PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Paul Kallan
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2008 1:50 PM
To: 'ARW1@Exchange.EXPO'; 'LMQ1@Exchange.EXPO'; 'MAH2@Exchange.EXPO'; William Burton; Gwendolyn Hawkins; Donald Palmrose
Cc: Adrienne Redden; Richard Emch; Nilesh Chokshi; Ronnie Gorham; Andrew Kugler; Richard Raione; Zsa-Zsa Young
Subject: EPM Stages vs Public Website Stages (was RE: Pre-Staging Documents for Harris)

Hi Don,

The 5 phases I used for the public website are correct for STP. The phases are as follows:

Phase 1: Acceptance Review
Phase 2: Scoping
Phase 3: Draft EIS
Phase 4: Final EIS
Phase 5 Hearing

Commission or ASLB hold mandatory hearing.

regards,

Paul :-)

>>> Donald Palmrose 3/11/2008 11:36 AM >>>
Mallecia,

I would like to recommend someone suggest at this meeting (if not going to be raised already) that the application review schedule posted on the public website for each COLA also be consistent. For example, STP has the four phases listed by Butch plus Phase 5: Hearing along with Commission or ASLB hold mandatory hearing.

To show the disconnect, as of today for Harris, here are the phases listed under Environmental Review on the public website:
Phase 1 - Environmental impact statement (EIS) summary report issued Phase 2 - Draft EIS issued to EPA
Phase 3 - Response to public comments on draft EIS issued Phase 4 - Final EIS issued to EPA

Thanks,
Don

>>> Mallecia Hood 3/11/2008 9:58 AM >>>
I think we should wait. Andy, I and others are having a meeting with John Tappert tomorrow to go over the environmental schedule. I will have a brown bag to discuss what came out of the meeting. A lot of the issue Laura and others have brought forward is what I have mentioned and why this meeting is taking place.

Thanks
Mallecia

-----Original Message-----
From: William Burton
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2008 9:52 AM

To: Alicia Williamson; Laura Quinn; Gwendolyn Hawkins; Donald Palmrose
Cc: Mallecia Hood; Adrienne Redden; Richard Emch; Nilesh Chokshi; Ronnie Gorham; Andrew Kugler; Paul Kallan; Richard Raione; Zsa Zsa Young
Subject: RE: Pre-Staging Documents for Harris

That's ok with me. In fact, it makes the most sense. I just don't want our folks to be confused with the EPM. If we follow your lead, then we should not refer to "phases" at all (as you seem to imply). Am I understanding you correctly?

>>> Laura Quinn 03/11/2008 8:46:49 AM >>>
I think it is better to have it logically match what our phases are in the review not what is in EPM. Those phases in EPM were developed by non-env folks to use in the system and will never make sense to me. Just my thoughts.
In fact they had the EPM phases on the public website until last week but after I brought up that it made no sense to do it by phase because the public does not know what are in the phases so they stopped and made it more like what it used to be which was given the dates of important milestones such as the scoping meeting date and the DEIS meeting dates and the comments periods etc. I think we should have it be pre-application, Application Acceptance review, Scoping, DEIS, FEIS, and Hearing. Once again these are my thoughts.

Laura

-----Original Message-----
From: William Burton [mailto:WFB@nrc.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2008 5:08 PM
To: Laura Quinn; Alicia Williamson; Donald Palmrose; Gwendolyn Hawkins
Cc: Andrew Kugler; Adrienne Redden; Mallecia Hood; Nilesh Chokshi; Paul Kallan; Richard Emch; Richard Raione; Ronnie Gorham; Zsa Zsa Young
Subject: Re: Pre-Staging Documents for Harris

Adrienne,

I remember that!!!

There's only one issue - the phases on the g-drive don't line up with phases identified in EPM. In EPM: Phase 1 - Scoping, Phase 2 - DEIS, Phase 3 - DEIS Comment Period, Phase 4 - FEIS. For purposes of the folder on the G-Drive, we may need to create a Phase 0 - Pre-Scoping and have the other phases match EPM. What do you think?

Butch

>>> Adrienne Redden 03/07/2008 3:16 PM >>>

Good Afternoon!

As a reminder, following the December 13, 2007 combined staff (RAP1, RAP2, and ENV) Environmental Status Meeting (ESM) discussion pertaining to the anticipation of the receipt of forthcoming applications, I took the initiative and announced to the combined staffs that I had set-up folders for the 15 plants listed on the schedule at that time. Reason being, it would provide a centralized filing system by which all staff could locate documents relative to their plants rather than segregating the information by branch.

Each main plant folder was further delineated into four phases of work (modeled after Vogtle), plus a miscellaneous folder to contain correspondence that didn't come specifically under one of the phase categories. The folders are centrally located at: G:\DSER\All Staff Folder\PLANT Application Correspondence, and are entitled as follows:

* Phase 1 - Pre-Scoping
* Phase 2 - Scoping
* Phase 3 - Request for Additional Information
* Phase 4 - Draft Environmental Impact Statement
* Miscellaneous

Most certainly, should you wish to move the applicable folders from the current location to your respective branch folders, by all means, do so.

I trust this will assist in continuing the standardization criteria for the correspondence tracking of current, and future application documentation.

Many thanks,

Adrienne M. Redden, Licensing Assistant
Environmental Projects Branch B
Office of New Reactors
Division of Site and Environmental Reviews U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 205550
301-415-7613
email: amr1@nrc.gov

>>> William Burton 3/6/2008 3:49 PM >>>
Folks,

I sent the e-mail below a few weeks ago to prepare for the Harris COLA.
I asked that the Harris subfolder in the RAP 1 folder be set up by Phases and be populated with the necessary templates so Don and Gwen can start preparing the necessary documents. I just checked and that hasn't been done, yet.

Laura and Alicia , has OGC completed it's review of the templates? If so, I see that the "Templates and Checklists" subfolder in the "All Staff Folder" still hasn't been segmented by Phase and had the approved templates added. Also, I still don't have an answer as to who is/will be responsible for maintaining the approved templates and checklists from now on. Please let me know the status.

I also asked about a template for the library letter. Is there one?

I'll restate my expectations with regard to pre-staging for Harris:

1. Laura and Alicia , you had the responsibility for the templates, so please see it through to completion. The activity is complete when the OGC-approved templates are placed in the "Templates and Checklists" subfolder in the "All Staff Folder" and are segmented by Phase. Also, if there is to be a template for the library letter, let me know if you are responsible for completing that.

2. Gwen , please take the segmented templates and checklists and copy them into a Harris folder. The LA should do this for each new project.

3. Don , when these are in place, work with Gwen to start preparing your key documents for Harris.

In the next couple of weeks, I want to sit with Laura, Alicia, the LAs, and SK to review where we stand with setting up the templates and checklists in the "All Staff Folder."

PROPER PLANNING PREVENTS POOR PERFORMANCE!!!

Butch

Andy Kugler brought up the need to "pre-stage" our work to the extent we can. This will minimize confustion about what to do and will ensure that we work effectively and efficiently. I agree with him, and we'll start with Harris.

The Harris COLA will be submitted next week and I want things to be ready before it comes in. Specifically, I'd like all the latest templates to be put into the Harris subfolder in the RAP 1 folder. The templates should be segmented by the review phases.

Laura and Alicia , it's my understanding that you have taken the lead in preparing the templates and right now the templates are being reviewed by OGC. Please ensure that OGC completes their review ASAP.
When you get them back from OGC, please segment the templates by phases (i.e., the templates for documents associated with the Acceptance Review, should be grouped together under Pre-Scoping. Documents for Phase 1 - Scoping, should be grouped under that phase, etc.). There is currently a "Templates and Checklists" subfolder in the "All Staff Folder" so I expect that the approved templates will be segmented by phase and placed in that subfolder, right?

By the way, who will be responsible for maintaining the templates once they're approved?

Gwen , there is currently a Shearon Harris subfolder under the RAP 1 folder that has a couple of draft library letters in it (Don , before you send these out, make sure that they conform to the latest templates.
Alicia and Laura , is there a library template? If so, please make sure Don has it). Gwen , when Laura and Alicia place the final templates (grouped by phase) in the "Templates and Checklist" subfolder, please copy them into the Shearon Harris subfolder in the RAP 1 folder and let Don know when you've done it. Don , when Gwen has completed this, start revising the templates to fit Harris. You can use STP and Bellefonte as guides if you need to. Also, SK is working on Interim Staff Guidance (ISGs). Check with him to see if there is anything that you need to be aware of regarding the very latest changes to how things are being done (some very recent changes may not yet have been captured in a template or in the Stepwise Procedure). For example, I'm not sure if the e-mail that is to be sent to the EPA has been captured in a template or in the Stepwise Procedure yet. If not, SK should capture it in an ISG.

My hope is that by pre-staging these documents before a COLA or ESP comes in, we'll be able to get off to a good start.

Andy, thanks for the suggestion. It was a good one!

Thanx,

Butch

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 144

Mail Envelope Properties (CEEA97CC21430049B821E684512F6E5E4DA292E9D7)

Subject: EPM Stages vs Public Website Stages (was RE: Pre-Staging Documents for Harris)
Sent Date: 3/11/2008 1:49:31 PM
Received Date: 3/11/2008 1:49:31 PM
From: Paul Kallan

Created By: Paul.Kallan@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Adrienne Redden" <Adrienne.Redden@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Richard Emch" <Richard.Emch@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Nilesh Chokshi" <Nilesh.Chokshi@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Ronnie Gorham" <Ronnie.Gorham@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Andrew Kugler" <Andrew.Kugler@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Richard Raione" <Richard.Raione@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Zsa-Zsa Young" <Zsa-Zsa.Young@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"'ARW1@Exchange.EXPO'" <ARW1@Exchange.EXPO>
Tracking Status: None
"'LMQ1@Exchange.EXPO'" <LMQ1@Exchange.EXPO>
Tracking Status: None
"'MAH2@Exchange.EXPO'" <MAH2@Exchange.EXPO>
Tracking Status: None
"William Burton" <William.Burton@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Gwendolyn Hawkins" <Gwendolyn.Hawkins@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Donald Palmrose" <Donald.Palmrose@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	9546	3/11/2008 1:49:31 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: