



UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 REGION II
 101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

Handwritten signature

5/10/1979/1024/621

Tera

JUL 18 1979

In Reply Refer To:

- RII:JPO
- 50-438, 50-439
- 50-259, 50-260
- 50-296, 50-518
- 50-519, 50-520
- 50-521, 50-553
- 50-554, 50-327
- 50-328, 50-390
- 50-391, 50-566
- 50-567

Tennessee Valley Authority
 Attn: H. G. Parris
 Manager of Power
 500A Chestnut Street Tower II
 Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

Gentlemen:

IE Bulletin 79-14 is revised to limit the scope of work required. The changes are indicated on the enclosed replacement page for the bulletin. If you desire additional information regarding this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of James P. O'Reilly
 Mr James P. O'Reilly
 Director

Enclosure:
 Page 2 of 3 to IE Bulletin
 No. 79-14, Rev. 1

446 150

③
 7208020316

Handwritten mark

Action to be taken by Licensees and Permit Holders:

All power reactor facility licensees and construction permit holders are requested to verify, unless verified to an equivalent degree within the last 12 months, that the seismic analysis applies to the actual configuration of safety-related piping systems. The safety related piping includes Seismic Category I systems as defined by Regulatory Guide 1.29, "Seismic Design Classification" Revision 1, dated August 1, 1973 or as defined in the applicable FSAR.

The action items that follow apply to all safety related piping 2 1/2-inches in diameter and greater and to seismic Category I piping, regardless of size which was dynamically analyzed by computer. For older plants, where Seismic Category I requirements did not exist at the time of licensing, it must be shown that the actual configuration of safety-related systems, utilizing piping 2 1/2 inches in diameter and greater, meets design requirements.

Specifically, each licensee is requested to:

1. Identify inspection elements to be used in verifying that the seismic analysis input information conforms to the actual configuration of safety-related systems. For each safety-related system, submit a list of design documents, including title, identification number, revision, and date, which were sources of input information for the seismic analyses. Also submit a description of the seismic analysis input information which is contained in each document. Identify systems or portions of systems which are planned to be inspected during each sequential inspection identified in Items 2 and 3. Submit all of this information within 30 days of the date of this bulletin.
2. For portions of systems which are normally accessible*, inspect one system in each set of redundant systems and all nonredundant systems for conformance to the seismic analysis input information set forth in design documents. Include in the inspection: pipe run geometry; support and restraint design, locations, function and clearance (including floor and wall penetration); embedments (excluding those covered in IE Bulletin 79-02); pipe attachments; and valve and valve operator locations and weights (excluding covered in IE Bulletin 79-04). Within 60 days of the date of this bulletin, submit a description of the results of this inspection. Where nonconformances are found which affect operability of any system, the licensee will expedite completion of the inspection described in Item 3.

*Normally accessible refers to those areas of the plant which can be entered during reactor operations.

446 151

Tennessee Valley Authority

-3-

J. M. Ballentine
Plant Superintendent
Post Office Box 2000
Daisy, Tennessee 37319

D. L. Lambert, Project Engineer
400 Chestnut Street Tower II
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

J. F. Groves, Plant Superintendent
Post Office Box 2000
Spring City, Tennessee 37381

T. M. Northern, Jr., Project Manager
Post Office Box 2000
Spring City, Tennessee 37381

M. H. Price, Project Manager
Post Office Box 2000
Iuka, Mississippi 38852