

---

**From:** Ron Linton  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 10, 2008 10:28 AM  
**To:** Betty Garrett  
**Subject:** COGEMA comments on draft EA  
**Attachments:** RE: Comments on COGEMA EA for restart; Comments on COGEMA EA for restart

Betty:

Can you put these in COGEMA docket as package. Publicly available.

Thx.

Ron

Received: from HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov ([148.184.44.79]) by TWMS01.nrc.gov  
([148.184.200.145]) with mapi; Thu, 10 Jul 2008 10:28:25 -0400  
Content-Type: application/ms-tnef; name="winmail.dat"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary  
From: Ron Linton <Ron.Linton@nrc.gov>  
To: Betty Garrett <Betty.Garrett@nrc.gov>  
Date: Thu, 10 Jul 2008 10:28:24 -0400  
Subject: COGEMA comments on draft EA  
Thread-Topic: COGEMA comments on draft EA  
Thread-Index: AcjimTXWRq7JclM+TfOhbP97o/gqDA==  
Message-ID: <1FA53ADF29758448974A8AC1118E627E733F77B825@HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov>  
Accept-Language: en-US  
Content-Language: en-US  
X-MS-Has-Attach: yes  
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1  
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:  
<1FA53ADF29758448974A8AC1118E627E733F77B825@HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

## Betty Garrett

---

**From:** Ron Linton  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 10, 2008 10:24 AM  
**To:** Glenn Mooney  
**Subject:** RE: Comments on COGEMA EA for restart

Thanks Glenn, this does clarify your comments. Ron

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Glenn Mooney [mailto:GMOON@state.wy.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 10, 2008 10:16 AM  
**To:** Ron Linton  
**Subject:** Re: Comments on COGEMA EA for restart

Ron:

For No. 1, what I meant to say was that COGEMA has removed the sludge and liners from all but two of their evaporation ponds at Irigaray. That is, only two of their ponds are currently operational (RB and B). All of the Christensen Ranch ponds are operational, as far as I know.

For No. 2, I have not actually seen anything in writing about EPA's reluctance (at least from EPA) to issue or renew Class I disposal wells injecting into the Lance Fm. However, Energy Metals/Uranium One's proposal to our Water Quality Division last week to issue a Class 5 disposal permit for water disposal (into the Lance) strongly suggests that is the case.

Hope this clarifies things.

- Glenn

**Betty Garrett**

---

**From:** Ron Linton  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 10, 2008 9:02 AM  
**To:** Glenn Mooney  
**Subject:** Comments on COGEMA EA for restart

Glenn:

Thanks for discussing the COGEMA draft EA with me Monday. I just want to confirm I've captured your comments.

1. We discussed that COGEMA had removed liners and byproduct material at two of their evaporation ponds at Irigaray and that they would need to refurbish those ponds prior to use.
2. COGEMA's Class I wells for deep well injection are into the Lance Formation and EPA has indicated that they may not want to allow injection into that formation in the future. This may become an issue for COGEMA in the future as their Class I permits come up for renewal.

Let me if this is an accurate summary or if you have other comments.

Ron