

PMNorthAnna3COLPEmails Resource

From: Nebiyu Tiruneh
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 12:48 PM
To: Mark Thaggard; Christopher Cook
Subject: RE: Estimated Resource Hours for North Anna

I did not fully understand how the New and Significant Issues process works, and that determination was done later, around the time of our site visit, so I assumed there might be some change and we might require to perform or re-do some of the analyses.

Thanks
Nebiyu

From: Mark Thaggard
Sent: Wednesday, April 30, 2008 7:43 AM
To: Nebiyu Tiruneh; Christopher Cook
Subject: RE: Estimated Resource Hours for North Anna

What are we confirming, if it has already been addressed in the ESP? Have they changed their analyses or information from what was in the ESP that would necessitate our review?

Mark Thaggard, Chief
Hydrologic Engineering Branch
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-6971
Mark.Thaggard@nrc.gov

From: Nebiyu Tiruneh
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2008 9:15 PM
To: Mark Thaggard; Christopher Cook
Subject: RE: Estimated Resource Hours for North Anna

We were not aware of the new and significant issues by the time we made the estimates. The planned estimates were around 40 hours only if I remember correctly, and that would not be enough to set-up models, run confirmatory analysis and document results. Performing confirmatory analysis requires longer time. Since the new and significant issues have been discussed now, it is possible to reduce the number of hours slightly, but given the fact that applicants usually take longer time to provide data and model inputs, it is prudent to provide some more time than might actually take.

From: Mark Thaggard
Sent: Tuesday, April 29, 2008 3:46 PM
To: Nebiyu Tiruneh; Christopher Cook
Subject: Estimated Resource Hours for North Anna

Tom Kevern (PM for North Anna) is meeting with all of the Branch Chiefs to review our estimated resources for Phase 1 and 2 of the North Anna COL review. He is doing this in anticipation of making these resource estimate publically available. I met with him this morning regarding our estimates. A question came up as to our estimated hours for 2.4.2 and 2.4.3. Do either of you know why our estimated hours for 2.4.2 and 2.4.3 increased over the baseline estimates, especially in light of the fact that the applicant has an approved ESP? Is there something unique about the applicant's submittal that we anticipate will require additional review?

I promised to get back with Tom as to whether or not we still believe that our hours are appropriate.

Mark Thaggard, Chief
Hydrologic Engineering Branch
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-6971
Mark.Thaggard@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: NorthAnna3_Public_EX
Email Number: 126

Mail Envelope Properties (C56E360E9D804F4B95BC673F886381E70A91418CBA)

Subject: RE: Estimated Resource Hours for North Anna
Sent Date: 4/30/2008 12:48:17 PM
Received Date: 4/30/2008 12:48:18 PM
From: Nebiyu Tiruneh

Created By: Nebiyu.Tiruneh@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Mark Thaggard" <Mark.Thaggard@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Christopher Cook" <Christopher.Cook@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2654	4/30/2008 12:48:18 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: