NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Woodland Medical Group Detroit, Michigan Docket No. 030-02149 License No. 21-13255-01

As a result of the inspection conducted on August 25, 1989, and in accordance with 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C - General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions (1989), the following violations were identified:

1. License Condition No. 14 states that the license is based on statements and representations contained in certain referenced applications and letters.

The referenced application dated January 28, 1985, states that Appendix D of Regulatory Guide 10.8, Revision 1 will be followed for calibration of survey instruments. Appendix D states that the frequency for calibration of survey instruments shall be at least annually.

Contrary to the above, the Eberline-520 survey instrument used at the Detroit location had not been calibrated annually as required. Specifically, the survey instrument was last calibrated on December 7, 1987.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

2. License Condition No. 14 states that the license is based on statements and representations contained in certain referenced applications and letters.

The referenced application dated January 28, 1985, states that Appendix D, Section 2 of Regulatory Guide 10.8, Revision 1 will be followed for calibration of dose calibrators. Appendix D, Section 2 states that instrument linearity will be checked quarterly.

Contrary to the above, the dose calibrator used at the Detroit location had not been checked for linearity quarterly as required. Specifically, no linearity check had been performed in the fourth quarter of 1988.

This is a repeat violation.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each violation: (1) the corrective actions that have been taken and the results achieved; (2) the corrective actions that

Al 57

will be taken to avoid further violations; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

September 5, 1989

R. Co. Caniano, Chief Nuclear Materials Safety Section 2