BellefonteRAIsPEm Resource

From: Brian Anderson
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 2:59 PM
To: BellefonteRAIsPEm Resource
Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 079 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 18 FOR THE BELLEFONTE UNITS 3 and 4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION
Attachments: BLN-RAI-LTR-079.doc

Importance: High

Hearing Identifier: Bellefonte_COL_RAI_Public
Email Number: 58

Mail Envelope Properties (CB87FC66F95637428C5E0D066E756B6F7C72DC1031)

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 079 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 18 FOR THE BELLEFONTE UNITS 3 and 4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION
Sent Date: 7/18/2008 2:58:51 PM
Received Date: 7/18/2008 2:58:53 PM
From: Brian Anderson

Created By: Brian.Anderson@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"BellefonteRAIsPEm Resource" <BellefonteRAIsPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	3	7/18/2008 2:58:53 PM
BLN-RAI-LTR-079.doc	69626	

Options
Priority: High
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

July 18, 2008

Ms. Andrea L. Sterdis
Manager, Nuclear Licensing & Industry Affairs
Nuclear Generation Development & Construction
Tennessee Valley Authority
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 079 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 18 FOR THE BELLEFONTE UNITS 3 and 4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Ms. Sterdis:

By letter dated October 30, 2007, as supplemented by letters dated November 2, 2007, January 8, 2008 and January 14, 2008, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) submitted its application to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a combined license (COL) for two AP1000 advance passive pressurized water reactors pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The NRC staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed application.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter.

To support the review schedule, you are requested to respond within 45 days of the date of this letter. If changes are needed to the final safety analysis report, the staff requests that the RAI response include the proposed wording changes.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-9967 or you may contact Joesph Sebrosky, the lead project manager for the Bellefonte combined license at 301-415-1132.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Brian C. Anderson, Project Manager
AP1000 Projects Branch 1
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-014
52-015

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

CC: see next page

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-9967 or you may contact Joesph Sebrosky, the lead project manager for the Bellefonte combined license at 301-415-1132.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Brian C. Anderson, Project Manager
AP1000 Projects Branch 1
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-014
52-015
eRAI Tracking No. 357

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

Distribution:

Public	SCoffin	MJunge
RidsNroDnrlNwe1	TSimms	PPieringer
RidsNroLAKGoldstein	RJoshi	
RidsOgcMailCenter	BHughes	
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter	MComar	
RidsRgn2MailCenter	AHodgdon	
JSebrosky	PMoulding	

NRO-002

OFFICE	COLP/BC		NWE1/PM	OGC	NWE1/L-PM
NAME	MJunge*		BAnderson*	AHodgdon*	JSebrosky*
DATE	5/27/08		5/28/08	6/10/08	7/18/08

*Approval captured electronically in the electronic RAI system.

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Request for Additional Information
Bellefonte Units 3 and 4
Tennessee Valley Authority
Docket No. 52-014 and 52-015
SRP Section: 18 - Human Factors Engineering
Application Section: 18.2

QUESTION from Operator Licensing and Human Performance Branch

18-3

The applicant provided information in BLN COL 18.2-2 to resolve COL information item 18.2-2. COL information item 18.2-2 states:

"The Combined License applicant referencing the AP1000 certified design is responsible for designing the emergency operations facility, including specification of the location and communication with the facility, in accordance with the AP1000 human factors engineering program."

The action item indicates the EOF human factors design will be in accordance with the AP1000 program. This is acceptable to the staff. However, Westinghouse and the COL applicants are working towards establishing a graded application of the AP1000 HFE program to address this COL action item as described in the following reports:

- APP-OCS-GGR-110-P, "AP1000 Technical Support Center and Emergency Operations Facility Work Shop," revision 1, in February 2008

- APP-GW-GLR-136, "AP1000 Human Factors Program Implementation for the Emergency Operations Facility and Technical Support Center," revision 1, issued October 26, 2007 (TR-136)

It is presumed that Bellefonte, as the RCOL applicant, will follow this direction. The staff's review of the documentation associated with the graded approach identified the following areas where additional information is required.

1. NUREG-0800 states, "The applicant has an HFE design team with the responsibility, authority, placement within the organization, and composition to ensure that the design commitment to HFE is achieved." The staff requests additional information on team composition. Specifically, for each team member participating in the analysis of EOF/TSC functions, describe the experience that directly relates to EOF/TSC HFE program analysis. The following areas are of particular interest: HFE experience, TSC experience, EOF experience, and Operational Experience.

2. The task analysis described in TR-136 section 2.4.2 states, "This task analysis will cover all of the identified areas where the data and display available in the main control room may be utilized in TSC and/or EOF functions." A subsequent sentence in the same section states that for completeness all task steps will be documented but those not associated with the display or provision of plant data will not be considered.

APS-OCS-GGR-110-P section 3.3, 4th paragraph states, "The development of additional displays is restricted to those that are required or useful in the MCR. If a potential display is deemed to be only required or useful in the TSC and/or EOF, the HFE scope is restricted to ensuring the data is made available to the TSC and EOF, and it does not include the display design."

Subsequent sentences state the COL applicant is best able to design these indications.

The staff requests clarification of the intent of these paragraphs and of the responsibilities of Westinghouse and the COL applicant respectively. The staff also requests an explanation of why a task identified via the task analysis would not receive such treatment.

3. Section 2.4.2 states that the task analysis will be based on available existing TSC and EOF procedures. The staff requests a description of what measures will be taken to ensure there are sufficient procedures available so that all pertinent tasks are identified.

4. APP-OCS-GGR-110, rev 1, section 3.2.2 states, "Compliance to the guidelines will be checked as part of the design verification assessment of the MCR, although the TSC and EOF presentation is not within the scope of Westinghouse design verification assessment." The staff requests an explanation of how this verification and validation (V&V) process will be accomplished and who has responsibility for performing the V&V.

5. We have directed an RAI to Westinghouse concerning this matter and are also seeking an explanation from you in an effort to understand and resolve the matter. APP-OCS-GGR-110, rev 1, section 3.3 lists design activities that must be accomplished by the COL applicant. DCD revision 16 section 18.2.6.2 appears contradictory in that it deletes the phrase, "COL applicants…are responsible for designing the emergency operations facility." The staff requests that TVA provide additional basis for why the phrase was or will be deleted in DCD revision 16 given the additional work it requires of the COL applicant. The staff also requests additional information concerning what, if any, changes will be made to the COL information Item concerning the EOF/TSC HFE design.

6. The staff requests additional information concerning the applicant's definition of a "graded application" of the AP1000 HFE program with specific focus on the provision of data and display design as defined in the design certification.

7. APP-OCS-GGR-110, rev 1, Appendix B, "Summary List of Actions and Agreements," provides due dates for completion of actions. The staff requests an updated schedule for completion of the identified actions and the availability of any summary reports concerning the COL departure.