MFFFNPEm Resource

From: Steele, Sharon [Sharon.Steele@nnsa.doe.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 5:37 PM
To: Rex Wescott
Subject: RE: Possible NATB article request from LSO

Thanks Rex, I forgot about that nuance.
Fyi - Reps. John Dingell and Bart Stupak have requested GAO to investigate security issues at LLNL.
The link to Dingell's press release follows:

http://energycommerce.house.gov/Press_110/110nr302.shtml

Sharon

-----Original Message-----
From: Rex Wescott [mailto:Rex.Wescott@nrc.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 4:54 PM
To: Steele, Sharon
Subject: RE: Possible NATB article request from LSO

Sharon,
That is correct (Chapter 13 of NUREG-1718 is Safeguards)and safeguards are not directly addressed in the ISA Summary. However, the effects of security related concerns such as the use of Safe havens for protection of personnel during fires is addressed in the License Application.
Rex

From: Steele, Sharon [mailto:Sharon.Steele@nnsa.doe.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 12:27 PM
To: Rex Wescott
Subject: FW: Possible NATB article request from LSO

Rex
I'm trying to answer below. My understanding is that the standard review plan for the construction and licening of the MOX facility
includes a review of safeguards. However, the safety analysis does
not include a review of safeguard controls. Is that right?
Thanks

Sharon

From: Loll, Kim
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 8:49 AM
To: Steele, Sharon; Nichols, Don
Cc: Crowe, Richard; Cahalane, Patrick

Subject: RE: Possible NATB article request from LSO Good issue - are there any insights gained from looking how NRC integrates safety and security at commercial nukes?

From: Steele, Sharon
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:36 PM
To: Nichols, Don
Cc: Crowe, Richard; Loll, Kim; Cahalane, Patrick
Subject: Possible NATB article request from LSO

LSO is requesting that you post an NATB article on safety security interface. In particular, they need an opinion on whether the security controls should be included in the DSA, or only in the Site Safeguards and Security Plans (SSSP). One HSS comment from the security force-on-force review was that the B332 DSA restricted movement and operation of the mobile weapons platform. One claim was that LSO did not allow them to perform all the security equipment functional tests.

I recommended that a special review area of the Biennial review could involve a review of the safety security interface.
Sharon

Sharon Steele
Office of the Chief of Defense Nuclear Safety, NA 2.1 U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Room 6B-140
Washington, DC 20585
202-586-9554

Hearing Identifier: MixedOxideFuelFabricationFacility_NonPublic
Email Number: 302

Mail Envelope Properties (B52512079D2AA648977EA573B0F6F17102B9B1BD)

Subject: RE: Possible NATB article request from LSO
Sent Date: 6/27/2008 5:36:39 PM
Received Date: 6/27/2008 5:36:46 PM
From: Steele, Sharon

Created By: Sharon.Steele@nnsa.doe.gov

Recipients:
"Rex Wescott" <Rex.Wescott@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQGTNEVS-01.doe.local

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	2575	6/27/2008 5:36:46 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: Yes
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: