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This report presents the 1989 results of aquatic monitoring studies conducted in York Haven Pond, a mainstem impoundment on the Susquehanna River near the Three Mile Island Nuclear station (TMINS) (Figure l-l). Monitoring was executed by personnel of RMC Environmental Services, Inc. under contract to GPU Nuclear Corporation. These monitoring studies were mandated by the TMINS Environmental Technical Specification (ETS) for Unit 2, dated 6 May 1983. All field and laboratory procedures followed specifications provided in the TMI Environmental Controls Policy and Procedures Manual (GPU 1987). The 1989 survey was the third conducted by RMC following 10 years of monitoring by Ichthyological Associates, Inc., and 3 years by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc.

The objective of the aquatic monitoring studies, as detailed in the Unit 2 ETS, is to obtain a comprehensive data base necessary to establish the natural fluctuations and baseline conditions of various parameters within the ecosystem and on site, and thereby identify any significant biological alterations resulting from the operation of TMINS. The studies focus on water quality, benthic macroinvertebrates, and fish populations; the latter include angler use, harvest, and attitudes. The 1989 studies were
the fourth conducted during TMINS (Unit 1) operation following shutdown in 1979.

The TMINS is located on Three Mile Island about 275 m from the east bank of the Susquehanna River in Londonderry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (Figure 1-1). The site is at river kilometer 90 , about 16 km southeast of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The Station is surrounded, except along its southern border, by a small reservoir formed by York Haven and Red Hill dams. The (York Haven) pond created by the dams extends about 6 km upstream. At the site, the Susquehanna River is about $2,135 \mathrm{~m}$ wide and divided by islands into three channels (west, center, and east). The Intake and Discharge structures for TMINS are located along the west shore of $T M I$ and utilize water from the center channel. The aquatic studies program is conducted within the impounded area, except for creel survey interviews below both dams.

The format of this report is generally consistent for all disciplines studied, and is divided into chapters. The first section in each chapter contains descriptions of sampling stations, methods, and schedules. The next section contains statistical and analytical results of the 1989 studies. Sections on community analysis and multiple-year comparisons form important components of most chapters. Depending on the discipline, other sections cover Eish condition, parasites/anomalies, and comparisons with state


#### Abstract

water quality criteria. Tables and Eigures are located at the end of each chapter. All references were combined and appear in Chapter 8, which precedes the appendices. Each appendix corresponds to a discipline and contains, at a minimum, individual data points by date, station, taxon, and/or replicate.


SUMMARY

Aquatic monitoring was conducted in York Haven Pond (Susquehanna River) near TMINS between 1 April and 30 November 1989. Program elements consisted of benthic macroinvertebrates, ichthyoplankton, seine, electrofishing, creel surveys, and water quality. This is the 16 th annual report of aquatic monitoring studies at TMINS, and the second prepared by RMC Environmental Services, Inc.

## Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Macroinvertebrates were collected monthly at three stations, April through November 1989. A total of 30,588 specimens of 101 taxa was taken. Seven taxa comprised over 87\% of the benthic organisms. Chironomus decorus group and Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri were the most abundant organisms collected. Chironomus decorus group also had the greatest biomass.

In 1989, a total of 356 Asiatic clams Corbicula fluminea) was collected throughout York Haven Pond; most were juveniles.

The community composition at the three stations was compared by number of taxa, diversity and percent similarity indices, density, and biomass. The number of taxa was highest at Station 1A2, followed by $11 A 1$ and 9 Bl . Relative abundance of individuals among the taxa was also similar, as reflected in diversity values of $2.95,2.91$, and 2.53 for Stations 1A2, llAl, and 9Bl, respectively. The percent similarity between stations downstream of the TMINS discharge (11Al and 9Bl) was more similar than comparisons with the control station upstream (lA2). Total station density and biomass were variable and highest at station 9Bl; density and biomass at 1 A2 was lowest, and Station llAl was intermediate.

The monthly number of taxa, density, and biomass was variable. Values tended to be high in the spring (April through June) and fall (September through November) and low in the summer (July and August). These differences were attributed to the variable abundance of Chironomus decorus group, Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, and to a lesser extent Pisidium and Hexagenia. Because L: hoffmeisteri has historically been the most abundant taxa at TMINS, its 1989 densities were subjected to analysis of variance. Monthly and station densities were significantly different. The
densities of $L$. hoffmeisteri at the stations were significantly different from each other.
The community measures of number of taxa, diversity, and similarity were also examined from 1976 through 1989. Each measure showed variation among stations and years, but no consistent trends were evident to suggest any influence of TMINS.
In general, macroinvertebrate densities were within the ranges observed previously, but showed a slight decrease from 1988, due principally to decreased densities of Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri. Trends in macroinvertebrate densities were suggestive of natural fluctuations in environmental variables, especially river flow and water temperature, rather than TMINS operation.

## Ichthyoplankton

Ichthyoplankton samples were collected weekly from April through August 1989. A total of 9,537 individuals of at least 26 taxa was taken. Six families were represented; cyprinids were the most abundant. Nine taxa comprised over 93\% of the total catch; common carp and quillback were most common.

Larvae were first collected in mid-April, and were abundant from mid-May through mid-June and mid-July through August. Early season spawners were dominated by cyprinids,
catostomids, and percids. Members of the clupeid, cyprinid, ictalurid, and centrarchid families dominated the summer spawn.

Peak densities at individual stations were variable and keyed to the local abundance of one or more of the nine most common taxa. Stations located upstream (13A2) and downstream (11Al) of the TMINS discharge had similar densities. Statistical analysis revealed significant difference for dates, stations, and the date-station interaction. Densities were significantly higher on 6 June and at Station 14Bl. Stations near TMINS were statistically undifferentiated.

Community composition was evaluated by diversity and percent similarity indices. Diversity values ranged from 1.47 to 3.24 for the eight stations. The results were influenced by the extreme abundance of the common carp compared to the other taxa taken at a station. percent similarity values ranged from 20.9 to $88.1 \%$. Stations near TMINS exhibited high diversity and percent similarity values, indicating a similar community compositon.

The 1989 data were compared to data collected from 1977 through 1988. Generally, the number, density, and common species of ichthyoplankton collected in 1989 were within ranges reported previously. Analysis of variance of ichthyoplankton densities for the study period revealed significant differences among years, dates, and stations.

The fluctuations within the ichthyoplankton community were attributed to natural variation in the physical and/or environmental conditions in York Haven Pond.

Seine

Seine surveys at six shoreline stations were conducted once in April, July, October, and November and semimonthly May, June, August, and September 1989. A total of 45,980 fish of 33 species was collected. Most fish (19,616) and greatest biomass (2;974.3 g) occurred at Station 13B5, while most species (26) were taken at Station 16 Al . Most fish (11,821) were captured on 18 September. Peak biomass $(1,836.3 \mathrm{~g})$ occurred on 21 June.

Minnows ranked first in family composition, and comprised $91.5 \%$ of the total catch. The mimic shiner comprised $59.9 \%$ of the catch and was the most abundant species. Other common species were the spotfin shiner (28.1뭄), bluegill (2.5\%), pumpkinseed (2.1娄), bluntnose minnow ( $1.6 \%$ ), gizzard shad (1. $2 \%$ ), spottail shiner (1.1\%), and tessellated darter (1.0\%).

The length-weight measure of Eish condition (K) was calculated for spotfin shiner and mimic shiner. The mean weights for each species were similar among stations. There was a general trend of increasing $K$ factor with increasing length for each species.

Community composition among stations was compared by diversity and percent similarity indices. Diversity values ranged from 0.88 to 2.88. Diversity values at stations immediately upstream (16Al) and downstream (10A2) of the TMINS discharge were similar. Percent similarity values ranged from 20.0 to $88.3 \%$. The similarity of community composition at stations immediately above and below the TMINS discharge was also high. Examination of both diversity and percent similarity over time (1976 through 1989) revealed no pattern which differentiated TMINS operational periods from non-operational periods.

The 1989 catch abundance was within the range observed since 1977. Patterns of annual abundance of spotfin shiner, spottail shiner, and white sucker at stations near TMINS were suggestive of natural spatial and temporal variation rather than any influence of TMINS.

Occurrence of parasites, diseases, and morphological anomalies was identified on 24 species. Black spot (fluke cysts), glochidia, pugheadedness, and skin infections were most prevalent. Patterns of parasitic infection and morphological anomalies observed in 1989 were similar to those reported previously, and reflected natural trends in parasite life cycles, water temperature, and natural conditions in York Haven Pond.

Electrofishing surveys at six nearshore stations were conducted once in April, July, October, and November, and semimonthly in May, June, August, and September 1989. A total of 6.299 fish of 28 species was taken. Most fish (1,234) were taken at Station l0A3, while species ranged from 18 to 22 among the stations. No consistent pattern of temporal abundance was evident.

Sunfishes ranked first in family composition at all stations, comprising at least $75.1 \%$ of the catch. The pumpkinseed comprised $32.4 \%$ of the catch and was the most abundant species in 1989. Other common fishes were smallmouth bass (14.8\%) and bluegill (12.0\%).

Analysis of the spatial and temporal differences in the 1989 catch-per-minute data revealed no significant differences among seasons, yet stations were significantly different. The seasonal catch-per-minute data at the individual stations were variable and revealed only minor differences. Thus, the 1989 distribution of Eish in York Haven Pond appeared unrelated to TMINS operation.

The length-weight measure of fish condition (K) was calculated for pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass. The monthly mean length and weight for pumpkinseed decreased from April through August, and fluctuated thereafter. The monthly mean length and weight for bluegill declined from

April through July, fluctuated in August and September, before increasing through November. The mean length and weight for smallmouth bass fluctuated throughout the study period. In general, $K$ factors for these species were highest in May and were probably associated with the reproductive condition of the fish. The $K$ factors of these species were similar to those reported from other water bodies. A comparison of annual $K$ factors for pumpkinseed and smallmouth bass revealed year to year differences for each species, which were related to the natural variation in the populations of these fishes.

Community composition was compared among stations by diversity and percent similarity indices. Diversity ranged from 2. 60 to 3.21. Pairwise station comparisons of percent similarity ranged from 41.0 to $82.8 \%$. For stations upstream and downstream of the TMINS discharge, station diversity and percent similarity were generally within historic ranges.

Annual, monthly, and spatial trends in fish abundance were analyzed by ANOVA; all factors were significant. Substantial year to year variation in catch rates obscured any trend. The 1989 catch ranked second highest among all years (1976 through 1989). There was no statistical grouping of operational and non-operational years. Stations immediately above and below the TMINS discharge were undifferentiated statistically for the study period. This
suggested that fish abundance was affected by natural fluctuations in fish populations and environmental factors.

A variety of parasites, diseases, and/or morphological anomalies was observed on 22 fishes in 1989. The most prevalent were skin infections, anchor worms (Lernaea spp.), and leeches. Patterns of parasitic infection and morphological anomalies observed in 1989 were similar to those reported previously. The low frequencies of affliction encountered on fishes in York Haven Pond reflected natural conditions.

## Creel Surveys

Roving creel surveys were conducted on two weekend days and two weekdays each month, April through November 1989. A total of 2,535 anglers was interviewed. They fished for 5,751.00 hours and caught 9,607 fish of which 2,018 were. harvested. The resultant catch and harvest per hour was 1.67 and 0.35 fish, respectively. The angler community was made up primarily of middle-aged York County residents who fished from boats or from shore on weekends. No angler reported a change in catch usage as a result of the 1979 TMINS accident. Most angler effort and success took place in the General Reservoir creel area. Fishes most frequently caught were smallmouth bass, rock bass, sunfishes (Lepomis spp.), and channel catfish.

Analysis of variance revealed that fishing pressure and success varied among creel survey areas in 1989, but months were not significant in terms of number of anglers, fish caught, fish kept, and hours fished. The General Reservoir supported the highest number of anglers, fish caught, and hours fished. Harvest was slightly higher at the York Haven Generating Station, but was statistically undifferentiated from the General Reservoir and East Dam areas.

Creel data from 1975 through 1989 were examined to identify any trends in the York Haven Pond sport fishery. The number of anglers interviewed in 1989, their hours fished, and the fish caught and harvested were among the highest for the study period. Analysis of variance of the multiple-year data set identified the General Reservoir and York Haven Generating Station creel areas as supporting higher levels for all measures of effort and success than the East and West Dam areas. Yearly ranking for number of anglers, fish caught and kept, and hours fished placed 1989 second highest for all variables.

Channel catfish, rock bass, smallmouth bass, and walleye have been the most abundant fishes caught and harvested over the study period. Relative to other years, 1989 produced slight decreases in percent catch of channel catfish and walleye, and a slight increase for rock bass and smallmouth bass. The percent of harvest for rock bass and smallmouth
bass increased slightly, while channel catfish and walleye harvest decreased.

Water Quality

Selected water quality parameters were measured at specific locations throughout York Haven Pond in 1989. Values determined for water temperature, pH , dissolved oxygen (DO), and total dissolved solids (TDS) were compared to specific water quality criteria established by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources for the Susquehanna River. Only pH exceeded the specified criteria, but no adverse effects were observed that were related to the operational status of TMINS.

The water quality data collected in 1989 was largely influenced by the high river flow, but some typical seasonal patterns were evident for a number of parameters. Generally, mean values for water temperature, surface and bottom velocities, and river flow tended to be higher in the spring or summer than in the fall. The TDS, pH , and conductivity readings were lower in the spring or summer and higher in the fall. Do was inversely related to water temperature. Seasonal and spatial differences in water temperature, $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{DO}$, and TDS were analyzed. All parameters exhibited significant differences among months. Only pH and

TDS produced significant differences among sampling zones, but they were considered biologically insignificant.

Water quality and physical characteristics measured at the stations along the west shore of TMI appeared quite homogeneous. Mean river flow in 1989 was the highest to date. Water temperature, $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{DO}$, and $\operatorname{TDS}$ data for the macroinvertebrate stations were examined for 1974 through 1989. Although some year to year differences were evident, the 1989 data generally fell within the ranges observed previously.

Individual measurements of water temperature, $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{DO}$, and TDS were analyzed to evaluate annual differences (1974 through 1989). Years and months differed significantly for all parameters. Sampling station differences were significant only for TDS. Statistically significant yeargroup differences were unrelated to years of TMINS operation or non-operation.

Based on analysis of 16 years of data for water temperature, pH , and DO, and 12 years for TDS, there is no evidence of significant influence of the TMINS discharge on these parameters. Annual and spatial trends appear to be natural and related to meteorological and/or hydrological cycles.


Figure 1-1. Map of Three Mile Island Nuclear Station aquatic study area.

### 2.1 METHODS

Benthic macroinvertebrate samples were collected at three nearshore stations in the Susquehanna River near Three Mile Island Nuclear Station (TMINS) (Figure 2-1). Specific locations and habitat characteristics are described in Table 2-1. Samples were collected monthly at each station, April through November 1989. Benthic macroinvertebrate field and laboratory methods followed GPU (1987).

Four replicate samples were collected at each station on each sampling date with a standard Ponar grab sampler (529 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ). Samples were washed through a U. S. Standard No. 30 sieve in the field to remove excess mud, placed in one or more sample containers, and preserved in a mixture of 70 to $80 \%$ isopropanol and rose bengal stain. The stain facilitated sorting of macroinvertebrates from the detritus and sediment present in the sample. Samples were labeled, data sheets completed, and water quality measurements taken in accordance with GPO (1987).

In the laboratory, stained samples were washed through a U. S. Standard No. 30 sieve to remove excess dye and isopropanol. A portion of the sample was placed into a white enamel pan and all macroinvertebrates removed; this procedure was repeated until all macroinvertebrates had been removed from the entire sample. Organisms were placed in
vials with 70 to $80 \%$ isopropanol according to taxonomic group (i.e., Mollusca, Oligochaeta, Chironomidae). Specimens damaged beyond identification were not enumerated. Every tenth oligochaete was placed into a separate vial for species identification. After completing a sample, the remaining detritus was preserved in 70 to $80 \%$ isopropanol and retained for quality control purposes.

All specimens from each sample were enumerated and identified to the lowest possible taxon using taxonomic keys, reference collections, and pertinent literature, with the exception of the chironomid and oligochaete groups. Only portions of these two groups were used for identification in order to retain a sufficient number of organisms for biomass estimates $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. The subsampling protocol for chironomids and oligochaetes is discussed in GPU (1987). The oligochaetes and chironomids used in weight determinations were not identified directly. Identifications were inferred from the subsamples mounted for species determinations. After the molluscs were identified, they were placed in a 7 M solution of HCl to dissolve the calcareous shells, and rinsed in water. This was necessary to permit biomass comparisons with the other taxonomic groups collected. Once identified, organisms were dried at 55 C for 24 hours to determine weight.

Macroinvertebrate counts were converted to density (number $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) for all analyses. All weights are presented
as biomass ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). Temporal and spatial comparisons were made using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and indices of diversity and percent similarity. Diversity values were computed using the Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H^{\prime}$ ). This index is expressed as:

$$
H^{\prime}=-\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{N}\right) \quad \log _{2}\left(\frac{n_{i}}{N}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{\prime}=\text { information per individual, } \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\text { total number of individuals in } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { species, and } \\
& \mathrm{N}=\text { total number of individuals. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This index takes both total abundance and number of taxa into account when arriving at an estimate of diversity (Brower and Zar 1977).

Since diversity is primarily concerned with the distribution of organisms among the taxa collected, two communities made up of completely different species assemblages may have identical diversity values. Therefore, it is desirable to estimate community similarity in conjunction with the diversity estimation. Similarity in community composition among stations was investigated by an index of percent similarity, which is expressed as:

$$
\mathrm{PSC}=100-0.5 \sum|\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}|
$$

where
PSC = the percent similarity and
$|A-B|=$ absolute value of the difference between the percentage of a species in samples $A$ and $B$. This is a quantitative measure of the relative similarity of the community composition and species abundance between two samples being compared (Whittaker and Fairbanks 1958). Values of this index range from 0 (no similarity) to 100 (identical communities).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine whether any observed variations in Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri densities among dates, stations, or replicates were significant in 1989. ANOVAs were performed on logarithmic transformed densities |loge (density+1)| as was done in previous years (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; RMC 1988a, 1989). If ANOVA indicated significant differences, Tukey's studentized range test was used to determine which data group(s) differed significantly. The ANOVAs were conducted using SAS software, Version 6 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

### 2.2 TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION: 1989

Results of 1989 macroinvertebrate collections are presented in Appendix A. A total of 30,588 specimens of 101 taxa was taken in 96 collections (Table 2-2). A chironomid, Chironomus decorus group (11,845 specimens, $38.7 \%$ ) and an
oligochaete, Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri (9,539, 31.2\%), together comprised $69.9 \%$ of the total macroinvertebrate abundance. Five other taxa: Pisidium (1,721, 5.6\%), Hexagenia (1,252, 4.1\%), Procladius (1,092, 3.6\%), Gammarus fasciatus (685, 2.2\%), and Cryptochironomus fulvus group (619, 2.0\%) comprised an additional 17.5\% of the benthic abundance. The remaining 94 taxa accounted for less than 13\% of the total abundance; 64 taxa contributed less than 10 specimens each.

Following collection of an Asiatic clam, Corbicula fluminea, by seine in 1984, special effort was made to look for this species during routine collections for all study disciplines. During the 1989 benthic and fisheries surveys, a total of 356 C. fluminea was collected (Table 2-3). The benthic surveys accounted for 195 specimens, and represented the first collection of $C$. fluminea since the inception of the program. Standard shell lengths ranged from 1.0 to 19.3 mm. Over $96 \%$ were juveniles ( $\leq 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), while the others were considered adults about one to two years old. Age structure followed RMC (1988b). Most (92.4\%) were taken at fisheries seine station 13B5 (along the west shore of York Haven Pond) and macroinvertebrate station $1 A 2$ (upstream of the TMINS discharge). However, additional specimens were taken at six other locations throughout York Haven Pond.

### 2.2.1 Spatial Distribution

During 1989, 69 taxa were collected at Station 1A2 and 61 and 53 were collected at 11 Al and 9 Bl , respectively (Table 2-4). Total station density was variable ranging from 3,918 organisms $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ at Station 1A2 to $7,849 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ at Station 9Bl (Table 2-5). The midge, Chironomus decorus group and the oligochaete, Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri were numerically dominant at all stations (Table 2-6). These two taxa accounted for over $65 \%$ of the total benthic abundance at Stations 1A2 and 11A1, and 75.3\% at 9B1. C. decorus group density was greatest at Stations 9Bl (2,635/m²) and llal ( $2,462 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ); the overall density at lA2 was slightly less $\left(1,900 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. L. hoffmeisteri was second in total benthic abundance; density was highest at Station 9B1 (3,270/m2). The mollusc, Pisidium, was the third most abundant taxa at Station llAl $\left(532 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, and was common at Stations $9 B 1\left(286 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ and $1 \mathrm{~A} 2\left(199 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. The mayfly, Hexagenia, was the third most abundant taxa at Stations $9 \mathrm{Bl}\left(298 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{lA} 2\left(228 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. The midge, Procladius, was most abundant at Station llal (302/m²), and was also numerous at Station $9 \mathrm{Bl}\left(239 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. The amphipod, Gammarus fasciatus, was abundant at Stations llal ( $179 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and $9 \mathrm{Bl}\left(162 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. The midge,

Cryptochironomus fulvus group was abundant at Stations 9Bl
( $162 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and liAl $\left(151 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, but occurred less frequently at station $1 \mathrm{~A} 2\left(53 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

Biomass trends for the three stations were similar to those observed for density (Table 2-7). The total biomass was highest at station $9 \mathrm{BI}\left(1,796.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, intermediate at Station llal ( $1,624.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), and lowest at Station 1A2 ( $1,379.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). Three taxa (Chironomus decorus group, Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, and Hexagenia) made up $82.8 \%$ of the biomass at station 1A2, $76.4 \%$ at Station 11A1. and $86.8 \%$ at Station $9 B 1$. The midge, $C$. decorus group, the most dominant taxon in terms of annual density was also the dominant taxon in terms of biomass $\left(580.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$ ) (Table 2-8). It was also the dominant taxon at each station, comprising from 33.6 to $40.3 \%$ of the individual station biomass. The numerically abundant taxon, L. hoffmeisteri $\left(273.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$ ) and the mayfly, Hexagenia ( 460.6 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) also made up a large portion of the annual biomass. L. hoffmeisteri comprised a large portion of the biomass at stations 9 Bl and 11A1; it ranked second at Station $9 \mathrm{Bl}\left(473.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, and third at Station llal $\left(264.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. Hexagenia composed a large portion of the biomass at all stations, and ranked second at Staions 1A2 and 11A1. Among stations, biomass at Station 9B1 supported the most even distribution of these three taxa.

### 2.2.2 Temporal Distribution

Numbers of macroinvertebrate taxa collected at each station varied with sampling date, but were generally highest in the fall. Monthly, the number of taxa collected ranged from 34 in July to 52 in November. Variation in number of taxa was least at Station liAl, ranging from 24 (August) to 32 (May), and greatest at Stations 1A2 and 9Bl (range 16 to 40). However, the number of taxa collected from August through November were similar at Stations llal and 9B1 (Table 2-4).

Monthly densities in 1989 increased from April to a peak in June, declined in July, and increased to a secondary peak in September (Table 2-5). Generally, individual station densities followed similar trends, peaking in June, then decreasing only to increase to a secondary peak in September (1A2 and 1lAl) or November (9Bl). These peaks were largely attributable to increased densities of Chironomus decorus group and Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, and to a lesser extent, Pisidium and Hexgenia (Table 2-9). L. hoffmeisteri densities showed two peaks of abundance: in September at Stations 1A2 and llAl and May at 9Bl. Differences in periods of peak abundance may indicate that the L. hoffmeisteri breeding cycles were not synchronous among the stations. $\mathbf{C}$. decorus group were more limited in their abundance, as most (64.08) were collected in June.

Populations of $\underline{C}$. decorus group peaked in June at all stations.

Monthly biomass values increased Erom April to a minor peak in June, declined through August, and peaked in November (Table 2-7). Individual station biomass values followed similar trends. High biomass values in June were primarily due to Chironomus decorus group, which comprised nearly $67 \%$ of the monthly biomass (Table 2-10). The elevated biomass in November resulted mostly from C. decorus group and Hexagenia nymphs, which accounted for $80.9 \%$ of the monthly biomass. Biomass trends for $C$. decorus group were similar to density trends; peak biomass occurred in June and November, and represented $63.6 \%$ of its annual biomass. L. hoffmeisteri biomass values generally followed density trends; high in the spring (May at Stations la2 and llal or June at 9B1), low during the summer (July and August), and increasing in the Eall (September at Stations 11Al and 9Bl or November at 1A2). The slight difference between density and biomass peaks at the stations indicated the presence of smaller individuals. Biomass trends for Hexagenia were similar to those for density at Station 9Bl. However, biomass at Stations 1A2 and 11Al was low during peak abundance in September, indicating that these, too, were smaller individuals.

A three-factor ANOVA was performed on log-transformed densities of Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri, to assess trends with
respect to sampling month and station (Table 2-11). L. hoffmeisteri was selected because of its historical abundance at all stations. The ANOVA indicated significant differences among stations and months. Tukey's studentized range test was used to determine which stations and months were significantly different (Table 2-12). Comparison of the monthly means showed June to rank lowest and September highest; they were significantly different from each other. However, mean densities for all other months were similar. The Tukey's studentized range test for station differences indicated that densities of L . hoffmeisteri were significantly different from each other. Interaction of station and month differences was also significant. Thus, densities for the three stations did not exhibit the same trends from one sample month to another, which weakens any meaningful interpretation of these differences.

### 2.3 COMMUNITY ANALYSIS: DIVERSITY AND SIMILARITY

Diversity of benthic macroinvertebrates in 1989 was calculated with the Shannon-Wiener Index ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ). Annual station values were very similar at Stations IA2 (2.95) and llal (2.91) (Table 2-13). Monthly station $H^{\prime}$ values were variable and ranged from 0.97 in June at lA2 to 3.35 in November at llal. Overall, diversity was low in the spring and summer and high in the fall (September through November). This generally reflected the evenness component
(distribution of individuals within taxa) rather than richness (number of taxa). Lower diversity values were usually associated with the numerical dominance of a particular taxon. The low diversity value observed in June was attributed to a substantial increase in the abundance of Chironomus decorus group at all stations, especially la2 where it comprised over $86 \%$ of the organisms (21 taxa). Higher $H^{\prime}$ values in the fall were the result of a more even distribution of individuals amont the taxa.

Such variability in diversity probably reflect a relatively low habitat complexity (Poole 1974). The primarily silt and clay substrate at all three stations limits community composition to predominantly infaunal species. A more varied substrate composition, including greater amounts of other substrate components (i.e., cobble, gravel, coarse detritus) may provide a more diverse habitat and increase available niches for a greater number of taxa.

Substantial seasonal variability in community composition characterized the 1989 benthic macroinvertebrate collections. Monthly percent similarity indices (PSc) among station pairs varied from $44.1 \%$ between Stations $1 A 2$ and $9 B 1$ in April, to $90.3 \%$ between Stations $11 A 1$ and $9 B 1$ in June (Table 2-14). The low PSc between Stations $1 A 2$ and 9Bl in April was due to the high proportion of Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri at Station $9 B 1$ relative to its proportion at 1A2. Pair-wise station comparisons for 1989 indicate that
the stations downstream of the TMINS discharge (llAl and 9BI) has a higher percent similarity ( $81.8 \%$ ) than the other station pairs. Benthic communities at Stations 1 A2 and 9Bl were least similar to each other (70.08). The differences among PSc values in 1989 were probably attributed to microhabitat differences among stations.

### 2.4 MULTIPLE-YEAR COMPARISON

To determine differences between the 1989 benthic community data and data collected previously (1976 through 1988), comparisons were made of the number of taxa, diversity and percent similarity indices, total macroinvertebrate density, and density of key taxa.

Total number of macroinvertebrate taxa collected at each station over the l4-year period has been highly variable, especially at Station lA2 (Figure 2-2). Number of taxa in 1989 was within the range observed previously at all stations. Compared to 1988, the number of taxa in 1989 was higher at each station. Number of taxa collected in 1989 was generally comparable to that collected from 1984 through 1988, which was a period of reduced taxa at all stations. The 1989 spatial trends in number of taxa differed from those of 1984 to 1986, with Station 1A2 having the greatest number of taxa, followed by Stations llal and 9Bl. In previous years (1984 to 1986), Station llal yielded the
greatest number of taxa; Station lA2 was greatest in 1976 through 1983 and 1988; and Station 9Bl was greatest in 1987.

Comparison of 1989 Shannon-Wiener diversity values (H') with those for 1976 through 1988 indicated that the 1989 values were among the highest observed in the 14 -year period (Figure 2-3). In fact, the $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ values at Stations llAl and 9Bl were the highest to date. The $H^{\prime}$ values have steadily increased since 1984 at Station llal. Diversity at Station $1 A 2$ declined slightly in 1989 but was still within the range observed previously. The 1989 values were most similar to the higher values recorded prior to 1984. Diversity relationships among stations for 1989 were similar to those observed for operational years (1976 to 1978). Diversity at Station lA2 was higher during the operational years, 1976 through 1978 and 1988, than those years following the TMINS shutdown (1979), when diversity at Stations 11A1 and 9BI was comparable to Station 1A2.

The PSc values for 1976 through 1989 ranged from 57 to 95\% (Table 2-15). Percent similarity for the three station pairs was usually greater than $75 \%$, indicating a high degree of similarity among station communities. The l4-year PSc data, for each station pair, indicated that similarity between each of the station pairs was comparable. The two downstream stations, llAl and 9Bl, exhibited the greatest similarity ( 83 percent), while the least similarity (78 percent) occurred between the upstream control station (lA2)
and the station located $1,975 \mathrm{~m}$ downstream of TMINS (9Bl). In 1989, percent similarity between all station pairs increased from the values reported in 1988 , and were within the ranges reported prior to 1988. The differences that existed were attributable to minor shifts in current velocity and substrate composition. Generally, the same type of benthic community existed at all three stations. Total macroinvertebrate density (number $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) at all stations was highly variable over the years, suggesting the effect of variable environmental conditions (Figure 2-4). Past reports have cited fluctuating river flow (resulting from flood or drought), water temperature trends, substrate differences, and insect life cycles as some of the sources for the long-term fluctuations observed at the TMINS stations. Generally, overall densities decreased from the period of plant operation (1976 to 1978) to the period following TMINS shutdown at all stations. Total benthic density in 1989 decreased over that reported in 1988, especially at Station 1lAl. This was primarily due to a large decrease in Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri abundance. The decrease in density likely resulted from the higher river Elow (noted in Chapter 7) in 1989 which increased scouring of the bottom sediment transporting organisms downstream. Spatial density trends for 1989 showed a pattern reminiscent of that observed during non-operational years. Prior to the TMINS shutdown in 1979, densities were greatest at Station
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11Al; after shutdown, stations $1 A 2$ or $9 B 1$ had the greatest benthic abundance.

Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri has consistently been the dominant benthic macroinvertebrate in the TMINS collections, comprising 47 to 84\% of the total abundance from 1976 through 1988. Density of L. hoffmeisteri in 1989 ranked second and comprised $31.2 \%$ of the total abundance. Generally, $\underline{L}$. hoffmeisteri densities were high during the period 1976 through 1980 , and much reduced from 1981 through 1984 (Figure 2-5). Since 1985, L. hoffmeisteri densities have been variable. In 1989 densities declined to a level comparable to that collected during 1981 to 1984. Density at Station $1 A 2$ (upstream of the TMINS discharge) in 1989 was the lowest to date and represented an $82.9 \%$ decrease from that reported in 1988. Densities at stations 1141 and 9B1, although reduced substantially from 1988 levels, were within the range of previous years.

The decrease in $L$. hoffmeisteri density in 1989 suggested a natural depression in the population. Low densities of $\underline{L}$. hoffmeisteri may be due to scouring of the bottom sediment from the high river flow and/or to deposition of recently transported silt and mud. Some increase in L. hoffmeisteri density occurred from August through October when river flow decreased. Cooler water temperatures in the spring and summer may have also affected
the population decrease. Thus, this taxon was likely responding to natural environmental conditions.

The midge, Chironomus decorus group, second in annual abundance prior to 1989, was the most abundant taxa accounting for $38.7 \%$ of the total density. Annual station densities of $\mathbb{C}$. decorus group have varied by an order of magnitude over the study period (Figure 2-6). No consistent pattern among stations was evident. In 1989, C. decorus group densities increased sharply at all stations. In fact, densities at Stations llAl and 9Bl were the highest recorded to date, while density at 1A2 was the highest since 1986.

None of the station abundance data for the benthic macroinvertebrate taxa appear to have been influenced by TMINS. Fluctuations in environmental variables, especially river flow and water temperature, seem to exert the predominant influence on the benthic communities in York Haven Pond.

TABLE 2-1.
Location and description of benthic macroinvertebrate stations sampled in the Susquehanna River near Three Mile Island Nuclear Station.
Station Number Location and Description

| TM-MI-IA2* | Southwest St, Johns Island at mouth of channel between Three <br> Mile Island and St. Johns Island, 1 to 15 m offshore. Water <br> depth varied from 0.3 to 3.5 m. Substrate sometimes <br> stratified ranging from silt and clay to gravel. In the <br> absence of stratification, most substrate composed of silt, |
| :--- | :--- |
| clay, fine sands, and organic detritus. |  |



TABLE 2-2 CONTINUED.


TABLE 2-3
Shell length frequency ( 5 mm groups) and relative age (years) of Corbicula fluminea collected by seine and ponar grab near TMINS, May through November 1989.

| Length (mm) | Seine* |  |  |  |  | Benthost |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1385 | 10B5 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 983 | 1A2 | 11AI | 9 BI |  |  |
| 0-5.0 | 91 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 183 | 2 | 1 | 281 | $<0.5$ |
| 5.1-10.0 | 39 | - | 7 | 3 | 5 | 7 | - | - | 61 | 0.5-1.0 |
| 10.1-15.0 | 3 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 10 | 1.1-1.5 |
| 15.1-20.0 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1.6-2.0 |
| Total | 137 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 192 | 2 | 1 | 356 |  |

* Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.
+ Station prefix TM-MI- deleted from table.

TABLE 2-4
Number of macroinvertebrate taxa collected each month at stations near TMINS, April through November 1989.

| Station | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 17 | 23 | 38 | 21 | 40 | 69 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 27 | 32 | 29 | 25 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 27 | 61 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 18 | 16 | 26 | 16 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 53 |
| Total | 39 | 37 | 39 | 34 | 37 | 46 | 39 | 52 | 101 |

TABLE 2-5
Monthly density (number $/ m^{2}$ ) of benthic macroinvertebrates collected at the sampling stations near TMINS, April through November 1989.

| Station | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 813 | 1692 | 8001 | 1262 | 2060 | 7538 | 3596 | 6385 | 3918 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 3043 | 3818 | 14910 | 4532 | 2486 | 8672 | 6144 | 6810 | 6302 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 5619 | 5884 | 23748 | 2297 | 5548 | 6446 | 6526 | 6725 | 7849 |
| Total Mean | 3158 | 3798 | 15553 | 2697 | 3365 | 7552 | 5422 | 6640 | 6023 |

TABLE 2-6 DENSITY (NUMBER/m2) AND PERCENT COMPOSITION OF MACROINVERTEBRATES COLLECTED AT EACH STATION NEAR TMINS, APRIL THROUGH NOVEMEER, 1989.

| Spectes | iA2 |  | 11A' |  | 981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density | Percent | Dansity | Percent | Density | Parcent |
|  | 12 | 0.3 | 52 | 0.8 | 18 | 0.2 |
| Actinobdelia inequiannulata | , | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | . | . |
| Amnicola |  | . | 1 | 0.0 | i | 0.0 |
| Anodonta cataracta | 12 | 0.3 | 81 | 1.3 | 124 | 1.6 |
| Arcteonais lomondi | 12 | 0.3 | 81 | 1.3 | 124 | 1.6 |
| Aulodrtlus pluriseta | 5 | 0.1 1.6 | 30 | 0.0 | 80 | 1.0 |
| Botnrioneurum vejdovskyanum | 61 | 1.6 | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Branchiura sowerby 1 | 24 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Caenis | 6 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | ; | 0.0 |
| Cectdomy ildae |  |  | . |  | 1 | 0.0 |
| Centroptilum | 26 | 0.7 | 30 | 0.5 | 32 | 0.4 |
| Ceratopogonidae Chaoborus | 2 | 0.7 |  | 0 | , | 0.0 |
| Cheumatopsyche |  |  | 34 | 0.0 | 35 |  |
| Chiranamid pupae | 26 | 0.7 | 34 | 0.5 | 2635. | 33.6 |
| Chironomus decorus | 1900 | 48.5 | 2462 | 39.1 | 2635 |  |
| Chrysops | 1 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.3 | 73 | 0.9 |
| Coelotanypus | 7 113 | 0.2 | 19 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Corbicula fluminea | 113 | 2.9 | 6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Cricotopus | 53 | 1.3 | 151 | 2.4 | 162 | 2.1 |
| Cryptotendipes | - | . | 4 | 0.1 | i | 0.0 |
| Demicryptochironomus | ; |  | 1 | 0.0 | ; | 0.0 |
| Dolichopodidae | 1 | 0.0 | - | - |  | , |
| Dromogomphus | 18 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Dubiraphia | 18 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Dugesia Dugesta tigrina | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Dugesta tigrina Elimia virginica | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | . | . |
| Enchysraefdes | 1 | 0.0 | . . | . | 4 | 0.0 |
| Epoicocladius |  | . | 5 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Erpobdelitdae | 4 |  | 1 | 0.0 | - |  |
| Ferrissta | 4 | 0.1 | 179 | 2.8 | 162 | 2.1 |
| Gammarus fasciatus | 64 | 1.6 | 179 | 2.8 | 162 | 0.0 |
| Glyptatendipes | - | $\bullet$ |  |  | 1 | 0.0 |
| Gomphidae | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Harnischia Helobella elongets | 24 | 0.6 | 44 | 0.7 | 27 | 0.3 |
| Helobdella elongata | 24 | 0.6 | 15 | 0.2 | 27 | . |
| Helobdella stagnalis |  | - | 15 |  | 1 | 0.0 |
| Hemerodromia | 228 | 5.8 | 214 | . 3.4 | 298 | 3.8 |
| Hexagenia | 22 |  | 1 | 0.0 | . | . |
| Hyorobaenus | 1 | 0.0 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Hydrollmax grisua | 6 | 0.2 | 71 | 1.1 | 81 | 1.0 |
| Hydropsycne | 1 | 0.0 |  |  | 5 | 0.0 |
| Ilyodrilus templetoni | 22 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.3 | 35 | 0.5 |
| Labrundinia | 1 | 0.0 | , | . | . | - |
| Lepldostoma | 1 | 0.0 | ' |  | - | - |
| Leptoceridae |  |  | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Leptophlebitdae | 1 | 0.0 |  |  | 56 | 0.7 |
| Limnodrilus claparedtanus | 18 | 0.5 | 17 | 0.3 | 3270 | 0.7 |
| Limnodrilus noffmelsteri | 686 | 17.5 | 1679 | 26.6 | 3270 | 41.7 |

TABLE 2-6 CONTINUED.

| Specias | 142 |  | 11 A 1 |  | 881 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Danstty | Percent | Density | Percent | Density | Percent |
| Limnodrilus udekemianus | 19 | 0.5 | - | , | 19 | 0.2 |
| Lumbriculidae | 2 | 0.0 |  | . | 2 | 0.0 |
| Macrumia sp | 1 | 0.0 | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ |  | i |  |
| Manayunkia speciosa | . | . | 26 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Microchironomus | ; | 0.0 |  | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Musculium | 1 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.2 | 103 | 13 |
| Musculium transversum | 24 | 0.6 | 76 2 | 0.0 | 103 | 1.3 |
| Nanocladius | 1 | 0.0 | . |  |  |  |
| Nematoda | 24 | 0.6 | 39 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Nema tomorpha | . | . | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Neurecilipsis | ; |  | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Oecetis | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 0.0 |
| Optioservus | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.0 0.0 |
| Paratanytarsus | ; | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Petrophtla | 61 | 0.0 1.6 | 105 | 1.7 | 12 | 0.2 |
| Prysa | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | . | . |
| Physidae | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Pisidium | 199 | 5.1 | 532 | 8.4 | 286 | 3.6 |
| Polycentropus sp |  | O. | , | - | 1 | 0.0 |
| Polypedilum convitum | 2 | 0.1 | , | - | . | - |
| Polypedilum fallax | 2 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.2 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | 32 | 0.8 | 11 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.2 |
| Polypedium illinoense | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Potamanthus | . | , | 1 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Potamia | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Pristina synclites | 8 | 0.2 | $30 \dot{0}$ | 4.8 | 239 | 3.0 |
| Procladius | 104 | 2.7 | 302 | A.B | 239 |  |
| Prodiamesa | 6 | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | 0.0 |
| Promoresia Prostoma | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | . | 0.0 |
| Protoptila | 1 | 0.0 | 7 |  | 1 |  |
| Qutstadrilus multisetosus | 1 | 0.0 | \% | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Rneotanytarsus | 4 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 0.0 |
| Sialis | 1 | 0.0 | $\dot{6}$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Stenelmis | 1 | 0.0 | . |  | . | . |
| Stylurus | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 7 |  |
| Tanytarsus | 69 | 1.7 | 34 | 0.5 | 37 | 0.5 |
| Tendipedidae=chironomidae | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | . | - |
| Thienemanamyta | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | $i$ | 0.0 |
| Tipulidae | ; |  | - | - |  |  |
| Tricorythidae | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |  |
| Tricorythodes | 1 | 0.0 | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | . | 9 | 0.1 |
| Zavrella group | 1 | 0.0 | . | . | . | . |
| Zavrelimyia | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 2-7
Monthly biomass ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) of benthic macroinvertebrates collected at the sampling stations near TMINS, April though November 1989.

| Station | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 174.8 | 545.8 | 820.9 | 259.0 | 347.8 | 2066.2 | 1687.6 | 5130.0 | 1379.0 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 914.9 | 1200.8 | 2653.1 | 1145.6 | 369.6 | 1772.2 | 1668.7 | 3270.3 | 1624.4 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 1263.7 | 1310.5 | 4958.8 | 509.0 | 506.1 | 1176.3 | 1473.1 | 3150.3 | 1796.8 |
| Total Mean | 784.5 | 1019.1 | 2819.9 | 637.8 | 407.8 | 1671.6 | 1609.8 | 3850.2 | 1600.1 |


table 2-8 COntinued.

| Species | 142 |  | 11 A 1 |  | 981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blomass | Percent | Biomess | Percent | Biomess | Percent |
| Limnodrilus udekemianus | 2.4 | 0.2 | - | - | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Lumbriculidae | 1.6 | 0.1 | . | . | M | M |
| Macrumia sp | 3.0 | 0.2 | - | . |  | , |
| Manayunkia spectosa | . | . | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Micrachironomus |  | . | - |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Musculium | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.3 | . |  |
| Musculium eransversum | 7.4 | 0.5 | 31.3 | 1.9 | 18.4 | 1.0 |
| Na is | M | M | M | M | , | . |
| Nenocladus | M | M |  |  |  |  |
| Nematoda | 2.1 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Nematomorpha | . | . | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Neureclípsis | $0 \cdot$ | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | $0 \cdot 4$ |  |
| Oecetis | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Opt foservus | . | . | . | . | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Paratanytarsus |  |  | - | - | M | M |
| Petropnila | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Phaenopsectra | 4.8 | 0.4 | 17.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| Physa | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | . | . |
| Physidae | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Ptstaium | 24.8 | 1.8 | 64.0 | 3.9 | 36.2 | 2.0 |
| Polycentropus sp |  |  | . | . | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Polypedilum convitum | 0.2 | 0.0 | - | - | . | . |
| Polypedilum fallax | 0.1 | 0.0 | $1 \cdot$ | 0.1 |  |  |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Polypedium 1llinaense | M | M | 0.6 | 0.0 | . | - |
| Potamanthus | . | . | 0.2 | 0.0 | . | - |
| Potamia |  | . | 0.1 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Pristina syncittes | 0.1 | 0.0 | , |  |  |  |
| Procladius | 7.2 | 0.5 | 36.2 | 2,2 | 31.2 | 1.7 |
| Prodiamesa | 0.6 | 0.6 | . | . |  |  |
| Promoresia | $0 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot$ | $0 \cdot 1$ |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Prostoma | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | . | . |
| Protoptila | 0.1 | 0.0 | - |  | $0 \cdot 1$ |  |
| Quistadrilus multisetosus | M | M | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rheotanytarsus | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | M | M |
| Sialis | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3. 5 |  | 4.6 0.4 | 0.3 0.0 |
| Stenelmis | 5.3 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Stenonema | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1. |  | . | . |
| Stylurus | 13.4 | 1.0 | 31.1 | 1.9 | \% |  |
| Tanytarsus | 6.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Tendipedidae=cmironomidae | M | M | M | M | . | . |
| Thlenemantmyia | M | M | M | M |  |  |
| Tlpulidae |  |  | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Tricorythidae | 0.3 | 0.0 | - | , | . | - |
| Tricorythodes | 0.2 | 0.0 | - | . | 12 | 0.1 |
| Tubificidae | i | - | . | - | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| Zavrella group | M | M | - | . | - | - |
| Zavrelimyia | M | M | - | - | - | - |

Note: (.) Indicates that no indtuiduals were collected
(M) indicates that individuals were collected but the weight was less than the sensitivity of the balance, or individuals were not weighed.

TABLE 2-9
Monthly density (number $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) of the dominant macroinvertebrate taxa ( $>2 \%$ of the total organisms) collected from stations near TMINS, April through November 1989. Dashes indicate taxa not present.

|  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 236 572 | 80 312 | 6890 11460 | ${ }_{1266}$ | 487 | 2448 | 1583 | 1564 | 2462 |
| TM-MI-11AI TM MI-9El | 572 539 | 326 | 17495 | 1293 | 695 | 378 | 524 | 832 | 2635 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 208 | 572 | 61 | 501 | 666 1309 | 1612 3379 | 1784 | 737 | 1679 |
| TM-MI-11Al | 1649 4050 | 1654 4230 | 1640 4064 | 2283 1616. | 1309 3280 | 3426 | 3606 | 1886 | 3270 |
| TM-MI-9B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 52 | 113 | 42 397 | 142 | 85 109 | 326 | 2018 | 1158 | 532 |
| TM-MI-9E1 | 90 | 80 | 170 | 85 | 302 | 487 | 520 | 553 | 286 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 865 | 553 | 402 | 228 |
| TM-MI-1n2 | - | 5 | 28 | 19 | 24 | 865 | 392 | 558 | 214 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TM-MI-IINI } \\ & T M-M I-9 B 1 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 5 | 19 | 1989 | + 5 | 354 | 695 | 1295 | 298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 19 | 236 | 47 52 | 146 | 80 47 | 532 | 327 | 227 992 | 302 |
| TM-MI-11A1 TM $-\mathrm{MI}-9 \mathrm{Cl}$ | + 340 | 340 | 71 | 146 | 128 | 250 | 118 | 662 | 239 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | $\bar{\square}$ | 9 | 232 | 33 175 | 42 | 151 | 28 47 | 184 406 | 64 179 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 9 | 222 | 378 | 175 | ${ }_{38} 38$ | 137 | 38 | 406 66 |  |
| TM-MI-9Bl | - | - | 950 | 66 | 38 | 137 | 38 | 66 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 52 | 123 | 19 | 118 | 198 | 146 | 274 | 274 | 151 |
| TM-MI-9BI | 90 | 33 | 19 | 42 | 515 | 246 | 104 | 250 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 $T M-M I-I A I ~$ | 298 676 | 945 1153 | 704 936 | 402 | 269 | 1983 | 728 | 1120 | 783 |
| TM-MI-9BI | 506 | 874 | 959 | 184 | 586 | 1167 | 922 | 1181 | 797 |

TABLE 2-10
Monthly biomass $\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of key macroinvertebrate taxa ( $>1.6 \%$ of the total biomass) collected from stations near TMINS, April through November 1989. Dashes indicate taxa not present.

|  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-IA2 | 137.0 | 86.5 | 638.0 | 160.7 | 136.6 | 1109.2 | 6.6 | 2176.7 | 544.8 |
| 'M-MI-21起 | 622.9 | 314.3 | 1542.5 | 303.9 | 70.9 107.8 | 667.3 | 157.8 | 679.1 330.0 | G 38.7 |
| IM-M1-リBl | 534.3 | 368.1 | 3479.7 | 43.5 | 107.8 | 132.3 | 109.2 | 330.0 | G30.7 |
| Hexagenia - 407.71233042213 .1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 |  | 11.8 | 104.0 | 237.2 | 8.0 | 487.7 263.2 | 1233.0 |  | 429.9 |
| TM-MI-11AI | 169.6 | 11.8 | 296.8 276.0 | 237.2 70.4 | 8.0 26.0 | 263.2 210.3 | 752.4 746.2 | 1869.6 2078.0 | 447.1 |
| TM-MI-9Bl | 169.6 | - | 276.0 | 70.4 | 26.0 | 210.3 | 746.2 | 2070.0 |  |
| Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri 81.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 217.8 | 169.2 | 485.3 | 47.2 191.9 | 47.7 156.9 | 124.8 |  | 84.1 | 264.5 |
| TM-MI-11AI | 217.4 404.5 | 311.9 626.6 | 485.3 986.8 | 191.9 333.2 | 156.9 223.5 | 413.5 | 254.7 441.9 | 300.6 | 473.7 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 404.5 | 626.6 | 986.8 | 333.2 | 223.5 | 472.1 |  |  |  |
| Pisidium 24.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1 12 | 6.1 | 14.2 | 5.2 | 17.0 | 10.9 | 25.5 39.2 | 238.2 | 135.6 | 64.0 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 0.9 13.2 | 18.9 21.3 | 51.5 20.3 | 14.6 10.9 | 12.8 36.4 | 58.6 | 62.4 | 66.2 | 36.2 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 13.2 | 21.3 | 20.3 | 10.9 | 36.4 | 58.6 | 62.4 | 66.2 |  |
| Gammarus fasciatus 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | - 5 | 12.3 | 14.2 | 57.7 | 0.5 2.4 | 0.5 35.0 | 2.8 18.9 | 106.3 | 34.0 |
| TM-MI-11AI | 0.5 | 30.2 | 21.3 119.1 | 57.2 14.2 | 2.4 | 35.0 46.8 | 18.9 18.9 | 15.9 | 30.0 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | - | - | 119.1 | 14.2 | 4.7 | 46.8 | 18.9 | 35.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 0.9 | 35.4 | 2.8 10.9 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 90.3 | 33.1 | 93.1 | 36.2 |
| IM-MI-11N1 | 10.4 | 35.4 73.7 | 10.9 10.9 | 11.3 | 8.7 8.0 | 39.2 | 12.3 | 40.6 | 31.2 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 64.7 | 73.7 | 10.9 | - | 0.0 | 3.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TM-MI-1^2 | 26.9 | 263.7 | 26.7 | 28.4 | 147.4 | 263.7 | 213.6 | 302.4 | 251.1 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 62.8 | 478.3 220.7 | 244.8 | 329.4 36.9 | 113.9 99.7 | 263.9 216.9 | 213.6 82.2 | 298.2 | 140.1 |
| TM-MI-9BI | 73.2 | 220.7 | 93.1 | 36.9 | 99.7 | 216.9 | 82.2 | 290.2 | 140.1 |



* Significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$.

TABLE 2-12
Summary of Tukey's studentized range test for Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri collected near TMINS, April through November 1989. Underlined means are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) and are ranked from highest to lowest transformed $\left[\log _{e}\right.$ (density +1 )] mean. Meāns are listed parenthetically.

| Month | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sep } \\ (7.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ (7.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ (7.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ (7.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Apr} \\ (6.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ (6.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ (6.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } \\ (6.51) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-MI-9BI } \\ (7.97) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-MI-11AI } \\ (7.23) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1 A 2 \\ & 03) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

TABLE 2-13
Monthly diversity values ( $H^{\prime}$ ) for the macroinvertebrates collected at stations near rMINS, April through November 1989.

| Station | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TM-MI-1A2 | 3.27 | 2.82 | 0.97 | 2.42 | 2.74 | 2.71 | 2.99 | 3.04 | 2.95 |
| TM-MI-11A1 | 2.44 | 3.15 | 1.47 | 2.28 | 2.42 | 2.64 | 2.89 | 3.35 | 2.91 |
| TM-MI-9B1 | 1.63 | 1.75 | 1.34 | 1.70 | 2.24 | 2.71 | 2.48 | 3.19 | 2.53 |


| Monthly <br> Diversity <br> (H') | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TABLE 2-14
Percent similarity indices for the macroinvertebrate communities collected at stations near TMINS, April through November 1989.

| Station Pairs | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct. | Nov | Annual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lA2-llAl* | 54.6 | 52.6 | 83.7. | 77.3 | 66.1 | 68.7 | 51.4 | 60.6 |  |
| lA2-9Bl | 44.1 | 46.3 | 80.6 | 62.8 | 62.0 | 45.5 | 63.2 | 49.3 | 70.0 |
| llA1-9B1 | 74.3 | 63.5 | 90.3 | 72.7 | 83.3 | 70.9 | 44.8 | 65.4 | 81.8 |

* Station prefix TM-MI- deleted from table.

TABLE 2-15
Percent similarity indices for the macroinvertebrate communities
collected at stations near TMINS, 1976 through 1989 .



Figure 2-1. Location of benthic macroinvertebrate stations sampled in the Susquehanna River near TMINS (station prefix TM-MI- deleted).
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Figure 2-2. Total taxa collected at the benthic macroinvertebrate stations near TMINS, 1976 through 1989.
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Figure 2-3. Diversity values for the macroinvertebrate communities near TMINS, 1976 through 1989.
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Figure 2-4. Annual mean total macroinvertebrate density (No./sq.m.) from stations near TMINS, 1976 through 1989.
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Figure 2-5. Annual mean densities (No./sq. m.) of Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri at the macroinvertebrate stations near TMINS, 1976 through 1989.
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Figure 2-6. Annual mean densities (No./sq. m.) of Chironomus decorus group at the macroinvertebrate stations near TMINS, 1976 through 1989.

## 3. ICHTHYOPLANKTON

### 3.1 METHODS

Ichthyoplankton samples were collected weekly at eight stations in York Haven Pond, April through August 1989 (Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1). Stations were sampled at night and in a random order on each date to minimize any time bias (Nardacci and Associates 1979).

Two replicate samples were taken at each station with a pair of $0.5 \mathrm{~m}(0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ mesh) plankton nets mounted to square frames. A detachable cup was fastened to the cod end of each net to facilitate removal of the sample. The nets were deployed off the bow of a boat, and set immediately beneath the water surface. The boat was maneuvered upstream, 10 to 20 m offshore, for four minutes. This allowed coverage of about 200 m of shoreline at each station; actual distance covered varied with river flow conditions. The volume of water filtered through each net was measured with a General Oceanics digital flowmeter (Model 2030) mounted in the center of each net mouth. Flowmeters were calibrated in accordance with GPU (1987).

At the end of each sample run, nets were rinsed three times and replicate filtrates were poured into separate sample jars. Samples were immediately preserved in $20 \%$ formalin and transported to the laboratory. Physicochemical data recorded for all collections were time, air and surface
water temperatures, dissolved oxygen concentration, pH , and surface water velocity. On each date, river flow lat 0700 h) was obtained from the River Forecast Center in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

In the laboratory, samples were rinsed; specimens sorted; and the ichthyoplankton stored in $40 \%$ isopropanol. Specimens were examined under a binocular dissecting microscope and identified to the lowest feasible taxon using various taxonomic references (Auer 1982; Buynak and Mohr 1978a, 1978b, 1979a, 1979b, 1979c, 1980; Hardy 1978; Jones et al. 1978; Lathrop 1982; Nardacci and Associates 1978; Snyder et al. 1977; Wang and Kernehan 1979).

Larvae that were damaged beyond recognition or too distorted to identify were tabulated as unidentifiable. Larvae of the genus Lepomis and Pomoxis, indistinguishable to species, were categorized as sunfishes or crappies. The category "sunfishes", previously (EA 1985, 1986, 1987) referred to as Lepomis gibbosus/macrochirus (pumpkinseed/bluegill), refers to the same here.

A list of fishes collected in 1989 is presented in Table 3-2. Scientific and common names and taxonomic order of presentation followed Robins et al. (1980).

Following identification, specimens were measured to the nearest 0.5 mm interval (total length, $T L$ or fork length, $F L$ when applicable) with an ocular micrometer or a dial caliper. All specimens were counted; a maximum of 100
individuals of any one species was measured per replicate. Length data for each species were categorized into larvae or young. The larval stage was defined as the early development after hatching during which the yolk sac and larval finfold were absorbed, and the fins and fin rays were formed. The larval stage was subdivided into protolarva, mesolarva, and metalarva after. Snyder (1976). The term young was used to designate fish spawned during the current season which were fully transformed larvae. Young were characterized by the attainment of the adult compliment of rays and/or spines in all fins. Fish greater than 25.0 mm FL were not included in ichthyoplankton data tabulations and consequently are not reported herein.

A quantitative expression of the ichthyoplankton catch converted the number of larvae to density. Density was defined as the number of larvae per 100 cubic meters of water (No. $/ 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ). As in previous reports (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; RMC 1988a, 1989), most statistical tests used log-transformed densities in order to linearize and normalize the data, and to reduce differences in catch density variances.

The similarity of species composition among stations was determined by calculating percentage similarity index (PSc), as described in Chapter 2. The ichthyoplankton community diversity was evaluated by calculating the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') for each station and date (Chapter 2).

High diversity values indicate an even distribution of individuals among species. Low values are indicative of high abundance of a few species and low abundance of the remainder.

Ichthyoplankton densities were used in a three-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate differences among stations, dates, and replicates within 1989, and among years (1977 through 1989). When significant differences were indicated by the ANOVA ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ), Tukey's studentized range test was used to identify significantly different means (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).
3.2 COMPOSITION, ABUNDANCE, AND SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Results of weekly ichthyoplankton collections are presented in Appendix B. No fish were taken on 6, 11, and 24 April; therefore, these dates were excluded from most tables and figures. A total of 9,537 individuals of at least 26 taxa was distributed among six families (Table 33). Nine taxa accounted for $93.1 \%$ of the catch: common carp (45.2\%), quillback (13.5\%), pumpkinseed/bluegill (7.8\%), channel catfish (6.3\%), mimic shiner (5.9\%), spotfin shiner (4.4\%), tessellated darter (3.6\%), spottail shiner (3.2\%), and banded darter (3.2\%). The dominant families were cyprinids ( 9 species) and catostomids (4 species), which comprised 61.3 and $13.8 \%$ of the total catch, respectively.

Temporal distribution of ichthyoplankton collected in 1989 is shown in Table 3-4. Early spring (April) spawning activity was virtually nonexistent as unusually high river flow resulted in only one larvae being taken. In May, larvae of the early season spawners in the cyprinid, catostomid, and percid families were abundant, accounting for $99.4 \%$ of the catch. The most numerous taxa collected were spottail shiner, quillback, tessellated darter, and banded darter.

Peak seasonal abundance occurred on 6 June; the largest number of taxa was collected on 6 and 12 June. Larvae of the late spring and early summer spawners dominated, typically members of the cyprinid and catostomid families. Although taken infrequently, members of the centrarchid and percid families were also present in June. The predominant summer spawners (July and August) were clupeids, cyprinids, ictalurids, and centrarchids; most of which were gizzard shad, spotfin shiner, mimic shiner, channel catfish, and pumpkinseed/bluegill.

The temporal distribution of the most abundant taxa is shown in Figure 3-2. The May through mid-June samples were dominated by common carp, spottail shiner, quillback, tessellated darter, and banded darter. The channel catfish was collected from 21 June through 21 August, but was most abundant from mid- to late July. The pumpkinseed/bluegill was collected intermittently from June through August, but
was most abundant on 16 August. Spotfin shiner and mimic shiner, collected from 6 June through 29 August, were most abundant from late July through August.

Temporal distribution/length frequencies of the most abundant taxa collected in 1989 are presented in Tables 3-6 through 3-14. Most common carp (99.8\%) were protolarvae collected on 6 June. Most spottail shiner (99.0\%) were protolarvae; mean length of larvae was 5.2 mm TL . Spotfin shiner were represented by all life stages; mean length of larvae was 7.8 mm TL. The largest portion of the spotfin shiner catch was protolarvae (58.1\%), and their abundance in July and August was indicative of spawning. Most mimic shiner ( $88.0 \%$ ) were protolarvae or mesolarvae from the August samples. The mean length of mimic shiner was 7.4 mm TL. Quillback were primarily protolarvae and averaged 8.3 mun TL. Channel catfish were exclusively young; they were most common on 17 and 24 July , and averaged 16.7 mm TL. The pumpkinseed/bluegill were predominantly protolarvae (88.6\%); most were caught in June and August. Mean larval length was 6.2 mm TL , as most were between 4.6 and 6.5 mm TL . Tessellated darter were primarily protolarvae (97.3\%) taken from 3 May through 24 July. Mean larval length was 5.6 mm TL; most spawning occurred from late May through mid-June. Banded darter were collected from May through August, and were most ( $70.9 \%$ ) common between 22 May and 21 June. Most were protolarvae, with a mean length of 6.2 mm TL.

The actual spawning date for all species collected was assumed to be 5 to 10 days prior to the collection of protolarvae (Nardacci and Associates 1984). Most fish eggs hatch 3 to 10 days after fertilization. The hatching time is variable and depends on season, water temperature, and species (Hardy 1978; Jones et al. 1978). Therefore, protolarvae collected represented a relatively recent spawn and/or hatch.

Ichthyoplankton abundance appears to be influenced by water temperature, river flow, and weather conditions. The low ichthyoplankton densities recorded in 1989 may have been the result of record river flow conditions (Chapter 7) coupled with low water temperature which suppressed spawning activity (Figure 3-3). The effects of river flow and water temperature on ichthyoplankton densities may not be evident until 7 to 10 days after a change in these variables occurs. The relationship between river flow and ichthyoplankton densities appears inverse. Peaks in river flow in mid-May and late June were coincident with low ichthyoplankton densities. Ichthyoplankton densities peaked in early June as river temperature began to increase. High river flow immediately after this early peak depressed densities and water temperature. These density decreases may have resulted either from the flushing effect of increased river flow, or from high flow depressing spawning activity. A secondary peak occurred in mid-August as river temperature
began to exceed 20 C consistently and river flow remained low. The influence of temperature on spawning (and hence ichthyoplankton abundance) was similar to findings of Nardacci and Associates (1984), where spawning increased during the spring as water temperature increased.

Ichthyoplankton abundance, expressed in terms of number and density, was greatest at Station 16Al, located along the west shore of TMI (Tables 3-3 and 3-5). Stations 4AI and 1481 ranked second and third in number and density. The common carp was the most abundant larvae at Stations 16Al and 4A1, and comprised over $72 \%$ of the catch at each station. Larvae at Station 14Bl were principally mimic shiner, quillback, and pumpkinseed/bluegill. The lowest number of specimens collected at any station, as well as the lowest annual density, was recorded at station 12Al, along the west shore of Shelley Island. Peak densities at individual stations were variable and keyed to the local abundance of one or more of the most common taxa. The ichthyoplankton densities at Station 13A2 (located upstream of the TMINS discharge) and Stations llal (downstream of the TMINS discharge) appeared quite similar.

Differences in ichthyoplankton abundance among stations are related to a variety of factors, including: the availability/suitability of habitat for spawning adults immediately upriver of each station; the effects of river flow on the station area; water velocities within the
station; and recreational activity (i.e., boating, swimming, and camping) at or adjacent to the station. The highest density value in 1989 was recorded at Station 16Al, which is characterized by swift currents and a variety of substrates. In contrast, Station 12Al, with the lowest annual density, was usually characterized by moderate currents and a predominantly mud substrate. Recreational activity around Station 12Al was much heavier than that observed near Station 16Al.

The temporal distribution of ichthyoplankton, differences among stations, and between replicates were examined by a three-factor ANOVA (Table 3-15). Differences among sample dates, stations, and the date-station interaction were significant. The significance of the datestation interaction was expected because of the spatial and temporal variability among species, habitats, and/or spawning times. Tukey's studentized range test results generally indicated that densities were significantly higher from late May through August than in April and early May (Table 3-16). Densities on 6 June were highest and significantly different from all other dates, while all April dates were similar and ranked lowest. The range test of individual station densities indicated that station 14BI was ranked highest and was significantly different from all other stations. The stations located upstream (13A2 and 16A1) and downstream (11A1 and 9Bl) of the TMINS discharge
were similar to each other. These analyses suggest that the operation of TMINS had no detectable effect on ichthyoplankton in York Haven Pond.

### 3.3 COMMUNITY ANALYSIS: DIVERSITY AND SIMILARITY

The ichthyoplankton community was assessed by indices of species diversity and percent similarity. Shannon-Wiener diversity values ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ) ranged from 1.47 to 3.29 for the eight stations, and 0.92 to 2.84 for sample dates (Tables 3-3 and 3-4). Diversity values were variable among sample dates, with higher values occurring in June and August. The highest $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ value occurred on 12 June. Conversely, a value of 0.92 was recorded on 17 April, as only three specimens of two taxa were collected. The 6 June collection yielded the highest number of specimens and total density, but ranked low in terms of diversity. These results were influenced by the overabundance of the common carp compared to the other taxa.

Ichthyoplankton community diversity was high and nearly equal at Stations 12 Al and $10 \mathrm{B2}$, which are located along the west shore of Shelley Island (Table 3-3). The lowest $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ value occurred at station 16A1. These results demonstrate an inverse relationship between total number of larvae and community diversity. Stations 12Al and 10 B 2 ranked low in number of individuals, yet had the highest diversity values. Conversely, Station $16 A 1$ ranked highest in number of
individuals and total density, but the species diversity was lowest. This low diversity value was attributable to an extreme abundance of common carp.

Diversities at stations located along the west shore of Three Mile Island ranged from 1.47 to 2.50 (Table 3-3). Mean H' values of the stations located upstream (13A2 and 16A1) and downstream (9Bl and llal) of the TMINS discharge were 1.90 and 2.48 , respectively. These results indicate a similar community diversity among the stations along the west shore of Three Mile Island.

Another measure of the York Haven Pond ichthyoplankton community compared species composition among stations by the percent similarity index (PSc) (Table 3-17). PSc values ranged from 20.9 to $88.1 \%$. The highest PSc occurred between Stations 13A2 and 11A1, located upstream and downstream, respectively, of the TMINS discharge; Stations 12Al and 4Al were least similar. The former stations (13A2 and l1A1) were also very similar in total specimens, total taxa, total density, and species diversity. The mean PSc value among all west TMI stations was 77.7\%, indicating a similar species composition. Generally, stations closely related geographically and/or with similar habitats had similar PSc values.

The relative density of ichthyoplankton collected at seven stations in 1989 was within the ranges noted in previous years (1977 through 1988) (Table 3-18). The density calculated for Station 16Al was the highest to date. The number of larvae collected at individual stations was also within the ranges recorded previously with two exceptions. Station 16 Al yielded the highest number of individuals to date, while Station l4Bl yielded the fewest.

Ten taxa have dominated the catch either intermittently or consistently from 1977 through 1988 (Table 3-19); this trend continued in 1989. The total abundance of six of the dominant taxa in the 1989 catch (common carp, spottail shiner, quillback, pumpkinseed/bluegill, tessellated darter, and banded darter) was within their historical ranges. However, the density of spottail shiner, quillback, and pumpkinseed/bluegill, and the abundance and density of spotfin shiner was the lowest recorded in 13 years. Densities of all other common fishes were within previously established ranges. In addition, the abundance and density of mimic shiner and channel catfish was the highest to date. Changes in the total number and/or density of ichthyoplankton from year to year was likely related to the spawning success of one or more of the common taxa.

Annual changes in the relative abundance and density of predominant species were reflections of variable spawning success modified by environmental factors such as water temperature and river flow (Nardacci and Associates 1984). Historically, river flow has been inversely related to ichthyoplankton density. When river flow exceeded l,000 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$, low ichthyoplankton densities resulted (Nardacci and Associates 1983). Low density values have also been associated with water temperature below 20 C . These trends in temperature and river flow were demonstrated again in 1989 (Figure 3-3). The average river temperature first exceeded 20 C in early June and coincided with peak density. The density subsequently declined as river flow increased and depressed river temperature (Figure 3-3). Similar high density peaks from late May to early June occurred during most sample years (1977 to 1981 and 1984 to 1987) (EA 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1983; RMC 1988a).

A second, late season (August) peak in ichthyoplankton density was noted in 1989, which corresponded to abundance peaks for spotfin shiner, mimic shiner, and pumpkinseed/bluegill. During and immediately preceeding this period of high density, average river temperature exceeded 20 C and river flow remained low (Figure 3-3). Similar late season density peaks have been noted previously (EA 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1980, 1983, 1984; RMC 1989).

Peak ichthyoplankton density in 1989 was similar to other years and generally was within established ranges. Comparisons of annual density showed 1989 to rank 11 th among the 13 sample years. This low ranking suggests that high river flow conditions (Chapter 7) coupled with relatively low, unstable river temperatures resulted in reduced spawning success of many fishes. During 1989, average river flow exceeded $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ on ten sample dates, while average river temperature exceeded 20 C on 12 of the 20 sample dates.

As noted earlier, high velocities adversely affect all ichthyoplankton. Fish larvae are vulnerable because their small size limits their ability to withstand swift water currents. However, low velocities would have the opposite effect on larvae, and would also benefit spawning adults. Pumpkinseed/bluegill abundances provide an example of river flow/larval density effects. Pumpkinseed and bluegill generally prefer slow water areas with sand, gravel, or mud substrates for spawning and nest-building (Scott and Crossman 1973). High current velocities, such as those recorded during 1989, would limit the amount of spawning habitat available, and lead to a reduction in spawning success. The substantial decrease in pumpkinseed/bluegill abundance in 1989 was attributed to the increase in average river flow. The higher velocties would flush phytoplankton and zooplankton out of the system. These organisms are
important components in the ichthyoplankton diet. With the decreased availability of food, spawning sites, and nursery areas, a decrease in abundance and survival of larvae may be expected.

The annual abundance of ichthyoplankton within York Haven Pond was assessed by a three-factor ANOVA (Table 320). All effects and their interactions were significant. However, date and date-year interaction terms contributed nearly 65\% of the total sum of squares; or $80 \%$ of the total explained variance. Since station densities followed similar annual trends (Figures 3-4 and 3-5), significant differences among stations and years were not confounded by the interactions.

Tukey's studentized range test was used to isolate specific differences among annual ichthyoplankton densities (Table 3-21). Sample years 1981 and 1983 were similar and higher than all other years, whereas 1984 ranked lowest and was significantly different from all years. All other years were similar and not significantly different from each other.

Sample dates were consolidated (all years combined) for statistical analyses, and categorized as those within the first to the tenth, the eleventh to the twentieth, or the twenty-first to thirty-first of a given month. Range test results indicated that April and August densities, as well as l-l0 May densities, were significantly lower than all
other sample dates (Table 3-21). Densities recorded for 110 June and 21-31 May ranked first and second, respectively, and were significantly greater than all other sample date groups. These results reinforce density trends mentioned previously.

Tukey's studentized range test, applied to ichthyoplankton station densities over the past 13 years, showed that Station 14 Bl had the highest density (Table 321). The range test also indicated that station 13A2, located upstream of the TMINS discharge, was not statistically distinguishable from the downstream stations (11A1 and 9B1).

Ichthyoplankton abundances and statistical analyses for 1989 were consistent with historical data (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984; RMC 1988a, 1989). The ichthyoplankton community was not influenced by the operation of TMINS. Fluctuations within the ichthyoplankton community appear related to dynamic physical (e.g., spawning habitat/nursery area availability) and/or environmental conditions (e.g., river flow, water temperature) within the Susquehanna River rather than the operation of TMINS.

TABLE 3-1
Location and description of ichthyoplankton stations sampled in York Haven Pond.
Station Number Location and Description

TM-LF-14B1* Beginning from a point 500 m downstream from the fall line riffles along the west shore of York Haven Pond. Water depth varied from 1.0 to 1.5 m . Substrate was mostly boulders, cobbles, pebbles, and some mud. Current velocities+ were generally moderate to slow.

TM-LF-12AI Beginning fromapoint on the west shore of Shelley Island. Water depth varied from 1.0 to 1.5 m . Bottom was mostly mud with some pebbles and gravel. Current velocities were moderate.

TM-LF-13A2 Beginning from a point upstream from the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 2 intake to a point upstream of Unit 1 intake. Water depth varied from 2.0 to 3.0 m with depths to 8.0 m in front of intake structures. Bottom type was mostly boulders and mud. Current was usually swift.

TM-LF-4AI Beginning at a point along the east shore of Three Mile Island opposite the Unit 2 cooling tower A. Water depth varied from 1.0 to 1.5 m . Bottom was mud with some tree stumps. Current velocities were slow to still.

TM-LF-10B2 Beginning at the southwestern tip of Shelley Island. Water depth varied from 1.0 to 1.5 m . Bottom was mostly mud. Current velocities were moderate.

TM-LF-9B1 Beginning at a point 200 m upstream from the York Haven Dam along the southwestern shore of Three Mile Island. Water depth was about 1 m . Bottom type was mostly mud. Current velocities were moderate.

TM-LF-11AI Beginning at a point 200 m downstream from the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station discharge. Water depth was about 1 m . Bottom was mostly mud. Current velocities were moderate.

| TM-LF-l6A1 | Beginning at a point 500 m downstream from the north tip of |
| :--- | :--- |
| Three Mile Island along the west shore. Water depth varied |  |
| from 1.0 to 1.5 m . Bottom type was mostly boulders, cob- |  |
| bles, pebbles, and some mud. Current velocities were swift |  |
| to moderate. |  |

* Prefix TM-LF- deleted from station numbers for discussion in text.
+ Current velocities were surface measurements taken during summer river flow $<566 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{sec}(20,000 \mathrm{cfs})$ and defined as $10 \mathrm{w}(<15 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec})$, moderate ( $16-40 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ ), and swift ( $>40 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ ).

TABLE 3-2
List of scientific and common names of ichthyoplankton collected from the Susquehanna River near TMINS, 1989.


TABLE 3-3 SPATIAL OISTRIBUTION OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON NUMEERS, AND DIVERSITY (H )TAKEN BY PUSH NET AT EIGHT STATIONS IN YORK HAVEN POND. APRIL THROUGH AUGUST 1989.

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF- } \\ 14 \mathrm{~B} 1 \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF- } \\ 12 A 1 \\ \hdashline \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF- } \\ 13 A 2 \\ - \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF- } \\ 4 A 1 \\ \hline \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF- } \\ \text { IOB2 } \\ \hdashline \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF } \\ 9 B! \\ -\cdots+ \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF- } \\ 11 A 1 \\ \hdashline \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TM-LF-- } \\ 16 A 1 \\ \hdashline \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Density | Pct. |
| Gizzard shad | 68 |  | 7 | 141 |  | 1 | 5 | 11 | 233 | 2.51 | 2.4 |
| Common carp | 39 | 35 | 563 | 1181 | 62 | 520 | 496 | 1417 | 4313 | 46.48 | 45.2 |
| Golden shiner |  | - | 1 | . | ; | 1 | - | - | 3 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
| Comely shiner | 39 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 73 | 0.79 | 0.8 |
| Spottall shiner | 54 | 7 | 52 | 26 | 35 | 43 | 52 | 37 | 306 | 3.30 | 3.2 |
| Swallowtafl shiner | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | . | 4 | 2 | - | 12 | 0.13 | 0.1 |
| Spotfin shiner | 97 | 58 | 27 | 22 | 116 | 37 | 39 | 24 | 420 | 4.53 | 4.4 |
| Mimic shiner | 338 | 10 | 34 | 15 | 53 | 23 | 72 | 22 | 567 | 6.11 | 5.9 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 10 | 8 | . | 13 | 104 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 156 | 1.68 | 1.6 |
| Creak chub |  | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  | 102 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
| Qutllback | 166 | 116 | 148 | 48 | 115 | 311 | 193 | 192 | 1289 | 13.89 | 13.5 |
| White sucker | 3 | 1 | . | 1 | 1 | . | 1 | . | 7 | 0.08 | 0.1 |
| Northern hog sucker | , | , |  | 2 | - |  | 1 |  | 3 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
| Snortnead redhorse | ; | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 13 | 0.14 | 0.1 |
| Yellaw bullhead | 1 | . | 2 | . | . | - |  |  | 3 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
| Chanmel cetfish | 36 | 62 | 104 | 45 | 69 | 100 | 79 | 105 | 600 | 6.47 | 6.3 |
| Rock bass | 36 | 12 | . | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 57 | 0.61 | 0.6 |
| Redoreast sunfish | 1 | 18 | - |  | 3 | 1 | - | 11 | 23 | 0.25 | 0.2 |
| Sunfishes | 600 | 4 | 11 | 98 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 745 | 8.03 | 7.8 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 |  | . | 1 |  | - | - | . | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
| Largamouth bass | 1 |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  | 0.01 | 0.0 |
| Crappies | 2 | - |  | . |  | - |  |  | 2 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
| Tessellated darter | 22 | 36 | 24 | 3 | 78 | 139 | 27 | 10 | 339 | 3.65 | 3.6 |
| Banded darter | 75 | 44 | 55 | 7 | 25 | 25 | 33 | 37 | 301 | 3.24 | 3.2 |
| Yellan perch |  |  | 1 | ; |  | ; | . |  | 17 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
| Sniela darter | i | 7 |  | 1 | 3 | j | 5 | 4 | 17 | 0.18 | 0.2 |
| Unidentifiable fish | 6 | 1. | 7 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 47 | 0.51 | 0.5 |
| Unidentified (eggs) | 2 | 2 | . | . | . | - | 1 | - | 5 | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| Total number | 1603 | 429 | 1040 | 1620 | 691 | 1238 | 1031 | 1885 | 9537 | 100.0 |  |
| Totat taxa | 24 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 28 | 28 |  |
| Oiverstiy ( H ) | 2.92 | 3.27 | 2.32 | 1.64 | 3,29 | 2.47 | 2.50 | 1.47 | 2.83 | 2.83 |  |

TABLE 3-A TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON NUMBER TAKEN AT EIGHT STATIONS IN YORK HAVEN POND. APRIL THROUGH AUGUST 1989.

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apri1 } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $29$ | 6 |  | 21 | 27 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 1 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | 21 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Giz2ard shad | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 10 |  | 1 | - | 4 |  | - | 1 | 36 | 7 | 5 | 164 |
| Comman caro | - | . | . | . | 4224 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 64 | 3 | . | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | , |
| Golden shiner | - | . | - | * |  | i | 1 | - | - | , | ; |  | 5 | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ | - |  | 47 |
| Comely shiner | - | - |  |  | 13 | 2 | $\dot{\square}$ | - | ; | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | 4 | - | - | 47 |
| Spottail shiner | - | 2 | 4 | 42 | 231 | 23 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | * | 1 | - | ; | . |
| 5mallowtatl shiner | - | - | - | - | * | 10 | 1 | - | , |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | - | 5 |  | 1 |  |
| Spotfin shtner | 1 | . | . | . | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 81 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 57 | 95 | 90 | 32 |
| Mimic shiner | . | . | . | , | B | 1 | . | . | 3 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 64 | 219 | 43 | 198 |
| Qluntnose minnow | . | . | . | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | . | * | 2 | 14 | - | 41 | 23 | 72 |
| Creek chub | - | . |  | - | 1 | - | . | - | - | , | - | * | - | - | - | - |  |
| Quflloack | - | 8 | 53 | 521 | 540 | 124 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| White sucker | . | . | . | 5 | 2 | ; | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | , |  |
| Northern nog sucker | . | . | - | . | - | 3 |  |  | , | , |  |  | . | - | . |  |  |
| Shorthead rediorse | . | - | - | , | 3 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 1 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Yellow bullnead | . | - | - | '. | - | - | , | ! | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 1 |  | 1 | 13 | 14 |  | 2 |  |
| Channel catfish | - | - | - | : | - |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 422 | 13 | 13 | 1 |  |  | ; |
| Rock bass | . | . | - | . | 10 | 22 | 17 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 16 | $i$ | 1 | 1 |
| Redrreast sunfish | - | - |  | - | 5 | 149 | i | $\bullet$ | - | 2 | 10 |  | 17 | 9 | 466 | 14 | 23 |
| Suntishes | - |  | - | - | 54 | 149 | 1 | - |  | 2 | 10 |  | 17 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass | - | - | - | : | . | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | - | - | , | - | . | - | . | . | - | . | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crapoies | - | - | 3 |  |  |  | $\dot{5}$ | 2 | 4 | 8 | 1 | $i$ |  | * | - |  |  |
| Tessellated darter | - | 9 | 33 | 84 | 133 | 59 | 43 | 15 | 16 |  | 13 | 7 | i |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| Banded darter | . | 6 | 78 | 7 | 30 | 53 | 43 | 15 | 16 | 28 | 13 | 7 | 1 | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | - |  |  |
| Yellom derch | - | 7 |  | 5 | ; | . | * | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Shield darter | - | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 13 | ; | 2 | , | ; | , | ; | 1 | - | i |  |  |
| Unidentifiable fish | , | - | 2 | - | 25 | 13 | 1 | 2 | ; | ; | - | i | 1 | - | . |  |  |
| Unidentiflad (eggs) | 2 | 1 | . | . | . | , | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Tota: | 3 | 33 | 174 | 666 | 5287 | 483 | 107 | 38 | 43 | 206 | 501 | 164 | 73 | 205 | 832 | 184 | 538 |
| 70tal taxa | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 8 | +10 | \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| glversity (H) | 0.92 | 2.33 | 1.84 | 1.11 | 1.16 | 2.84 | 2.61 | 2.55 | 2.76 | 2.29 | 1.07 | 1,19 | 2.78 | 2.50 | 1.65 | 2.11 | 2,28 |

Note; No fish were collected on 06, Il, and 24 April.

table 3-6 Length frequency distribution (0.5 me intervals) and life stage of common carp taken gy push net in york





|  | table 3-9 | LENGT <br> HAVEN | frequuencypond, 1909 |  | distribution <br> LIFE STAGE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 15 \mathrm{DE} \end{aligned}$ | MM INTERVALS) SIGNATED AS P(P |  |  | and life stage Rotolarvae). M |  |  | of mimic s imesolarva |  | Hy NER TAKEN <br> E). T(META |  | BY PUSH NET IN YORK avae). and y(young). |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | тот |  |
|  | Lengetn Incerval (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { AD } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{May}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\underset{6}{\text { Jun }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jun } \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\underset{21}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Jul}_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{24}{ }$ | Aug | Aup | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | Aug 21 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Aug } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | p | \% | T | $\gamma$ |
|  | $4.1-4.5$ | . | - | - |  |  | - | . | - | - |  |  |  | , | 29 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 35 | - | - |  |
|  | 4.6-5.0 | . | ; | . | . | 5 | ; | - | - | ; | 2 | 13 | 2 | ; | 21 | 8 | 9 | 25 | 85 | - | . |  |
|  | $5.1-5.5$ | . | . | - | . | 3 | 1 | . | . | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 56 | - |  |  |
|  | $5.6-6.0$ | . | , | . | - | . | . | - | - | 1 | . | , | ; | 1 | . | 42 | 1 | 1 | 46 | ; | . |  |
|  | $6.1-6.5$ | - | . | , | . | . | - | . | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | , | 2 | 49 | . | 1 | 50 | 2 |  |  |
|  | $6.6-7.0$ | . | . | . | . | - | . | . | . | . | - | . | . | - | 2 | 39 | . | 5 | 28 | 19 | . |  |
|  | $7.1-7.5$ | , | - | . | . | $\cdot$ | . | . | - |  | - | - | . | - | ; | 25 |  | 10 | 4 | 31 | . |  |
|  | $7.6=8.0$ | . | , | - | - | . | - | - | - | " | - | - | : | - | 1 | 9 3 | 2 | 23 | - | 35 32 | : |  |
|  | $8.1-8.5$ $8.6-9.0$ | : | : | - | : | : | : | - | - | : | : | : | : | : | - | 3 1 | 4 | 25 | - | 32 27 | - |  |
|  | 8.1-9.5 | : | - | $\cdots$ | - | : | " | $\bullet$ | $\cdots$ | : | " | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | $\bullet$ | : | " | 2 | 5 | 19 | - | 24 | 2 |  |
|  | 9.6-10.0 | - | : | , | , | : | , | - | - | : | - | : | : | , | : | 3 | 5 | 12 |  | 15 | 5 |  |
|  | 10.1-10.5 | . | - | : | . | : | - | , | . | . | - | . | . | , | - | 2 | ${ }^{4}$ | 12 |  | 4 | 14 |  |
|  | 10.6-11.0 | , | : | - | , | : | - | : | , | - | - | . | , | - | - | 3 | 2 | 7 | . | . | 12 |  |
|  | 11.1 \% 11.5 | - | , | . | . | . | - | - | - |  | - | . | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | - | - | 9 |  |
|  | 11.6-12.0 | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | : | - | - | - | - | 4 | $\bullet$ | - | 4 | 2 |
|  | $12.1-12.5$ $12.6-13.0$ | : | : | : | : | * | - | ; | - | : | : | $\bullet$ | $\vdots$ | - | " | : | $i$ |  |  | : | 1 |  |
|  | 13.1-13.5 | - | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | " | : | $\div$ | $\div$ | : | : | : | i | 3 | , | - | . | 3 |
|  | 13.6-14.0 | . | : | , | . | : | - | : | : | : | ! | - | - | . | . | - | $i$ | 2 | : | - | - | 3 |
|  | 14.1-14.5 | . | - | . | - | - | , | . | - | - | - | . | , | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | , | - | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |
|  | 14.5-15.0 | . | , | . | . | . | , | - | , | . | - | . | - | - | ; | - | , | 1 | - | , | - | 1 |
|  | $15.1-15.5$ 15.5 16.0 | - | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | , | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
|  | 15.6-17.0 | : | : | : | : | $\div$ | : | : | : | " | - | : | : | $\because$ | ', | $\cdots$ | - | , | : | ; | : | 1 |
|  | 17.1-17.5 | . | . | - | . | - | , | - | . | : | . | . | ; | - | , | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | ! |
|  | 23.6-24.0 | , | . | . | . | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| $\omega$ | Total Parcans ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 304 \\ 54.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 189 \\ 33.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 8.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 3.21 \end{array}$ |



TABLE 3-11 LENGTH FREQUENCV DISTRIBUTION ( 0.5 MM INTERVALS) AND LIFE STAGE OF CHANNEL CATFISH TAKEN BY PUSH NET IN VOR LENGTH FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION ( 0.5 MM INTERVALS) AND LIFE STAGE OF CHANNEL CATFISH TAKEN BY PUSH NET IN
HAVEN POND, $19 B G$ LIFE STAGE IS DESIGNATED AS P(PROTOLARVAE). M(MESOLARVAE), T(METALARVAE), AND Y(YOUNG).


| 13.6-14.0 | - | - | , | - | , | , | - | . | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.1-14.5 | . | - | . | . | - | . | - | . | , | - | 7 | 1 | , | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |
| 14.6-15.0 | - | - | . | . | . | . | . | , | . | 1 | 8 | 5 | 1 | . | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| 15.1-15.5 | . |  | . | , | . | . | . | 1 | - | 3 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 3 | - | - |  | - | - | - | 43 |
| $15.6-16.0$ | - | . | . | - | . | . | 1 | . |  | 2 | 86 | 19 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 113 |
| 16.1-16.5 | $\cdot$ | . | . | - | - | . | . | . | 1 | 2 | 113 | 25 | 4 | 3 | - | , | - | - | - | - | 148 |
| 16.6-17.0 | , | . | - | : | - | - | - | . | * |  | 102 | 28 | 2 | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | 132 |
| 17.1 - 17.5 | - | . | - | : | - | . | - | - | 4 | 1 | 46 | 21 | . | 2 | , | $\cdot$ | , | - | - | - |  |
| 17.6-18.0 | - | . | - | , | - | - | , | - | . | 2 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | * | - | 32 |
| 18.1-18.5 | - | . | - | - | - | . | . | . | , | . | 4 | 6 | 2 | , | - | - | * | - | - | - | 12 |
| 18.6-19.0 | . | . | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 19.1-19.5 | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | . | , | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 19.6-20.0 | . | . | - | . | . | . | - | , | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 21.6-22.0 | - | - | - | * | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | * | - | ; | - | , | - | - |  |
| 22.1-22.5 | . | . | , | , | - | - | , | . | , | - | - | - | . | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total Percent ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 600 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |


| TABLE 3-12 | LENGTH <br> haven | FR POND | QUEN <br> 19 | $\begin{aligned} & Y \\ & 9.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRIBU } \\ & \text { IFE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TION } \\ & \text { TAGG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \\ & 15 \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ |  | TERVA TED | 5) $S P(P$ | ROTOL | FE ST ARVAE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F Pu } \\ & \text { MESO } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | TAKEN VAE). | By Pu AND Y( | YOUNG) | IN YORK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| Length <br> Interval (mm) | $\begin{gathered} A D r \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | May 22 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\underset{6}{\text { Jun }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jun } \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jun } \\ 27 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{6}{ }_{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ju } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { JuI } \\ 24 \end{array}$ | Aug <br> 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Aug } \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ \mathbf{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Aug } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | P | M | T | $Y$ |
| 4.1-4.5 | . | - | . |  |  | , | - | . | - | - |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | , | - | 3 |  | - |  |
| $4.6-5.0$ | . | . | . | - | 29 | 4 | : | : | : | , | 7 | , | 7 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 48 | 2 | . | . |
| $5.1-5.5$ | , | . | . | . | 24 | 33 | , | . | - | 2 | 1 | , | 9 | 6 | 29 | - | 1 | 101 | 4 | . | - |
| $5.6-6.0$ | . | . | . | . | . | 78 | , | . | , | . | 1 | . | 1 | 1 | 72 | . | - | 153 | $\stackrel{*}{ }$. | - | - |
| $6.1-6.5$ |  | . | . | . | , | 33 | . | . | , | . | . | . | . | , | 76 | , | . | 109 | - | - |  |
| $6.6-7.0$ |  | . | - | . | , | 1 | - | . | - | - | - | , | - | . | 14 | ; | , | 15 | - | . |  |
| $7.1-7.5$ |  | . |  | , | - | . | 1 | . | . | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | - | - |
| $7.6-8.0$ |  | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 | - | . | . | 6 | 5 | 3 | - | 15 | - | - |
| 8.1-8.5 |  | . | . | . |  | - | - | . | . | - | . | - | . | . | 2 | 6 | 3 | - | 11 | - | - |
| $8.6-9.0$ | . | , | . | . | . | . | - | . | . | . | . | - | . | - | ; | 1 | 5 | - | 6 | - | . |
| $9.1-9.5$ | . | . | . | - | : | . | - | - | . | . | . | - | . | . | 2 | - | : | - | 2 | - | . |
| 9.6-10.0 | . | - | . | . | . | - | . | - | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | * | 1 | - | 1 | ; | - |
| 10.1-10.5 |  | . | , | . | , | . | . | . | . | - | - | . | . | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | , | - |
| 10.6-11.0 | . | . | . | . | . | - | . | . | - | . | - | - | . | - | , | - | 3 | - | - | 3 | - |
| 11.1-11.5 | . | . | . | - | . | , | - | , | . | . | . | . | - | - | . | . | 1 | - | : | 1 | . |
| 11.6-12.0 |  | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | , | - | . | . | , | - | - | - | - |  | - | , | - |
| 12.1-12.5 | . | - | . | , | - | . | - | . | . | - | . | . | . | . | - | . | 3 | - | - | 3 | . |
| Total Percent (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 434 \\ 88,57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47 \\ 9.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 1.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |


| TABLE 3-13 | LENGTH FREQUENCY HAVEN POND, 1989 |  |  | DISTRIBUTION <br> LIFE STAGE |  |  | $(0.5$ | MM INTERVALS) SIGNATED AS P( |  |  |  | E Stage o |  | DARTER TAKEN T(METALARVAE) |  |  |  |  | Y PUSH NET IN YORK AND Y(YOUNG). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Toral |  |  |
| Length Interval (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | May 3 | May 22 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\underset{6}{\text { Jun }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun } \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | اuJ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Jul} \\ 10 \end{array}$ | Jul 17 | 301 24 | Aug | ALE 7 | Aug 16 | Aug 21 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Aug } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | P | M | T | Y |
|  | $17$ |  | 22 | $29$ | $6$ | 12 | 21 | 27 |  | 10 | 17 | 24 |  |  | 16 |  |  | P | M | 1 | $Y$ |
|  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $4.1-4.5$ | - | $\dot{\square}$ | - | , | 1 | , | ; | ; | - | 5 | - | - | - | , | , | - | - | 2 | . | , |  |
| $4.6-5.0$ | . | 3 | . | 4 | 44 | 4 |  | 1 | . | 5 | - | ; | . | - | - | - | - | 62 |  |  |  |
| $5.1-5.5$ | . | 4 | 3 | 55 | 64 | 30 | 3 | , | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | . | - | . | - | 166 | * | - | : |
| $5.6-6.0$ | . | 2. | 23 | 24 | 15 | 22 | 1 | . | 1 | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | B5 | 3 | - | - |
| $6.1-6.5$ | . | . | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | . |  |  | 12 | 4 | - | : |
| $6.6-7.0$ | . | . | 1 | . | . | 1 | . | - | - | - | . | - | . | - | * | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| $13.6-14.0$ | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | - | 1 | . | - | , | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | 1 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 327 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| Dercent (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97.32 | 2.38 | 0.00 | 0.30 |


| table 3-14 | LENGTH HAVEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FRE } \\ & \text { DON } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { QUEN } \\ & \hline \quad 19! \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Y DIS } \\ & 9 . \quad 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRIBU } \\ & \text { IFE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TION } \\ & \text { TAGE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 15 \mathrm{DE} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MM} \text { If } \\ & \text { SIGN } \end{aligned}$ | rerve red | S) $S P(f$ |  | FE S ARVAE | $\begin{aligned} & A G E \\ & 1, M i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F BAI } \\ & \text { MESO } \end{aligned}$ | ED <br> RVA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARTER } \\ & \text { ), } \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | TAK META | BY PU VAE). | NET AND Y | IN YO YOUNG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| Length Interval (mm) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ADr } \\ 17 \end{array}$ | May 3 | May 22 | May 29 | $\underset{6}{\text { Jun }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jun } \\ 12 \end{array}$ | Jun | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jun } \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jul } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ju1 } \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jul } \\ 24 \end{array}$ | Aug 1 | $\stackrel{A}{7}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Aug } \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { A } \cup g \\ 21 \end{array}$ | Aug 29 | P | M | T | $Y$ |
| $4.6-5.0$ | - | 1 | , | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | * | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | * | 13 | - |  |  |
| $5.1-5.5$ | . | . | 3 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 1 | . | 6 | 6 | 3 | - | . | . | . | * | 57 | - | - |  |
| $5.6-6.0$ | . | 1 | 28 | . | 7 | 16 | 13 | . | 4 | 14 | 4 | 4 | - |  | - | ; | ; | 91 | , | - |  |
| $6.1-6.5$ | . | 2 | 32 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | . | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 83 | i |  |  |
| 6.6-7.0 | . | . | 13 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | . | . | . | - | - | . |  | 30 | 11 | - |  |
| $7.1-7.5$ | . | 1 | . | . | 1 | 1 | . | , | 2 | . | , | . | - |  | , | - | - | 3 | 2 | , |  |
| $7.6-8.0$ | . | 1 |  | - |  | . | . |  |  | . | * | . |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | , | - |  |
| 17.6-18.0 | - | . | , | . | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | * | - | - | - | 1 | - | . | - | - | 1 |
| Total <br> Percent (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 278 \\ 95,21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 4.45 \end{array}$ | 0.00 | $0.34$ |

TABLE 3-15
Three-factor analysis of variance test results for ichthyoplankton densities collected at eight stations in York Haven Pond, April through August 1989.
Test was performed on logarithmic transformed densities.

| Source | df | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.982$ ) | 186 | 1228.340 | 6.604 | 38.98 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Date ${ }^{\text {M }}$. | 19 | 999.620 | 52.612 | 310.54 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Station | 7 | 13.053 | 1.865 | 11.01 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Replicate | 1 | 0.370 | 0.370 | 2.18 | 0.1418 |
| Date-Station | 133 | 209.842 | 1.578 | 9.31 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Date-Replicate | 19 | 4.022 | 0.212 | 1.25 | 0.2285 0.3027 |
| Station-Replicate | 7 | 1.433 | 0.205 | 1.21 | 0.3027 |
| Error | 133 | 22.533 | 0.169 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 319 | 1250.874 |  |  |  |

* Significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$.
table 3-16



* Station prefix TM-LF- deleted from table.

TABLE 3-17
Percent similarity indices of species composition between the ichthyoplankton stations in York Haven Pond, 1989. Station prefix TM-LF- deleted from table.

|  | 12 Al | 13 A 2 | 4 Al | 10 B 2 | 9 Bl | 11 Al | 16 Al |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 Bl | 36.6 | 32.7 | 24.0 | 41.6 | 29.4 | 36.7 | 23.8 |
| 12 Al |  | 48.1 | 20.9 | 69.5 | 60.6 | 51.2 | 31.9 |
| I3A2 |  |  | 66.9 | 51.7 | 77.9 | 88.1 | 78.4 |
| 4 Al |  |  |  | 22.9 | 54.1 | 61.1 | 85.1 |
| IOB2 |  |  |  |  | 57.8 | 57.8 | 33.2 |
| 9 Bl |  |  |  |  |  | 83.6 | 65.9 |
| IlA1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.4 |

TABLE 3-18
Annual sumnary of ichthyoplankton numbers and densities ( $n / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) taken by push net at aight stations in York Haven Pond, 1977 through 1989.


TABLE 3-19
Annual summary of the most abundant ichthyoplankters taken by push net at eight stations in York Haven Pond, 1977 through 1989.


TABLE 3-20
Three-factor analysis of variance test results for ichthyoplankton densities collected at eight stations in York Haven Pond, April through August 1977 through l989. Test was performed on logarithmic transformed densities.

| Source | df | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model ( $\left.r^{2}=0.806\right)$ | 374 | 15219.440 | 40.694 | 42.81 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Year | 12 | 268.392 | 22.366 | 23.53 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Date | 14 | 9657.912 | 689.851 | 725.80 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Station | 7 | 582.552 | 83.222 | 87.56 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Year-Date | 159 | 2564.352 | 16.128 | 16.97 | 0.0001* |
| Year-Station | 84 | 215.740 | 2.568 | 2.70 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Date-Station | 98 | 829.735 | 8.467 | 8.91 | 0.0001* |
| Error | 3865 | 3673.571 | 0.950 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 4239 | 18893.011 |  |  |  |

[^0]

* Station prefix TM-LF- deleted from table.


Figure 3-1. Location of ichthyoplankton stations sampled in
York Haven Pond (station prefix TM-LF- deleted.


FIGURE 3-2
Percent composition by density of the nine most abundant ichthyoplankton taxa taken in York Haven Pond, April through August 1989.


FIGURE 3-3
Mean river temperature ( $C$ ), mean ichthyoplankton density ( $n / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ), and rïver flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) recorded in York Haven Pond, April through August 1989.


Figure 3-4. Annual variation in total ichthyoplankton density at selected stations near TMINS, 1977 through 1989.


Figure 3-5. Annual variation in total ichthyoplankton density at selected stations near TMINS, 1977 through 1989.

### 4.1 METHODS

Seine surveys were conducted at six shoreline stations in York Haven Pond (Figure 4-1). Specific locations and habitat characteristics are described in Table 4-1. Surveys were conducted twice each month in May, June, August, and September, and once each in April, July, October, and November 1989.

Data recorded for each survey were weather, time, duration of sample (in minutes), air and surface water temperatures, surface dissolved oxygen concentration and pH , Secchi disc, estimated water depth, substrate type; and number of hauls. River stage was obtained from the River Forecast Center in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania for 0700 hour. Instrumentation and procedures are described in Chapter 7 and GPU (1987), respectively.

A 3.05 m by 1.22 m straight seine with 0.32 cm mesh was used. The seine was deployed and moved parallel to shore for a short distance, then moved into shore to trap fish. Since size and habitat of seine stations varied (Table 4-1), effort was made to collect a representative qualitative sample (Hocutt 1981) based on complete coverage of all available habitats, rather than a specified number of hauls at each station.

All specimens collected at a station were fixed in $10 \%$ formalin except for large fish ( $>150 \mathrm{~mm}$ fork length, FL) which were identified, measured, and released near the site of capture. In the laboratory, the fish were removed from formalin, rinsed twice in water, and preserved in 40\% isopropanol.

Specimens in each collection were identified and measured to within a 5 mm FL interval. Specimens within these length intervals were weighed together to the nearest 0.1 g . For collections that contained more than 125 fish of one species, a subsample of 125 fish of that species was removed for length and weight analysis; all specimens were counted. Specimens weighed and measured were also examined for the presence of external parasites, disease, or morphological anomalies.

Primary taxonomic aids were Cooper (1983), Moore (1968), and Trautman (1981). Scientific and common names of fishes and taxonomic order of presentation (Table 4-2) followed Robins et al. (1.980).

Family composition at individual stations was computed by summing the percentage contributed by fishes within each family.

Data analyses consisted of calculating condition factor (K), percent similarity (PSc) among sampling station catches, and species diversity by station and date. The percent similarity (PSc) index of Whittaker and Fairbanks
(1958), and Shannon-Wiener (Shannon-Weaver) index of
diversity (H') are described in Chapter 2.
Condition factor (Ricker 1975) for fishes that comprised more than $10 \%$ of the 1989 catch was calculated from the formula:

$$
K=\frac{\mathrm{W} \times 10^{5}}{\mathrm{FL}^{3}}
$$

where
$K=$ condition factor of the $5 \mathrm{~mm} F \mathrm{FL}$ group and
$\mathrm{W}=$ mean weight in grams per 5 mm FL group.
The upper limit of each 5 mm FL group and the mean weight for that group were used for the calculation of condition factor as was done previously (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1983, 1984; RMC 1988a, 1989).

Number per seine haul was calculated by dividing the total number of fish captured by date or station by the number of hauls executed on the date or station. For species accounting for more than $10 \%$ of the catch, reproductive status was classified as follows: young were spawned during the current calendar year; juveniles were spawned in a previous calendar year but werer as yet, incapable of reproduction; and adults were capable of reproduction. Classifications were based on information in the literature (Carlander 1953, 1969, 1977; Miller and Buss

1963; Scott and Crossman 1973; Trautman 1981) and were confirmed in the field when possible.

### 4.2 COMPOSITION, RELATIVE ABUNDANCE, AND DISTRIBUTION: 1989

Results of 1989 seine collections are presented in Appendix $C$ and summarized in Tables 4-3 through 4-5. A total of 305 hauls yielded 45,980 fish of 33 species (Table 4-4). Most fish $(19,616)$ were taken at Station $13 B 5$ and most species (27) at Station 16Al. The mean number of specimens per haul at individual stations ranged from 46.44 at Station $10 \mathrm{B5}$ to 502.97 at Station 13B5.

Carps and minnows (cyprinids) ranked first in family composition, and comprised $91.5 \%$ of the total catch (Table 4-5). Other common families were sunfishes, second in abundance (5.5\% of the total catch); herrings (1.2\%); and perches (1.0\%). The mimic shiner comprised $59.9 \%$ of the total catch; it was the most abundant species in 1989r and ranked first at Stations l3B5 and 9B3 (Table 4-4). The spotfin shiner ranked second in abundance (28.1\%); it was most common at Stations 10A2, 16Al, and 10B5. Other common fishes were the bluegill (2.5\%), pumpkinseed (2.1\%), bluntnose minnow (1.6\%), gizzard shad (1.2\%), spottail shiner (1.1\%), and tessellated darter (1.0\%). No other species accounted for more than $1.0 \%$ of the catch.

Total catches varied widely during the year (Table 4-3). The lowest catch occurred on 3 August when only 640 specimens were collected; the highest catch (11,821 specimens) occurred on 18 September. Generally, the seine catch decreased from 13 April through 3 August, increased sharply to a peak on 18 September, and then declined through November.

The temporal distribution of total catches was primarily influenced by spotfin shiner and mimic shiner abundance (Table 4-3). Spotfin shiner and mimic shiner were common throughout the sample period, but were most abundant from September through November when they comprised from $88.1 \%$ to $96.9 \%$ of the catch. Spotfin shiner abundance peaked on 18 October, while mimic shiner peaked on 18 September. Among other species, spottail shiner and tessellated darter were abundant from April through July. Pumpkinseed and bluegill were common throughout the sample period, but were most abundant in the spring (April through June) and fall (September through November), respectively. Bluntnose minnow occurred throughout the sample period, but was most common in April, May, and November. Gizzard shad were most comon in September, when $99.4 \%$ of their annual total occurred. This variation in species abundance generally reflected the different spawning times of Eishes, and the ensuing period when young inhabit inshore areas and become vulnerable to seine capture.

Spatial distribution of fishes in the catch is presented in Table 4-4. Station 13B5, on the west shore of York Haven Pond, produced the largest catch. The smallest catch occurred at Station 4A2, in the east channel. These catch differences were the result of the variability in the abundances of the spotfin shiner and mimic shiner.

Seine catches were also evaluated in terms of fish per seine haul. This provided a more realistic assessment of the fish encountered during any given sampling episode. Because the total number of hauls for the year was generally similar among sampling dates, the fish per haul paralleled the temporal distribution (Table 4-3). . This was generally true for the sampling stations, excepting at Station 10B5 where more effort (hauls) resulted in fewer fish per haul (Table 4-4).

Biomass totaled $12,554.3 \mathrm{~g}$ for the year (Table 4-6). Peak biomass occurred on 21 June (1,386.3 g). Station 13B5 had the highest biomass for a single station (2,974.3 g), while Station l0B5 had the lowest (l,450.8 g). The distribution of biomass among sampling stations and dates varied as the number and size of the specimens varied.

### 4.3 CONDITION FACTOR (K) AND REPRODUCTIVE STATUS

Condition factors and reproductive status for spotfin shiner and mimic shiner, the only fishes that comprised more
than $10 \%$ of the 1989 catch, are presented in Tables 4-7 and 4-8. The mean weights for individual length intervals were similar per species among stations with large (>25 specimens) comparable catches. The K factor for spotfin shiner ranged from 0.35 to 1.74 . There was a general trend of increasing $k$ factor with increasing length. Mimic shiner $K$ factors ranged from 0.52 to 1.20 . Like the spotfin shiner, $K$ factors for mimic shiner increased as length increased, The increasing $K$ factor for these species reflected the tendency for increased body depth with increased length.

There was no discernible pattern of $K$ factors among sampling stations that would suggest any positive or negative influences of TMINS. Because of the mobility of these small schooling fishes, it is doubtful that they stay in any location long enough to be affected by conditions at that location. Thus, the $K$ factors reflect general conditions in York Haven Pond.

Young and juvenile spotfin shiners were abundant at all stations, except 4 A2, while adults were uncommon $11.3 \%$ of the total catch) (Table 4-7). Young mimic shiners were common at all stations, particularly stations 13B5 and 9B3, while juveniles were most common at station l6Al. Only one adult was taken (Table 4-8).

### 4.4 COMMUNITY ANALYSIS: DIVERSITY AND SIMILARITY

The 1989 fish community was examined by measures of diversity and percent similarity. The Shannon-Wiener function for diversity ( $H^{\prime}$ ) was calculated for the annual catch at each station (Table 4-3) and for each date with stations combined (Table 4-4). Annual station diversity ranged from 0.81 at Station 23B5 to 2.88 at Station 4A2. The low $H^{\prime}$ at Station $13 B 5$ resulted from the large catch of spotfin shiner and minic shiner (96.9\% of the catch) in relation to the numbers caught among the other species. In contrast, the high $H^{\prime}$ at Station 4A2 reflects a more even distribution of individuals among species. Sampling date diversity ranged from 0.83 on 2 November to 3.09 on 14 July. There was a general trend towards increased diversity from April through July, followed by a decline through November as large numbers of young spotfin shiner and mimic shiner entered the catch. Low diversities resulting from the collection of vast numbers of gregarious young fishes are a natural phenomena (Hocutt 1981).

Seine diversity in 1989 was compared to previous study years by plotting annual station $H^{\prime}$ values with months combined (Figure 4-2), and monthly $H^{\prime}$ values with stations combined (Figure 4-3). Compared to 1988, the 1989 monthly data increased slightly. The decreasing trend noted (EA 1987) from 1977 through 1985 was reversed trend from 1986
through 1989 (Figure 4-3). A similar trend was evident for station diversity, but it appeared to stop in 1984 and began a steady increase through 1988. In 1989, this trend was reversed again and decreased slightly. EA (1985, 1986, 1987) postulated that the decrease in diversity was related to the increased dominance of spotfin shiners. It was further suggested (EA 1986) that the increase in the trend was related to the subsequent reduction in the proportion of spotfin shiners in the total catch. The decrease in station H' values in 1989 was coincident with the dramatic increase of mimic shiner ( $59.9 \%$ of the total catch), and the drastic reduction of spottail shiner, bluntnose minnow, fallfish, white sucker, pumpkinseed, bluegill, and tessellated darter from their relative importance in the 1988 catch. Percent similarity (PSc) compares the station catches in terms of species composition, and provides another type of comparison of the fish community. Similarity values for pairwise station comparisons are presented in Table 4-9. Low values indicate relatively dissimilar communities between two stations, while higher values indicate similar communities. Like many of the community and abundance parameters discussed previously, the similarity data appeared to be much influenced by the abundance of spotin shiner and mimic shiner. For example, the lowest PSc (20.0\%) occurred between stations $13 B 5$ and 4A2 and resulted from the extreme dominance of mimic shiner and spotfin
shiner at Station $13 B 5$ (Table 4-4). Relative abundance of these species was similar between Stations $13 B 5$ and $9 B 3$, and resulted in the high PSc (86.7\%). There was no pattern to suggest any influence of the TMINS discharge. Sampling Station $10 A 2$ (downstream of the discharge) was quite similar (88.3\%) to Station 16Al (upstream of the discharge).

Previously (EA 1985, 1986, 1987), the percent similarity at stations upstream and downstream of the TMINS discharge was used to investigate differences between operational $(1976$ to 1978 and 1986 to 1989) and non-operational (1979 to 1985) years (Figure 4-4). The PSc values for both pairwise station comparisons were within the range observed previously. There was no pattern that distinguished operational and non-operational years, and consequently no indication that the TMINS discharge influenced the comunnity of smaller fishes.

### 4.5 MULTIPLE-YEAR COMPARISONS: RELATIVE ABUNDANCE

The seine catches were examined for the study period with catch per seine haul of common species (Table 4-10). The total 1989 catch $(45,980$ fish) represented a minor increase over the 1988 catch $(44,691)$. However, since 44 additional hauls were taken in 1989 , the catch in terms of fish per haul was slightly lower (171.23/haul in 1988 vs. 150.75/haul in 1989). The total 1989 catch was within the
range observed previously, and was largely influenced by the abundance of spotfin shiner, spottail shiner, and mimic shiner (since 1987). Compared to 1988, there were substantial decreases in many important species. Among these, spottail shiner decreased $93.6 \%$ to its lowest total since 1984. Decreases were also noted for bluntnose minnow (42.0\%), fallfish (94.6\%), white sucker (95.8\%), pumpkinseed (62.3\%), bluegill (54.3\%), and tessellated darter (53.5\%). However, these decreases were compensated by a major increase in mimic shiner abundance (139.1\% over its 1988 total), which attained its highest total to date. The catch of spotfin shiner also increased slightly (28.8\%).

The seine catches in 1989 appeared to further reflect the effect of natural population cycles. A strong year class was indicated for mimic shiner and spotfin shiner, but several other species suffered weak year classes as evidenced by steep downturns in their abundances. EA (1986, 1987) pointed out that a number of factors can affect the abundance of fishes from year to year, including river flow, water temperature, food availability, and competition. Substantially higher river flow in 1989 and lower water temperature, particularly April through July, Eavored the success of late summer spawning fishes.

Seasonal Susquehanna River flow patterns, normally characterized by high spring flows and lower flows in summer and fall, favor the intermittent spawning of spotfin shiner
(Gale and Gale 1976) throughout July and August. Intermittent spawning prolongs the spawning season and protects the species against the destruction of entire year classes (Nikolsky 1963). July and August river flows are normally low and stable, as occurred in 1989, and afford optimal spotfin shiner spawning conditions. Consequently, spotfin shiner were very abundant during September and October. In contrast, spottail shiner and white sucker spawn in May and June, therefore their reproductive success is subject to high and/or rapidly fluctuating river Elow. Heavy rains in May and June 1989 greatly increased river flow and depressed water temperature and may explain the downward trend in spottail shiner and white sucker numbers. Starrett (1951) documented the negative effects of similar high water on the spawning success and subsequent abundances of minnows in the Des Moines River, where the spotfin shiner and sand shiner (Notropis stramineus), another late spawner, dominated. Increased catches of the mimic shiner, a species closely related to $N$. stramineus, are also likely due to its July and August spawning period.

Previous reports (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; RMC 1988a, 1989) examined the annual seine catch (number per haul) of dominant fishes at stations upstream (16A1) and downstream (l0A2 and 9B3) of the TMINS discharge to determine differences. The annnual abundance of spotfin shiner, spottail shiner, and white sucker are presented in figures

4-5 through 4-7. For 1989, all species catches were similar at stations immediately upstream (16A1) and downstream (9B3) of the TMINS discharge. Unlike spotfin shiner, catches of spottail shiner and white sucker at station 10 A 2 were below those at either station 16 Al or 9 B 3 . Yet, all values were within previously established ranges, except for spottail shiner at l0A2 and 9B3 which established new lows for the study. Therefore, the variability in station catches was attributed to natural spatial and temporal distribution of these species rather than to any influence of the TMINS discharge.

### 4.6 PARASITES, DISEASE, AND MORPHOLOGICAL ANOMALIES

Fishes collected during routine seine surveys were examined for external parasites, diseases, or morphological anomalies. Although none of these conditions are unusual in natural fish populations, a high frequency of any affliction in one or more species may be evidence of stress.

In 1989, a total of 12,872 fish was examined; 1,711 specimens of 24 fishes had one or more types of parasites, infections, and/or morphological anomalies (Table 4-1l). With the exception of black spot (fluke cysts), glochidia (larvae of freshwater mussels), pugheadedness, and skin infections, affliction rates were less than $1.0 \%$. Black spot was most prevalent on spotein shiner $18.3 \%$ of those
examined) and bluntnose minnow (14.8\%). Glochidia were most common on cyprinids (27.1\%) and sunfishes (53.2\%). Skin infections (which included fin rot, fin damage, fungus, and tumors) were observed on 17 fishes, mostly on spottail shiner, spotfin shiner, mimic shiner, redbreast sunfish, pumpkinseed, and bluegill. The gregarious nature of young fishes, particularly spotfin shiner in slow-moving waters, allows close proximity of parasite and host, and may explain the relatively high incidences of black spot parasitism.

A total of 342 fish exhibited morphological anomalies. Pugheadedness (abnormal formation of the skull) was most common and occurred primarily on spotfin shiner (72), and mimic shiner (202). Scoliosis (lateral spinal curvature) was observed on seven different fishes. Ten spotfin shiner, 8 mimic shiner, and 1 each of the bluntnose minnow and bluegill exhibited mouth (mandibular) deformity.
patterns of parasitic infection and morphological anomalies observed in 1989 were similar to those reported previously (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984; RMC 1988a, 1989). It appears the patterns were most affected by natural trends in parasite life cycles, water temperature, and natural conditions rather than influences associated with TMINS operation.

TABLE 4-1
Location and description of seine stations sampled in York Haven Pond.
Station Number Location and Description

TM-SE-13B5 ${ }^{(a)}$

TM-SE-10B5

TM-SE-16A1

TM-SE-10A2

TM-SE-9B3

TM-SE-4A2

Boat launch along northwest shore of York Haven Pond just downstream from southernmost Pennsylvania Fish Commission boat ramp. Bottom consisted of mud interspersed with a few large boulders. A small backwater sometimes receiving runoff was also seined. About 20 m of shoreline was sampled; depth averaged 0.7 m .

Southwest shore of York Haven Pond just upstream from York Haven Generating Station race. The station extended from a mud-bottomed beach interspersed with debris and rubble to a bedrock enclosed backwater about 100 m downstream. Water willow (Justicia americana) and wild celery (Vallisneria americana) were common. The beach averaged 0.7 m in depth; the backwater averaged 1.0 m .

West shore of TMI near Gate 19 about 500 m upstream from discharge. The station extended from a rubble and boulder shoreline to a mud-bottomed run about 25 m downstream. Coal dirt and gravel were also common along the shoreline, which supported water willow. Average depth was 0.8 m .

West shore of TMI, 150 m downstream from dịcharge. The station extended about 75 m along a gravel beach that averaged 0.7 m in depth. Gravel, mud, and coal dirt were common substrates. Water willow covered the shoreline and was often partially submerged.

West shore of TMI, 2,000 m downstream from discharge. Most sampling was done along a gravel beach and boat ramp. Offshore, the bottom changed to mud. About 20 m of shoreline was sampled; average depth was 0.7 m . Large trees lined the shoreline upstream from the boat ramp and were sometimes partially submerged. The York Haven Dam marked the downstream end of the station and created a backwater.

East shore of east channel. Main substrate was mud, but rubble and some boulders were also common. About 25 m of shoreline was sampled; the bottom dropped abruptly to a depth of about 0.9 m . The beach was supported by submerged railroad ties.
(a) Prefix TM-SE- deleted from station numbers for discussion in text.

List of scientific and common names of fishes collected by seine from the Susquehanna River near TMINS in 1989.
Scientific Name Common Name

```
Clupeidae
    Alosa sapidissima (Wilson)
    Dorosoma cepedianum (Lesueur)
Osmeridae
    Osmerus mordax (Mitchill)
Cyprinidae
    Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus
    Notemigonus crysoleucas (Mitchill)
    Notropis amoenus (Abbott)
    Notropis cornutus (Mitchill)
    Notropis hudsonius (Clinton)
    Notropis procne (Cope)
    Notropis rubellus (Agassiz)
    Notropis spilopterus (Cope)
    Notropis volucellus (Cope)
    Pimephales notatus (Rafinesque)
    Rhinichthys atratulus (Hermann)
    Semotilus corporalis (Mitchill)
Catostomidae
    Catostomus commersoni (Lacepede)
    Hypentelium nigricans (Lesueur)
    Moxostoma macrolepidotum (Lesueur)
Ictaluridae
    Ictalurus punctatus (Rafinesque)
Cyprinodontidae
    Fundulus diaphanus (Lesueur)
```

Herrings
American shad Gizzard shad

Smelts
Rainbow smelt
Carps and Minnows
Common carp
Golden shiner
Comely shiner
Common shiner
Spottail shiner
Swallowtail shiner
Rosyface shiner
Spotfin shiner
Mimic shiner
Bluntnose minnow
Blacknose dace Fallfish

Suckers
White sucker
Northern hog sucker
Shorthead redhorse
Bullhead catfishes
Channel catEish
Killifishes
Banded killifish

TABLE 4-2
Continued.

| Scientific Name | Common Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| Centrarchidae | Sunfishes |
| Ambloplites rupestris (Rafinesque) | Rock bass |
| Lepomis auritus (Linnaeus) | Redbreast sunfish |
| Lepomis cyanellus Rafinesque | Green sunfish |
| Lepomis gibbosus (Linnaeus) | Pumpkinseed |
| Lepomis macrochirus Rafinesque | Bluegill |
| Micropterus dolomieui Lacepede | Smallmouth bass |
| Micropterus salmoides (Lacepede) | Largemouth bass |
| Pomoxis annularis Rafinesque | White crappie |
| Pomoxis nigromaculatus (Lesueur) | Black crappie |
| Percidae | Perches |
| Etheostoma olmstedi Storer | Tessellated darter |
| Etheostoma zonale (cope) | Banded darter |
| Percina peltata (Stauffer) | Shield darter |
| Stizostedion vitreum | Walleye |
| vitreum (Mitchill) |  |

TABLE 4-3
Temporal distribution of fishes taken by seine near TMINS in 1989.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ \text { Apr } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ \text { May } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ \text { May } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ \mathrm{Jun} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ \text { Jun } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ \text { Ju1 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { Aug } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ \text { Aug } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \operatorname{sep} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ \operatorname{Sep} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & \text { oct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \text { Nov } \end{array}$ | Total | \% Casch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American shad | . - | - | - | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | + |
| Gizzard shad | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 3 | - | - | 267 | 275 |  |  | 545 | 1.2 |
| Rainbow smelt | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | $+$ |
| Common carp | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |  | - |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 12 | $+$ |
| Golden shiner | 3 | $\bar{\square}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | 42 | 0.2 |
| Comely shiner | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | $\pm$ |
| Common shiner | 1 | - | - | 73 | 56 | 77 | 47 | 13 | $1{ }^{-}$ | - | 2 | 47 | 492 | 1.1 |
| Spottail shiner | 33 | 38 | 96 | 73 | 56 | 77 | 47 14 | 13 | 10 | 33 | 29 | 66 | 242 | 0.5 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 27 | 19 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 66 | 1 | 0. |
| Rosyface shiner | 716 | 649 | $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 180 | 297 | 215 | 86 | 406 | 2431 | 2794 | 4062 | 82. | 12940 | 28.1 |
| Spotfin shiner | 716 | 649 188 | 282 192 | 180 209 | 297 323 | 211 | 86 236 | 745 | 4162 | 8518 | 6316 | 5920 | 27560 | 59.9 |
| Mimic shiner | 715 197 | 188 115 | 192 32 | 209 57 | 323 31 | 36 49 | $\begin{array}{r}236 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 74 50 | 4121 | 23 | 18 | 118 | 738 | 2.6 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 197 | 115 | 32 | 57 | 31 | 4. | 27 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | + |
| Blacknose dace Fallfish | - | 3 | 3 | 17 | 16 | 11 |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | 52 | 0.1 |
| White sucker | - | - | 10 | 154 | 11 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | 177 | 0.4 |
| Northern hog sucker |  | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - |  | - |  | - | 3 | + |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - |  | - |  | - | 159 | 0.3 |
| Channel catfish | 3 | - | - | 34 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | 121 | 1 |  | - |  | - | 11 | $+$ |
| Banded killifish | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 25 | + |
| Rock bass | 3 | - | - | 14 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 133 | 0.3 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 11 | 45 | 24 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 134 | 0.3 |
| Green sunfish | 45 | 23 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 124 | 53 | 93 | 7 | 968 | 2.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 115 | 93 | 135 | 80 | 91 | 60 | 64 | 53 | 124 | 53 97 | 93 163 | 16 | 1163 | 2.5 |
| Bluegill | 1.96 | 97 | 63 | 21 | 27 | 30 | 11 | 12 | 430 | 97 | 163 | 16 | 117 | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ |
| Lepomis hybrid | - | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | 18 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |  | 54 | 0.1 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | 19 | $B$ | 2 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | - | 10 | $+$ |
| Largemouth bass | - | - | - |  | 1 | 11 |  | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |  | 19 | + |
| White crappie | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 4 | + |
| Black crappie | 88 | $1 \frac{1}{3}$ | 2 | 6 | 156 | 138 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 460 | 1.0 |
| Tessellated darter | 88 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 156 | 138 | 14 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 3 | + |
| Banded darter | 2 | 1 | - |  | 6 | 3 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 9 | $+$ |
| Shield darter | - | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | + |
| Walleye | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 2160 | 1310 | 879 | 870 | 1086 | 672 | 640 | 1341 | 7481 | 21821 | 10706 | 7014 | 45980 |  |
| No. of Species | 17 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 24 | 1.5 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 11 | 33 305 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 23 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 22 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 24 | 305 |  |
| No. of Fish/Haul | 93.91 | 50.38 | 33.81 | 33.46 | 49.36 | 22.40 | 20.64 | 41.91 | 299.24 | 591.05 | 535.30 | 292.25 | 150.75 |  |
| Diversity Index | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.79 | 3.05 | 2.89 | 3.09 | 2.75 | 1.80 | 1.59 | 1.12 | 1.20 | 0.83 | 1.7 |  |

+ Less than $0.05 \%$.

TABLE 4-4
Distribution of fishes taken by seine at the stations sampled near TMINS in 1989. Station prefix TM-SEdeleted from table.

|  | 13B5 | $10 \mathrm{B5}$ | 16 Al | 10A2 | 9 B 3 | 4A.2 | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American shad | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | - | - | 9 | + |
| Gizzard shad | - | 29 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 512 | 545 | 1.2 |
| Rainbow smelt | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - + |
| Common carp | - | 1 | 1 | - |  | 5 | 12 | $+$ |
| Golden shiner | - | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | 5 | 12 | $+$ |
| Comely shiner | 2 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 10 | - | 42 | 0.1 |
| Common shiner | 1 | - | - | - | 137 |  | 4921 | + ${ }^{+}$ |
| Spottail shiner | 105 | 61 | 132 | 47 | 137 | 10 | 492 | 1.1 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 112 | 20 | 19 | 34 | 19 | 38 | 242 | 0.5 |
| Rosyface shiner | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | 3 | 12940 | 28. ${ }^{+}$ |
| Spotfin shiner | 2287 | 1632 | 2836 | 4033 | 1818 | 334 | 12940 | 28.1 |
| Mimic shiner | 16716 | 274 | 1857 | 1779 | 6774 | 160 | 27560 | 59.9 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 136 | 97 | 10 | 47 | 72 | 376 | 738 | 1.6 |
| Blacknose dace | - | $\overline{7}$ | 10 | 8 |  | 1 | 52 | 0.1 |
| Fallfish | 8 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 8 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 177 | 0.4 |
| White sucker | 55 | 25 | 48 | 15 | 31 | 3 | 177 | 0.4 |
| Northern hog sucker | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | + + |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | - | 2 | - | 15 | 2 | 3 159 | 0.3 |
| Channel catfish | - | - | 1 | - | 156 | 2 | 159 | - + |
| Banded killifish | 8 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 \frac{1}{5}$ | $+$ |
| Rock bass | - | 9 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 25 133 | $0 .{ }^{+}$ |
| Redbreast sunfish | 16 | 31. | 46 | 16 | 13 | 102 | 134 | 0.3 |
| Green sunfish | 3 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 102 | 968 | 2.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 81 | 237 | 38 | 74 | 142 | 396 | 968 1163 | 2.5 |
| Bluegill | 13 | 311 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 811 | 1163 | 2.5 + |
| Lepomis hybrid | - | - | - | 13 | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 6 | 54 | 0.1 |
| Smallmouth bass | 11 | 4 | 14 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 54 | 0.1 |
| Largemouth bass | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 8 | 10 | $+$ |
| White crappie | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | - | 7 | 19 |  |
| Black crappie | - | - | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 4 | ${ }_{1}^{+}$ |
| Tessellated darter | 56 | 57 | 92 | 73 | 151 | 31 | 460 | 1.0 |
| Banded darter | - | - | 1 | 1. | 1 | - | 3 | $+$ |
| Shield darter | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | 9 | + |
| Walleye | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | + |
| No. of Specimens | 19616 | 2833 | 5153 | 6188 | 9365 | 2825 | 45980 33 |  |
| No. of Species | 19 | 21 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 51 | 305 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 39 | 61 | 888 | 11454 | 222.98 | 55.39 | 150.75 |  |
| No. of Eish/Haul | 502.97 | 46.44 | 88.84 | 114.59 1.37 | 222.98 1.34 | 55.39 2.88 | 150.75 1.73 |  |
| Diversity Index | 0.81 | 2.26 | 1.61 | 1.37 | 1.34 | 2.88 |  |  |

[^1]TABLE 4-5
Percent family composition at the seine stations sampled in York Haven Pond, April through November 1989. Station prefix TM-SEdeleted from table.

| Family | Station |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1385 | 1085 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 9 B 3 | $4{ }^{2} 2$ |  |
| Herrings | - | 1.1 | + | 0.1 | + | 18.1 | 1.2 |
| Smelts | - | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| Carps and Minnows | 98.7 | 74.5 | 94.6 | 96.4 | 94.4 | 32.7 | 91.5 |
| Suckers | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Bullhead catfishes | - | - | + | - | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Killifishes | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |
| Sunfishes | 0.6 | 21.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 47.8 | 5.5 |
| Perches | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 |

TABLE 4-6
Summary by date of fish biomass $(g)$ at the seine stations sampled near TMINS in 1989. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

|  | $13 B 5$ | $10 B 5$ | 16 Al | 10 A 2 | 9 B 3 | 4 A 2 | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 13 Apr | 259.6 | 18.1 | 55.0 | 45.5 | 188.6 | 389.8 | 956.6 |
| 22 May | 288.3 | 113.3 | 119.6 | 181.7 | 103.8 | 300.4 | 1107.1 |
| 30 May 178.6 | 134.2 | 217.1 | 179.1 | 122.3 | 140.8 | 972.1 |  |
| 8 Jun 167.4 | 279.9 | 282.4 | 151.4 | 79.8 | 118.3 | 1079.2 |  |
| 21 Jun 103.6 | 231.0. | 405.3 | 312.7 | 157.4 | 176.3 | 1386.3 |  |
| 14 Jul 104.9 | 201.6 | 231.5 | 151.7 | 68.7 | 205.5 | 963.9 |  |
| 3 Aug | 77.8 | 50.8 | 120.9 | 149.3 | 294.8 | 109.6 | 803.2 |
| 16 Aug | 102.2 | 110.1 | 256.2 | 47.5 | 229.7 | 46.0 | 791.7 |
| 7 Sep 117.5 | 103.5 | 202.5 | 49.8 | 298.8 | 36.1 | 808.2 |  |
| 18 Sep | 510.0 | 115.0 | 205.8 | 217.4 | 190.2 | 33.0 | 1271.4 |
| 18 Oct | 655.5 | 48.8 | 147.6 | 158.9 | 148.4 | 95.6 | 1254.8 |
| 2 Nov | 408.9 | 44.5 | 8.2 | 97.3 | 515.5 | 85.4 | 1159.8 |
| Total 2974.3 | 1450.8 | 2252.1 | 1742.3 | 2398.0 | 1736.8 | 12554.3 |  |



TABLE 4-7 CONTINUED.

| Fork length (5 min intervals) | Number | Total Weight (g) | Mean Waight (g) | K | $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TM-AQF-1085 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-10 | 23 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.43 | $Y$ |
| $11-15$ | 110 | 1.30 | 0.01 | 0.35 | Y |
| 16-20 | 210 | 11.23 | 0.05 | 0.67 | Y |
| 21-25 | 259 | 25.70 | 0.10 | 0.64 | Y |
| $26-30$ | 150 | 28.20 | 0.19 | 0.70 | Y |
| 31-35 | 79 | 25.90 | 0.33 | 0.76 | $v$ |
| 36-40 | 54 | 28.50 | 0.53 | 0.82 | $Y$ |
| $41-45$ | 34 | 26.80 | 0.79 | 0.87 | J |
| 46-50 | 18 | 20.20 | 1.12 | 0.90 | $J$ |
| $51-55$ | 20 | 30.20 | 1.51 | 0.91 | $J$ |
| 56-60 | 13 | $27.50{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.12 | 0.98 | J |
| 61-65 | 2 | 4.80 | 2.40 | 0.87 | A |
| 71-75 | 2 | 9.70 | 4.85 | 1.15 | A |
| $76-80$ | 1 | 5.70 | 5.70 | 1.11 | A |
| $86-90$ | 1 | 8.40 | 8.40 | 1.15 | A |
| 91-95 | 1 | 11.30 | 11.30 | 1.32 | A |
| TM-AOF-13日 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $11-15$ | 15 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.51 | $V$ |
| 16-20 | 192 | 9.70 | 0.05 | 0.63 | Y |
| 21-25 | 268 | 26.80 | 0.10 | 0.64 | $v$ |
| 26-30 | 167 | 29.40 | 0.18 | 0.65 | Y |
| $31-35$ | 82 | 24.70 | 0.30 | 0.70 | Y |
| 36-40 | 59 | 29.40 | 0.50 | 0.78 | Y |
| $41-45$ | 42 | 32.70 | 0.78 | 0.85 | $J$ |
| 46-50 | 26 | 30.10 | 1.16 | 0.93 | $J$ |
| 51-55 | 19 | 31.20 | 1.64 | 0.99 | $J$ |
| 56-60 | 7 | 14.60 | 2.09 | 0.97 | $J$ |
| 61-65 | 6 | 16.10 | 2.68 | 0.98 | A |
| 66-70 | 4 | 14.90 | 3.72 | 1.09 | A |
| $71-75$ | 5 | 24.50 | 4.90 | 1. 16 | A |
| $86-90$ | 1 | 9.70 | 9.70 | 1.33 | A |

TABLE 4-7 CONTINUED.



TABLE 4-B CONTINUED.

-
$Y=y$ oung, $J=j u v a n i l e, ~ A=$ adult

## TABLE 4-9

Percent similarity indices of species composition between seine stations near TMINS, ApriI through November 1989. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

|  | 10 B 5 | 16 Al | 10 A 2 | 9 B 3 | 4 A 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 B 5 | 24.5 | 50.1 | 43.4 | 86.7 | 20.0 |
| 10 B 5 |  | 72.9 | 73.1 | 35.6 | 44.8 |
| 16 Al |  |  | 88.3 | 60.7 | 21.7 |
| 10 A 2 |  |  | 53.0 | 22.3 |  |
| 9 B 3 |  |  |  | 22.1 |  |

TABLE 4-10
Relative contribution of key species to the annual seine catches near TMINS, 1977 through 1989.

| Study Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Catch } \end{aligned}$ | Catch Per Seine-Haul |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spotfin <br> Shiner | Spottail <br> Shiner | Bluntnose Minnow | Mimic Shiner | White Sucker |
| 1977 | 25,683 | 9 | 38 | 7 | $<1$ | 4 |
| 1978 | 29,414 | 7 | 42 | 3 | $<1$ | 10 |
| 1979 | 39,068 | 35 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| 1980 | 37,920 | 31 | 40 | 2 | <1 | 4 |
| 1981 | 57,117 | 107 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| 1982 | 67,051 | 136 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 2 |
| 1983 | 67,041 | 175 | 24 | 4 | 21 | $<1$ |
| 1984 | 29,524 | 80 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 2 |
| 1985 | 56,672 | 103 | 63 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 1986 | 26,775 | 66 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| 1987 | 31,383 | 65 | 20 | 2 | 27 | 1 |
| 1988 | 44,691 | 38 | 30 | 5 | 44 | 16 |
| 1989 | 45,980 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 90 | $<1$ |

(a) Includes all species, not just those listed.
table 4-11
Incidence of parasites, diseases, and/or morphological anomalies on fishes captured by seine near TMINS, April through November 1989.

|  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{4}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & H \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { ry } \\ & \text { H} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} n \\ \text { n } \\ 0 \\ \text { rin } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ n \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { d } \\ & a_{0} \\ & \mathbf{n}_{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American shad | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | 11.1 |
| Golden shiner | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | $\overline{7}$ | 5 | 12 | 41.7 |
| Comely shiner | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 42 | 2.4 |
| Common shiner | 1 | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | 19 | 100.0 |
| Spottail shiner | 14 | 4 | - | 29 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 22 | 3 | 81 | 492 | 16.5 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 1 | - | - | 7 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 21 | 242 4659 | 8.7 12.6 |
| Spotfin shiner | 388 | 5 | - | 65 | 72 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 39 | 3 | 588 318 | 4659 3863 | 12.6 8.2 |
| Mimic shiner | 2 | 47 | - | 16 | 202 | 3 | 8 | - | 39 | 1 | 318 | 3863 | 8. 4 |
| Bluntnose minnow | - 102 | 8 | - | 2 | 18 | 1 | 1 | - | 16 | - | 148 | 691 | 21.4 100.0 |
| Blacknose dace | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 20 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 100.0 38.5 |
| Fallfish | 18 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Shorthead redhorse | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | - | 2 | 20 | 10.0 |
| Channel catfish | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 11 | 19.1 |
| Banded killifish | - | - | - | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  | 6 | 22 | 27.3 |
| Rock bass | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | 2 | - | - | - | 4 | 24 | - | 42 | 132 | 31.8 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | 2 | 1 | 11 |  |  | - | 4 | 10 |  | 50 | 121 | 41.3 |
| Green sunfish | - | 2 | - | 38 | 1 | - |  | - | 10 | - | 154 | 907 | 17.0 |
| Pumpkinseed | 16 | 37 | - | 85 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 32 | - | 1.146 | 1071 | 13.6 |
| Bluegill | 16 | 6 | 1 | 97 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 22 | - | 146 | 1071 4 | 22.7 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | 2 | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 10 | 46 | 50.0 |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | - | - | $\overline{2}$ | - | - |  | - | 2 |  | 2 | 8 | 25.0 |
| White crappie | - | $\overline{-}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |  | 107 | 8 460 | 23.3 |
| Tessellated darter | 5 | 5 | 8 | 86 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |  | 107 | 460 3 | 66.7 |
| Banded darter | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 66.7 |
| Total | 552 | 121 | 10 | 442 | 307 | 15 | 20 | 12 | 224 | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | 1711 | 12872 | 13.3 |
| Percent | 4.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 2,4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 |  |  |  |

* Includes fish with fin rot, fungus, tumors, or cysts.


Figure 4-1. Location of seine stations sampled in York Haven Pond (station prefix TM-SE- deleted).



Figure 4-2. Annual range of sampling station diversity values, months combined, for seine catches. TMINS aquatic studies (open boxes are station values and crosses represent stations 10 A 2 and 9B3). Identical diversity values may result in less than six symbols.


Figure 4-3. Annual range of monthly (April-November) diversity values, stations combined, for seine catches, TMINS aquatic studies. Identical diversity values may result in less than eight symbols.


Figure 4-4. Annual variation in percent similarity values for selected seine station comparisons, TMINS aquatic studies. Years of non-operation of TMINS are represented within the large square.


Figure 4-5. Annual abundance (number per seine haul) of spottail shiner in seine catches near TMINS.


Figure 4-6. Annual abundance (number per seine haul) of spotfin shiner in seine catches near TMINS.


Figure 4-7. Annual abundance (number per seine haul) of white sucker in seine catches near TMINS.

### 5.1 METHODS

Electrofishing surveys were conducted at six nearshore stations in York Haven Pond (Figure 5-1). Specific locations and habitat characteristics are described in Table 5-1. Surveys were conducted twice each month in May, June, August, and September, and once each in April, July, October, and November 1989.

The electrofishing system consisted of a Coffelt VVP-10 variable voltage pulsator, powered by a 5.0 kw alternator, and mounted in a 6.4 m aluminum boat. Positive and negative electrodes of 1.2 m lengths of flexible conduit were suspended from two 0.9 m diameter aluminum hoops; these were suspended from aluminum booms about 2.0 m in front of the boat. The electric circuit was controlled by a footactivated switch on the bow of the boat; alternating current was used for all surveys. Sampling was conducted at night with the aid of bow-mounted flood lamps.

Data recorded for each survey were time, duration of sample (in minutes), air and surface water temperatures, surface dissolved oxygen concentration and pH , Secchi disc, conductivity, output voltage, and amperage. Instrumentation and procedures for these measurements are described in Chapter 7 and follow GPU (1987). To sample, the boat was maneuvered slowly downstream through the station, as close
to shore as possible (1 to 10 m offshore). Stunned fish were netted at the bow and placed in holding tubs containing water treated with the anesthetic TMS (tricaine methanesulfonate) to Eacilitate handing and reduce injury. Larger stunned specimens of common carp and quillback $1>250$ mm FL) were not placed in the tubs but were counted by the netting crew. At the end of a sampling run, the boat was returned to the center of the station, and the catch was processed.

Each fish was identified to species; measured to the nearest millimeter FL; weighed to the nearest gram; and inspected for diseases, parasites, and morphological anomalies. If a collection consisted of more than 50 specimens of a single species, a subsample of 50 specimens was selected for individual processing, and the remainder counted. Normally, fish were released after processing. Periodically, however, some specimens were retained for radiological analysis as part of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program.

Scientific and common names of fishes captured during the 1989 electrofishing surveys are presented in Table 5-2. Taxonomic order of presentation followed Robins et al. (1980).

Data analysis consisted of calculating percent similarity (PSC) among sampling station catches; species diversity by station and date; condition factors; and
analysis of variance (ANOVA) to analyze catch differences among stations, months (or seasons), and years. Calculation of PSc and diversity indices was identical to those described in Chapter 2. Mean lengths, weights, and condition factors (described in chapter 4) were determined for fishes that comprised more than $10 \%$ of the 1989 catch. The 1989 catch was transformed to catch-per-minute and subjected to a two-factor ANOVA with stations and seasons as factors. Seasons were defined as follows: spring, 19 April through 14 June; summer, 28 June through 23 August; and fall, 12 September through 7 November. A three-factor ANOVA (year, month, station) was used to evaluate multiple year catch-per-minute data. In both analyses, catch-per-minute data were transformed to the 4 th root to stabilize variance. When significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) were identified among stations, months, seasons, or years, Tukey's studentized range test was used to identify significantly different means. The ANOVAs were conducted using SAS software, Version 6 (SAS Institute, Inc.r Cary, NC). Also, Cochran's Q-statistic and M-statistic (Hendrickson 1978) were applied to 1989 station totals. The Q-statistic compared the number of species per station, while the $M$ statistic tested for differences in species composition based on the number of species in common at each station. Results were compared at the $95 \%$ probability level to values in the chi-square distribution.

Numbers of fishes collected by the electrofisher during each survey are presented in Appendix $D$ and summarized in Tables 5-3 through 5-5. A total of 6,299 specimens of 28 fishes, representing six families, was taken in 72 collections. Sunfishes, the largest family, were represented by nine species, while carps and minnows (cyprinids) were represented by eight. All other families consisted of three or fewer species.

Sunfishes were the most abundant group numerically; 5,123 specimens comprised $81.3 \%$ of the total catch (Table 55), and included the top Eive species (redbreast sunfish, green sunfish, pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass) taken (Table 5-3). The second most abundant family was cyprinids which accounted for $9.6 \%$ of the total catch. The spottail shiner (seventh ranked species) and spotfin shiner (ninth ranked species) were the most common cyprinids taken. Suckers were the third most abundant family. and comprised 6.7\% of the total catch. The abundance of the sucker family was largely due to the quillback catch (sixth ranked species). Together, the sunfish, cyprinid, and sucker families accounted Eor $97.6 \%$ of the total catch.

The temporal distribution of the electrofishing catch is presented in Table 5-3. Total catch varied considerably among individual sample dates. Fluctuations in total catch
were almost entirely due to the abundance of the redbreast sunfish, green sunfish, pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass. A generalized seasonal pattern emerged that was characterized by high catches in the spring (April through early June), followed by a period of variable and slightly lower catches in the summer (late June through August), and increased catches in the fall (September through November). The high spring catches were predominantly redbreast sunfish, pumpkinseed, and smallmouth bass, which accounted for $63.7 \%$ of the total catch. The fall catch was dominated by green sunfish, pumpkinseed, and bluegill, which comprised 62.18 of the catch.

Spatial differences in abundance and number of species among stations is presented in Table 5-4. The total catch was high and quite similar at Stations 10A3, llBl, and 13A1 (1,234, 1,136 , and 1,127 specimens; respectively), moderate at station 9B5 (1,044), and low at stations 10B3 and 4A1 (885 and 873, respectively). The total catch and number of species were closely associated. Stations with high catches had the most species (10A3, 11Bi, and 13Al; 22 species each), while stations with low to moderate catches had fewer species $19 \mathrm{~B} 5,10 \mathrm{~B} 3$, and $4 \mathrm{AI} ; 20,20$, and 18 species, respectively). Variations in the annual station catches may reflect the spatial differences in the abundance of several key species. Among those stations with large catches, quillback, pumpkinseed, and bluegill were most abundant at

Station llBl (comprising over $77 \%$ of the catch), while redbreast sunfish, pumpkinseed, and smallmouth bass were abundant at $13 A 1$ and 10A3. The moderate catch at Station $9 B 1$ was dominated by spottail shiner, green sunfish, pumpkinseed, and smallmouth bass. Although these species were common at stations 4 Al and $10 B 3$ their abundance was reduced.

The results of the two-factor analysis of variance provide a quantitative evaluation of spatial and temporal differences in the catch-per-minute (Table 5-6). Significant differences were identified for stations, but not for seasons (Table 5-7). The variance due to the interaction between these factors was also significant, so the effect of single factors on the catch rate was not independent. An examination of the seasonal mean catch rates at each station revealed low catch rates at stations 4A1 and llBl in the spring and summer followed by an unexpected high catch rate in the fall. The catch rates at Stations 13A1, l0A3, and 9Bl were high in the spring and summer and relatively low in the fall. Station $10 B 3$ was intermediate with high catch rates in the spring and lower catch rates in the summer and fall. This variation in seasonal catch rates at individual stations resulted in the overall average showing no differences in Tukey's range test among seasonal or station means. Thus, the small
differences noted above had little, if any, effect on the

```
catch rates over the study period. The 1989 electrofishing
catch rates revealed no evidence to suggest that the
operation of TMINS had any influence on the distribution of
fish populations (total catch) in York Haven Pond.
```


### 5.3 GROWTH AND CONDITION FACTOR (K)

Growth (mean lengths and weights) and condition factors (K) were determined for those species comprising at least 10\% of the total catch (pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass).

The mean length and weight of pumpkinseed declined from April through August, and fluctuated thereafter (Table 5-8). The decline in the mean length and weight resulted from recruitment of young and juvenile fish into the sample. Larger (adult) fish were common in the spring; their importance declined in the summer as smaller (juvenile) fish became common.

Mean $K$ of pumpkinseed increased from April (2.51) to a peak in May (2.74), declined through July, and remained relatively unchanged through November (Table 5-8). The high K factor in May was Iikely due to the reproductive condition of females.

The growth of bluegill declined from April through July, fluctuated during August and September, before increasing through November (Table 5-8). The decline in mean length
and weight resulted from a change in the catch from larger (adult) fish in the spring (April through June) to smaller (juvenile) fish in the summer (July and August). The subsequent increase in growth resulted from the continued growth and dominance of these juvenile fish in the fall (September through November).

Mean $K$ of bluegill, like that of pumpkinseed, peaked in May (2.70); values in other months ranged from 2.57 in June to 2.09 in November (Table 5-8). Mean K declined steadily from June through November, except for a minor increase in October. The decline in condition was likely the result of the discharge or reabsorption of gametes.

The mean length and weight (growth) of smallmouth bass fluctuated substantially over the study period; highest values occurred in August while the lowest values were recorded in May (Table 5-8). No discernible temporal trend in growth was evident. The reason for this fluctuation may be related to the dominance of either juvenile or adult fish in the catch.

The mean $K$ for smallmouth bass was highest in May and June (1.51) and lowest in July (1.39) (Table 5-8). Generally, mean K increased from April through May, remained high in June, and declined through November. The changes in mean $K$ are probably reflective of the reproductive status of the population.

The condition factors presented herein were compared with published condition data for other water bodies. Carlander (1977) compiled condition data for pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass from a number of different lakes and streams in the United States and Canada. Because K factors can vary with season, sex, sexual maturity, and age, comparisons are general and are not strictly quantitative. In addition, certain "average" conversions (Carlander 1977) were used to convert published data from standard and total lengths to fork lengths for comparison to the Susuqehanna River data. Thus, cross-population comparisons are gross in nature, but nonetheless may be used to assess the well-being or fitness of a fish population.

Pumpkinseed condition factors for the 1989 TMINS study (range of monthly means, 2.31 to 2.74) (Table 5-8) were similar to data presented by Carlander (1977) for other pumpkinseed populations (range of means 1.79 to 3.03 ), and were near the upper end of the reported range. The bluegill condition data (range 2.09 to 2.70 ) were also similar to data presented in Carlander (1977) (range 1.11 to 3.27), and were within the median of the reported range. Similarly, the range of mean $K$ for Susquehanna River smallmouth bass (1.39 to 1.51 ) also fell within the reported range ( 1.08 to 2.12). Thus, the condition of these fishes from the Susquehanna River near TMINS was comparable those from other systems.

When data are available, as in the present case, it is useful to compare condition factors for the same populations across time. Annual mean K factors for pumpkinseed (EA 1987; RMC 1988a, 1989) and smallmouth bass (EA 1986; RMC 1988a, 1989) were compared to the 1989 data. Calculation of these means obscured differences due to sex and maturity, season, age, sample size, and thus are general in nature. The annual means ranged from 2.40 (1981) to 3.09 (1985) for pumpkinseed, and 1.42 (1978) to 1.72 (1985) for smallmouth bass. Values for 1989 (2.46 and 1.48 for pumpkinseed and smallmouth bass, respectively) fell within their respective ranges. Since data varied from year to year, there was no grouping of condition data by operational (1976 through 1978 and 1986 through 1989) or non-operational (1979 through 1985) years.

Condition factors for these fishes in 1989 were near the lower end of their reported ranges, and represented a decline in condition from those determined in 1987 and 1988. Various authors (Carlander 1977; Latta 1963; Reynolds 1965) have postulated that changes in water level (river flow), precipitation, water temperature, and turbidity may be negetatively correlated to smallmouth bass growth. Data presented in Chapter 7.0 (Water Quality) revealed that 1989 had significantly higher river flow than that reported in either 1987 or 1988. Also, the water temperature regime was lower throughout 1989, particularly June through August when


#### Abstract

production (spawning) and growth are most critical. It is possible that the reduced condition of smallmouth bass and, to an extent, pumpkinseed in 1989 may be related to higher river flow and lower water temperature. If the operation of TMINS were exerting some detrimental effect on the condition of these fishes in York Haven Pond, the respective $K$ factors would be consistently higher in the years following shutdown. This was not the case as the differences were related to environmental and natural variation in fish populations rather than any influence of TMINS.


### 5.4 COMMUNITY ANALYSIS: DIVERSITY AND SIMILARITY

The 1989 fish community in York Haven Pond was examined with measures of species diversity and percent similarity. Shannon-Wiener mean diversity ( $H^{2}$ ) was calculated for annual catch at each station (Table 5-4) and for each date (Table 5-3). Mean diversity values ranged from 2.60 to 3.21 among stations and from 2.45 to 3.24 among dates. Diversity was high (>2.90) at stations 13A1, 10A3, and 9B5, reflecting both higher numbers of species and/or greater evenness of individuals among the taxa. Diversity was. low (<2.90) at Stations 4A1, l0B3, and llBl due to the numerical dominance of pumkinseed and bluegill which comprised over $48 \%$ of the catch at each station.

Spatial patterns of diversity appeared to be associated with habitat complexity. Stations characterized by a variety of substrate types and an abundance of cover in the form of fallen trees, boulders, and/or aquatic macrophytes, typically had higher diversity values. Those stations exhibiting a singleness of substrate with little cover had lower diversities. Species diversity has been shown to be strongly associated with habitat diversity (Gorman and Karr 1978).

Diversity values were variable among sampling dates, with no discernible trend over time (Table 5-3). The highest $H^{\prime}$ values (>3.20) occurred in April, late May, and early September, while the lowest values ( $<2.80$ ) occurred in early May and late June. The lower diversity values resulted when the electrofishing catch contained fewer species and/or an overabundance of one or two species, notably pumpkinseed or smallmouth bass.

The annual (19.76 through 1989) fish commenity diversity was plotted by station with months combined (Figure 5-2), and by month with stations combined (Figure 5-3). Monthly and station diversities fluctuated over the years with no clear pattern exhibited. Monthly and station diversity values were similar to those reported in RMC (1988), and were within their historical range. The minimum diversity value for 1989 was within the range reported previously.

Neither monthly nor station diversity appeared to be influenced by the operational status of TMINS.

Percent similarity compares station catches on the basis of species composition. Similarity values ranged from 41.0 (low similarity) to 82.8 (high similarity) (Table 5-9). Two groupings of stations were evident. Stations l0A3, 9B5, and l0B3 were consistently similar to each other (mean similarity $=79.1$ ), as were 4 Al and llBl (similarity $=$ 82.8), while similarity between these two groups was consistently low (mean similarity $=61.0$ ). Similarity values for Station l3al indicated that species composition and abundance were similar to l0A3 (71.8), but quite dissimilar to all the other stations (mean similarity = 45.3).

Similarity of sites was influenced by differences in habitat and species abundance. Stations 13A1, 10A3, and 9B5; located along the west shore of TMI above and below the TMINS discharge; generally have higher velocities, a wide variety of substrate types, and abundant cover. Stations 4A1 and llBl share a diverse habitat characterized by mud bottoms, extensive beds of aquatic macrophytes (particularly liBl), and other cover such as submerged trees. Habitat at Station 10 B 3 was intermediate between these types. Differences in similarity among stations also resulted from an uneven distribution of several key species, principally,
spottail shiner, redbreast sunfish, green sunfish, pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass.

Pairwise similarity values for electrofishing catches at sampling stations were examined for a 14 -year period (Table 5-10). In general, station pairs with high similarity values in previous years exhibited high similarity in 1989 (e.g., 13Al vs. 10A3, l0A3 vs. 9B5). Station pairs with low PSC values in 1989 also were low in previous years (e.g.. $13 A 1$ vs. 11Bl, l0A3 vs. 11B1). Generally, there appears to be a continuation of the trend towards increasing fish community similarity as reported in RMC (1988a, 1989). Many station pairs were at or above their historic mean. In fact, the similarity between Stations 4 Al and 11 Bl was the highest to date.

To examine possible effects of the mMINS discharge on fish community similarity, pSc values for pairwise comparisons of station 13Al (immediately upstream of discharge), l0A3 (immediately downstream of discharge), and 9B5 (2,000 m downstream of discharge) were plotted (Figure 5-4). The similarity of stations downstream of TMINS discharge with $13 A 1$ in 1989 showed a decrease from those reported in 1988. If the TMINS discharge were to influence the downstream fish community, station similarities would be expected to change between operational and non-operational years. The PSc values between Stations 13 Al and 10A3 and 13 Al and 9 B 5 were within the range established for
operational years, but below the range for non-operational years. These differences in similarity may reflect not so much a change in species composition as the extreme dominance of a single species. The dissimilarity of these stations with l3Al may also be related to natural environmental conditions in 1989 which was characterized by high river flow and turbidity.

Cochran's $Q$-statistic was not significant $Q=$ 5.318. DF $=5$ ) and indicated homogeneity in the total number of fishes per station. The M-statistic showed no significant difference ( $M=2.698, D F=10$ ) in the number of species common to each station. The non-significance of the Mstatistic and $Q$-statistic was indicative of a homogeneous population, and suggests that differences in PSc among individual stations was not due to a change in species composition, but simply the overabundance of a single species.

### 5.5 MULTIPLE-YEAR COMPARISON OF FISH ABUNDANCE

To assess trends in total fish abundance in York Haven Pond over the study period, and to investigate the possible influence of TMINS on total fish abundance, total catch-perminute (catch rate) was analyzed by a three-factor ANOVA. Total catch rates were significantly different among months, years, and stations (Table 5-11). Variance due to
interaction between factors (year, month, station) was significant in all cases, so the effects of single factors on catch rate are not independent of the other factors, and ANOVA results must be interpreted with caution.

Mean annual catch rates were plotted for each station to illustrate trends (Figure 5-5). Substantial year-to-year variation in catch rates obscured any consistent trend in catch rate over the study period. There was a general decline in the catch rate from 1978 through 1986. The catch rate in 1989 showed a slight decrease from that reported in 1988 (RMC 1989). This decrease may be related to a 19.4\% increase in effort and not to declines in the catch rates of key species. Consequently, the 1989 catch rate ranked second among all years, was similar to 1988 and 1987, and significantly different from all other years (Table 5-12).

Monthly catch rates in May, October, and September were similar to each other and significantly different from all other months for the period of record (Table 5-12).

Catch rates among stations near TMINS were significantly different (Table 5-12). The lowest catch rates occurred at Stations $4 A 1$ and $9 B 5$ for the study period, and these were significantly lower than Stations 11Bl, 10A3, and 13A1. Station 1083 was differentiated statistically from Stations 11日l, 10A3, and 9B5. The size and temporal variation of catch rates at stations upstream and downstream of the TMINS discharge (Figure 5-6) were very similar for the period of
record. This latter pattern suggests that the natural variation in fish populations or variation in sampling efficiency was the factor affecting catch size, rather than any effect of the TMINS discharge.

Historical electrofishing data for York Haven Pond (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984; RMC 1988a, 1989) were examined to determine trends in specific species populations that may have influenced the multiple-year ANOVA results. As expected, common species such as quillback, pumpkinseed, and smallmouth bass exhibited annual population fluctuations that influenced the total catch-per-minute values (Figures 5-5 and 5-6). Rock bass, redbreast sunfish, bluegill, and walleye also contributed to this pattern, but to a lesser extent. Catches of quillback, pumpkinseed, and smallmouth bass at stations near the TMINS discharge also were examined for any differences in relative abundance prior to and after the shutdown. No consistent patterns emerged that would implicate the TMINS discharge as influencing station catches. Fish abundance was affected by seasonal changes in river flow and water temperature, habitat differences, and the natural fluctuations inherent in fish populations. There was little evidence that TMINS had any effect on the distribution and/or abundance of fishes sampled by the AC electrofisher in 1989.
5.6 PARASITES, DISEASE, AND MORPHOLOGICAL ANOMALIES

Fishes collected during routine electrofishing surveys were examined for the presence of external parasites, diseases, or morphological anomalies. Although these conditions occur naturally at low incidence in healthy fish populations, a high Erequency of occurrence may indicate stress in the environment.

During the April through November period, a total of 5,613 Eish was examined; 708 specimens of 22 fishes had one or more types of external parasites, infections, and/or morphological anomalies (Table 5-13). The most prevalent conditions were the presence of skin infections, anchor worms (Lernaea spp.), and leeches. These occurred on 305 (5.4\%) r 211 (3.8\%), and 64 (1.1\%) individuals, respectively. Skin infections included damaged fins, fin rot, fungus, tumors, and cysts. Skin infections occurred on 17 different fishes; anchor worms were observed on 14 fishes; and leeches occurred on 8 fishes. Skin infections occurred mostly on green sunfish, pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass. Anchor worms and leeches occurred almost exclusively among sunfishes (95.3\% and 98.4\%, respectively). Black spot (fluke cysts) and eye injuries, although infrequent, primarily afflicted the redbreast sunfish, green sunfish, smallmouth bass, and largemouth bass. Mouth injuries, suspected to be caused by angling, were mostly observed on
smallmouth bass. All other conditions occurred in very low Erequency.

The overall incidence of diseases, parasites and morphological anomalies for all fishes was l2.6\% (Table 513). Incidence rates for individual species varied considerably. However, small sample sizes likely yield a large degree of error in estimating the true incidence rate. Sample sizes were probably sufficient for those fishes that comprised $10 \%$ of the total catch and were collected throughout the year: pumpkinseed, bluegill, and smallmouth bass. The incidence rates for these fishes ranged from 10.0 to 14.2 \%

Some anomalies encountered in York Haven Pond can be considered unrelated to environmental stress (i.e. mouth injuries caused by angling). Light infestations of parasites are not generally considered indicative of stress (Snieszko 1970). After omitting black spot, anchor worm (Lernaea spp.), leeches, and mouth injuries from consideration, the overall incidence rate of disease and physical anomalies was 6.6\%. EA (1987) estimated incidence rates of $1.60,3.98$, and $7.09 \%$, for 1984, 1985, and 1986, respectively. EA (1987) observed a trend toward increasing incidence of disease and anomalies from 1984 to 1986; this was not observed in 1987 or 1988. Although the incidence rate in 1989 nearly doubled that observed in 1987 or 1988, it was still within the range established by EA (1987). The
reason for this increase was unknown, but appeared unrelated to TMINS operation. Diseased and parasitized fish were encountered throughout York Haven Pond and not limited to areas immediately below the TMINS discharge.
The incidence of poor health in fishes has been shown to reflect environmental degradation. Indicators of poor health include tumors, fin damage or other deformities, heavy infestations of parasites, discoloration, excessive mucus, "redness", and hemorrhaging (Karr et al. 1986). The presence of low frequencies of parasitic infection, disease, and/or morphological anomalies is common in natural fish populations. The low frequencies of affliction encountered on fishes in York Haven Pond suggest a natural condition, and provide no evidence of environmental stress caused by TMINS operation.

TABLE 5-1
Location and description of $A C$ electrofishing stations sampled in York Haven Pond.

TM-EL-4A1*

TM-EL-13AI

TM-EL-10A3

TM-EL-9B5

TM-EL-10B3

TM-EL-11BT

Along east shore of TMI , north bridge to 500 m downstream. Mud bottom and a few fallen trees along the length of the zone. When the water ceases to flow over Red Hill Dam ( $<435 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ), the current reverses and flows north in the zone. Extensive plankton blooms are present during the summer months.

Along west shore of TMI, 500 m downstream from north tip to discharge. Many boulders and riprap above Unit 2 intake; below Unit 2 intake, shallow, with a mud bottom, a few boulders, and some patches of water willow. Swift current, except when river flow is low ${ }^{(d)}$

Along west shore of TMI, discharge to 500 m downstream. The upper 200 m is shallow with a mud bottom and some patches of emergent vegetation (water willow). There is an eddy along shore due to the discharge. The lower 300 m has some boulders and fallen trees, with rubble and gravel on the bottom.

Along west shore of TMI, 1,500-2,000 m downstream of discharge. Shallow with a mud bottom, a few boulders and fallen trees. There is usually an eddy in the lower 100 m due to York Haven Dam.

Along west shore of Shelley Island, 500 m upstream to south tip. There are a few fallen trees and boulders; the bottom consists of mud and gravel. There are extensive beds of water weed (Elodea sp.) along the length of the zone with many floating docks present during the summer and fall months.

Along west shore of York Haven Pond from a small unnamed creek 500 m below the mouth of Fishing Creek to 500 m downstream. Shallow, with a mud bottom and. a few fallen trees. There are extensive beds of wild celery (Vallisneria americana) and curly pondweed (Potamogeton crispus) in summer and fall.

* Prefix TM-EL- deleted from station numbers for discussion in text. (a) River flow was defined as low ( $1770 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) or moderate ( $170-1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ).

TABLE 5-2
List of scientific and common names of fishes collected by the AC electrofisher from the Susquehanna River near TMINS in 1989.

| Scientific Name | Common Name |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clupeidae | Herrings |  |
| Alosa sapidissima (Wilson) | American shad |  |
| Dorosoma cepedianum (Lesueur) | Gizzard shad |  |
| Cyprinidae | Carps and Minnows |  |
| Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus | Common carp |  |
| Notemigonus crysoleucas (Mitchill) | Golden shiner |  |
| Notropis cornutus (Mitchill) | Common shiner |  |
| Notropis hudsonius (Clinton) | Spottail shiner |  |
| Notropis spilopterus (Cope) | Spotfin shiner |  |
| Notropis volucellus (cope) | Mimic shiner |  |
| Pimephales notatus (Rafinesque) | Bluntnose minnow |  |
| Semotilus corporalis (Mitchill) | Fallfish |  |
| Catostomidae | Suckers |  |
| Carpiodes cyprinus (Lesueur)- | Quillback |  |
| Catostomus commersoni (Lacepede) | White sucker |  |
| Moxostoma macrolepidotum (Lesueur) | Shorthead redhorse |  |
| Ictaluridae | Bullhead catfishes |  |
| Ictalurus natalis (Lesueur) | Yellow bullhead | 1 |
| Ictalurus nebulosus (Lesueur) | Brown bullhead |  |
| Ictalurus punctatus (Rafinesque) | Channel catfish |  |
| Centrarchidae | Sunfishes |  |
| Ambloplites rupestris (Rafinesque) | Rock bass |  |
| Lepomis auritus (Linnaeus) | Redbreast sunfish |  |
| Lepomis cyanellus Rafinesque | Green sunfish |  |
| Lepomis gibbosus (Linnaeus) | Pumpkinseed |  |
| Lepomis macrochirus Rafinesque | Bluegill |  |
| Micropterus dolomieui Lacepede | Smallmouth bass |  |
| Micropterus salmoides (Lacepede) | Largemouth bass |  |
| Pomoxis annularis Rafinesque | White crappie |  |
| Pomoxis nigromaculatus (Lesueur) | Black crappie |  |
| Percidae | Perches |  |
| Perca flavescens (Mitchill) | Yellow perch |  |
| Etheostoma olmstedi Storer | Tessellated darter |  |
| Stizostedion vitreum | Walleye |  |
| vitreum (Mitchill) |  |  |

TABLE 5-3
Temporal distribution of fishes taken by the Ac electrofisher near TMINS in 1989.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 19-20 \\ \mathrm{Apr} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24-25 \\ \text { May } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30-31 \\ \mathrm{May} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13-14 \\ \text { Jun } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28-29 \\ \text { Jun } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25-26 \\ \text { Jul } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9-10 \\ & \text { Auq. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22-23 \\ \mathrm{Aug} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12-13 \\ \text { Sep } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26-27 \\ \text { Sep } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4-5 \\ & \text { oct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \text { NOV } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Tocal | 8 Carch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American shad | Apr | May | Hay | Jun | - |  | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | $\pm$ |
| Gizzard shad | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 | - | 13 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 67 | 1.1 |
| Common carp | 5 | 10 | 18 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 79 | 1.2 |
| Golden shiner | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 48 | 0.8 |
| Common shiner | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 0.1 |
| Spottail shiner | 33 | 11 | 23 | 25 | 3 | 34 | 11 | 13 | 25 | 19 | 49 | 109 | 355 | 5.6 |
| Spotfin shiner | - | 6 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 25 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 105 | 1.7 + |
| Nimic shiner |  | 1 |  | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | $+$ |
| Bluntnose minnow | - | - |  |  | 1 | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | 1 | - | - | - | 12 | 0.2 |
| Falleish | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | - | $\overline{2}$ |  | 43 | 375 | 6.2 |
| Quillback | 36 | 42 | 81 | 29 | 25 | 23 | 31 | 41 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 43 | 375 | 6.0 |
| White sucker | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | 14 | 0.2 |
| Shorthead redhorse | 12 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 10 | - | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 35 | 0.6 |
| Yellow bullhead | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | 0.1 |
| Brown bullhead | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | $\overline{7}$ |  |  | 4 | 0.1 |
| Channel catfish | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | ${ }^{4}$ | 7 | 2 | $\bar{\square}$ | 34 | 0.5 |
| Rock bass | 32 | 17 | 30 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 176 | 2.8 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 79 | 70 | 69 | 74 | 17 | 74 | 41 | 17 | 48 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 534 | 8.5 |
| Green sunfish | 17 | 4 | 15 | 30 | 3 | 12 | 100 | 63 | 52 | 29 | 46 | 74 | 445 | 7.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 122 | 186 | 130 | 274 | 44 | 205 | 188 | 163 | 111 | 165 | 244 | 306 | 2038 | 32.4 |
| Bluegill | 20 | 23 | 40 | 51 | 4 | 19 | 155 | 97 | 117 | 66 | 82 | 76 | 758 | 12.0 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 1 | 2 | - | 7 | - | 1 | 7 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 49 | 0.8 |
| Smallmouth bass | 85 | 173 | 133 | 87 | 251 | 78 | 30 | 32 | 19 | 51 | 51 | 42 | 932 | 14.8 |
| Largemouth bass | 6 | 1 | 2 | 11 | - | 6 | 15 | 7 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 105 | 1.7 |
| White crappie | 4 | 1 | 6 | 8 | - | 4 | 3 | - | 2 | 7 | 6 | 17 | 58 | 0.9 |
| Black crappie | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 28 | 0.4 |
| Tessellated darter | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |  | 1 | + |
| Yellow perch | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | $\stackrel{+}{5}$ |
| Walleye | 6 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 32 | 0.5 |
| No. of Specimens | 476 | 560 | 584 | 548 | 285 | 511 | 635 | 497 | 471 | 428 | 560 | 744 | 6299 |  |
| No. of Species | 18 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 16 | 28 |  |
| No. of Collections | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 72 |  |
| No. of Fish/Callection | 79.33 | 93.33 | 97.33 | 91.33 | 47.50 | 85.17 | 105.83 | 82.83 | 78.50 | 71.33 | 93.33 | 124.00 | 87.49 |  |
| No. of Fish/Minute | 3.78 | 4.34 | 4.32 | 3.86 | 2.06 | 3.68 | 5.00 | 3.38 | 3.18 | 3.27 | 3.52 | 4.56 | 3.74 |  |
| Diversity Index | 3.20 | 2.64 | 3.21 | 3.18 | 2.45 | 2.93 | 2.99 | 3.03 | 3.24 | 3.12 | 2.82 | 2.84 | 3.24 |  |

Diversity Index

TABLE 5-4
Distribution of fishes taken by the AC electrofisher at stations sampled near TMINS in 1989. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

|  | 4 Al | 13 Al | 10 A 3 | 985 | 10B3 | $11 \mathrm{B1}$ | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American shad | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | + |
| Gizzard shad | 10 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 21 | 67 | 1.1 |
| Common carp | 10 | 24 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 79 | 1.2 |
| Golden shiner | - | 1 | 3 | - | 4 | 40 | 48 | 0.8 |
| Common shiner | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Spottail shiner | 12 | 11 | 81 | 147 | 91 | 13 | 355 | 5.6 |
| Spotfin shinex | 12 | 26 | 40 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 105 | 1.7 |
| Mimic shiner | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | + |
| Bluntnose minnow | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | + |
| Fallfish | - | 2 | 8 | 2 | - | - | 12 | 0.2 |
| Quillback | 61 | 33 | 34 | 70 | 68 | 109 | 375 | 6.0 |
| White sucker | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 14 | 0.2 |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | 15 | 16 | 3 | - | 1 | 35 | 0.6 |
| Yellow bullhead : | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 0.1 |
| Brown bullhead | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Channel catfish | 2 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 34 | 0.5 |
| Rock bass | 9 | 65 | 51 | 28 | 14 | 9 | 176 | 2.8 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 23 | 172 | 165 | 96 | . 67 | 11 | 534 | 8.5 |
| Green sunfish | 69 | 98 | 109 | 142 | 13 | 14 | 445 | 7.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 386 | 162 | 365 | 284 | 298 | 543 | 2038 | 32.4 |
| Bluegill | 156 | 31 | 97 | 109 | 135 | 230 | 758 | 12.0 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 28 | 5 | 6 | 6 | - | 4 | 49 932 | 0.8 |
| Smallmouth bass | 23 | 429 | 203 | 111 | 145 | 21 | 932 | 14.8 |
| Largemouth bass | 55 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 33 | 105 | 1.7 |
| White crappie | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 39 | 58 | 0.9 |
| Black crappie | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 28 | 0.4 |
| Tessellated darter | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | + |
| Yellow perch | - | - | - | - | $\overline{8}$ | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{0}^{+}$ |
| Walleye | 2 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 0.5 |
| No. of Specimens | 873 | 1127 | 1234 | 1044 | 885 | 1136 | 6299 |  |
| No. of Species | 20 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 28 |  |
| No. of Collections | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 72 |  |
| No. of Fish/ Collection | 72.75 | 93.92 | 102.83 | 87.00 | 73.75 | 94.67 | 87.49 |  |
| No. of Fish/Minute | 3.17 | 4.37 | 4.38 | 3.84 | 3.39 | 3.38 | 3.74 |  |
| Diversity Index | 2.78 | 2.97 | 3.21 | 3.11 | 2.88 | 2.60 | 3.24 |  |

+ Less than $0.05 \%$

TABLE 5-5
Percent family composition at the $A C$ electrofishing stations sampled in York Haven Pond, April through November 1989. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

| Family | Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 4AI | 13 Al | l0A3 | 9 B 5 | 10B3 | llB1 | Total |
| Herrings | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Carps and Minnows | 4.1 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 16.5 | 11.9 | 7.1 | 9.6 |
| Suckers | 7.2 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 6.7 |
| Bullhead catfishes | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Sunfishes | 86.7 | 86.3 | 81.4 | 75.1 | 77.5 | 80.9 | 81.3 |
| Perches | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

TABLE 5-6
Spatial and temporal catch-per-minute data (all species combined) for fishes taken by the AC electrofisher near TMINS in 1989. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

| Date | Season | Station |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4Al | 13A1 | 10A3 | 9B5 | 10B3 | 11B1 |  |
| 19-20 Apr | Spring | 2.05 | 5.76 | 4.68 | 2.22 | 3.75 | 4.21 | 3.78 |
| 24-25 May | Spring | 1.75 | 4.35 | 4.04 | 5.04 | 7.15 | 3.80 | 4.34 |
| 30-31 May |  | 1.50 | 6.36 | 5.41 | 4.33 | 5.00 | 3.52 | 4.32 3.86 |
| 13-14 Jun |  | 3.64 | 4.60 | 4.83 | 5.54 |  |  | 3.86 |
| Seasonal Mean |  | 2.30 | 5.30 | 4.74 | 4.29 | 4.64 | 3.38 | 4.08 |
| 28-29 Jun | Summer | 0.90 | 4.21 | 2.95 | 1.44 | 1.73 | 1.60 | 2.06 |
| 25-26 Jul |  | 1.90 | 6.00 | 5.88 | 5.23 | 2.82 | 1.10 | 3.68 |
| 9-10 Aug |  | 4.74 | 5.33 | 8.12 | 5.50 | 3.38 | 2.92 | 5.00 |
| 22-23 Aug |  | 3.54 | 3.56 | 4.74 | 2.91 | 3.54 | 1.84 | 3.38 |
| Seasonal Mean |  | 2.77 | 4.72 | 5.45 | 3.65 | 2.89 | 1.82 | 3.50 |
| 12-13 Sep | Fall | 5.20 | 3.04 | 2.83 | 3.00 | 3.16 | 1.92 | 3.18 |
| 26-27 Sep |  | 4.13 | 3.00 | 4.12 | 3.63 | 1.59 | 2.72 | 3.27 |
| 4-5 Oct |  | 3.50 | 3.44 | 2.73 | 2.82 | 3.71 2.48 | 4.51 8.74 | 4.56 |
| 7 Nov |  | 4.10 | 3.50 | 2.32 | 4.68 |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Mean |  | 4.21 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 3.56 | 2.79 | 4.79 | 3.66 |
| Grand Mean |  | 3.17 | 4.37 | 4.38 | 3.84 | 3.39 | 3.38 | 3.74 |

TABLE 5-7
Two-factor analysis of variance test results for electrofishing catch-per-minute data collected near TMINS, April through November 1989.

| Source | df | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model ( $r^{2}=0.441$ ) | 17 | 0.7258 | 0.0427 | 2.51 | $0.0054 *$ |
| Station | 5 | 0.2319 | 0.0464 | 2.73 | 0.0287** |
| Season | 2 | 0.0538 | 0.0269 | 1.58 | 0.2150 |
| Interaction | 10 | 0.4401 | 0.0440 | 2.59 | 0.0122** |
| Error | 54 | 0.9186 | 0.0170 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 71 | 1.6443 |  |  |  |

* Significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$.
** Significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$.



## TABLE 5-9

Percent similarity indices of species composition between the electrofishing stations near TMINS, 1989. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

|  | 13 Al | 10 A 3 | 9 B 5 | 10 B 3 | 11 BI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 AI | 41.0 | 60.9 | 64.1 | 69.3 | 82.8 |
| 13 Al |  | 71.8 | 57.4 | 52.1 | 30.7 |
| 10 A 3 |  |  | 80.8 | 77.8 | 50.5 |
| 9 B 5 |  |  |  | 78.6 | 53.6 |
| 10 B 3 |  |  |  | 67.6 |  |

TABLE 5-10
Comparison of percent similarity indices of species composition between the electrofishing stations near TMINS, 1976 through 1988 vs. 1989. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

| Station <br> Pairs | 1976 through 1988 |  | 1989 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Range | Mean |  |
| 4A1-13A1 | 37.4-76.4 | 58.8 | 40.9 |
| 4A1-10A3 | 44.5-75.7 | 60.9 | 60.9 |
| 4A1-9B5 | 52.5-74.9 | 64.5 | 64.1 |
| 4A1-10B3 | 43.5-77.7 | 65.0 | 69.3 |
| 4Al-11B1 | 41.7-76.5 | 59.8 | 82.8 |
| 13Al-10A3 | 68.5-84.3 | 77.9 | 71.8 |
| 13Al-9B5 | 36.5-78.6 | 64.4 | 57.4 |
| 13A1-10B3 | 46.2-74.1 | 61.8 | 52.1 |
| 13A1-11B1 | 27.9-44.9 | 35.9 | 30.7 |
| 10A3-9B5 | 44.8-87.2 | 70.7 | 80.7 |
| 10A3-10B3 | 52.6-83.3 | 66.9 | 77.8 |
| 10A3-11B1 | 35.0-56.0 | 42.7 | 50.5 |
| 9B5-1083 | 43.4-82.8 | 68.7 | 78.6 |
| 9B5-11Bl | 32.0-66.0 | 50.1 | 53.6 |
| 10B3-11B1 | 48.8-7.3.9 | 60.1 | 67.6 |

TABLE 5-11
Three-factor analysis of variance test results for electrofishing catch-per-minute data collected near TMINS, April through November 1976 through 1989.

| Source | df | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model ( $x^{2}=0.612$ ) | 216 | 50.2695 | 0.2327 | 7.02 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Year | 13 | 16.1588 | 1.2430 | 37.49 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Month | 7 | 3.7291 | 0.5327 | 16.07 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Station | 5 | 3.2274 | 0.6455 | 19.47 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Year-Month | 91 | 13.2523 | 0.1456 | 4.39 | $0.0001 *$ |
| Year-Station | 65 | 4.2467 | 0.0653 | 1.97 | 0.0001* |
| Month-Station | 35 | 7.3986 | 0.2114 | 6.38 | 0.0001* |
| Error | 961 | 31.8629 | 0.0332 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 1177 | 82.1324 |  |  |  |

* Significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$.


## TABLE 5-12

make s studentized range test for electrofishing catchmer-minute data collected near TMINS, April through November 1976 through 1989. Underlined means are not significantly different (PS0.05) and April ( 4 th root) mean. Means are listed parentheticaliy.


* Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

TABLE 5－13
Incidence of parasites，diseases，and／or morphological anomalies on fishes captured by the AC electrofisher near TMINS，April through November 1989.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟菏 } \\ & \text { 芯茄 } \end{aligned}$ | ？ | E <br>  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 昫 } \\ & \overrightarrow{3} \\ & \text { 敬 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gizzard shad | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 4 | 1 | 5 | 68 | 7.4 |
| Common carp | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | 6 | 16.7 |
| Golden shiner | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | 3 | 48 | 6.2 |
| Common shiner | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | 25.0 |
| Spottail shiner | 1 | 6 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 7 | － | 14 | 348 | 4.0 |
| Spotfin shiner | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | ，－ | － | － | 1 | 105 | 1.0 |
| Fallfish | － | 1 | － | － | － | I | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | 12 | 16.7 |
| Quillback | 3 | 1 | － | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 21 | － | 26 | 115 | 22.6 |
| white sucker | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 2 | 14 | 14.3 |
| Shorthead redhorse | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 5 | － | 7 | 35 | 20.0 |
| Brown bullhead | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | 4 | 25.0 |
| Channel catfish | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 4 | － | 5 | 34 | 14.7 |
| Rock bass | － | 1 | 3 | － | － | － | － | 4 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 26 | 176 | 14.8 |
| Redbreast sunfish | － | 16 | 12 | 1 | － | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 23 | 3 | 65 | 534 | 12.2 |
| Green sunfish | － | 19 | 24 | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 41 | － | 85 | 445 | 19.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | － | 71 | 3 | － | － | － | 10 | － | 4 | 80 | 7 | 175 | 1748 | 10.0 |
| Bluegill | － | 53 | 2 | － | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 43 | － | 105 | 749 | 14.0 |
| Lepomis hybrid | － | － | 6 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2 | － | 8 | 49 | 16.3 |
| Smallmouth bass | － | 32 | 9 | $\sim$ | 3 | － | － | 24 | 12 | 44 | 3 | 127 | 896 | 14.2 |
| Largemouth bass | 10 | 6 | 4 | － | － | － | － | 9 | 1 | 11 | － | 41 | 105 | 39.0 |
| White crappie | － | 2 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | － | 3 | 58 | 5.2 |
| Black crappie | － | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 3 | － | 4 | 28 | 14.3 |
| Walleye | 1 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1 | 32 | 3.1 |
| Total | 17 | 211 | 64 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 47 | 24 | 305 | 19 | 708 | 5613 | 12.6 |
| Percent | 0.3 | 3.8 | 1.1 | ＋ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |

Includes fish with fin rot，damaged fins，fungus，tumors；or cysts．

+ Less than $0.05 \%$ ．


Figure 5-1. Location of electrofishing stations sampled in York Haven Pond
(station prefix TM-EL- deleted).



Figure 5-2. Annual range of sampling station diversity values, months combined, for electrofishing catches, TMINS aquatic studies (open boxes are station values, and crosses represent stations 10A3 and 9B5). Identical diversity values may result in less than six symbols.


Figure 5-3. Annual range of monthly (April-November) diversity values, stations combined, for electrofishing catches, TMINS aquatic studies, Identical diversity values may result in less than eight symbols.


Figure 5-4. Annual variation in percent similarity (PSc) values for selected station comparisons, TMINS aquatic studies. Years of non-operation of TMINS are represented within the large square.


Figure 5-5. Mean annual catch-per-minute data for electrofishing stations near TMINS.


Figure 5-6. Mean annual catch-per-minute data for electrofishing stations nearest the TMINS discharge.

## 6. CREEL SURVEYS

### 6.1 METHODS

The survey area included over 793 hectares of the Susquehanna River immediately upstream and downstream of the York Haven and Red Hill dams (Figure 6-1). This section of river was partitioned into four areas: General Reservoir, West Dam (York Haven Dam), East Dam (Red Hill Dam), and York Haven Generating Station (YHGS); the General Reservoir was further subdivided into 12 zones. The first three areas were surveyed on a 16 km circuit by boat. All anglers fishing from boats (except those trolling) and along the shore were interviewed. The YHGS area was surveyed on foot; therefore, anglers fishing from boats were interviewed only if they were near shore or had completed fishing.

Creel surveys were conducted on two weekend days and two weekdays each month, April through November 1989. Survey dates were preselected to equally represent each weekend day and weekday. Each survey-day was divided into three 4-hour interview periods (0900-1300, 1301-1700, and 1701-2100 hours). During each period, air and surface water temperatures, weather conditions, and time were recorded at each area. River stage; obtained from River Forecast Center, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania; was recorded on each survey-day.

Anglers were interviewed concerning their residence, total time fished (to the nearest five minutes), composition of catch, use of catch (kept, released, given away, or other), and whether their use of catch was affected by the 1979 accident at Unit 2 of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station (TMINS). Anglers interviewed during more than one survey period were considered separate anglers; however, use of catch and residence information was recorded only during their first interview. Other data recorded were whether fishing trips were complete or incomplete, estimated angler age (categorized as $<18,18$ to 29,30 to 65 , and $>65$ years), whether anglers fished from boat or shore (General Reservoir. only), and zone fished (Figure 6-1).

Survey results (numbers of anglers, fish caught, fish kept, and.hours fished) were used in a two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) to analyze differences among months and areas in 1989, and among years and areas (1975 through 1989). When significant differences were indicated by ANOVA, Tukey's studentized range test was used to determine differences between means (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

Fishes caught by anglers in 1989 are listed in Table 6-1 with taxonomic order and scientific and common names following Robins et al. (1980). When anglers were unsure of species identification or reluctant to have their catch examined, general identifications such as suckers (Catostomidae), bullhead catfishes (Ictalurus spp.),
sunfishes (Lepomis spp.), or crappies (Pomoxis spp.) were used.

The relative similarity of species composition among survey areas for total catch and harvest was determined by calculating a percent similarity index (PSC), as decribed in Chapter 2.

Creel survey data were accepted with the assumptions that the rate of catch before and after the interview was the same, and that catch per unit effort for incomplete fishing trips was an unbiased estimator of catch per unit effort for completed trips. These assumptions were validated by DiCostanzo (1956), Frisbie and Ritchie (1963), Groen and Schmulbach (1978), Malvestuto et al. (1978), and Nardacci et al. (1976).

Catch per unit effort ( $c / e=$ catch per hour) and harvest per unit effort (h/e = harvest per hour) values were calculated for specific time periods, e.g., weekend day, weekday, monthly, and annually for each survey area. Mean values ( $\bar{x}$ ) of fish caught, fish kept (harvested), and hours fished per angler also were calculated for these time periods from the equation in Nardacci et al. (1976):

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{x}{y}
$$

where
$\bar{x}=$ surveyed number of fish caught, fish harvested, or hours fished, and
$y=$ surveyed number of anglers.
Data from the creel surveys were used to estimate monthly and annual angling totals. The average number of anglers were calculated without extrapolating for missed survey periods (e.g., due to equipment failure, darkness) and used in the equation (Nardacci et al. 1976):

(Awe) (Twe) $+($ Awd $)($ Twd $)$
where
$\mathrm{E}=$ estimate of total anglers,
Awe $=$ mean number of anglers per weekend day each month,
Twe $=$ total number of weekend days each month;
Awd $=$ mean number of anglers per weekday each month, and
Twd $=$ total number of weekdays each month.

Estimates of total fish caught, fish harvested, and hours fished were obtained by multiplying the surveyed mean values $(\bar{x})^{\prime}$ by the estimated number of anglers (E).

Another creel survey estimate was the computation of completed trips by assuming that anglers were interviewed
during the midpoint of their fishing trip. Doubling the time from the start of the angler's trip to the time of interview produced an estimate of the completed fishing trip (DiCostanzo 1976; Groen and Schmulbach 1978).

All creel survey estimates were considered valid only with the assumptions that anglers not interviewed during a survey-day (e.g., trolling, inaccessible) approximated those that were interviewed more than once that day; and that anglers fishing for a brief time had a chance of being interviewed equal to those fishing for an extended period of time.
6. 2 EVALUATION OF EFEORT, CATCH, AND HARVEST

Summaries of each 1989 creel survey-day are presented in Appendix E. A total of 2,535 anglers was interviewed (Table 6-2). They fished for 5,751.00 hours and caught 9,607 Eish of which 2,018 were harvested. The resultant mean annual catch (c/e) and harvest per unit effort (h/e) were 1.67 and 0.35 fish per hour, respectively. The total number of anglers, the number of fish caught, and the hours fished peaked in July and again in October before declining through November. Monthly $c / e$ and $h / e$ values were highest in May. The survey areas receiving the heaviest fishing pressure and yielding the most fish were the General Reservoir and YHGS (Table 6-2). Increased fishing pressure at these areas
anglers, fish caught, and fish kept. However, the General Reservoir differed from the dams with respect to number of anglers, fish caught, and hours fished. Ranking of survey area means indicated that the General Reservoir was highest for number of anglers, fish caught, and hours fished. Collectively, the General Reservoir and YHGS means ranked highest for all test variables.

Creel survey investigations elsewhere have shown that angler effort was greatest on weekends (Thuemler 1981; Von Geldern and Tomlinson 1973). Similarly, TMINS survey data showed angler effort (number of anglers and hours fished), catch, and harvest to be greatest on weekend days at all survey areas (Table 6-5). Weekend anglers accounted for $73.5 \%$ of all anglers interviewed, $77.1 \%$ of total hours fished, $70.4 \%$ of fish caught, and $70.3 \%$ of fish harvested. In contrast, average $c / e$ and $h / e$ values were consistently higher for weekdays than for weekend days at all areas.

General Reservoir anglers fished primarily along the west shore of Fall Island, east of Hill Island (Zone ll), and in the area along the west shore of the West Channel (Zone 1) (Table 6-6). The increased occurrence of anglers in these zones may be related to the proximity of several public and private boat launch and access areas. The highest catch and harvest within the General Reservoir occurred at Zones 11 and 1 , respectively, a reflection of high angler use. The highest $c / e$ and $h / e$ was recorded from

Zone 5 (South Center Channel, East shore) and Zone 7 (North Center Channel, East Shore), respectively. A relatively high c/e value was also recorded for Zone ll, while h/e values were also high at zone 8.

Over 84\% of the General Reservoir anglers fished from boats (Table 6-7). Boat anglers fished for more hours, and caught and harvested more fish than shore anglers. The greater fishing success achieved by boat anglers was due to their increased mobility, allowing them to cover a larger area, and fish a wider variety of habitats (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1984; RMC 1988a, 1989). General Reservoir survey results from 1989 indicated that c/e values were highest for boat anglers on an annual basis and during four of the eight survey months. The high annual c/e value for boat anglers resulted from a relatively high c/e from June through October.

In contrast, the $h / e$ values were higher for shore anglers than for boat anglers in seven of the survey months (Table 6-7). In fact, over 75\% of the fish harvested from shore occurred from April to July. Shore anglers harvested $38.6 \%$ of their catch, while boat anglers harvested only 13.3\% of their catch. This suggests shore anglers fish primarily for food rather than for sport. Although boat anglers enjoyed greater success, due in part to their mobility, they seemed more likely to fish for the sport or for a specific species.

Anglers fishing near TMINS caught 9,607 fish of 21 species in 1989 (Table 6-8). Four fishes formed the bulk of the catch (87.7\%) and harvest (77.0\%). Smallmouth bass (63.8\%) dominated the angler catch, and ranked first in all survey months; it ranked second in angler harvest. Over $29 \%$ of the smallmouth bass caught were of legal size, and $27 \%$ of those were kept. Most smallmouth bass were caught and harvested from the General Reservoir (Table 6-9). Rock bass ranked second in abundance and were commonly caught and harvested from the YHGS. Sunfishes (Lepomis spp.) ranked third in abundance and were most frequently caught in the General Reservoir. Channel catfish ranked fourth, and were principally caught and harvested at YHGS. Channel catfish were most abundant in July through September with $75.0 \%$ being caught and over $63 \%$ harvested during these months. Over $59 \%$ of the rock bass and $39 \%$ of all channel catfish caught were harvested in 1989. Other species of local importance were the largemouth bass, white crappie, black crappie, and walleye which were primarily caught in either the General Reservoir or YHGS.

General Reservoir anglers primarily caught and harvested smallmouth bass and sunfishes (Lepomis spp.) (Table 6-9). The West Dam catch was dominated by smallmouth bass and channel catfish; channel catfish was the most frequently harvested species. At the East Dam over $81 \%$ of the fishes caught and $76.0 \%$ of those harvested were rock bass,
bluegill, sunfishes (Lepomis spp.), and smallmouth bass. The YHGS yielded primarily channel catfish, rock bass, and smallmouth bass (76.8\% of the total catch and $68.1 \%$ of the harvest).

The relative similarity of species composition among survey areas was expressed by PSc (Table 6-10). Comparisons of PSc among survey areas for fishes caught were all above 52\%, and were generally higher than comparisons for species harvested. The greatest similarity in composition of fishes caught and harvested was between the East Dam and YHGS.

An estimate of the 1989 fishing pressure near TMINS indicated that 15,592 anglers fished for 35,862 hours (average 2.30 hours), caught 59,250 fish, and harvested 12,474 fish. This translated to annual $c / e$ and $h / e$ values of 1.65 and 0.35 fish per hour, respectively. Less than $3 \%$ of all anglers interviewed in 1989 indicated that they had completed their fishing trip. These anglers fished an average of 2.82 hours. A creel survey estimating procedure given in DiCostanzo (1956) and Groen and Schmulbach (1978) assumes that anglers were interviewed at the midpoint of their fishing trip. Applying this estimate to the 1989 data resulted in 118,500 fish caught and 24,948 fish harvested in 71,724 hours. Frisbie and Ritchie (1963), Nardacci et al. (1976) , and Plosila (1961) found that the average time fished per angler, when doubled, corresponded with complete fishing trip data. Average fishing time for the first
estimate was 2.30 hours, and 4.60 hours for the doubled fishing trip estimate, differing from the completed trip value ( 2.82 hours) by -0.52 and +1.78 hours, respectively. However, the number of anglers, $c / e$, and $h / e$ remain the same for both estimates. These results imply that the first estimate (without doubling trip length) may be a better indicator of fishing pressure and angler impact in the TMINS area for 1989.

### 6.3 CHARACTERIZATION OF ANGLER COMMUNITY

All but 23 of the anglers interviewed in 1989 were residents of Pennsylvania. Over $72 \%$ of the anglers resided in York or Dauphin counties (Figure 6-2), which encompass the TMINS survey area; Most General Reservoir and yHGs anglers were York County residents. However, most anglers from the West and East dams were residents of Dauphin County. The remaining anglers were residents of 21 other Pennsylvania counties (primarily Cumberland, Lancaster, Lebanon, and Adams), as well as six other states. About 83\% of all anglers were between the ages of 18 and 65 (27.7\% and 55.5\% were 18 to 29 and 30 to 65, respectively).

A total of 2,535 anglers was questioned as to how they use the fish that they catch (Table 6-11). Over 60\% indicated that they ate at least a portion of their catch, 38.2\% released all they caught, and $0.2 \%$ gave away all of
their catch. No anglers reported a change in the use of their catch as a result of the 1979 accident at TMINS. This may indicate that the accident at TMINS is no longer a factor in what these anglers do with their catch.

### 6.4 MULTIPLE-YEAR COMPARISON

The 1989 creel survey data indicated that the number of anglers, hours fished, fish caught, and fish harvested were among the highest recorded in 15 survey years (Table 6-12). The annual $c / e$ was the second highest to date, while the average $h / e$ was within the range of previous years. EA (1986, 1987) indicated that fishing may be impeded by inclement weather conditions (e.g., thunderstorms, heavy rain, wind, and fog) and/or unusually high or low river flow conditions, which would result in decreased angler effort and success. For example, fishing below both dams may cease during periods of extremely low river flow. Weather conditions that might discourage anglers from fishing were encountered infrequently ( $<8 \%$ of survey periods) in 1989. However, average river flow in 1989 was more than double that reported in 1988 (Chapter 7). Although weather conditions on survey dates may have been Eavorable for Eishing, heavy spring rains produced unfavorable river conditions in May and June. Historically, these months generally support high angler numbers, but the high river
flow and turbid water conditions in May and June presented anglers with the poorest fishing conditions of the year. This resulted in the poorest angler effort in recent years for May and June.

Comparison of 1989 individual survey area totals with those of previous years (EA 1985, 1986, 1987; Nardacci and Associates 1984; RMC 1988a, 1989) indicated a record number of fish kept, and the second highest number of anglers, fish caught, and hours fished reported from the General Reservoir. Similarly, the YHGS area had the highest total of fish caught and fish kept to date. The c/e at the yHgs and the General Reservoir was the highest for these areas since the inception of the program. In contrast, the h/e at the General Reservoir was the second lowest to date, and the $h / e$ at the West Dam was the lowest. All other values from all areas were within the ranges of those reported previously (1975 through 1988).

Two-factor ANOVA tests indicated significant differences among areas, years, and their interactions for all test variables (Table 6-13). Tukey's studentized range test, when applied to survey areas, showed that the mean number of anglers, fish caught, fish kept, and hours fished were significantly higher at the General Reservoir and YHGS areas than at the West and East dams (Table 6-14). The West Dam ranked lowest for all mean values; however, there were no significant differences between the West and East dams for
all test variables. The General Reservoir and YHGS were similar for number of anglers and fish kept, but differences were noted for fish caught and hours fished. A range test for the 15 survey years showed the mean values for all test variables were ranked lowest in 1977 . The mean values for 1989 ranked second in each category except anglers, and were significantly different from 1977 for all variables except fish kept.

Creel surveys have generally indicated that the four most abundant fishes caught and harvested have been the channel catfish, rock bass, smallmouth bass, and walleye (Figures 6-3 and 6-4). The channel catfish, one of the most commonly caught ( $>21 \%$ ) fishes from 1975 through 1978, has declined in importance. Since 1979, channel catfish percentage of total catch has been generally stable, ranging from 5.5 to 14.8\%. However, nearly half of all channel catfish caught have been harvested each year. The percent composition of rock bass caught and harvested has remained relatively stable throughout the 15 survey years, with nearly half of the catch harvested each year. Smallmouth bass, the most popular game fish in the survey area, has dominated the percent composition of fishes caught every year. The proportion of smallmouth bass harvested, however, remained relatively low, despite the large catches. In fact, the 1989 percent harvest of smallmouth bass was the second lowest to date despite the catch being the highest.

Walleye, another popular game species, has been reported Erequently by anglers; however, few were of legal size and could be harvested. The percent composition of walleye caught increased from 1975 through 1979, peaked in 1980, declined from 1981 through 1985, increased to a secondary peak in 1987, and has declined through 1989.

Specific reasons for these fluctuations, regarding species catch and harvest trends, were not apparent. Changes in angler objectives, size structure of fish populations, or production of strong year classes may have been involved. For the smallmouth bass, the 1987 change in the Pennsylvania Fish Commission harvest regulations to a trophy bass season ( 381.0 mm minimum size and two fish per day from mid-April through mid-June), may have resulted in the reduced harvest observed since 1987. In addition, strong year classes were produced in 1987 and 1988 which yielded many sublegal fish in 1988 and 1989.

Values of $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{e}$ appeared related to the number of anglers (Table 6-12). Generally, as the number of anglers increased the $c / e$ also increased. Harvest rates, however, did not exhibit a similar trend. Except in 1986 when the lowest harvest rate occurred, values in all other years were quite similar. These trends may result from several factors: 1) in some years a relatively large number of sublegal fish are caught by anglers; 2) the fact that anglers were fishing primarily for recreation rather than as a source of food;
and 3) the observation that some anglers were speciesspecific or selective as to the size of fish chosen for harvest. The large number of anglers throughout the 15 survey years who have indicated that they release or give away all, or at least a portion of their catch, tends to reflect an interest in fishing for recreation. Similar findings of primarily recreational angling have been documented by Baur and Rodgers (1983), Denoncourt (1984), Harmon (1978), and Rodgers (1980) for other water bodies.

The impact of the 1979 TMINS accident was assessed by examining changes in utilization of fish caught by anglers. However, angler response to questioning the use of their catch could be biased by the legal status (size) of fishes sought and/or caught. To elicit a more specific response, anglers were subsequently asked whether they use their catch differently now than they did prior to the 1979 accident. During the year immediately following the TMINS accident (1980), 7.6 percent of the anglers interviewed indicated that they had changed their use of catch due to the accident (Figure 6-5). The proportion of anglers expressing a change in catch usage has steadily declined and no anglers reported a change in catch usage in 1989. In addition most anglers reported that they eat at least a portion of their catch although the percentage has decreased since 1986.

Creel survey information was accepted with the assumption that angler responses were accurate and
objective; therefore, some uncertainty attends any creel data set. However, these data generally indicate that (l) there was a consistent trend in that most anglers reported eating at least a portion of their catch, and (2) the proportion of anglers indicating a change in catch usage due to the TMINS accident was never large, and has generally decreased since 1980. There is no evidence of a dramatic decline in fishing effort (number of anglers and amount of time spent fishing) resulting from the accident. Since 1986, the number of anglers and hours fished have been among the highest for the study period. This would indicate that the local recreational fishery was only minimally affected by TMINS and the 1979 accident.

TABLE 6-1
List of scientific and common names of fishes observed during creel survey interviews from the Susquehanna River near TMINS, 1989.


