

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 22, 2008

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, N.Y. 10007

RE: Spano v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Nos. 07-0324-ag & 07-1276-ag

Dear Ms. Wolfe:

Enclosed you will find an original plus four copies of the Response of the United States

Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States in Opposition to Motion of Amicus Curiae

States of New York and Connecticut to Participate in Oral Argument in the above-referenced case.

Please date stamp the enclosed copy of this letter to indicate date of receipt, and return the copy to me in the enclosed envelope, postage pre-paid, at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Grace H. Kim Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

Enclosures: As stated

cc: service list

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

ANDREW SPANO, as County Executive of the County of Westchester, COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER, NEW JERSEY ENVIRONMENTAL FEDERATION and)))
NEW JERSEY CHAPTER OF THE SIERRA CLUB)) · ·
Petitioners)
V.) Nos. 07-0324-ag(L) & 07-1276-ag(Con)
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)))
Respondents.) _)

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND THE UNITED STATES

IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF AMICUS CURIAE

STATES OF NEW YORK AND CONNECTICUT

TO PARTICIPATE IN ORAL ARGUMENT

By order dated June 30, 2008, the Court set this case for oral argument on September 12, 2008. The Court allotted 20 minutes in total for oral argument -- ten minutes each for petitioners and respondents. By motion dated July 17, 2008, the States of New York and Connecticut, amici curiae supporting petitioners, requested that the 20-minute oral argument time be expanded to grant five minutes of argument time to New York/Connecticut, resulting in an oral argument allocation of 15 minutes for petitioners' side and only 10 minutes for respondents' side.

On behalf of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the

United States, we oppose amici curiae's motion to participate in oral argument. As a general matter, neither the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure nor the Local Rules contemplate participation by amicus curiae in oral argument. See F.R.A.P. 29(g). After considering petitioners' briefs, this Court has already established ten minutes per side as an appropriate time allocation for this case. See Local Rule 34(d). That includes, presumably, oral argument by both petitioners – who filed separate briefs, and under this Court's rules must divide the oral argument time allocated to their side. See Rule 34(d). Allowing yet another set of lawyers, representing New York and Connecticut as amici, to participate on petitioners' side may result in unwieldy and unnecessarily lengthy arguments.

In our view, amici have not shown that the nature and complexity of the issues in this case warrant oral argument time beyond what the Court has already allocated. Multiple briefs have been filed on behalf of and in support of petitioners, including initial and reply briefs filed by each of the two petitioners and two separate amicus curiae briefs, one brief filed by New York/Connecticut and another separate brief filed by County of Rockland, New York – a total of six briefs on petitioners' side. Petitioners and their supporting amici curiae thus already have had ample opportunity to fully air their respective positions and supporting arguments before the Court.

Fundamentally, of course, it is up to this Court, in its discretion, to

decide who participates at oral argument and how much time they have to argue. But we do not believe it would be fair to allow petitioners together with their amici 15 minutes of total oral argument time when the government parties, as respondents, have just 10. Therefore, if the Court in its discretion decides to grant New York and Connecticut, as amici, the five minutes of oral argument they request, in the interest of fairness we respectfully request a corresponding enlargement of our oral argument time. Alternatively, the Court could give New York and Connecticut, as amici, a share of petitioners' 10 minutes.

In sum, while this Court undoubtedly has authority to allocate time as it sees fit, we strongly oppose any allocation of time that does not provide both sides with equal amounts of time to present argument.

Respectfully submitted,

ANN PETERSON

Attorney

Appellate Section

Environment and Natural

Resources Division

U.S. Department of Justice

P.O. Box 23795

Washington, DC 20026-3795

(202) 514-3888

Dated: July 22, 2008

OHN F. CORDES, JR.

Solicitor

GRACE H. KIM

Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission

Washington, DC 20555

(301) 415-3605

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 22, 2008, copies of the foregoing Response of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States in Opposition to Motion of Amicus Curiae States of New York and Connecticut for to Participate in Oral Argument were served by mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Matthew T. Miklave, Esq. Epstein Becker and Green, P.C. 250 Park Avenue New York, N.Y. 10177

Richard Webster, Esq. Rutgers Environmental Law Clinic 123 Washington Street Newark, NJ 07102

John J. Sipos Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Bureau The Capitol Albany, NY 12224

Richard Blumenthal
Attorney General of the State of Connecticut
P.O. Box 120
55 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06141-0120

Michael P. O'Connor Principal Assistant County Attorney 11 New Hempstead Road New City, New York 10956

Grace H. Kim

ANTI-VIRUS CERTIFICATION FORM

See Second Circuit Interim Local Rule 25(a)6.

CASE NAME: Spano, et a	I. v. NRC	
DOCKET NUMBER: 07-03	324-ag(L)	
I, (please print your n	Grace H. Kim	, certify that
I have scanned for viruses th	ne PDF version of the attached document that was subm	nitted in this case as
an email attachment to	<agencycases@ca2.uscourts.gov>.</agencycases@ca2.uscourts.gov>	
	<pre><criminalcases@ca2.uscourts.gov>.</criminalcases@ca2.uscourts.gov></pre>	
	< <u>civilcases@ca2.uscourts.gov>.</u>	
	<newcases@ca2.uscourts.gov>.</newcases@ca2.uscourts.gov>	
	<pre><pre>prosecases@ca2.uscourts.gov>.</pre></pre>	X.
and that no viruses were dete	cted.	
Please print the name and the	e version of the anti-virus detector that you used	<u> </u>
Symantec AntiVirus Pro	gram 10.1.5.5010	
lf you know, please print the	version of revision and/or the anti-virus signature files	
	Commission, ou	ıy Grace H. Kim Kim, o=Nuclear Regulatory -OGC, email=Grace.Kim@nrc.gov, c≃US 2 08:10:44 -04'00'
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
07/22/2008		