#### VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

July 30, 2008

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555 Serial No.: 08-0442 NL&OS/ETS R0 Docket No.: 50-338 License No.: NPF-4

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY (DOMINION)
NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNIT 1
30-DAY REPORT OF EMERGENCY CORE
COOLING SYSTEM (ECCS) MODEL CHANGES
PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 50.46

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii), Dominion hereby submits information regarding changes to the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) evaluation model for the Small Break Loss of Coolant Accident (SBLOCA) analysis for North Anna Power Station Unit 1 (NAPS 1) and its application in existing analyses.

Attachment 1 provides a report describing plant-specific changes associated with the AREVA SBLOCA ECCS evaluation model for NAPS 1.

Information regarding the effect of the ECCS evaluation model changes upon the reported SBLOCA analysis of record (AOR) result for NAPS 1 is provided in Attachment 2. The calculated peak cladding temperatures (PCT) for the AREVA SBLOCA analysis for NAPS 1 is 1395°F. The SBLOCA result for NAPS 1 is confirmed to have sufficient margin to the 2200°F limit for PCT specified in 10 CFR 50.46(b)(1). Dominion has determined that the PCT assessment for 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i) accumulation (sum of the absolute magnitudes), including the current as well as previous PCT assessments, results in a total accumulation of 61°F which represents a significant change as defined in 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i).

10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii) requires the licensee to provide a report within 30 days, which includes a proposed schedule for providing a reanalysis or taking other action as may be needed to show compliance with 10 CFR 50.46. Dominion has reviewed the information provided by AREVA and determined that the adjusted SBLOCA PCT value and the manner in which it was derived continue to conform to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46. As such, Dominion considers the schedular requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii) to be satisfied with the submission of this notification. Dominion routinely tracks adjustments to the SBLOCA and Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA) calculated PCT values to ensure that reasonable margins to the acceptance value set by 10 CFR 50.46 are maintained.

Serial No. 08-0442 Docket No. 50-338 10 CRF 50.46 Report Page 2 of 3

This information satisfies the 30-Day reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii).

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Mr. Thomas Shaub at (804) 273-2763.

Very truly yours,

Gerald T. Bischof

Vice President - Nuclear Engineering

Attachments: (2)

- 1) Report of Changes in AREVA SBLOCA ECCS Evaluation Model North Anna Power Station Unit 1.
- 2) 30-Day Reporting of 10 CFR 50.46 Margin Utilization North Anna Power Station Unit

Commitments made in this letter: None.

Serial No. 08-0442 Docket No. 50-338 10 CRF 50.46 Report Page 3 of 3

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. J. E. Reasor, Jr.
Old Dominion Electric Cooperative
Innsbrook Corporate Center
4201 Dominion Blvd.
Suite 300
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

NRC Senior Resident Inspector North Anna Power Station

Mr. S. P. Lingam NRC Project Manager U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North Mail Stop O-8 G9A 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

Serial No.: 08-0442 Docket No.: 50-338

#### **ATTACHMENT 1**

## 30-DAY REPORT OF EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM (ECCS) MODEL CHANGES PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 50.46

# REPORT OF CHANGES IN AREVA SBLOCA ECCS EVALUATION MODEL

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNIT 1

Serial No.: 08-0442 Docket No.: 50-338 Attachment 1, Page 1 of 1

## REPORT OF CHANGES IN AREVA SBLOCA ECCS EVALUATION MODEL

The current small break loss of coolant accident (SBLOCA) analysis for AREVA fuel in North Anna Power Station Unit 1 (NAPS 1) was performed using the AREVA SBLOCA Evaluation Model (EM). AREVA identified the changes described below and provided the results of an assessment to determine the impact on peak cladding temperature (PCT).

#### **RCCA Reactivity Input**

AREVA identified an issue related to modeling a slightly non-conservative RCCA reactivity input for the NAPS 1 SBLOCA. The SBLOCA Analysis of Record (AOR) modeled a RCCA reactivity based on a fully inserted End-of-Life (EOL) rod worth of 6.64 % \( \Delta k \)/k and a delayed neutron fraction of 0.0075. The EOL rod worth is a first cycle of operation value from the NAPS UFSAR. A lower rod worth is more appropriate to have the SBLOCA result cover a wider range of operating conditions. The control rod worth selected for use is 4.0 % \( \Delta k \)/k with the same delayed neutron fraction. This change resulted in a 3°F decrease in PCT.

#### **Critical Flow Transition**

AREVA identified an issue related to modeling the evaluation model (EM) critical flow as it transitions from subcooled to two-phase flow. The SBLOCA AOR modeled the EM critical flow transition with values that differ from the EM demonstration analysis. The change is related to the use of the quality option instead of the void fraction option over which the subcooled two-phase flow smoothing occurs. This change resulted in a 26°F increase in PCT.

### Conclusion

Dominion has performed an evaluation of PCT for comparison to 10 CFR 50.46 requirements. The AOR PCT for NAPS 1 is 1404°F. Considering the current PCT changes as well as all previously reported changes, the corrected SBLOCA PCT is 1395°F. The SBLOCA results have sufficient margin to the 2200°F limit specified in 10 CFR 50.46(b)(1). As identified in Attachment 2, the PCT assessment for 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i) accumulation (sum of the absolute magnitudes) includes the current assessments (29°F) and previous assessments (32°F) resulting in a total accumulation of 61°F. The 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i) accumulation of 61°F is greater than the 50°F limit for reporting; hence, the changes are significant and submittal of this 30 day report to the NRC is required.

Serial No.: 08-0442 Docket No.: 50-338

#### **ATTACHMENT 2**

## 30-DAY REPORT OF EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM (ECCS) MODEL CHANGES PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 50.46

30-DAY REPORTING OF 10 CFR 50.46 MARGIN UTILIZATION

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNIT 1

Serial No: 08-0442 Docket No. 50-338 Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1

## 10 CFR 50.46 MARGIN UTILIZATION - AREVA SMALL BREAK LOCA

| Plant Name:   North Anna Power Station, Unit 1   Utility Name:   Virginia Electric and Power Company   | 10 CFR 50.46 MARGIN UTILIZATION - AREVA SMALL BREAK LOCA |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
|--|--|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| Analysis Information   | Plant Name:  |          |                          | North Anna Power Station, Unit 1    |                |                      |  |
| EM: AREVA SB EM Limiting Break Size: 5.2 Inches (SI Line) Analysis Date: 2004 Vendor: AREVA FQ: 2.32 FΔH: 1.65 Fuel: Advanced Mark-BW SGTP (%): 7 Notes: None  Clad Temp (°F)  LICENSING BASIS   | <b>Utility Name:</b>                                     |          | Virginia Electric and P  | Virginia Electric and Power Company |                |                      |  |
| Analysis Date: 2004 Vendor: AREVA FQ: 2.32 FΔH: 1.65 Fuel: Advanced Mark-BW SGTP (%): 7 Notes: None  Clad Temp (°F)  LICENSING BASIS    Analysis of Record PCT 1404  PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT) A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments 1. None 0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI -24 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0   | Analysis Information                                     |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
| Vendor:       AREVA         FQ:       2.32       FΔH:       1.65         Fuel:       Advanced Mark-BW       SGTP (%):       7         Notes:       Clad Temp (°F)         LICENSING BASIS         Analysis of Record PCT       1404         PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)         A.       Prior ECCS Model Assessments         1.       None         0         B.       Planned Plant Modification Evaluations         1.       Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI       -24         2.       Point Kinetics Programming Issue         with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes       -8         C.       2008 ECCS Model Assessments         1.       RCCA Reactivity Input       -3         2.       Critical Flow Transition       26         D.       Other         1.       None       0 | EM:  |          | AREVA SB EM              | Limiting Break Size:                |                | 5.2 Inches (SI Line) |  |
| FQ: 2.32 FΔH: 1.65 Fuel: Advanced Mark-BW SGTP (%): 7 Notes: None  Clad Temp (°F)  LICENSING BASIS    Analysis of Record PCT 1404  PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT) A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments    1. None 0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations    1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments    1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3    2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other    1. None 0  | Analysis Date:   |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
| Fuel: Advanced Mark-BW SGTP (%): 7  Notes: None  Clad Temp (°F)  LICENSING BASIS    Analysis of Record PCT 1404  PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)  A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments    1. None 0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations    1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI -24    2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments    1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3    2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other    1. None 0  | Vendor:  |          | AREVA                    |                                     |                |                      |  |
| Notes: None  Clad Temp (°F)  LICENSING BASIS Analysis of Record PCT 1404  PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT) A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments 1. None 0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI -24 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0  | FQ:  |          | 2.32                     |                                     | 1.65           |                      |  |
| LICENSING BASIS Analysis of Record PCT 1404  PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT) A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments 1. None 0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI -24 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0   | Fuel:  |          | Advanced Mark-BW         | SGTP (%):                           | 7              |                      |  |
| LICENSING BASIS Analysis of Record PCT  A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments 1. None  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  1 None  1 None  1 1404  1404  1404   | Note   | s:       | None                     |                                     |                |                      |  |
| Analysis of Record PCT  PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)  A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments  1. None  0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations  1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI  2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments  1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other  1. None  1 None  |  |          |                          |                                     | Clad Temp (°F) |                      |  |
| PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)  A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments  1. None  0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations  1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI  2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments  1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other  1. None  0   | LICE   |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
| A. Prior ECCS Model Assessments  1. None  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations  1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI  2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments  1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  0  |  | Analysis | of Record PCT            |                                     |                | 1404                 |  |
| 1. None 0  B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI -24 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0  | PCT ASSESSMENTS (Delta PCT)                              |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
| B. Planned Plant Modification Evaluations 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  0   | A.   | Prior EC | CS Model Assessments     |                                     |                |                      |  |
| 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  0   |  | 1. No    | one                      |                                     |                | 0                    |  |
| 1. Revised Test Flow Curve for HHSI 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  0   | _  | <b>D</b> |                          | -49                                 |                |                      |  |
| 2. Point Kinetics Programming Issue with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0   | В.   |          |                          |                                     |                | 24                   |  |
| with RELAP5-Based Computer Codes -8  C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0   |  |          |                          |                                     |                | -24                  |  |
| C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments 1. RCCA Reactivity Input -3 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other 1. None 0  |  |          | •                        |                                     | _8             |                      |  |
| 1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  0  |  | VV       | IIII NELAF 3-based Compu | lei Codes                           |                | -0                   |  |
| 1. RCCA Reactivity Input 2. Critical Flow Transition  D. Other 1. None  0  | C. 2008 ECCS Model Assessments                           |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
| 2. Critical Flow Transition 26  D. Other  1. None 0  |  |          |                          |                                     |                | -3                   |  |
| 1. None 0  |  |          |                          |                                     |                | 26                   |  |
| 1. None 0  |  |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
|  | D.   | Other    |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
| LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS PCT = 1395   |  | 1. N     | one                      |                                     |                | 0                    |  |
| LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS PCT = 1395   |  |          |                          |                                     |                |                      |  |
|  | LICENSING BASIS PCT + PCT ASSESSMENTS                    |          |                          |                                     |                | T = 1395             |  |