TABLE 6-2
Monthly summary of anglers, fish caught, fish kept, hours fished, catch/effort, and harvest/effort from areas near mins, 1989.

|  | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | sep | Oct | Nov | Total | Percent Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angler |  |  |  |  |  |  | 274 | 56 | 1415 | 55.8 |
| GR* | 184 | 39 | 168 | 240 | 316 | 138 | 274 | 56 | 144 | 2.9 |
| West Lam | 18 | 3 | 17 | 8 59 | $\stackrel{9}{8}$ | 10 | 24 | 4 | 244 | 9. 6 |
| East +Oam | 43 | 37 | 36 | 59 | 28 | 8 65 | 24 | 9 19 | 244 802 | 31.6 |
| YHGS ${ }^{+}$ | 102 | 142 | 148 | 200 | 64 | 65 | 62 | 19 | 802 | 31.6 |
| 'rotal | 347 | 221 | 369 | 507 | 417 | 221 | 365 | 88 | 2535 |  |
| Fish Caught |  |  |  |  | 1134 | 403 | 1050 | 120 | 4770 | 19.7 |
| GR | 417 | 135 | 666 142 | 845 65 | 1134 86 | 58 | 10 | 37 | 501 | 5.2 |
| West Dam | 82 | 31 390 | 142 208 | 65 320 | 864 204 | 42 | 92 | 1 | 1343 | 13.9 |
| East Dam | 86 | 390 910 | 208 464 | 5311 | 250 |  | 211 | $G$ | 2903 | 31.1 |
| YHGS | 476 | 910 | 464 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tocal | 1061 | 1466 | 1480 | 1741 | 1674 | 658 | 1363 | 164 | 9607 |  |
| Fish Kept GR | 145 | 51 | 63 | 120 | 147 | 58 | 150 | 31 | 765 | 37.9 |
| West Dam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 1.4 |
| East Dam | 13 | 151 | 92 | 67 | 33 | 13 | 34 | 1 | 404 | 20.0 |
| YHGS | 96 | 276 | 142 | 160 | 47 | 59 | 37 | 2 | 819 | 40.6 |
| Total | 254 | 478 | 297 | 353 | 227 | 148 | 221 | 40 | 2018 |  |
| Hours Fished GR | 373.30 | 104.50 | 396.30 | 579.00 | 747.50 | 271.40 | 718.00 | 123.70 | 3313.70 | 57.6 |
| West Dam | 42.25 | 5.25 | 40.75 | 25.00 | 21.75 | 17.25 | 6.75 | 15.75 | 174.75 | 3.0 |
| East Dam | 58.00 | 89.50 | 77.50 | 132.80 | 85.50 | 15.00 | 37.25 | - 5.75 | 501.30 | 8.7 |
| YHGS | 230.30 | 354.80 | 334:30 | 389.30 | 134.30 | 1.46 .50 | 149.50 | 22.25 | 1761.25 | 30.6 |
| Total | 703.85 | 554.05 | 848.85 | 1126.10 | 989:05 | 450.15 | 911.50 | 167.45 | 5751.00 |  |
| Catch/Effort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GR | 1.12 | 1.29 | 1.68 | 1.46 | 1.52 | $\frac{1}{3.36}$ | 1.48 | 2.35 | 2.87 |  |
| West Dam | 1.94 | 5.90 | 3.48 | 2.60 | 3.95 | 3.80 | 2,47 | 0.17 | 2.68 |  |
| East Dam | 1.48 | 4.36 | 2.68 | 2.41 | 2.39 | 2.80 1.06 |  | 0.27 | 1.69 |  |
| XHGS | 2.07 | 2.56 | 1.39 | 1.31 | 1.86 | 1.06 | 2.41 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1. 51 | 2.65 | 1.74 | 1.55 | 1.69 | 1.46 | 1.50 | 0.92 | 1.67 |  |
| Harvest/Effort |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.23 |  |
| GR | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.17 |  |
| West Dam | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 1.80 |  | 0.17 | 0.81 |  |
| East Dam | 0.22 | 1.67 | 1.19 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.09 | 0.46 |  |
| YHGS | 0.42 | 0.78 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.46 |  |
| Total | 0.36 | 0.86 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.35 |  |

*. Denotes General Reservoir.

+ Denotes York Haven Generating Station.

** Significant at P $\leq 0.05$
** Significant at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.01$.

TABLE 6-4
Summary of Tukey's studentized range test for creel survey data (anglers, fish caught, fish kept, and hours fished) by area, 1989. Areas underlined are not significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and are ranked from highest to lowest mean number. Means are listed parenthetically and rounded to the nearest whole number.

| Dependent Variable | Area |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglers | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GR* } \\ (177) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YHGS* } \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { East Dam } \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ | West Dam (9) |
| Fish Caught | $\begin{gathered} \text { GR } \\ (596) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YHGS } \\ & (373) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { East Dam } \\ (168) \end{gathered}$ | West Dam (64) |
| Fish Kept | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YHGS } \\ & (102) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GR } \\ (96) \end{gathered}$ | East Dam (50) | West Dam (4) |
| Hours Fished | $\begin{gathered} \text { GR } \\ (414) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YHGS } \\ & (220) \end{aligned}$ | East Dam (63) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { West Dam } \\ & \text { (22) } \end{aligned}$ |

[^2]TABLE 6-5
Comparison of weekday and weekend day creel surveys from each area ner TMINS, 1989.

|  | General <br> Reservoir | West <br> Dam | East <br> Dam | York Haven Generating Station | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 299 | 18 | 79 | 275 | 671 |
| Weekend Day | -. 1116 | 56 | 165 | 527 | 1864 |
| Fish Caught |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 966 | 140 | 444 | 1290 | 2840 |
| Weekend Day | 3804 | 371 | 899 | 1693 | 6767 |
| Fish Kept 296 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 149 | 14 | 141 | 296 | 600 |
| Weekend Day | 616 | 16 | 263 | 523 | 1418 |
| Hours Fished |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 611.25 | 37.25 | 152.00 | 517.00 | 1318.00 |
| Weekend Day | 2702.42 | 137.00 | 349.25 | 1244.01 | 4432.68 |
| Catch/Effort(h) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 1.58 | 3.71 | 2.92 | 2.50 | 2.15 |
| Weekend Day | 1.41 | 2.71 | 2.57 | 1.36 | 1.53 |
| Harvest/Effort(h) 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday | 0.24 | 0.37 0.12 | 0.93 0.75 | 0.57 0.42 | 0.45 0.32 |
| Weekend Day | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.75 | 0.42 | 0.32 |

TABLE 6-6
Comparison of anglers, fish caught, fish kept, hours fished, catch/effort, and harvest/effort between creel. survey zones in the General Reservoir. 1989.


* Numberad zones correspond to those in Figure 6-1.

Comparison of the General Reservoir boat and shore anglers by fish caught, fish kept, hours fished, catch/effort, and harvest/effort, 1989

|  | $\wedge \mathrm{pr}$ | May | Jun | Jul | nug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total | Percent rotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 144 | 26 | 139 | 177 | 294 | 119 | 243 | 53 | 1195 | 84.4 |
| Shore | 40 | 13 | 29 | 63 | 22 | 19 | 31 | 3 | 220 | 15.5 |
| Fish Caught |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 332 | 60 | 608 | 721 | 1074 | 380 | 976 | 111 | 4252 | 89.1 |
| Shore | 95 | 75 | 58 | 124 | 60 | 23 | 74 | 9 | 518 | 10.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoat | 84 | 11 | 42 | 91 | 129 | 49 | 128. | 31 | 565 | 73.8 |
| Shore | 61 | 40 | 21 | 29 | 18 | 9 | $22^{\text {. }}$ | 0 | 200 | 26.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boar | 296.75 | 74.75 | 356.50 | 455.75 | 703.75 | 242.00 | 667.25 | 116.50 | 2913.25 | 87.9 |
| Shore | 76.50 | 29.75 | 39.75 | 123.25 | 43.75 | 29.42 | 50.75 | 7.25 | 400.42 | 12.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 1.08 | 0.80 | 1.70 | 1.58 | 1.53 | 1.57 | 1.46 |  |  |  |
| Shore | 1.24 | 2.52 | 1.46 | 1.01 | 1.37 | 0.78 | 2.46 | 1.24 | 1.29 |  |
| Harvesteffort (h) 0. 0 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat Shore | 0.28 0.80 | 0.15 1,34 | 0.12 0.53 | 0.20 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.50 |  |

tades 5－a


|  | Apz |  | Hay |  | Jun |  | 301 |  | Aum |  | Sen |  | Oss |  | Nay |  | tocal |  | Persent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caughz | kapt | Caughe | Kopt | caughe | Kapt | Caughe | Kapt | Caugnt | кер： | Caughe | Kapz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amarican shad | － | － | 1 | － | － | － | 3 | F | － | － | Z | － | ＝ | Z | $\square$ | － | \｛ | j | ： | 0.7 |
| fiambou eroue | － | － | 1 | 1 | $\overline{7}$ | 7 | 2 | 2 | － | － |  | $\square$ | E |  |  | － | 1 | 1 | － |  |
| Brown crout Drook trout | $i$ | $i$ | － | － | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{ }$ | － | ： | － | － | － | － | $=$ | ： | － | － | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | ： |  |
| Hugkaliunye | － | － | 2 | － |  | － |  | － | 2 | ： | － | － | － | ＂ | － | － | 2 |  |  |  |
| Pikas（Exoeddoa）． | ） | ； | 2 | 亏 | 39 | $i$ | 4 | － | ${ }_{23}^{2}$ | － | 29 | 4 | 21 | － | － |  | 170 | ＂ | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Conmon earp | 22 | 2 | 28 | $\underline{-}$ | 3 | $\underline{-}$ | $\underline{-}$ | － | 2 |  |  | － |  | － | － | － | $2{ }^{2}$ | － | 0.2 |  |
|  | － | － | 24 | ： | － | － | 2 | 1 | － |  | － | － | － | － | － | ： | 5 | ， | 0. |  |
|  | $\overline{7}$ | 5 | 23 | 22 | 69 | 33 | $105^{2}$ | 5 | 168 | 17 | 121 | 1 | 28 | 15 | $\pm$ | － | 535 | 202 | 5.3 | 10.1 |
| stripua bass | 1 |  | $\cdots$ | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 21 | $\bar{i}$ | ： | 854 | 371 | リ：＇ | tu．， |
| Hoek basa | 135 | 00 | 477 | 336 | 115 | 65 | 76 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 1 |  | ： | － | 41 | 83 | 9．2 | 4.1 |
| Medbrcost zunfien | $!$ | 1 | ， | 4 | 5. | 35 | ！ | 188 |  |  | d |  |  | I |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4.1 | U．${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| treankinsaud | － | － | 2 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 18 | 20 | 18 |  | － | 364 | 187 | 4．4 | \％ 1 |
| H1ucatil | ${ }^{7}$ | 2 | 12 | 10 | ${ }^{515}$ | ${ }_{73}^{20}$ | 45 | 11 | 75 | ${ }_{18}^{29}$ | 49 | 18 | 34 | 13 | 2 | － | a21 | 275 | 11． | ： 5 |
|  | 122 | 1 | 65 | ${ }_{6}$ | ${ }^{224}$ | 23 | ${ }_{1273}^{18}$ | 174 | 1230 | 125 | 168 | 17 | 1049 | 112 | 105 | 13 | 6130 | 478 | 0.4 | －4．1 |
| Largemouth bass | G | － | 5 | － | 4 |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 2 | 5 | i | 3 | 1 | 2 | $\overline{2}$ | 2 | － | 63 | a） | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| thate erappac | 12 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 23 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | $\underline{\square}$ | 2 | － | $\underline{-}$ |  |  |  | 13 | 12 | 0.3 | －． |
| Dlack crappic | 26 61 | ${ }_{21}^{26}$ | 19 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 29 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 172 | 78 | 6.2 | 1．7 |
| Yellau peren |  | ， | 11 | 12 | $2{ }^{-1}$ |  | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{5}$ | 1 | ${ }_{11}^{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 34 | 12 | 31 | 7 | 351 | 36 | 2.6 | 1．8 |
| malleye | 56 | － | 38 | 日 | 20 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1061 | 254 | 1466 | 478 | 1400 | 297 | 1741 | 353 | 2674 | 227 | 658 | 149 | 1363 | 221 | 164 | 40 | 2607 | 2018 |  |  |

Gancal tacnetimeta

TABLE 6-9
Number and percent composition of fishes eaught and kept from areas near TMINS, April through November 1989.

|  | General Reservoir |  |  |  | West Dam |  |  |  | East Dam Yor |  |  |  | York Haven Generating Sta. |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caught |  | Kept |  | Caught |  | Kept |  | Caught |  | Kept |  | Caught |  | Kept |  | Caught | Kepr |
|  | No. | ${ }_{8}$ | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | 8 | No. | ${ }_{7}$ | No. | \% | No. | ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 0.1 | - | - | 4 | F |
| Amorican shad | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.4 | 3 | 3 |
| Rainbow crout | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ |  | - |  |  | - | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | - |  | 1 | 1 |
| Brown troue | 5 | + | 1 | 0.1 | - |  | - | - | $\underline{1}$ | 0.1 | 1 | 0. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Brook trout | 1 | $\pm$ | 1 | 0.1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | - | - | 2 | - |
| Muskellunge ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | + | - | - | 2 | - |
| Pikes (Esocidae)" | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\stackrel{+}{1}$ | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 1.2 | - | - | 14 | 1.0 | - | - | 144 | 4.8 | 8 | 1.0 | 170 | 9 |
| Common carp | 6 2 | 0.1 + | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 1.2 | - | - | 14 | 1.0 | - | - |  |  | - | - | 2 | - |
| Fallfish | 2 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 0.8 | - | - | 24 | - |
|  | - | - | $\pm$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 1 |
| Suckers (Catostomiaae)* | 139 | 2.9 | 51 | 6.7 | 86 | 16.8 | 15 | 50.0 | 56 | 4.2 | 9 | 2.2 | 244 | 8.2 | 134 | 16.4 | 525 | 209 |
| Striped bass | 1 | + | 69 | 9.0 | $\overline{5}$ | 1.0 | - | - | 262 | 19.5 | 170 | 42.1 | 486 | 16.3 | 332 | 40.5 | 954 | 571 |
| Rock bass | 201 | 4.2 | $\begin{array}{r}69 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9.0 | 5 | 1.0 | - | - | 37 | 2.8 | 176. | 8.9 | 46 | 1.5 | 44 | 5.4 | 91 | 83 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 8 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.4 | - |  | - | - | 9 | 0.7 | 9 | 2.2 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 97 |
| Green sunfleh | 10 | 0.2 | 8 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | ${ }_{113}$ | 1.9 | 19 | 4.7 12.9 | 61 | 2.0 | 34 | $4 . \overline{2}$ | 36 249 | 27 107 |
| Bluegill | 72 | 1.5 | 21 | 22.7 | 3 | 0.6 1.8 | - | - | 113 | 10.4 14.4 | 52 | 12.9 8.9 | 61 181 | 2.0 6.1 | 34 | 4.2 8.2 | 249 821 | 107 275 |
| Sunfishes (Lepomis spp.1* | 437 | $7{ }^{9.2}$ | 172 | 22.5 | 3319 | 1.8 64.8 | - | 26.7 | 52.3 | 14.4 | 49 | 12.1 | 1560 | 52.3 | 92 | 11.2 | 6130 | 498 |
| Smallmouth bass | 3716 | 77.9 | 349 | 45.6 0.3 | 331 | 64.8 | 8 | 26.7 | 57 | 0.5 | 4 | 12.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 38 | 3 |
| Largemouth bass | 28 | 0.6 | 26 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 0.4 | 6 | 1.5 | 29 | 1.0 | 29 | 3.5 | 69 | 61 |
| White crappie | 34 | 0.7 | 26 | 3.4 2.9 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 0.3 | 4 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.7 | 32 | 32 |
| Black crappie | 22 89 | 0.5 1.9 | 32 | 2.9 5.0 | 3 | 0.6 | 2 | 6.7 | 29 | 2.2 | 6 | 1.5 | 51 | 1.7 | 32 | 3.9 | 172 | 78 |
| Crappies (Pomoxis spp.)* | 89 | 1.9 0.1 | 38 2 | 5.0 0.3 | 3 | 0.6 | 2 | 6.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.3 | 10 | 1.2 | 15 | 14 |
| Yellow perch Walleye | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.3 | 68 | 13.3 | 5 | 16.7 | 60 | 4.5 | 5 | 1.2 | 123 | 4.1 | 26 | 3.2 | 251 | 36 |
| Total | 4770 |  | 765 |  | 511 |  | 30 |  | 1343 |  | 404 |  | 2983 |  | 819 |  | 9607 | 2018 |

General identification.

+ Less than 0.058 .

TABLE 6-10
Percent similarity indices of species composition of fishes caught and harvested from the creel survey areas near TMINS, 1989.

|  | Caught |  |  | Harvested |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West Dam | East Dam | YHGG* |  | YHGS | East Dam | West Dam |
| 71.7 | 60.4 | 70.1 | General Reservoir |  | 40.7 | 38.3 |
|  | 52.5 | 69.7 | West Dam |  | 17.1 |  |
|  |  | 76.8 | East Dam | 77.1 |  |  |

* York Haven Generating Station.

TABLE 6-11
Use of catch by anglers interviewed near TMINS in 1989.

| Use of Catch | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eat | 110 | 67 | 66 | 152 | 67 | 49 | 83 | 17 | 611 |
| Release | 119 | 52 | 157 | 182 | 177 | 85 | 149 | 48 | 969 |
| Give Away | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| Eat-Release-Give Away | 3 | 8 | 2 | - | - | 77 | - | - | 13 |
| Eat-Release | 115 | 92 | 138 | 159 | 162 | 77 | 132 | 22 | 896 |
| Eat-Give Away | - | - | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| Release-Give Away | - | 1 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 1 | - | 24 |
| Total | 347 | 221 | 369 | 507 | 417 | 221 | 365 | 88 | 2535 |

TABLE 6-12
Summary of annual creel survey totals for anglers, fish caught, fish kept, hours fished, catch/effort, and harvest/effort near TMINS, 1975 through 1989.

|  | Anglers | Fish Caught | Fish <br> Kept | Fished | Catch/ <br> Effort (h) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Harvest/ } \\ & \text { Effort (h) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 1560 | 2386 | 1255 | 2953.75 | 0.81 | 0.42 |
| 1976 | 1750 | 3170 | 1026 | 3114.29 | 1.04 | 0.34 |
| 1977 | 1126 | 1857 | 820 | 2186.88 | 0.85 | 0.37 |
| 1978 | 2221 | 4483 | 1517 | 4455.85 | 1.01 | 0.34 |
| 1979 | 2215 | 4861 | 1205 | 3966.15 | 1.23 | 0.30 |
| 1980 | 2399 | 5611 | 1421 | 4131.65 | 1.36 | 0.34 |
| 1981 | 2672 | 6764 | 1684 | 4627.65 | 1.46 | 0.36 |
| 1982 | 2751 | 6499 | 1808 | 4776.26 | 1.36 | 0.38 |
| 1983 | 2145 | 5102 | 1395 | 3997.73 | 1.28 | 0.35 |
| 1984 | 1815 | 4423 | 1200 | 3285.40 | 1.35 | 0.36 |
| 1985 | 1750 | 3671 | 1447 | 3458.61 | 1.06 | 0.42 |
| 1986 | 2093 | 5191 | 1732 | 4374.87 | 2.02 | 0.14 |
| 1987 | 2469 | 7656 | 1852 | 4892.44 | 1.56 | 0.38 |
| 1988 | 2964 | 10371 | 2020 | 6731.43 | 1.54 | 0.30 |
| 1989 | 2535 | 9597 | 2018 | 5751.00 | 1.67 | 0.35 |



* Significant at $\mathrm{P}<0.05$.
** Significant at $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.01$.
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Figure $6-1$. TMINS creel survey area showing survey route and General
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FIGURE 6-2
Percent of anglers by age and county interviewed in the Susquehanna River near TMINS in 1989.


Figure 6-3. Percent composition of channel catfish and smallmouth bass caught and harvested by anglers near TMINS, 1975 through 1989.


Figure 6-4. Percent composition of rock bass and walleye caught and harvested by anglers near TMINS, 1975 through 1989.


Figure 6-5. Annual trends in the percent of anglers eating at least some of their catch and those indicating a change in their use of catch due to the 1979 TMINS accident.

### 7.1 METHODS

Water quality and physical data were collected at all stations in conjunction with biological sampling (Figure 71). Details of procedures and instrumentation are provided in GPU (1987) and are summarized below.

Surface water temperature, pH , and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured at all sampling stations with a Taylor Pocket Thermometer Model 21432-2, a Photovolt Model 126A pH meter or an Orion Model 05702-25 pH meter, and a YSI Model 57 Dissolved Oxygen meter, respectively. Conductivity was measured at all electrofishing stations by means of a Hach Model 16300 portable conductivity meter. Measurements of velocities at macroinvertebrate and ichthyoplankton stations were made with a Marsh-McBirney Model 201 portable water current meter.

Surface water samples were collected at each of the three macroinvertebrate stations and delivered to GPU personnel for analysis. Laboratory analysis of total dissolved solids (TDS) was performed by analytical methods defined in U. S. EPA (1979).

Data analyses consisted of tabulations of mean, minimum and maximum, and analysis of variance (ANOVA). Two-factor ANOVAs, with sampling zones and months as main effects, were implemented on 1989 water temperature, DO, pH , and TDS data.

These same parameters in the multiple-year database were subjected to a three-factor ANOVA with year, month, and sampling station (1A2, llA1, or 9B1) as main effects. When main effects were shown to be significantly different ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ), the differences were investigated by Tukey's studentized range test. ANOVAs were conducted using SAS software, Version 6 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

### 7.2 COMPARISON WITH STATE WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

The Pennsylvania state water quality criteria for parameters measured during the 1989 TMINS aquatic studies are presented in Table 7-1. These criteria consist of upper and/or lower limits designed to protect a designated water use. The portion of the lower Susquehanna River which includes TMINS (York Haven Pond) is designated as a warmwater fishery.

The water quality data collected in 1989 are tabulated in Appendix $F$ and summarized in Table 7-2. A comparison of the data in Table 7-2 with the criteria in Table 7-1 revealed that all 1989 values met the specified criteria, except for pH . The highest water temperature recorded was 28.0 C in July, well below the upper limit of 30.6 C . Values for pH equalled or exceeded the upper limit (9.0) in August and October, and the lower limit (6.0) in June. The high pH values in August and October were limited to areas within zones $2,4,7$, and 10 (Figure 7-1), which were
unaffected by the discharge from TMINS. The low pH values occurred on 8 June, and ranged from 5.4 to 5.6 in zones 8 and 9 , respectively. Since these zones are located below the TMINS discharge some aspect in the discharged water may have caused the reduced pH . The pH values immediately upstream and throughout York Haven Pond on this date ranged Erom 7.0 to 7.4. The pH values within a week after this occurrence ranged from 7.1 to 7.5 at zones 8 and 9 . As revealed in analysis of fisheries and macroinvertebrate data (Chapters 2, 3, and 5), no adverse effects were observed. TDS was always well below the specified upper limit. The lowest DO value recorded was $6.4 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ in september, considerably above the lowest permissible limit for a single measurement (4.0 mg/l).

Based on the 1989 water quality data from the TMINS aquatic studies, the designated use category of the Susquehanna River as a warmwater fishery was not compromised by the operation of TMINS.

### 7.3 SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL DESCRIPTION: 1989

The water quality data collected in 1989 (Table 7-2)
revealed some typical seasonal patterns for a number of variables. Mean water temperature increased from April to a peak in August, and then decreased through November. With minor deviations, mean river flow decreased through September and increased thereafter. The surface and bottom
velocities were high through July, reflecting the high river flow in 1989, and generally decreased through November. Conductivity and TDS followed a similar trend, declining through the summer, increasing to a peak in September, and declining through the fall. Secchi disc readings generally decreased throughout the summer and increased in the fall. Dissolved oxygen can be affected by water temperature, biological activity, and river flow. Mean DO in York Haven Pond exhibited an inverse relationship with water temperature (Table 7-2). Mean pH values were higher in the fall (September through November) than in the spring or summer.

To provide a more quantitative assessment of the overall water quality in York Haven Pond, a two-factor ANOVA was used to analyze the 1989 water temperature, $D O, \mathrm{pH}$, and TDS by month and water quality zone. All data collected at the various biological sampling stations within a zone (Figure 7-1) were combined for analysis of that zone. Although all parameters exhibited significant differences among months; as expected because of typical seasonal variations; only pH and TDS produced a significant difference among sampling zones (Table 7-3). Tukey's studentized range test (Table 74) revealed that the mean pH at zone 4 was significantly different from the means at zones 8 and 9. The reasons for these differences were unknown, but were considered biologically insignificant as values measured throughout the year generally met established state criteria. The Tukey's
test also showed that the mean TDS at zone 8 was significantly different from the means at zones 9 and 7 . The increased TDS in zone 8 may reflect the increased concentration of dissolved solids in the discharge water created through evaporation and condenser cooling blowdown. The higher TDS values became diluted as values at zone 9 (downstream) were near ambient (zone 7).

Water quality and physical characteristics measured at the three macroinvertebrate sampling stations are summarized in Table 7-5. Although many of these parameters were measured at the other sampling stations, the macroinvertebrate stations are important because of their proximity to the TMINS discharge, their consistent use over previous study years, and because TDS was measured only at these stations. The data appear to be quite homogeneous among the three stations. However, there was a slight decrease in secchi disc readings Station llal (the TMINS discharge), which may be related to effluent from TMINS. The surface and bottom current velocities were also higher at Station 9Bl and were probably the result of the physical configuration of the shoreline. The increase in TDS at Station llal was discussed previously.

### 7.4 MULTIPLE-YEAR COMPARISON

River flow can influence both biological and water quality parameters. Mean river Elow was calculated for the

April through November portion of each of the last ten years (Table 7-6). Mean river flow increased 62\% from 1980 to 1984, decreased 91\% from 1985 through 1988, and then increased 105\% in 1989 to the highest value to date. To evaluate annual trends in water quality for York Haven Pond, water temperature, DO, PH , and TDS data for the macroinvertebrate stations were examined. Mean, minimum and maximum values for these parameters are displayed in Table 7-7. Although some year-to-year differences have been evident, the 1989 data fell within the ranges observed previously. However, the maximum mDS value was exceeded at. Station 11Al.

Individual measurements of water temperature, $D O, \mathrm{pH}$, and TDS from previous years' reports were combined with the 1989 data and subjected to a three-factor ANOVA (Table 7-8). The results were similar for all four parameters in that years and months were significantly different, but there was no difference among stations except for TDS. Significant differences among months were expected, given the natural seasonal cycles exhibited by these variables. Significant differences among years for water temperature, $\mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{pH}$, and TDS were not unusual, because of the annual variation in precipitation, river flow, and air temperature cycles. The significant interaction of year and month was also attributable to these weather cycles.

In terms of possible influence of the TMINS discharge on water quality, sampling station differences would be the
first order of examination. However, as shown in Table 7-8, only TDS produced significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) among stations. That is, stations downstream of the discharge (11AI, 9BI) were differentiated from the upstream station (1A2). The mean TDS at Station $1 A 2$ was $195 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, whereas the means at Stations 11 Al and 9 Bl were 208 and $202 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, respectively. The Tukey's test showed that Station IA2 was significantly different from Stations llal and 9B1. The increase in $\operatorname{TDS}$ at the downstream stations may be related to the concentration of dissolved solids during TMINS operation and subsequent discharge. However, the downstream values were still far below the state water quality criteria.

The annual means, which were significantly different for all parameters, were examined for statistical groupings that could be related to years of TMINS operation 11974 to 1978 and 1986 to 1989 ) versus non-operation (1979 to 1985) (Table 7-9). For water temperature, only 1985 was distinguishable from all other years. There was a tendency for $D 0$ means in operational years (1974 to 1978$)$ to group together with lower values, but 1989, an operational year, was undifferentiated from 1979 to 1982 and 1985, a nonoperational period. Values of pH exhibited no grouping that could be related to TMINS operational status. The last three non-operational years (1983 to 1985), for example, were not differentiated from operational years 1974r 1975, 1988, and 1989. Generally, pH values increased from 1974 through 1982, decreased through 1987, and rose slightly in

1988 and 1989. Total dissolved solids, available for four operational years, could not be differentiated from nonoperational years.

Based on analysis of 16 years of data for water temperature, pH , and DO , and 12 years for TDS, there is no evidence of significant influence of the TMINS discharge on these parameters. Annual and spatial trends appear natural and related to meteorological cycles and river flow. Also, most water quality parameters reflect the influences of the varied geology, land, and water use practices throughout the Susquehanna River basin rather than TMINS.

TABLE 7-1
Water quality criteria for selected physicochemical parameters analyzed near Three Mile Island.

| Parameter | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dissolved oxygen | Minimum daily average $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; no values less than $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. For the epilimnion of lakes, ponds, and impoundments, minimum daily average of $5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, no value less than $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. |
| pH | Not less than 6.0 and not more than 9.0. |
| Temperature (water) | No rise when ambient temperature is 87 F ( 30.6 C ) or above; not more than a $5 \mathrm{~F}(2.8 \mathrm{C}$ ) rise above ambient temperature until stream temperature reaches 87 F ; not to be changed by more than 2 F during any 1 -hour period. |
| Total dissolved solids | Not more than $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as a monthly average value; not more than $750 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ at any time. |

Source: Pennsylvania Code, Title 25, Chapter 93.
table 7-2 monthly mean. minimum. and maximum values of matea ouality parameters at all york haven pond giological. stations. three mile islano nuclear station. 1989.

| Parameter | MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALL } \\ & \text { MONTHS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APR | MAY | JuN | JUL | Aug | SEP | OCT | nov |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 8.9 | 17.0 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 18.8 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 9.3 | 5.1 |
| MINIMUPM | 5.1 | 13.0 20.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 27.4 | 25.2 | 17.5 | 13.0 | 28.0 |
| $\underset{\mathrm{NaXI}}{\text { MAM }}$ | 13.8 | 20.0 | 23.2 59 | 28.0 | 67.4 | ${ }_{27}{ }^{26}$ | 15.5 | 15. | 328 |
| PH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mean | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 5.7 |
| Minimula | 6.5 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 7.7 9.3 | 7.0 8.9 | 7.8 9.0 | 8.6 | 5.4 9.3 |
| maximun | 4.8 | 8.9 | 8.4 | ${ }_{4}^{8.5}$ | $6{ }^{9.3}$ | $21^{8.9}$ | . 15.0 |  | $316^{3}$ |
| N | 47 | 51 | 59 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN Minimuna | 12.3 | 8.9 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 6.4 |
| MJNIMUSA MaXIMUN | 15.0 | 14.6 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 19.0 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 19.0 |
| n max | 47 | 51 | 59 | 47 | 67 | 27 | 15 | 15 | 328 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 100.9 | 83.3 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 85.4 | 50. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71.1 | 101.6 | 10.2 |
| MINIMUM MAXIMUR: | 25.4 167.6 | 124.5 | 139.7 | 101.6 | 203.2 | 106.7 | 127.0 | 195.6 | 203.2 |
| Maximuns | 15 | 27 | 27 | 15 | 27 | 27 | 15 | 15 | 168 |
| TOTAL OISSOLVED SOLIDS (MG/L) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 102.0 | 191.0 | 179.7 171.0 | 148.0 | 205.0 | 289.0 | 201.0 | 171.0 | 101.0 |
| MINIMUM MAXIMUM | 101.0 103.0 | 181.0 206.0 | 195.0 | 145.0 | 244.0 | 382.0 | 297.0 | 198.0 | 382.0 |
| maximum | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $1{ }^{3}$. | 15.0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 218.3 190.0 | 217.5 160.0 | 210.8 150.0 | 180.0 | 250.0 | 325.0 | 300.0 | 210.0 | 150.0 |
| MINIMUM MAXIMUM | 190.0 240.0 | 160.0 | 260.0 | 300.0 | 450.0 | 500.0 | 425.0 | 310.0 | 500.0 |
| ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\text {Maximum }}$ | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 12 | 12 | 6 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 26.5 | 24.0 2.0 | 28.6 4.0 | 28.2 5.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| MINJMUM | 58.0 | 2.0 62.0 | 70.0 | 53.0 | 27:0 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 70.0 |
| MAXIMUM | 35 | 27 | 35 | 35 | 43 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 184 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 14.3 6.0 | 13.7 7.0 | 10.7 5.0 | 88.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| MINIMUM MAXIMUM | 6.0 20.0 | 16.0 | 19.0 | 23.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 |
| m N | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 24 |
| KIVER FLOW (M/SEC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN | 1626.3 | 2585.6 | 1741.6 | 1028.9 | 312.5 179.0 | 228.8 136.8 | 609.6 | 475.7 | 136.8 |
| MINIMUM | 470.1 | 453.1 | 705.1 4185.2 | +1784.2 | 523.9 | 436.1 | 2339.0 | 1750.0 | 6020.2 |
| $\mathrm{MaXIM}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | 5182.0 30 | 6020.2 | 4185.2 30 | 1704.7 31 | 523.9 31 | 436.1 30 | 31.0 | 1750.0 30 | $244{ }^{\text {c }}$ |



[^3]

[^4]TABLE 7-5
Mean, minimum, and maximum values of water quality and physical parameters taken at the macroinvertebrate stations near TMINS, April through November 1989.

| Parameter | Station |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TM-MI-1A2 | TM-M1-11AI | TM-MI-9B1 |
| Water temperature(C) 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.2 |
| Min | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.5 |
| Max | 23.2 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| pH . |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 |
| Min | 7.1 9.0 | 7.1 8.6 | 8.15 |
| Max | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.5 |
| Dissolved. oxygen(mg/l) 9, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Mean | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.4 |
| Min | 8.2 12.0 | 8.5 12.1 | 8.1 12.2 |
| Max |  | 12.1 |  |
| Total dissolved solids(mg/l) 220 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 183 | 220 | 193 |
| Min Max | 102 | 101 | 103 324 |
| Max | 289 | 382 | 324 |
| Secchi disc(cm) |  |  |  |
| Mean | 98.4 | 69.8 | 87.0 |
| Min | 27.9 195.6 | 25.4 114.3 | 27.9 154.9 |
| Surface current velocity(cm/sec) |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.0 | 5.9 | 14.4 |
| Min | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Max | 13.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 |
| Bottom current velocity(cm/sec) |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7.6 | 6.4 | 13.1 |
| Min | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Max | 17:0 | 12.0 | 23.0 |

TABLE 7-6
Range and mean river flow ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) obtained from the River Forecast Center (Harrisburg, Pennsylvania) for April through November 1980 through 1989.

| Year | $N$ (days) | Range | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 244 | $90-5411$ | 643 |
| 1981 | 244 | $119-2455$ | 646 |
| 1982 | 244 | $101-5354$ | 674 |
| 1983 | 244 | $86-6824$ | 905 |
| 1984 | 244 | $137-10110$ | 1044 |
| 1985 | 244 | $120-4416$ | 591 |
| 1986 | 244 | $138-4800$ | 713 |
| 1987 | 244 | $129-6230$ | 726 |
| 1988 | 244 | $106-5298$ | 546 |
| 1989 | 244 | $137-6020$ | 1118 |

TABLE 7-7
Mean, minimum, and maximum values of water quality parameters taken at the macroinvertebrate stations near TMINS, April through November, 1974 through 1989. Station prefix TM-MIdeleted from table.

| Yeax | Water <br> Temperature (C) |  |  | pH |  |  | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) |  |  | Total Dissolved Solids(mq/1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | la2 | 11A1 | 9B1 | IA2 | 11A1 | 981 | 1A2 | 11A1 | 9 Bl | LA2 | 11A1 | 9 Bl |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 183 | 220 | 193 |
| Min | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 102 | 101 | 103 |
| Max | 23.2 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 289 | 382 | 324 |
| 1974-1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 17.5 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 196 | 207 | 202 |
| Min | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 85 | 70 | 87 |
| Max | 30:0 | 30.0 | 30.5 | 9:4 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 13.2 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 332 | 362 | 355 |

TABLE 7-8
Three-factor analysis of variance test resulcs for selected water gualiry parameters collected near TMINS, Three-factor analy
1974 through 1989.

| Dependent Variable | Source | df | Sum of Squares | Mean Square |  | $F$ Value | $p$ Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Warer Temperacure | Madel ( $r^{2}=0.919$ ) | 173 | 23580.816 | 136.305 |  | 27.99 | 0.0001 * |
|  |  | 15 | 530.067 | 35.338 |  | 7.26 | 0.0001 " |
|  | Month | 17 | 18946.958 | 2706.708 |  | 555.90 | 0.0001 * |
|  | Stacion | 2 | 17.679 | 8.839 |  | 1.82 | 0.1640 |
|  | Year-month | 105 | 2005.433 | 19.099 |  | 3.92 | $0.0001{ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | Year-Starion | 30 | 19.826 | 0.661 |  | 0.14 | 1.0000 |
|  | Month-Stacion | 14 | 5.070 | 0.362 4.869 |  | 0.07 | 1.0000 |
|  | Error | 429 | 2088.826 | 4.869 |  |  |  |
|  | Corrected Total | 602 | 25669.644 |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Model ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.850$ ) | 173 | 1484.675 | 8.582 |  | 13.69 | 0.0001** |
|  | Year | 15 | 231.349 | 76.670 |  | 122.29 | 0.0001 . |
|  | Month | 2. | 56.567 | 1.284 |  | 2.05 | 0.1304 |
|  | Year-Month | 105 | 596.910 | 5.685 |  | 9.07 | 0.0001 * |
|  | Year-Station | 30 | 19.116 | 0.637 |  | 1.02 | 0.4451 |
|  | Month-Station | 14 | 1.212 | 0.086 |  | 0.14 | 0.9999 |
|  | Error | 417 | 261.441 | 0.627 |  |  |  |
|  | Corrected Total | 590 | 1746.116 |  |  |  |  |
| pH | Model ( $r^{2}=0.762$ ) | 172 | 112.440 | 0.654 |  | 7.69 | $0.0001 *$ |
|  | Year | 15 | 62.318 | 4.154 | $\cdots$ | 48.87 | $0.0001 *$ |
|  | Month | 7 | 2.644 | 0.378 |  | 1.44 | 0.3404 |
|  | Station | 2 | 0.184 | 0.0914 | , | 4.80 | $0.0001 *$ |
|  | Year-Month | 104 | 43.117 1.796 | 0.060 |  | 0.70 | 0.8786 |
|  | Year-Station Month-Station | 14 | 0.605 | 0.043 |  | 0.51 | 0.9283 |
|  | Error | 413 | 35.107 | 0.085 |  |  |  |
|  | Corrected Total | 585 | 147.547 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Dissolved Solids | Model ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.932$ ) | 133 | 1633982.128 | 12285.580 |  | 28.77 | 0.0001* |
|  | Year | 11 | 130618.689 | 12601.698 |  | 29.51 | $0.0001 *$ |
|  | Month | 7 | 871094.130 | 124442.010 |  | 291.44 | $0.0001 *$ |
|  | Station | 2 | 13526.772 | 6763.386 |  | 15.64 | . 0.001 . |
|  | Year-Month | 77 | 518009.923 | 672.834 |  | 1.69 | 0.0290 * |
|  | Year-Station | 22 14 | 15902.358 3457.316 | 246.951 |  | 0.58 | 0.8812 |
|  | Error Month-Station | 277 | 318275.726 | 426.988 |  |  |  |
|  | Corrected Total | 410 | 1752257.854 | . |  |  |  |

n Significant at $\bar{p} \leq 0.01$.
$n=0$.



