facility that is designed to limit the release of effluents between the enclosure and its external environment through controlled or defined pathways.

1.7 Control Rod: A control rod is a device fabricated from neutron absorbing material or fuel or both which is used to establish neutron flux changes and to compensate for routine reactivity changes. A control rod may be coupled to its drive unit allowing it to perform a safety function when the coupling is disengaged. Types of control rods shall include:

- a. **Regulating Rod (Reg Rod):** The regulating rod is a control rod having an electric motor drive and scram capabilities. It may have a fueled-follower section. Its position may be varied manually or by the servo-controller.
- b. **Shim/Safety Rod:** A shim safety rod is a control rod having an electric motor drive and scram capabilities. It may have a fueled-follower section.
- c. **Transient Rod:** The transient rod is a control rod with scram capabilities that can be rapidly ejected from the reactor core to produce a pulse. It may have a voided-follower.

1.8 Core Lattice Position: The core lattice position is defined by a particular hole in the top grid plate of the core. It is specified by a letter indicating the specific ring in the grid plate and a number indicating a particular position within that ring.

1.9 Excess Reactivity: Excess reactivity is that amount of reactivity that would exist if all control rods were moved to the maximum reactive condition from the point where the reactor is exactly critical ($k_{\text{eff}}=1$) at reference core conditions.

1.10 Experiment: Any operation, hardware, or target (excluding devices such as detectors or foils) which is designed to investigate non-routine reactor characteristics or which is intended for irradiation within an irradiation facility. Hardware rigidly secured to a core or shield structure so as to be a part of their design to carry out experiments is not normally considered an experiment. Specific experiments shall include:

- a. **Secured Experiment:** A secured experiment is any experiment or component of an experiment that is held in a stationary position relative to the reactor by mechanical means. The restraining forces must be substantially greater than those to which the experiment might be subjected by hydraulic, pneumatic, buoyant, or other forces which are normal to the operating environment of the experiment, or by forces which can arise as a result of credible malfunctions.
- b. **Unsecured Experiment:** An unsecured experiment is any experiment or component of an experiment that does not meet the definition of a secured experiment.
- c. **Movable Experiment:** A movable experiment is one where it is intended that the entire experiment may be moved in or near the core or into and out of the core while the reactor is operating.

1.12 Fuel Element: A fuel element is a single TRIGA[®] fuel rod.

1.13 Instrumented Element: An instrumented element is a special fuel element in which one or more thermocouples have been embedded for the purpose of measuring the fuel temperatures during reactor operation.

1.14 Irradiation Facilities: Irradiation facilities shall mean beam ports, including extension tubes with shields, thermal columns with shields, vertical tubes, rotating specimen rack, pneumatic transfer system, sample holding dummy fuel elements and any other in-tank irradiation facilities.

1.15 Measured Value: The measured value is the value of a parameter as it appears on the output of a channel.

1.16 Operable: A system or component shall be considered operable when it is capable of performing its intended function.

1.17 Operating: Operating means a component or system is performing its intended function.

1.18 Operational Core: An operational core shall be a fuel element core which operates within the licensed power level and satisfies all the requirements of the Technical Specifications.

1.19 Pulse Mode: Pulse mode shall mean any operation of the reactor with the mode selector switch in the pulse position.

1.20 Radiation Center Complex: The physical area defined by the Radiation Center Building and the fence surrounding the north, west, and east sides of the Reactor Building.

1.21 Reactor Operating: The reactor is operating whenever it is not secured or shut down.

1.22 Reactor Safety Systems: Reactor safety systems are those systems, including their associated input channels, which are designed to initiate, automatically or manually, a reactor scram for the primary purpose of protecting the reactor.

1.23 Reactor Secured: The reactor is secured when:

- a. Either there is insufficient moderator available in the reactor to attain criticality or there is insufficient fissile material in the reactor to attain criticality under optimum available conditions of moderation and reflection; or,
- b. All of the following exist:
 1. The four (4) neutron absorbing control rods are fully inserted or other safety devices are in shutdown position, as required by technical specifications.
 2. The reactor is shut down;
 3. No experiments or irradiation facilities in the core are being moved or serviced that have, on movement or servicing, a reactivity worth exceeding the maximum value of one dollar; and
 4. No work is in progress involving core fuel, core structure, installed control rods, or control rod drives unless they are physically decoupled from the control rods.

1.24 Reactor Shutdown: The reactor is shut down when:

- a. It is subcritical by at least one dollar both in the reference core condition and for all allowed ambient conditions, with the reactivity worth of all installed experiments and irradiation facilities included; and
- b. The console key switch is in the "off" position and the key is removed from the console.

1.25 Reference Core Condition: The reference core condition is the reactivity condition of the core when it is at ambient temperature (cold) and the reactivity worth of xenon is negligible (<0.30 dollars).

1.26 Review: A review is a qualitative examination of records, procedures or other documents prior to implementation from which appropriate recommendations are made.

1.27 Safety Channel: A safety channel is a measuring channel in the reactor safety system.

1.28 Scram time: Scram time is the elapsed time between reaching a limiting safety system set point and the instant that the slowest scrammable control rod reaches its fully-inserted position.

1.29 Should, Shall, and May: The word "shall" is used to denote a requirement; the word "should" is used to denote a recommendation; and the word "may" to denote permission, neither a requirement nor a recommendation.

1.30 Shutdown Margin: Shutdown margin shall mean the minimum shutdown reactivity necessary to provide confidence that the reactor can be made subcritical by means of the control and safety systems and will remain subcritical without further operator action, starting from any permissible operating condition with the most reactive rod is in its most reactive position.

1.31 Square-Wave Mode (S.-W. Mode): The square-wave mode shall mean any operation of the reactor with the mode selector switch in the square-wave position.

1.32 Steady-State Mode (S.-S. Mode): Steady-state mode shall mean operation of the reactor with the mode selector switch in the steady-state position.

1.33 Substantive Changes: Substantive changes are changes in the original intent or safety significance of an action or event.

1.34 Surveillance Intervals: Allowable surveillance intervals shall not exceed the following:

- a. Biennial - interval not to exceed 30 months
- b. Annual - interval not to exceed 15 months

- c. Semi-annual - interval not to exceed 7.5 months.
- d. Quarterly - interval not to exceed 4 months.
- e. Monthly - interval not to exceed 6 weeks.
- f. Weekly - interval not to exceed 10 days.

DRAFT

DRAFT

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2 SAFETY LIMITS AND LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

2.1 Safety Limit-Fuel Element Temperature

Applicability. This specification applies to the temperature of the reactor fuel.

Objective. The objective is to define the maximum fuel element temperature that can be permitted with confidence that no damage to the fuel element cladding shall result.

Specifications. The temperature in a TRIGA[®] fuel element shall not exceed 2,100° F (1,150° C) under any mode of operation.

Basis. The important parameter for a TRIGA[®] reactor is the fuel element temperature. This parameter is well suited as a single specification especially since it can be measured. A loss of the integrity of the fuel element cladding could arise from a build-up of excessive pressure between the fuel-moderator and the cladding if the fuel temperature exceeds the safety limit. The pressure is caused by the presence of air, fission product gases, and hydrogen from the dissociation of the hydrogen and zirconium in the fuel-moderator. The magnitude of this pressure is determined by the fuel-moderator temperature and the ratio of hydrogen to zirconium in the alloy.

The safety limit for the TRIGA[®] fuel element is based on data which indicate that the stress in the cladding due to the hydrogen pressure from the dissociation of zirconium hydride will remain below the ultimate stress, provided the temperature of the fuel does not exceed 2100° F (1150° C) and the fuel cladding is water cooled (SAR 4.5.3.1).

2.2 Limiting Safety System Setting

Applicability. This specification applies to the scram settings which prevent the safety limit from being reached.

Objective. The objective is to prevent the safety limits from being reached.

Specifications The limiting safety system setting shall be equal to or less than 510°C (950°F) as measured in an instrumented fuel element. The instrumented fuel element shall be located in the B-ring.

Basis. During steady state operation, temperatures were calculated for the beginning-of-life normal core. Linear extrapolation of temperature and power indicates that an IFE power of 23.2 kW will produce an indicated power of 510°C in the IFE at the midplane thermocouple location. The highest ratio of maximum to minimum power for elements in the B-ring was found to be 1.036, so if the IFE is generating 23.2 kW, the maximum power in any B-ring element would be limited to $23.1 \times 1.036 = 24.03$ kW. For a power of 24.03 kW, the maximum temperature anywhere in the hot channel fuel element will be 588°C. The accuracy of the temperature indication is $\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$. Even including the error in the temperature measurement, this value is well below the Safety Limit.

3 LIMITING CONDITIONS OF OPERATION

3.1 Reactor Core Parameters

3.1.1 Steady-state Operation

Applicability. This specification applies to the energy generated in the reactor during steady-state operation.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the fuel temperature safety limit shall not be exceeded during steady-state operation.

Specifications. The reactor power level shall not exceed 1.1 MW except for pulsing operations.

Basis. Thermal and hydraulic calculations indicate that TRIGA[®] fuel may be safely operated up to power levels of at least 1.9 MW with natural convection cooling (SAR 4.5.3.3 and 4.5.3.3.9).

3.1.2 Shutdown Margin

Applicability. These specifications apply to the reactivity condition of the reactor and the reactivity worths of control rods and experiments. They apply for all modes of operation.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the reactor can be shut down at all times and to assure that the fuel temperature safety limit shall not be exceeded.

Specifications. The reactor shall not be operated unless the following conditions exist:

The shutdown margin provided by control rods shall be greater than \$0.55 with:

- a. Irradiation facilities and experiments in place and the total worth of all non-secured experiments in their most reactive state;
- b. The most reactive control rod fully-withdrawn; and
- c. The reactor in the reference core condition.

Basis. The value of the shutdown margin assures that the reactor can be shut down from any operating condition even if the most reactive control rod should remain in the fully-withdrawn position.

3.1.3 Core Excess Reactivity

Applicability. This specification applies to the reactivity condition of the reactor and the reactivity worths of control rods and experiments. It applies for all modes of operation.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the reactor can be shut down at all times and to assure that the fuel temperature safety limit shall not be exceeded.

Specifications. The maximum available excess reactivity based on the reference core condition shall not exceed \$8.55.

Basis. An excess reactivity limit of \$7.55 allows flexibility to operate the reactor in all core modes (NORMAL, ICIT and CLICIT) without the need to add or remove fuel elements when changing between operating modes. The NORMAL core is the most reactive core. If operating a NORMAL core with the minimum shutdown margin of \$0.55 and typical control rod worths of \$2.70 (Safety), \$2.70 (Shim), \$2.70 (Regulating) and \$4.00 (Transient) (section 4.2.2, Control Rods), the calculated NRC core excess would be $-\$0.55 + \$2.70 + \$2.70 + \$2.70 = \$7.55$. The shutdown margin calculation assumes a) irradiation facilities and experiments in place and the total worth of all non-secured experiments in their most reactive state, b) the most reactive control rod fully-withdrawn and c) the reactor in the reference core condition. Activities such as changing out of the NORMAL core, moving away from the reference state or adding negative worth experiments will make core excess more negative and shutdown margin less positive. The only activity which could result in requiring fuel movement to meet shutdown margin and core excess limits would be the unusual activity of adding an experiment with large positive reactivity worth.

3.1.4 Pulse Mode Operation

Applicability. This specification applies to the energy generated in the reactor as a result of a pulse insertion of reactivity.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the fuel temperature safety limit shall not be exceeded.

Specifications. The reactivity to be inserted for pulse operation shall be determined and limited by a mechanical block and electrical interlock on the transient rod, such that the reactivity insertion shall not exceed \$2.55.

Basis. The fuel temperature rise during a pulse transient has been estimated conservatively by adiabatic models. These models accurately predict pulse

characteristics for several core configurations and should be accepted with confidence, relying also on information concerning prompt neutron lifetime and prompt temperature coefficient of reactivity. These parameters have been established for these cores by calculations and have been confirmed in part by measurements at existing facilities. In addition, the calculations rely on flux profiles and corresponding power densities which have been calculated

3.1.5 This section intentionally left blank.

3.1.6 Fuel Parameters

Applicability. This specification applies to all fuel elements.

Objective. The objective is to maintain integrity of the fuel element cladding.

Specifications. The reactor shall not operate with damaged fuel elements, except for the purpose of locating damaged fuel elements. A fuel element shall be considered damaged and must be removed from the core if:

- a. The transverse bend exceeds 0.0625 inches over the length of the cladding;
- b. Its length exceeds its original length by 0.125 inches;
- c. A cladding defect exists as indicated by release of fission products; or
- d. Visual inspection identifies bulges, gross pitting, or corrosion.

Basis: Gross failure or obvious visual deterioration of the fuel is sufficient to warrant declaration of the fuel as damaged. The elongation and bend limits are the values found acceptable to the USNRC (NUREG-1537).

3.2 Reactor Control And Safety System

3.2.1 Control Rods

Applicability. This specification applies to the function of the control rods.

Objective. The objective is to determine that the control rods are operable.

Specification. The reactor shall not be operated unless the control rods are operable. Control rods shall not be considered operable if:

- a. Damage is apparent to the rod or rod drive assemblies; or
- b. The scram time exceeds 2 seconds.

Basis. This specification assures that the reactor shall be promptly shut down when a scram signal is initiated. Experience and analysis have indicated that for the range of transients anticipated for a TRIGA[®] reactor, the specified scram time is adequate to assure the safety of the reactor (SAR 13.2.2.2.1).

3.2.2 Reactor Measuring Channels

Applicability. This specification applies to the information which shall be available to the reactor operator during reactor operation.

Objective. The objective is to specify the minimum number of measuring channels that shall be available to the operator to assure safe operation of the reactor.

Specifications. The reactor shall not be operated in the specified mode unless the minimum number of measuring channels listed in Table 1 are operable.

Table 1 - Minimum Measuring Channels

Measuring Channel	Effective Mode		
	S.-S.	Pulse	S.-W.
Fuel Element Temperature	1	1	1
Linear Power Level	1	-	1
Log Power Level	1	-	1
Power Level	2	-	2
Nvt-Circuit	-	1	-

- (1) Any single Linear Power Level, Log Power Level or Power Level measuring channel may be inoperable while the reactor is operating for the purpose of performing a channel check, test, or calibration.
- (2) If any required measuring channels becomes inoperable while the reactor is operating for reasons other than that identified in Technical Specification 3.2.2 (1) above, the channel shall be restored to operation within 5 minutes or the reactor shall be immediately shutdown.

Basis. Fuel temperature displayed at the control console gives continuous information on this parameter which has a specified safety limit. The power level monitors assure that the reactor power level is adequately monitored for both steady-state, square wave and pulse modes of operation. The specifications on reactor power level indication are included in this section, since the power level is related to the fuel temperature. For footnote (1), taking these measuring channels off-line for short durations for the purpose of a check, test or calibration is considered acceptable because in some cases, the reactor must be operating in order to perform the check, test, or calibration. Additionally there exist two redundant power level indications operating at any given time while the third single channel is off-line. For footnote (2), events which lead to these circumstances are self-revealing to the operator. Furthermore, recognition of appropriate action on the part of the operator as a result of an instrument failure would make this consistent with TS 6.7.2.

3.2.3 Reactor Safety System

Applicability. This specification applies to the reactor safety system channels.

Objective. The objective is to specify the minimum number of reactor safety system channels that shall be available to the operator to assure safe operation of the reactor.

Specifications. The reactor shall not be operated unless the minimum number of safety channels described in Table 2 and interlocks described in Table 3 are operable.

Table 2 - Minimum Reactor Safety Channels				
Safety Channel	Function	Effective Mode		
		S.-S.	Pulse	S.-W.
Fuel Element Temperature	SCRAM @ 510°C	1	-	1
Power Level	SCRAM @ 1.1 MW(t) or less	2	-	2
Console Scram Button	SCRAM	1	-	1
Preset Timer	Transient rod SCRAM @ ≤ 15 sec after a pulse	-	1	-
High Voltage	SCRAM @ $\geq 25\%$ of nominal operating voltage	1	1	1

Table 3 - Minimum Interlocks				
Interlock	Function	Effective Mode		
		S.-S.	Pulse	S.-W.
Wide-Range Log Power Level Channel	Prevents control rod withdrawal @ less than 2 cps	1	-	-
Transient Rod Cylinder	Prevents application of air unless fully-inserted	1	-	-
1 kW Pulse Interlock	Prevents pulsing above 1 kW	-	1	-
Shim, Safety, and Regulating Rod Drive Circuit	Prevents simultaneous manual withdrawal of two rods	1	-	1
Shim, Safety, and Regulating Rod Drive Circuit	Prevents movement of any rod except transient rod	-	1	-
Transient Rod Cylinder Position	Prevents pulse insertion of reactivity greater than \$2.55	-	1	1

- (1) Any single Linear Power Level, Log Power Level or Power Level safety channel or interlock may be inoperable while the reactor is operating for the purpose of performing a channel check, test, or calibration.
- (2) If any required safety channel or interlock becomes inoperable while the reactor is operating for reasons other than that identified in Technical Specification 3.2.3 (1) above, the channel shall be restored to operation within 5 minutes or the reactor shall be immediately shutdown.

Basis.

Fuel Element Temperature Scram: The fuel element temperature scram causes a scram in excess of the LSSS, which is 510°C. The supporting arguments for the safety limit of 1150°C are given in SAR 4.5.3.1. The LSSS is set to less than half for the safety limit. This is more than adequate to account for uncertainties in instrument response and core position of the instrumented fuel element.

Power Level Scram: The set point for both the safety and percent power channels are normally set to 106% of 1 MW(t), which is below the licensed power of 1.1 MW(t). The 6% difference allows for expected and observed instrument fluctuations at the normal full operating power of 1 MW(t) to occur without scrambling the reactor unnecessarily. Conversely, SAR 13.2.2.2 shows that this set point is more than sufficient to prevent exceeding the reactivity insertion limit during non-pulsing operations and prevent the operator from inadvertently exceeding the licensed power.

Manual Scram: The manual scram must be functional at all times the reactor is in operation. It has no specified value for a scram set point. It is initiated by the reactor operator manually.

Preset Timer Scram: The preset timer ensures that the reactor power level will reduce to a low level after pulsing and preclude an unintentional restart or ramped increase to some equilibrium power.

High Voltage Scram: The high voltage scram must be set to initiate a scram before the high voltage for any of the three detectors reaches 25% or less of the nominal operating voltage. The loss of operating voltage down to this level is an indication of detector failure. Many measuring channels and safety systems are fundamentally based upon accurate response of the detectors.

Wide-Range Log Power Level Channel Interlock: The rod withdrawal prohibit interlock prevents the operator from adding reactivity when the count rate on the wide-range log power channel falls below 2 cps. When this happens, the count rate is

insufficient to produce meaningful instrumentation response. If the operator were to insert reactivity under this condition, the period could quickly become very short and result in an inadvertent power excursion. A neutron source is added to the core to create sufficient instrument response that the operator can recognize and respond to changing conditions.

Transient Rod Cylinder Interlock: This interlock prevents the application of air to the transient rod unless the rod is fully inserted. This will prevent the operator from pulsing the reactor in steady-state mode.

1 kW Pulse Interlock: The 1-kW permissive interlock is designed to prevent pulsing when wide range log power is above 1-kW. SAR 13.2.2.2.1 shows that the peak temperature reached during an end-of-life core will be 1,150°C for an initial fuel temperature of 20°C. The methodology clearly shows that if the initial temperature was higher, the resulting peak temperature must be lower. However, there has not been analysis or experiment to look at the relationship between heat generated within the fuel at power (i.e., > 1-kW) and heat generated on the surface of the fuel during a pulse. Therefore, this interlock prevents the reactor from pulsing at power levels which produce measurably significant increases in fuel temperature.

Shim, Safety and Regulating Rod Drive Circuit: The single rod withdrawal interlock prevents the operator from removing multiple control rods simultaneously such that reactivity insertions from control rod manipulation is done in a controlled manner. The analysis in SAR 13.2.2.2.2 and 13.2.2.2.3 show that the reactivity insertion due to the removal rate of the most reactive rod or all the control rods simultaneously is still well below the reactivity insertion design limit of \$2.59.

Shim, Safety and Regulating Rod Drive Circuit: In pulse mode, it is necessary to limit the reactivity inserted to less than the design limit of \$2.59 at the end of core life analyzed in SAR 13.2.2.2.1. This interlock ensures that all pulse reactivity is due to only the transient rod while in pulse mode. Otherwise, any control rod removal in pulse mode would add to the inserted reactivity of the transient rod and create an opportunity for exceeding the reactivity insertion limit.

Transient Rod Cylinder Position Interlock: For the transient rod cylinder interlock, SAR 13.2.2.2.1 shows that the designed limiting reactivity insertion for the fuel is \$2.59 at the end of core life. This interlock limits transient rod reactivity insertions below this value. Furthermore, this interlock is designed such that if the electrical (i.e., limit switch) portion fails, a mechanical (i.e., metal bracket) will still keep the reactivity insertion below the criterion.

For footnote (1), taking these safety channels off-line for short durations for the purpose of a check, test or calibration is considered acceptable because in some cases, the reactor must be operating in order to perform the check test or calibration. Additionally there exist two redundant power level indications operating at any given time while the third single channel is off-line. For footnote (2), events which lead to these circumstances are self-revealing to the operator. Furthermore, recognition of appropriate action on the part of the operator as a result of an instrument failure would make this consistent with TS 6.7.2.

3.3 Reactor Primary Tank Water

Applicability. This specification applies to the primary water of the reactor tank.

Objective. The objective is to assure that there is an adequate amount of water in the reactor tank for fuel cooling and shielding purposes, and that the bulk temperature of the reactor tank water remains sufficiently low to guarantee reactor tank integrity.

Specifications. The reactor primary water shall exhibit the following parameters:

- a. The tank water level shall be greater than 14 feet above the top of the core;
- b. The bulk tank water temperature shall be less than 120°F (49°C); and
- c. The conductivity of the tank water shall be less than 5 μ mhos/cm.

Basis: The minimum height of 14 feet of water above the top of the core guarantees that there is sufficient water for effective cooling of the fuel and that the radiation levels at the top of the reactor are within acceptable levels (SAR 4.3, 4.5.3, and 11.1.5.5). The bulk water temperature limit is necessary, according to the reactor manufacturer, to ensure that the aluminum reactor tank maintains its integrity and is not degraded (SAR 4.3). Experience at many research reactor facilities has shown that maintaining the conductivity within the specified limit provides acceptable control of corrosion (NUREG-1537).

3.4 This section intentionally left blank.

3.5 Ventilation System

Applicability. This specification applies to the operation of the facility ventilation system.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the ventilation system shall be in operation to mitigate the consequences of possible releases of radioactive materials resulting from reactor operation.

Specifications.

- a. The reactor shall not be operated unless the facility ventilation system is operating and the reactor bay pressure is maintained negative with respect to surrounding areas, except for periods of time not to exceed two (2) hours to permit repair, maintenance or testing of the ventilation system.
- b. The ventilation system shall be shut down upon a high-activity alarm from the Exhaust Particulate Radiation Monitor

Basis. During normal operation of the ventilation system, the annual average ground concentration of ^{41}Ar in unrestricted areas is well below the applicable effluent concentration limit in 10 CFR 20. In addition, the worst-case maximum total effective dose equivalent is well below the applicable annual limit for individual members of the public. This has been shown to be true for scenarios where the ventilation system continues to operate during the maximum hypothetical accident (MHA), where the ventilation system is secured during the MHA, and where the ventilation system and the confinement building are not present during the MHA (SAR 13.2.1). Therefore, operation of the reactor for short periods while the ventilation system is shut down for repair or testing does not compromise the control over the release of radioactive material to the unrestricted area nor should it cause occupational doses that exceed those limits given in 10 CFR 20 (SAR 11.1.1.2). The two hour exception to permit repair, maintenance or testing should not diminish the effectiveness of the reactor top area radiation monitor or the continuous air particulate radiation monitor. The sampling locations for both of these monitors are located directly above the core. Any fission product release should be detected in the same manner regardless of the status of the ventilation system because of the close proximity of the sampling point to the source term. Moreover, radiation monitors in the building, independent of the ventilation system, will give warning of high levels of radiation that might occur during operation of the reactor while the ventilation system is secured (SAR 11.1.4.2). The exhaust gas and particulate radiation monitors will be affected by the status of the ventilation system as they are designed to monitor the ventilation exhaust directly and are not in close proximity to the source term (i.e., reactor core). However, control of the release into the unrestricted area will be minimally compromised because the ventilation will be by definition off and the leak rate is negligible compared to the ventilation rate. Furthermore, this situation is bounded by the MHA scenario A (i.e., without the reactor building) and C (i.e., ventilation off) in the SAR (SAR 13.2.1.1).

3.6 This section intentionally left blank.

3.7 Radiation Monitoring Systems and Effluents

3.7.1 Radiation Monitoring Systems

Applicability. This specification applies to the radiation monitoring information which must be available to the reactor operator during reactor operation.

Objective. The objective is to specify the minimum radiation monitoring channels that shall be available to the operator to assure safe operation of the reactor.

Specifications. The reactor shall not be operated unless the minimum number of radiation monitoring channels listed in Table 4 are operating.

Table 4 - Minimum Radiation Monitoring Channels

Radiation Monitoring Channels	Number
Reactor Top Area Radiation Monitor	1
Continuous Air Particulate Radiation Monitor	1
Exhaust Gas Radiation Monitor	1
Exhaust Particulate Radiation Monitor	1

Exception: When a single required radiation monitoring channel becomes inoperable, operations may continue only if portable instruments, surveys, or analyses may be substituted for the normally installed monitor within one (1) hour of discovery for periods not to exceed one (1) month.

Basis. The radiation monitors provide information to operating personnel regarding routine releases of radioactivity and any impending or existing danger from radiation. Their operation will provide sufficient time to evacuate the facility or take the necessary steps to prevent the spread of radioactivity to the surroundings. Furthermore, calculations show that for both routine operations and under the three accident scenarios identified in SAR 13.2.1.1, predicted occupational and general public doses are below the applicable annual limits specified in 10 CFR 20 (SAR 11.1.1.1 and SAR 13.2.1). That being the case, we have reasonable assurance that the applicable regulatory limits are being satisfied for the one hour period.

3.7.2 Effluents

Applicability. This specification applies to the release rate of ^{41}Ar .

Objective. The objective is to ensure that the concentration of the ^{41}Ar in the unrestricted areas shall be below the applicable effluent concentration value in 10 CFR 20.

Specifications. The annual average concentration of ^{41}Ar discharged into the unrestricted area shall not exceed $4 \times 10^{-6} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$ at the point of discharge.

Basis. If ^{41}Ar is continuously discharged at $2.5 \times 10^{-6} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$ (i.e., the concentration produced when the nitrogen purge of the rotating rack is off, all valves on the argon manifold are open, and all beam port valves are open), measurements and calculations show that ^{41}Ar released to the unrestricted areas under the worst-case weather conditions would result in an annual TEDE of 5 mrem (SAR 11.1.1.1.1). This is only 50% of the applicable limit of 10 mrem (Regulatory Guide 4.20). Therefore, an emission of $4 \times 10^{-6} \mu\text{Ci/ml}$ would correspond to an annual TEDE of 8 mrem which is still 20% below the applicable limit.

3.8 Limitations on Experiments

3.8.1 Reactivity Limits

Applicability. This specification applies to experiments installed in the reactor and its irradiation facilities.

Objective. The objective is to prevent damage to the reactor or excessive release of radioactive materials in the event of an experiment failure.

Specifications. The reactor shall not be operated unless the following conditions governing experiments exist:

- a. The absolute value of the reactivity worth of any single unsecured experiment shall be less than \$0.50; and
- b. The sum of the absolute values of the reactivity worths of all experiments shall be less than \$2.55.

Basis. The reactivity limit of \$0.50 for movable experiments is designed to prevent an inadvertent pulse from occurring and maintain a value below the shutdown margin. Movable experiments are by their very nature experiments in a position where it is possible for a sample to be inserted or removed from the core while critical. That being said, Section 13.2.2.2.1 clearly shows that this value is still below the analyzed design limit of \$2.59 for end of life fuel.

The reactivity worth limit of \$2.55 for all experiments is designed to prevent an inadvertent pulse from exceeding the design limit of \$2.59 for end of life fuel. This limit applies to movable, unsecured and secured experiments. Regardless of any other administrative or physical requirements, this limit has been shown in Section 13.2.2.2.1 to protect the reactor during the fuel's entire lifetime.

3.8.2 Materials

Applicability. This specification applies to experiments installed in the reactor and its irradiation facilities.

Objective. The objective is to prevent damage to the reactor or excessive release of radioactive materials in the event of an experiment failure.

Specifications. The reactor shall not be operated unless the following conditions governing experiments exist:

- a. Explosive materials, such as gunpowder, TNT, nitroglycerin, or PETN, in quantities greater than 25 milligrams shall not be irradiated in the reactor or irradiation facilities. Explosive materials in quantities less than 25 milligrams may be irradiated provided the pressure produced upon detonation of the explosive has been calculated and/or experimentally demonstrated to be less than half the design pressure of the container.; and
- b. Experiments containing corrosive materials shall be doubly encapsulated. The failure of an encapsulation of material that could damage the reactor shall result in removal of the sample and physical inspection of potentially damaged components.

Basis. This specification is intended to prevent damage to reactor components resulting from failure of an experiment involving explosive materials. Operation of the reactor with the reactor fuel or structure potential damages is prohibited to avoid potential release of fission products.

3.8.3 Failures and Malfunctions

Applicability. This specification applies to experiments installed in the reactor and its irradiation facilities.

Objective. The objective is to prevent damage to the reactor or excessive release of radioactive materials in the event of an experiment failure.

Specifications. Where the possibility exists that the failure of an experiment under normal operating conditions of the experiment or reactor, credible accident conditions in the reactor, or possible accident conditions in the experiment could release radioactive gases or aerosols to the reactor bay or the unrestricted area, the quantity and type of material in the experiment shall be limited such that the airborne radioactivity in the reactor bay or the unrestricted area will not result in exceeding the applicable dose limits in 10 CFR 20, assuming that:

- a. 100% of the gases or aerosols escape from the experiment;
- b. If the effluent from an irradiation facility exhausts through a holdup tank which closes automatically on high radiation level, at least 10% of the gaseous activity or aerosols produced will escape;
- c. If the effluent from an irradiation facility exhausts through a filter installation designed for greater than 99% efficiency for 0.3 micron particles, at least 10% of these aerosols can escape, and
- d. For materials whose boiling point is above 130°F and where vapors formed by boiling this material can escape only through an undisturbed column of water above the core, 10% of these vapors can escape.

Basis. This specification is intended to meet the purpose of 10 CFR 20 by reducing the likelihood that released airborne radioactivity to the reactor bay or unrestricted area surrounding the OSTR will result in exceeding the total dose limits to an individual as specified in 10 CFR 20.

3.9 This section intentionally left blank.

4 SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0 General

Applicability. This specification applies to the surveillance requirements of any system related to reactor safety.

Objective. The objective is to verify the proper operation of any system related to reactor safety.

Specifications.

- a. Surveillance requirements may be deferred during reactor shutdown; however, they shall be completed prior to reactor startup unless reactor operation is required for performance of the surveillance. Such surveillance shall be performed as soon as practicable after reactor startup. Scheduled surveillance, which cannot be performed with the reactor operating, may be deferred until a planned reactor shutdown.
- b. Any additions, modifications, or maintenance to the ventilation system, the core and its associated support structure, the pool or its penetrations, the pool coolant system, the rod drive mechanism or the reactor safety system shall be made and tested in accordance with the specifications to which the systems were originally designed and fabricated or to specifications reviewed by the Reactor Operations Committee. A system shall not be considered operable until after it is successfully tested.

Basis. This specification relate to change sin reactor systems which could directly affect the safety of the reactor. As long as changes or replacements to these systems continue to meet the original design specifications, then it can be assumed that they meet the presently accepted operating criteria.

4.1 Reactor Core Parameters

Applicability. This specification applies to the surveillance requirements for reactor core parameters.

Objective. The objective is to verify that the reactor does not exceed the authorized limits for power, shutdown margin, core excess reactivity, specifications for fuel element condition and verification of the total reactivity worth of each control rod.

Specifications.

- a. A channel calibration shall be made of the power level monitoring channels by the calorimetric method annually.
- b. The total reactivity worth of each control rod shall be measured annually or following any significant change (>0.25) from a reference core.
- c. The shutdown margin shall be determined prior to each day's operation, prior to each operation extending more than one day, or following any significant change (>0.25) from a reference core.
- d. The core excess reactivity shall be determined annually or following any significant change (>0.25) from a reference core.
- e. Twenty percent of the fuel elements comprising the core shall be inspected visually for damage or deterioration and measured for concentric or other swelling annually such that the entire core is inspected over a five year period. Annual inspections shall be of non-repeating representative samples of fuel elements from each ring.

Basis. Experience has shown that the identified frequencies will ensure performance and operability for each of these systems or components. The value of a significant change in reactivity (>0.25) is measurable and will ensure adequate coverage of the shutdown margin after taking into account the accumulation of poisons. For inspection, looking at fuel elements from each ring annually will identify any developing fuel integrity issues throughout the core. Furthermore, the observed mechanism for non-conforming fuel at the OSTR has been exclusively concentric swell. Looking for swell will not only provide early indication of fuel non-conformance but it will significantly reduce the amount of fuel movements needed.

4.2 Reactor Control and Safety Systems

Applicability. This specification applies to the surveillance requirements of reactor control and safety systems.

Objective. The objective is to verify performance and operability of those systems and components which are directly related to reactor safety.

Specifications.

- a. The control rods and drives shall be visually inspected for damage or deterioration biennially.
- b. The scram time shall be measured annually.

- c. The transient rod drive cylinder and associated air supply system shall be inspected, cleaned and lubricated as necessary, semi-annually.
- d. A channel check of each of the reactor safety system channels for the intended mode of operation shall be performed prior to each day's operation or prior to each operation extending more than one day.
- e. A channel test of each item in Table II in section 3.2.3 shall be performed semi-annually.
- f. A channel calibration of the fuel temperature measuring channel shall be performed annually.

Basis. Experience has shown that the identified frequencies will ensure performance and operability for each of these systems or components.

4.3 Reactor Primary Tank Water

Applicability. This specification applies to the surveillance requirements for the reactor tank water.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the reactor tank water level and the bulk water temperature monitoring systems are operating, and to verify appropriate alarm settings.

Specifications.

- a. A channel check of the reactor tank water level monitor shall be performed monthly.
- b. A channel check of the reactor tank water temperature system, including a verification of the alarm set point, shall be performed prior to each day's operation or prior to each operation extending more than one day.
- c. An operability check of the reactor tank temperature alarm shall be performed monthly.
- d. A channel calibration of the reactor tank water temperature system shall be performed annually.
- e. The reactor tank water conductivity shall be measured monthly.

Basis. Experience has shown that the frequencies of checks on systems which monitor reactor primary water level, temperature, and conductivity adequately keep the tank

water at the proper level and maintain water quality at such a level to minimize corrosion and maintain safety.

4.4 This section intentionally left blank.

4.5 Ventilation System

Applicability. This specification applies to the reactor bay confinement ventilation system.

Objective. The objective is to assure the proper operation of the ventilation system in controlling releases of radioactive material to the unrestricted area.

Specifications.

- a. A channel check of the reactor bay confinement ventilation system's ability to maintain a negative pressure in the reactor bay with respect to surrounding areas shall be performed prior to each day's operation or prior to each operation extending more than one day.
- b. A channel test of the reactor bay confinement ventilation system's ability to be secured shall be performed annually.

Basis. Experience has demonstrated that tests of the ventilation system on the prescribed daily and annual basis are sufficient to assure proper operation of the system and its control over releases of radioactive material.

4.6 This section intentionally left blank.

4.7 Radiation Monitoring System

Applicability. This specification applies to the surveillance requirements for the area radiation monitoring equipment and the air monitoring systems.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the radiation monitoring equipment is operating properly and to verify the appropriate alarm settings.

Specifications.

- a. A channel check of the radiation monitoring systems in section 3.7.1 shall be performed prior to each day's operation or prior to each operation extending more than one day.

- b. A channel test of the continuous air particulate, exhaust gas, and exhaust particulate radiation monitors shall be performed monthly.
- c. A channel calibration of the radiation monitoring systems in section 3.7.1 shall be performed annually.

Basis. Experience has shown that an annual calibration is adequate to correct for any variation in the system due to a change of operating characteristics over a long time span.

4.8 Experimental Limits

Applicability. This specification applies to the surveillance requirements for experiments installed in the reactor and its irradiation facilities.

Objective. The objective is to prevent the conduct of experiments which may damage the reactor or release excessive amounts of radioactive materials as a result of experiment failure.

Specifications.

- a. The reactivity worth of an experiment shall be estimated or measured, as appropriate, before reactor operation with said experiment.
- b. An experiment shall not be installed in the reactor or its irradiation facilities unless a safety analysis has been performed and reviewed for compliance with Section 3.8 by the Reactor Operations Committee in full accord with Section 6.2.3 of these Technical Specifications, and the procedures which are established for this purpose.

Basis. Experience has shown that experiments which are reviewed by the staff of the OSTR and the Reactor Operations Committee can be conducted without endangering the safety of the reactor or exceeding the limits in the Technical Specifications.

4.9 This section intentionally left blank.

5 DESIGN FEATURES

5.1 Site and Facility Description

Applicability. This specification applies to the Oregon State TRIGA Reactor site location and specific facility design features.

Objective. The objective is to specify the location of specific facility design features.

Specifications.

- a. The restricted area is that area inside the fence surrounding the reactor building and the reactor building itself. The unrestricted area is that area outside the fence surrounding the reactor building.
- b. The reactor building houses the TRIGA reactor and is abutted to the Oregon State University Radiation Center Building.
- c. The reactor bay shall be equipped with ventilation systems designed to exhaust air or other gases from the reactor building and release them from a stack at a minimum of 65 feet from ground level.
- d. Emergency shutdown controls for the ventilation systems shall be located in the reactor control room.

Basis. The Radiation Center, reactor building and site description are strictly defined (SAR 2.0). The facility is designed such that the ventilation system will normally maintain a negative pressure in the Reactor Building with respect to the outside atmosphere so that there will be no uncontrolled leakage to the unrestricted environment. Controls for startup and normal operation of the ventilation system are located in the reactor control room. Proper handling of airborne radioactive materials (in emergency situations) can be conducted from the reactor control room with a minimum of exposure to operating personnel (SAR 9.1 and 13.2.1).

5.2 Reactor Coolant System

Applicability. This specification applies to the tank containing the reactor and to the cooling of the core by the tank water.

Objective. The objective is to assure that coolant water shall be available to provide adequate cooling of the reactor core and adequate radiation shielding.

Specifications.

- a. The reactor core shall be cooled by natural convective water flow.

- b. The tank water inlet and outlet pipes to the heat exchanger and to the demineralizer shall be equipped with siphon breaks not less than 14 feet above the top of the core.
- c. A tank water level alarm shall be provided to indicate loss of coolant if the tank level drops 6 inches below normal level.
- d. A bulk tank water temperature alarm shall be provided to indicate high bulk water temperature if the temperature exceeds 120°F (49°C).

Basis.

- a. This specification is based on thermal and hydraulic calculations which show that the TRIGA[®] core can operate in a safe manner at power levels up to 1.9 MW with natural convection flow of the coolant water (SAR 4.5.3.3).
- b. In the event of accidental siphoning of tank water through inlet and outlet pipes of the heat exchanger or demineralizer system, the tank water level will drop to a level no less than 14 feet from the top of the core (SAR 5.2).
- c. Loss-of-coolant alarm caused by a water level drop of no more than 6 inches provides a timely warning so that corrective action can be initiated. This alarm is located in the control room (SAR 5.2).
- d. The bulk water temperature alarm provides warning so that corrective action can be initiated in a timely manner to protect the quality of the reactor tank. The alarm is located in the control room (SAR 7.2.3.2).

5.3 Reactor Core and Fuel

5.3.1 Reactor Core

Applicability. This specification applies to the configuration of fuel and in-core experiments.

Objective. The objective is to assure that provisions are made to restrict the arrangement of fuel elements and experiments so as to provide assurance that excessive power densities shall not be produced.

Specifications.

- a. The core assembly shall consist of TRIGA[®] fuel elements.
- b. The fuel shall be arranged in a close-packed configuration except for single element positions occupied by in-core experiments, irradiation facilities, graphite dummies, aluminum dummies, stainless steel dummies, control rods, and startup sources.
- c. The reactor shall not be operated at power levels exceeding 1 kW with a core lattice position water filled, except for positions on the periphery of the core assembly.
- d. The reflector, excluding experiments and irradiation facilities, shall be water or a combination of graphite and water.

Basis.

- a. Only TRIGA[®] fuel is anticipated to ever be used (SAR 4.2).
- b. In-core water-filled experiment positions have been demonstrated to be safe in the Gulf Mark III reactor. The largest values of flux peaking will be experienced in hydrogenous in-core irradiation positions. Various non-hydrogenous experiments positioned in element positions have been demonstrated to be safe in TRIGA fuel element cores up to 2-MW operation (SAR 4.2).
- c. For cases where one in-core position is water filled, except in the core periphery, the maximum reactor power level is reduced to 1 kW to ensure safe peak power generation levels in adjacent element positions (SAR 4.2).
- d. The core will be assembled in the reactor grid plate which is located in a tank of light water. Water in combination with graphite reflectors can be used for neutron economy and the enhancement of irradiation facility radiation requirements (SAR 4.2).

5.3.2 Control Rods

Applicability. This specification applies to the control rods used in the reactor core.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the control rods are of such a design as to permit their use with a high degree of reliability with respect to their physical and nuclear characteristics.

Specifications.

- a. The shim, safety, and regulating control rods shall have scram capability and contain borated graphite, B₄C powder or boron, with its compounds in solid form as a poison, in aluminum or stainless steel cladding. These rods may incorporate fueled followers which have the same characteristics as the fuel region in which they are used.
- b. The transient control rod shall have scram capability and contain borated graphite or boron, with its compounds in a solid form as a poison in an aluminum or stainless steel cladding. The transient rod shall have an adjustable upper limit to allow a variation of reactivity insertions. This rod may incorporate an aluminum- or air-follower.

Basis. The poison requirements for the control rods are satisfied by using neutron absorbing borated graphite, B₄C powder or boron as its compounds. These materials must be contained in a suitable clad material such as aluminum or stainless steel to ensure mechanical stability during movement and to isolate the poison from the tank water environment. Control rods that are fuel-followed provide additional reactivity to the core and increase the worth of the control rod. The use of fueled-followers in the fueled region has the additional advantage of reducing flux peaking in the water-filled regions vacated by the withdrawal of the control rods. Scram capabilities are provided for rapid insertion of the control rods which is the primary safety feature of the reactor. The transient control rod is designed for rapid withdrawal from the reactor core which results in a reactor pulse. The nuclear behavior of the air- or aluminum-follower, which may be incorporated into the transient rod, is similar to a void. A voided-follower may be required in certain core loadings to reduce flux peaking values (SAR 4.2.2).

5.3.3 Reactor Fuel

Applicability. This specification applies to the fuel elements used in the reactor core.

Objective. The objective is to assure that the fuel elements are of such a design and fabricated in such a manner as to permit their use with a high degree of reliability with respect to their physical and nuclear characteristics.

Specifications. TRIGA[®] Fuel Elements

The individual unirradiated fuel elements shall have the following characteristics:

1. Uranium content: maximum of 9 wt% enriched to a nominal 70% ²³⁵U;
2. Hydrogen-to-zirconium atom ratio (in the ZrH_x): between 1.5 and 1.65;
3. Natural erbium content (homogeneously distributed): between

1.1 and 1.6 wt%;

4. Cladding: 304 stainless steel, nominal 0.020 inches thick; and
5. Identification: top pieces of fuel elements will have characteristic markings to allow visual identification of elements.

Basis. A maximum uranium content of 9 wt% in a TRIGA[®] element is about 6% greater than the design value of 8.5 wt%. Such an increase in loading would result in an increase in power density of about 2%. Similarly, a minimum erbium content of 1.1% in an element is about 30% less than the design value. This variation would result in an increase in power density of only about 6%. An increase in local power density of 6% reduces the safety margin by, at most, 10%. The maximum hydrogen-to-zirconium ratio of 1.65 could result in a maximum stress under accident conditions in the fuel element cladding of about a factor of two greater than the value resulting from a hydrogen-to-zirconium ratio of 1.60. However, this increase in the cladding stress during an accident would not exceed the rupture strength of the cladding (SAR 4.2.1).

5.4 Fuel Storage

Applicability. This specification applies to the storage of reactor fuel at times when it is not in the reactor core.

Objective. The objective is to assure that fuel which is being stored shall not become critical and shall not reach an unsafe temperature.

Specifications.

- a. All fuel elements shall be stored in a geometrical array where the k-effective is less than 0.9 for all conditions of moderation.
- b. Irradiated fuel elements and fuel devices shall be stored in an array which will permit sufficient natural convection cooling by water or air such that the temperature of the fuel element or fueled device will not exceed the safety limit.

Basis. The limits imposed are conservative and assure safe storage (NUREG-1537).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DRAFT

6 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

6.1 Organization

Individuals at the various management levels, in addition to being responsible for the policies and operation of the reactor facility, shall be responsible for safeguarding the public and facility personnel from undue radiation exposures and for adhering to all requirements of the operating license, technical specifications, and federal regulations.

6.1.1 Structure


The reactor administration shall be related to the University and USNRC structure as shown in Figure 1.

6.1.2 Responsibility

The following specific organizational levels, and responsibilities shall exist:

- a. Vice-President for Research (Level 1): The Vice-President for Research is responsible for university center and institute organizations representing Oregon State University.
- b. Radiation Center Director (Level 2): The Radiation Center Director reports to the Vice-President for Research and is accountable for ensuring that all regulatory requirements, including implementation, are in accordance with all requirements of the USNRC and the Code of Federal Regulations.
- c. Reactor Administrator (Level 3): The Reactor Administrator reports to the Radiation Center Director and is responsible for guidance, oversight, and technical support of reactor operations.
- d. Senior Health Physicist (Level 3): The Senior Health Physicist reports to the Radiation Center Director and is responsible for directing the activities of health physics personnel including implementation of the radiation safety program.
- e. Reactor Supervisor (Level 3): The Reactor Supervisor reports to the Reactor Administrator and is responsible for directing the activities of the reactor operators and senior reactor operators and for the day-to-day operation and maintenance of the reactor.
- f. Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator (Level 4): The Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator report to the Reactor Supervisor and are primarily involved in the manipulation of reactor controls, monitoring of instrumentation, and operation and maintenance of reactor related equipment.

Figure 1 - Administrative Structure



6.1.3 Staffing

- a. The minimum staffing when the reactor is operating shall be:
 1. A reactor operator or the Reactor Supervisor in the control room;
 2. A second person present in the Radiation Center Complex able to carry out prescribed instructions; and
 3. If neither of these two individuals is the Reactor Supervisor, the Reactor Supervisor shall be readily available on call. Readily available on call means an individual who:
 - i. Has been specifically designated and the designation is known to the operator on duty;
 - ii. Can be rapidly contacted by phone by the operator on duty; and
 - iii. Is capable of getting to the reactor facility within a reasonable time under normal conditions (e.g., 30 minutes or within a 15-mile radius).
- b. A list of reactor facility personnel by name and telephone number shall be readily available in the control room for use by the operator. The list shall be updated annually and include:
 1. Radiation Center Director
 2. Reactor Administrator
 3. Senior Health Physicist
 4. Any Licensed Reactor or Senior Reactor Operator
- c. Events requiring the direction of the Reactor Supervisor:
 1. Initial startup and approach to power of the day;
 2. All fuel or control-rod relocations within the reactor core region;
 3. Relocation of any in-core experiment or irradiation facility with a reactivity worth greater than one dollar; and

4. Recovery from unplanned or unscheduled shutdown or significant power reduction.

6.1.4 Selection and Training of Personnel

The selection, training and requalification of operations personnel should be in accordance with ANSI/ANS 15.4 – 1988; R1999, “Standard for the Selection and Training of Personnel for Research Reactors.”

6.2 Review And Audit

The Reactor Operations Committee (ROC) shall have primary responsibility for review and audit of the safety aspects of reactor facility operations. Minutes, findings or reports of the ROC shall be presented to Level 1 and Level 2 management within ninety (90) days of completion.

6.2.1 ROC Composition and Qualifications

An ROC of at least five (5) members knowledgeable in fields which relate to reactor engineering and nuclear safety shall review and evaluate the safety aspects associated with the operation and use of the facility. The ROC shall be appointed by Level 1 management.

6.2.2 ROC Charter and Rules

The operations of the ROC shall be in accordance with a written charter including provisions for:

- a. Meeting frequency (at least annually);
- b. Voting rules;
- c. Quorums (5 members, no more than two voting members may be of the operating staff at any time);
- d. Method of submission and content of presentation to the committee;
- e. Use of subcommittees; and
- f. Review, approval, and dissemination of minutes.

6.2.3 ROC Review Function

The responsibilities of the ROC, or designated Subcommittee thereof, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Review all changes made under 10 CFR 50.59
- b. Review of all new procedures and substantive changes to existing procedures;
- c. Review of proposed changes to the technical specifications, license or charter;
- d. Review of violations of technical specifications, license, or violations of internal procedures or instructions having safety significance;
- e. Review of operating abnormalities having safety significance;
- f. Review of all events from reports required in sections 6.6.1 and 6.7.2 of these Technical Specifications;
- g. Review of audit reports.

6.2.4 ROC Audit Function

The ROC or a Subcommittee thereof shall audit reactor operations at least annually. The annual audit shall include at least the following:

- a. Reactor operating records;
- b. Operator Requalification Program;
- c. Reportable occurrences; and
- d. Emergency Response Plan and implementing procedures.

6.3 Radiation Safety

The Senior Health Physicist shall be responsible for implementation of the radiation safety program. The requirements of the radiation safety program are established in 10 CFR 20. The program should use the guidelines of the ANSI/ANS 15.11 – 1993; R2004, “Radiation Protection at Research Reactor Facilities”.

6.4 Procedures

Written operating procedures shall be adequate to assure the safety of operation of the reactor, but shall not preclude the use of independent judgment and action should the situation require such. Operating procedures shall be in effect for the following items:

- a. Startup, operation and shutdown of the reactor;
- b. Fuel loading, unloading, and movement within the reactor;
- c. Maintenance of major components of systems that could have an effect on reactor safety;
- d. Surveillance checks, calibrations, and inspections required by the technical specifications or those that have an effect on reactor safety;
- e. Radiation protection;
- f. Administrative controls for operations and maintenance and for the conduct of irradiations and experiments that could affect reactor safety or core reactivity;
- g. Shipping of radioactive materials;
- h. Implementation of the Emergency Response Plan.

Substantive changes to the above procedures shall be made only after review by the ROC. Except for radiation protection procedures, unsubstantive changes shall be approved prior to implementation by the Reactor Administrator and documented by the Reactor Administrator within 120 days of implementation. Unsubstantive changes to radiation protection procedures shall be approved prior to implementation by the SHP and documented by the Senior Health Physicist within 120 days of implementation.

Temporary deviations from the procedures may be made by the responsible senior reactor operator in order to deal with special or unusual circumstances or conditions. Such deviations shall be documented and reported by the next working day to the Reactor Administrator.

6.5 Experiments Review and Approval

Approved experiments shall be carried out in accordance with established and approved procedures. Procedures related to experiment review and approval shall include:

- a. All new experiments or class of experiments shall be reviewed by the ROC and approved in writing by the Level 2 or designated alternates

prior to initiation; and

- b. Substantive changes to previously approved experiments shall be made only after review by the ROC and approved in writing by the Level 2 or designated alternates. Minor changes that do not significantly alter the experiment may be approved by Level 3 or higher.

6.6 Required Actions

6.6.1 Actions to Be Taken in Case of Safety Limit Violation

In the event a safety limit (fuel temperature) is exceeded:

- a. The reactor shall be shut down and reactor operation shall not be resumed until authorized by the USNRC.
- b. An immediate notification of the occurrence shall be made to the Reactor Administrator, Radiation Center Director and Chairperson, ROC; and
- c. A report, and any applicable followup report, shall be prepared and reviewed by the ROC. The report shall describe the following:
 - 1. applicable circumstances leading to the violation including, when known, the cause and contributing factors;
 - 2. effects of the violation upon reactor facility components, systems, or structures and on the health and safety of personnel and the public; and
 - 3. corrective action to be taken to prevent recurrence.

6.6.2 Actions to Be Taken in the Event of an Occurrence of the Type Identified in Section 6.7.2 Other than a Safety Limit Violation

For all events which are required by regulations or Technical Specifications to be reported to the NRC within 24 hours under Section 6.7.2, except a safety limit violation, the following actions shall be taken:

- a. the reactor shall be secured and the Reactor Administrator and Director notified;
- b. operations shall not resume unless authorized by the Reactor Administrator and Director;

- c. the Reactor Operations Committee shall review the occurrence at their next scheduled meeting; and
- d. a report shall be submitted to the NRC in accordance with Section 6.7.2 of these Technical Specifications.

6.7 Reports

6.7.1 Annual Operating Report

An annual report shall be created and submitted by the Radiation Center Director to the USNRC by November 1 of each year consisting of:

- a. a brief summary of operating experience including the energy produced by the reactor and the hours the reactor was critical;
- b. the number of unplanned shutdowns, including reasons therefore;
- c. a tabulation of major preventative and corrective maintenance operations having safety significance;
- d. a brief description, including a summary of the safety evaluations, of changes in the facility or in procedures and of tests and experiments carried out pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59;
- e. a summary of the nature and amount of radioactive effluents released or discharged to the environs beyond the effective control of the licensee as measured at or prior to the point of such release or discharge. The summary shall include to the extent practicable an estimate of individual radionuclides present in the effluent. If the estimated average release after dilution or diffusion is less than 25 percent of the concentration allowed or recommended, a statement to this effect is sufficient;
- f. a summarized result of environmental surveys performed outside the facility; and
- g. a summary of exposures received by facility personnel and visitors where such exposures are greater than 25 percent of that allowed.

6.7.2 Special Reports

In addition to the requirements of applicable regulations, and in no way substituting therefore, reports shall be made by the Radiation Center Director to the NRC as follows:

- a. a report not later than the following working day by telephone and confirmed in writing by facsimile to the NRC Operations Center, to be followed by a written report that describes the circumstances of the event within 14 days to the NRC Document Control Desk of any of the following:
1. violation of the safety limit;
 2. release of radioactivity from the site above allowed limits;
 3. operation with actual safety system settings from required systems less conservative than the limiting safety system setting;
 4. operation in violation of limiting conditions for operation unless prompt remedial action is taken as permitted in Section 3;
 5. a reactor safety system component malfunction that renders or could render the reactor safety system incapable of performing its intended safety function. If the malfunction or condition is caused by maintenance, then no report is required;
 6. an unanticipated or uncontrolled change in reactivity greater than one dollar. Reactor trips resulting from a known cause are excluded;
 7. abnormal and significant degradation in reactor fuel or cladding, or both; coolant boundary, or containment boundary (excluding minor leaks) where applicable; or
 8. an observed inadequacy in the implementation of administrative or procedural controls such that the inadequacy causes or could have caused the existence or development of an unsafe condition with regard to reactor operations.
- b. a report within 30 days in writing to the NRC, Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. of:
1. Permanent changes in the facility organization involving Level 1-2 personnel; and
 2. significant changes in the transient or accident analyses as described in the Safety Analysis Report.

6.8 Records

6.8.1 Records to be Retained for a Period of at Least Five Years or for the Life of the Component Involved if Less than Five Years

- a. normal reactor operation (but not including supporting documents such as checklists, log sheets, etc., which shall be maintained for a period of at least one year);
- b. principal maintenance activities;
- c. reportable occurrences;
- d. surveillance activities required by the Technical Specifications;
- e. reactor facility radiation and contamination surveys;
- f. experiments performed with the reactor;
- g. fuel inventories, receipts, and shipments;
- h. approved changes to the operating procedures; and
- i. Reactor Operations Committee meetings and audit reports.

6.8.2 Records to be Retained for at Least One Certification Cycle

Records of retraining and requalification of certified reactor operators and senior reactor operators shall be retained at all times the individual is employed or until the certification is renewed.

6.8.3 Records to be Retained for the Lifetime of the Reactor Facility

- a. gaseous and liquid radioactive effluents released to the environs;
- b. offsite environmental monitoring surveys;
- c. radiation exposures for all personnel monitored;
- d. drawings of the reactor facility; and
- e. Reviews and reports pertaining to a violation of the safety limit, the limiting safety system setting, or a limiting condition of operation.

This page intentionally left blank

DRAFT