Figure 7-1. York Haven Pond showing numbered water quality zones, macroinvertebrate sampling stations, and the remaining biological sampling stations (asterisks). Only zones containing biological sampling stations are numbered.
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APPENDIX A
BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE DATA

# TABLE A-1 NUMEER AND BIOMASS (mg) OF BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES BY STATION, REPLICATE (A, B.C.D) 

 AND LIFE STAGE TAKEN NEAR TMINS, APRIL. 1989Date=05APR and Station IA2

|  | Taxa | Life <br> Stage | A |  | B |  | $c$ |  | D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |  |
|  | Ablabesmy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Larvae | - | - | 1 | - |  | - | - | - |  |
|  | Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  |  | . | , | . | 1 | , | ; | , |  |
|  | Ceratopogonidae . | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |  |  | 1 | 0.1 |  |
|  | Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 20 | 6.7 | 17 | 14.2 | 3 | 0.3 | 10 | 7.8 |  |
|  | Coelotanypus | Larvae | 4 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | . | . | . |  |
|  | Dubiraghia | Larvae | . |  | 2 | 0.2 | . | - | - | - |  |
|  | Ferrissia |  | 3 | 0.2 | . | . | . | . | . | - |  |
|  | Hydrobaenus | Larvae | , |  | 1 |  |  | - | - | - |  |
|  | Limnodrilus hoffmetsteri |  | 14 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.5 | 6 | . | 6 | , |  |
|  | Limnodrilus udekemianus |  | . | . | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | - | - | , |  |
|  | Lumbriculidae |  | . | 0.3 | 1 | 2.5 | - | - | $\dot{\sim}$ |  |  |
|  | Musculium transversum |  | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | . | . | 2 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Nanocladius | Larvae | 2 |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |  | - | - | ; |  |  |
| 1 | Nematoda |  | 2 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |  |
| $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ | Oeceris | Larvae |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
|  | Pisidium |  | 8 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.1 | - | . | 2 | 0.2 |  |
|  | Polypedilum convitum | Larvae | . | . | 1 |  | . | . | - | . |  |
|  | Procladius | Larvae | . | . | 3 | 0.2 | ; | . | 1 | $0 \cdot 1$ |  |
|  | Rneotanytarsus | Larvae | . | . | 1 | . | 1 | $0 \cdot$ | 1 | 0.1 |  |
|  | Stenelmis | Larvae | - | - |  | - | 1 | 0.1 | - | . |  |
|  | Tenafpedidae=chironomidae | Larvae | ; | . | 2 | . | - | . | - | - |  |
|  | Thienemanimyia | Larvae | 1 | - | 3 | . | - | . | - | - |  |
|  | total |  | 58 | 9.2 | 69 | 18.7 | 21 | 0.6 | 24 | 8.5 |  |

table a-i continued.


## TAble a-i CONTINUED



TABLE A-2 NUMBER AND BIOMASS (mg) OF BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES BY STATION, REPLICATE (A.B.C.D). ANO LIFE STAGE TAKEN NEAR TMINS: MAY. 1989.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Da |  | Date=02mAY and station |  |  | 1A2 | 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | C |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. Wt. |  |  |
| Ablabesmyia | Larvae | * | - | . | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |  |
| Arcteonais lomondi |  | - | - | 1 | . | - | - | - | - |  |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | - | - |  |  | 6 | 30.5 | - | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |  |
| Branchiura somerbyi |  |  | - | 2 | 1.1 | 3 | 30.5 | ; |  |  |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | $\dot{5}$ |  | , |  | - |  | 1 | 0.4 |  |
| Chironomia pupae | Pupae | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 9 | 3.0 | 7 | 4.2 |  |
| Crironomus decorus | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.0 | 2 | 4.6 | 13 | 12.6 | - |
| Cryprochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 3 | 0.2 | , | . | 2 | 0.7 | - | - |  |
| Enchytraefdae |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | O. 1 | 1 | 2.5 |  |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | - | . | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 2.5 |  |
| Helobdella elongata |  | ; | . 7 | 1 | 1.3 |  |  |  | - 6 |  |
| Limnodrilus noffmeisteri |  | 7 | 2.7 | 29 | 8.0 | 60 | 16.5 | 25 | 8.6 |  |
| Muscullum transversum |  | - | . | 1 | 0.1 | . | . | 4 | 0.4 |  |
| Nals |  | ; | , | 1 | 0.2 | 3 |  | - | . |  |
| Nematoda |  | 1 | 0.1 | $?$ | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 | - | - |  |
| Phaenopsecria | Larvae | ; |  | 1 | 0.4 | 1 8 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.7 |  |
| Pisidium fallan |  | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.4 | 8 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.7 |  |
| Polypedilum fallax Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae Larvae | 4 7 | 0.2 0.5 | 2 | - | 13 | 0.9 | 10 | 1.2 |  |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 7 |  |  | - | 1 | - | 2 | $\therefore$ |  |
| Tanytarsus | Larvae | 28 | 1.7 | 31 | 2.1 | 38 | 5.2 | 12 | 1.4 |  |
| Zavrelia group | Larvae | 2 | . | - | . | . | . | . | - |  |
| total |  | 57 | 5.8 | 76 | 14.3 | 149 | 63.4 | 76 | 32.0 |  |

table a-2 continued.

Date=02MAY and Station IIAI
Life $\quad$ Lifa

| Arcteonais lomondi |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 11 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 |  |
| Branchlura sowerbyi |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | - |  | - |  |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | 4 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.7 | . |  |
| Chironomid pupae | Pupae | 6 | 5.2 | 3 | 2.0 | 7 | 8.4 | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ |  |
| Cnironomus decorus | Larvae | 23 | 21.5 | 18 | 18.3 | 21 | 21.5 | 4 | 5.2 |
| Coelatanypus | Larvae | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 1 |  |
| Cryorochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 7 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.2 | 8 | 1.4 | 4 | 0.8 |
| Dubiraphia | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Dugesta tigrina |  | - 1 | $=0.5$ | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | - 6 | ; |  |
| Gammarus fasclatus |  | 5 | 0.4 | 26 | 3.2 | 14 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Helobaella elongata |  | 7 | 4.0 | 1 | 0.2 | . | . | . | - |
| Helobdella stagnalis |  | , | . | 1 | 3.5 | - | - | - |  |
| Heragenia | Larvae | - | $0 \cdot$ | 1 | 2.5 | ; | 0 |  | 5 |
| Hydralimax grisea |  | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Ilyoarilus templetoni |  | 4 | . | 3 |  | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Limnodrilus claparedianus |  |  | 16.5 | 11 | 2.5 | 5 | 16.2 | 2 |  |
| Limnourilus hoffmeisteri |  | 111 | 16.5 | 101 | 22.3 | 96 | 16.2 | 42 | 11.0 |
| Manayunkia speciosa |  | - |  |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | * |  |
| Musculfum transversum |  | 4 | 2.9 | 3 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Nematoda |  | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Pnaenoosectra | Larvae | 24 | 4.8 | 23 | 5.4 | 30 | 7.6 | 29 | 8.3 |
| Pnysidae |  | . |  | ; |  | 1 | 0.1 | - |  |
| Pisidium |  | 9 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 11 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 3 | 0.5 | 1 | . | 3 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Polypedium illinoense | Larvae | . |  | ; | $\cdots$ | - | $0 \cdot$ | 4 | 1.0 |
| Procladius | Larvae | 22 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.2 | 4 | 0.4 | 17 | 3.1 |
| Quistadrilus multisetosus |  |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | - | ! |  |
| Stenelmis | Larvae | 1 | 0.2 | - |  | . | - | 1 | 0.4 |
| stylurus | Larvae | . | . | 1 | 30.2 | ; | 0 | - | . |
| Tanytarsus | Larvae. | - | - | 2 | . | 1 | 0.1 | - | * |
| Thienemanimyia | Larvae | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| TOTAL |  | 250 | 64.1 | 227 | 93.5 | 211 | 62.5 | 120 | 32.5 |

table a-2 CONTINUED.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Dat |  | O2mAY and Station 981 |  |  |  | D |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | C |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Ceiratopogonidae | Larvae Pupae | 6 | 4.0 | 16 | 14.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.6 11.7 |  |
| Chironomid pupae | Larvae | 10 | 8.9 | 41 | 51.6 | 6 | 5.7 | 12 | 11.7 |  |
| Chironomus decorus Coelotanypus | Larvae | 19 | 3.3 | 4 | 1.2 | 14 | 1.2 | 5 | 1.2 |  |
| Cryptochitonomus fulvus | Larvae | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 2.4 | ; | 1.4 | - | : |  |
| Helobdella elongata |  | 1 | 0.6 | 3 | $0 \cdot 4$ |  | 1.4 | $i$ | 0.3 |  |
| Hyorolimax grisea |  | 1 | 0.4 | 3 3 | 0.4 | 2 | ; | . | 0.3 |  |
| l yodrtlus templeront |  | 2 29 | 2.8 | 29 | 4.0 | 2 | . | " |  |  |
| Limnodrilus claparedianus |  | 29 263 | 25.3 | 291 | 39.7 | 188 | 21.6 | 153 | 46.0 |  |
| Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri Musculium transversum |  | 4 | 0.7 | 9 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.4 | . | . |  |
| Nematoda |  | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | . | . | - | - |  |  |
| Phaenopsectra | Larvae |  |  | 2 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.0 | - |  |  |
| Pistafum |  | 8 43 | 2.1 8.5 | 7 | 2.0 | 21 | 4.8 | 1 | 0.3 |  |
| Procladius | Larvae Larvae | 10 | 0.8 | 10 | 1.4 | 2 | . | 1 | 0.1 |  |
| total |  | 398 | 57.9 | 428 | 120.1 | 241 | 36.8 | 178 | 62.5 |  |

TABLE A-3 NUMBER AND BIOMASS (mg) OF bENTHIC MACROINVERTEERATES BY STATION, REPLICATE (A,B, C,D). AND LIFE STAGE TAKEN NEAR TMINS. JUNE. 1989.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Da |  | SJUN | no St | - laz |  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | c |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No | Wt. |
| Ablabesmyla | Larvae |  | . | 2 | - | 1 | - | . |  |
| Arcteonais lomondi |  | 1 | - | * | - | 5 | $0 \cdot 1$ | a | 4 |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 5 | 0* | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.4 |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | $\dot{5}$ | , | - | - | ; |  |
| Chironomio pupae | Pupae |  |  | 5 | 0.3 | 9 | 27.5 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 378 | 27.7 | 406 | 52.5 | 329 | 27.5 | 345 | 27.3 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | tarvae | 3 | 0.3 | 19 | 1.2 | 14 | 1.4 | $1 i$ | 0.2 |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | 5 | 0.2 | 19 | 1.2 | 14 | 1.4 | 11 | 0.2 |
| Helobdella elongata |  | 1 | 0.4 | - | - | . | - | - |  |
| Hexagenla | Larvae | 1 | 22.0 | 4 | - | 3 | : | $\dot{5}$ |  |
| Limnodrilus haffmeiseeri |  | 1 | , | 2 | ' | 3 | - | 5 |  |
| Limnodrilus udexemianus Musculium |  |  | - | 2 | . | 1 | 0.3 | i | 0.2 |
| Nemetoda |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Pnaenopsectra | Larvae | 9 | 0.4 | 40 | 3.6 | 18 | 1.6 | 34 | 2.6 |
| Physidae |  | . | . | ; | - | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 1.0 |
| Pistaium |  |  | $0 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 0.1 |  | - | 8 | 1.0 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvas | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | - | 5 | 0.2 | $\dot{a}$ | 0.4 |
| Procladius | Larvae | 1 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Sialis Tanytarsus | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | ; | 1 | - | ; | 0.1 |
| total |  | 408 | 51.3 | 485 | 57.8 | 380 | 32.0 | 420 | 32.6 |

TABLE A-3 CONTINUED

table a-3 continued.


TABLE A-A NUMEER ANO EIOMASS (mg) OF BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES bY STATION. REPLICATE (A.B.C.D): AND LIFE STAGE TAKEN NEAR TMINS, JULY. 1989.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Dat |  | 6JUL and Station 1A2 |  |  |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | c |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. Wt. |  |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 7 |  | 1 | 0.2 | . | * | - | . |
| Chironomia pugae | Pupae | 1 | 0.2 |  | 11. |  |  |  |  |
| Chiranomus decorus | Larvae | 44 | 13.2 | 18 | 11.4 | 16 | 8.3 | 7 | 1.1 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 1 | 0.2 | . | . | . | - | 1 | 0.3 |
| Dubiraphia | Larvae | 2 | $\cdot 0.2$ | ; | 0.3 | - | - | ; | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | 5 | $\bigcirc 0.8$ | 1 | 0.3 | * | - | 1 | 0.15 |
| Helobdella elongata |  | - | . | . | . | . | - | 1 | 0.5 |
| Ilyodrllus templeroni |  | 1 | $\cdot$ | - | - |  | $\bullet$ | . | - |
| Labrundinia | Larvae | 10 | $\cdots$ | - | , | 2 | * | - | - |
| Limnodrilus claparedianus |  | 10 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.6 | 8 | 1.1 | 11 |  |
| Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri |  | 83 | 7.3 | 4 | 0.6 | 8 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.0 |
| Limnodrilus udekemianus |  |  |  | - | - | - | . | 3 | 0.4 |
| Musculium transversum Nematoda |  | 1 | 1.6 | - | $\cdots$ | 2 | 0.2 | , | . |
| Nemetoda |  | 19 | 2.3 | 7 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | , | 0.7 | 1 | . | 2 |  | - | . |
| Stenelmis | harvae | 1 | 0.7 | . | - | 1 | 0.5 | - | - |
| total |  | 175 | 27.5 | 32 | 13.3 | 31 | 10.1 | 28 | 3.9 |

TABLE A-4 CONTINUED.

| Taxa | Life <br> Stage | A Dat |  | 6JJUL | and S | ก 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 8 |  | c |  | D |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| Ablabesmy fa | Larvae | 1 |  | - | , | - | - | - | . |
| Amnicala |  | 1 | 0.2 |  | $0 \cdot 1$ | ; |  |  |  |
| Bathrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 3 | . | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Brachycerus | Larvae |  |  | \% | . | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Ceratopogonidae | Lervae | 2 | 0.3 | ; |  | . | . | . | . |
| Cheumaropsyche | Pupae |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | ; | $0 \cdot$ | $\dot{\sim}$ |  |
| Chironomio pupae | Pupae | 2 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.1 | - | 0.2 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 30 | 5.2 | 58 | 16.3 | 96 | 24.5 | 84 | 18.3 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 4 | 0.4 | 7 | 1.4 | 9 | 1.2 | 5 | 0.2 |
| Dugesta tigrina |  | , |  | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | . | . |
| Erpabdellidae |  | 1 | 28.3 | 1 | 23.3 | 1 | 1.4 | . | . |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | 18 | 8.2 | 5 | 2.8 | 5 | 0.7 | 9 | 0.4 |
| Helobdella elongata |  | 7 | 1.4 | 6 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Helobdella siagnalis |  | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | . | . | - | . |
| Hexagenia | Larvae | 2 | 31.9 | 2 | 18.3 | - | - |  | 5 |
| Hyarolimax grisea |  | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | 7 | 0.5 |
| Ilyoorilus templetoni |  |  |  | 1 |  | 177 | 11.4 | - |  |
| Limnoarilus hoffmeisteri |  | 50 | 10.0 | 128 | 10.4 | 177 | 11.4 | 128 | 8.8 |
| Manayunkia soeciosa |  | 1 | 0.1 | . | . | ; |  | 4 |  |
| Musculium transversum |  | 1 | 0.1 |  |  | 2 | $0: 2$ | 4 | 0.5 |
| Nemaroda |  | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Pistaium |  | 13 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.8 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Procladius | Larvae | 6 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.8 |
| Stenelmis | Larvae | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.5 | - | . | - | - |
| TOTAL |  | 149 | 90.2 | 233 | 77.4 | 312 | 42.7 | 265 | 32.1 |

TABLE A-4 CONTINUED.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Da |  | 6JUL | nd 5 t | - 981 |  | . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | C |  | D |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wit. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Chironomid pupae | Pupae | 2 | , | 2 | 1.5 | - | . | 1 | 0.6 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 59 | 7.1 | 2 | 1.5 | . | - | 1 | 0.6 |
| Coelotanypus | Larvae | 1 | 0.8 | - | - | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvas | 5 | 0.8 | - | - | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Epoicocladius | Larvae | 14 |  | . |  |  | . | . |  |
| Gammarus fasciarus |  | 14 |  | - | . | - |  | i | 10.3 |
| Hexagenta Hydropsyche | Larvae Larvae | 1 | 4.6 | 1 | 0.1 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\cdots$ | $\dot{7}$ | 10.3 |
| Hyoropsyche Limnodrilus noffmetsteri |  | 139 | 19.1 | 71 | 24.6 | 54 | 13.4 | 78 | 13.4 |
| Limnodrilus udekemianus |  | 2 | 1.1 | 8 | 2.7 | - |  | $i$ | 0.2 |
| Muscullum transversum |  | 2 | 1.1 |  |  | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Pisioium |  | 8 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 3 |  | - | - |  |  | 1 | - |
| Quistadrtius multisetosus Tubificidae |  | 15 | 0.1 | - | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | . | - | . | - |
| total |  | 253 | 38.9 | 88 | 29.6 | 58 | 13.8 | 87 | 25.4 |


| TABLE A-5 |  | A Da |  | 2aUG | nd St | on |  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | c |  |  |  |
| Taxa | Lifa Stage | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Ht. | No. | Wt. |
| Aulodrilus pluriseta |  | 3 |  |  |  | $\pm$ | . |  |  |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 4 |  | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Branchiura sowerbyi |  | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 18.0 | 1 | 0.9 |  | . |
| Caenis | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | . |  | 3 | 0.4 |  | . |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | 6 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |  | . |
| Cnironomid pupae | Pupae | 5 | 1.7 | 2 | , | 53 | 6.7 |  | 3.7 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 44 | 13.2 | 42 | 5.3 | 53 | 6.7 | 25 | 3.7 |
| Coolotanypus | Larvae | 2 | - | - | , | ; | - |  | . |
| Corbicula fluminea |  | 3 | - | $\dot{3}$ | 0.5 | 8 | 2.2 | - |  |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus Gammarus fasciatus | Larvae | 3 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.5 | 8 | 2.2 |  | - |
| Gammarus fasciatus Harnischia | Larvae | . | . | - | . | - | . | 1 | - |
| Hydrolimax grisea |  | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | $\bullet$ |  | - |
| Ilyoarilus templezoni |  | 7 47 | 0.5 | 44 | 2.6 | 31 | 2.0 |  | 2.1 |
| Limnoarilus hoffmeisteri |  | 47 | 3.4 | 44 | 2.6 | 31 | 2.0 | 19 | 2.1 |
| Limnodrilus udekemianus |  | 6 | 0.4 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |  | . |
| Lumbriculidae Musculium transversum |  | 1 | 3.2 |  | - | 2 | 0.2 |  | - |
| Nematoda |  | , |  | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.2 |  | . |
| Plsidium |  |  | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 15 | 1.8 |  | - |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 2 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.7 |  | - |
| Procladius | Larvae | 9 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Quistadrilus multisetosus |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | , |  |
| total |  | 148 | 24.5 | 109 | 27.8 | 134 | 15.5 | 45 | 5.8 |

table a-5 continued.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Dat |  | 2AUG | and St | n 11 |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 8 |  | c |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| Arcteonals lomondi |  | 1 | - | ; | - | $\dot{3}$ | $0 \times 1$ | - |  |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 45 | 7.4 | 29 | 3.2 | 21 | 4.2 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Coelotanypus | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | ; | - | 1 | . | - | - |
| Corbicula fluminea |  | 4 | 18 | 14 | 1.7 | 10 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.7 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 14 | 1.8 | 14 | 1.7 | 10 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.7 |
| Erpobdellidae |  | 1 | 14.9 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | j | 0.1 |
| Gammarus fasctatus - |  | 5 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Harnischia Helobdella elongata | Larvae | , | - | 1 | 0.1 | , | - | i | 0.2 |
| Heloboella elongata Hexagenia | Larvae | 3 | 1.6 | . |  | 2 | 0.1 | ; |  |
| Hydrolimax grisea |  | 10 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.3 | ; | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Ilyodrtlus templetoni |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | ${ }_{7}$ | $7{ }^{\circ}$ | 54 | 4.9 |
| Limnoarilus noffmeisteri |  | 68 | 11.2 | 77 | 9.3 | 78 | 7.8 | 54 | 4.9 |
| Manayunkfa speciosa |  | , | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | - |  |
| muscultum |  | , | 0.7 | ; | 0.1 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Nemetoda |  | 4 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Nemat omorpha |  |  |  |  | , | 1 | 0.1 | - | . |
| pisiatum |  | 10 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | , | , | 5 | $0 \cdot 5$ | ; | 0.1 | , | - |
| Procladius | Larvae | 4 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | ; | - |
| Quistadrilus multisetosus |  | 1 | . | 2 | 0.6 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Tanytarsus | Larvae | - | - | 1 | - | ; | - |  |  |
| Thienemanimyia | Larvae | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | - |
| TOTAL |  | 174 | 40.5 | 155 | 17.5 | 125 | 13.8 | 72 | 6.4 |

table a-5 continued.



## table a-g continued.


table a-g continueo.


TABLE A-7 NUMBER AND BIOMASS (mg) OF BENTHIC MACROINVERTABRATES BY STATION, REPLICATE (A, B, C, D). AND LIFE STAGE TAKEN NEAR TMINS, OCTOBER, 1989.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Da |  | AOCT and Station laz |  |  |  | D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 日 |  | C |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |  |
| Ablabesmyia | Larvae |  | - | - | - | - | . | 4 | 0.4 |  |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Branchiura sowerby\{ |  | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 4 | 1.5 |  |
| Caenis | Larvae | 2 | 0.2 | ; |  | - | - | 2 | 0.1 |  |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | ; | , | 2 | 0.1 |  |
| Cnironomid pupae | Pupae |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | $i$ | $0 \cdot 2$ |  |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 24 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.2 |  |
| Chrysops | Larvae |  | 12.7 | ${ }^{1}$ | 0.1 |  | 4.4 | $\cdots$ | 9.6 |  |
| Cordicula fluminea |  | 27 | 12.7 | 50 | 19.0 | 26 | 4.4 | 23 | 9.6 |  |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 2 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | - |  |
| Dubiraphia | Larvae |  |  | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 3 |  |  |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | . | . | 3 | 0.2 |  |
| Heloboella elongata |  |  | 1018 | 25 |  |  | 37.6 | 5 32 | 0.8 76.9 |  |
| Hexagenia | Larvae | 34 | 101.8 | 25 | 44.6 0.3 | 26 | 37.6 | 32 | 76.9 0.2 |  |
| Hyarolimax grisea |  | 7 |  | 1 | 0.3 |  | 2.1 | 76 | 0.2 15.4 |  |
| Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri |  | 57 | 1.9 | 53 | 3.2 | 45 | 2.1 | 76 | 15.4 |  |
| Musculium transversum |  | 10 | 1.9 | + | , | 2 | $3 \cdot 5$ | 35 |  |  |
| pisiaium |  | 20 | 2.4 | 13 | 1.6 | 29 | 3.5 | 35 | 4.2 |  |
| Prociadius | Larvae | 17 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.2 |  |
| Stenelmis | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | ; |  | 1 | 0.1 | . | . |  |
| Stylurus | Larvae | - | - | 1 | 5.2 | . | - | - | - |  |
| TOTAL |  | 201 | 122.8 | 187 | 75.8 | 157 | 48.7 | 216 | 109.8 |  |

table a-t continued.

table a-t continued.

| Taxa | Life Stage | A Da |  | O40CT and Station 981 |  |  |  | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | B |  | c |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| Aolabesmyta | Larvae | 1 | - | ; | - | * | - | 2 | 0.1 |
| Arcteonats 1 mondt |  |  | , | 1 | . | 6 | . | 53 | 1.1 |
| Bothrioneurum vejoovskyanum |  |  | . | 2 | , | 3 | . |  |  |
| Centroptilum | Larvae | - | . | . | . | . | . | 2 | 0.4 |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | - | - | - |  | ; |  | 4 | 0.4 |
| Chironomid pupae | Pupae | - | * | . | $\cdots$ | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.3 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 15 | 3.9 | 9 | 1.8 | 9 | 2.0 | 78 | 15.4 |
| Coeloranypus | Larvae |  | . | 4 | 1.4 | 4 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.8 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 3 | - | 4 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.4 |
| Dubiraphia | Larvae | ; | 2.4 | . | . | 1 | 0.1 | 5 |  |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | , | 2.4 | . | . | 2 | 0.2 | 5 | 1.4 |
| Harnischia | Larvae | 1 |  | ; | - | I |  | - | - |
| Helobdella elongata |  | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| Hexegenia | Larvae | 48 | 65.7 | 37 | 32.0 | 40 | 32.3 | 22 | 27.9 |
| Hyarilimax grisea |  | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.3 | 13 | 1.2 | 32 | 4.4 |
| Ilyodrilus templetoni |  | 70 | 90 | 109 | 9.0 | 2 169 | 29.6 | 19 415 | 0.6 45.9 |
| Limnodrilus noffmeisteri |  | 70 | 9.0 | 109 | 9.0 | 169 | 29.6 | 415 | 45.9 |
| Lumbriculidae |  | ; |  | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 1 |  |
| Musculium transversum |  | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Nematoda | Larvae | - | . | ; | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | * | - |
| Oecetis | Larvae | $\stackrel{*}{4}$ |  | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 52 | 5.2 |
| Pisioium |  | 24 | 2.9 | 10 | 1.2 | 24 | 2.9 | 52 | 6.2 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 3 |  | 7 |  | 11 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Procladius | Larvee | 3 | 0.4 | 7 | 1.2 | 11 | 0.9 0.6 | 4 3 | 0.1 |
| Tanytarsus Tubificidae | Larvae | 1 | - | 2 | - | 6 | 0.6 | , | - |
| total |  | 170 | 86.1 | 192 | 48.1 | 311 | 71.9 | 708 | 105.6 |

table a-b number ano biomass (mg) of benthic macroinvertebrates by station. replicate (a.b.C.D), AND LIFE STAGE TAKEN NEAR TMINS, NOVEMBER, 1989.

| Taxa | Life Stage | Datea $0680 \%$ and Station |  |  |  |  | 142 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt: |
| Ablabesmyta | Larvae | 2 | - | 1 | - | . | - | 5 | 1.0 |
| Arcteonais lamondi |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | . |  |
| Bothrioneurum vejdovskyanum |  | 7 |  | 4 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Branchlura somerby 1 |  | 7 | 8.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 4.5 | . |  |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 211 | 307.2 | 150 | 57.9 | 239 | 71.4 | 39 | 24.1 |
| Corbicula fluminea |  | 10 | 13.1 | 9 | 6.6 | 19 | 3.7 | 6 | 1.3 |
| Cryptochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 14 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Dolíchopodidae | Larvae | . |  | . |  | 1 | 0.3 |  |  |
| Dubiraphia | Larvae | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Dugesta tigrina |  | 4 | 0.2 | . | . | ; | . | . | . |
| Ferrissta |  |  |  | . | . | 3 | 0.7 | , | . |
| Gammarus fasciatus |  | 28 | 20.9 | 8 | 5.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 1.4 |
| Helobdella elongata |  | 1 | 0.1 |  |  | 2 | 0.1 | - |  |
| Mexagenia | Larvae | 36 | 227.2 | 16 | 103.5 | 3 | 1.0 | 30 | 136.6 |
| Hyorolimax grisea |  | 4 | 1.2 | - | . | - | - | . | . |
| Hyoropsyche | Larvae | 4 | . | 7 | . | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | . |
| Ilyodrtlus templetanl |  | 4 | $0 \cdot$ | 7 | - | 7 | . | 1 | - |
| Leploostoma | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 20 | * | , | , | . | - |
| Limnodrilus claparedianus |  | 6 |  | 20 | 3.1 | $\dot{5}$ | - | 39 | 7.8 |
| Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri |  | 60 | 10.7 | 30 | 4.6 | 45 | 9.4 | 29 | 7.8 |
| bimnodrilus udekemianus |  | 8 | 1.3 | . | . | . | . | 3 | 0.9 |
| Lumbriculidae |  | 1 | 0.1 | . | . | ; |  | . | . |
| Macrumia sp | Larvae |  |  | . |  | 1 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Muscullum transversum |  | 7 | 2.8 | 3 | 0.4 | . | . | 2 | 0.9 |
| Nais |  | . |  | 1 |  | ; | . | * | $0 \cdot$ |
| Nematoda |  | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Petrophila | Larvae | . |  | . | . | 1 | 0.1 | , | . |
| Physa |  | 1 | 0.1 | 20 | 2, | 15 | - 1 | ; | 0.8 |
| Pisidium |  | 72 | 8.6 | 20 | 2,4 | 15 | 1.8 | 7 | 0.8 |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 1 | . | , | . | . | . | . | . |
| Pristina synclites |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | . | . |  | - |
| Prociadius | Larvae | 13 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 29 | 2.4 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Prodiamesa | Larvae | 10 | 14.6 | , |  | . | . | . | . |
| Prostama |  | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | . | . |
| Protoptila | Larvae | , | . | . | . | 1 | 0.1 | . | - |
| Stenelmis |  | 1 |  |  | - |  | . |  |  |
| Stenelmis | Larvae | 5 | 1.7 |  | . | . | - | 2 | 1.4 |
| Stylurus | Larvae | , | 0.6 | - |  | ; |  | . | . |
| Zavrelimyla | Larvae |  | . | * | . | 1 | . | - | . |
| Tanytarsus | Larvae | - | - | 1 | - | . | . | - | - |
| total |  | 535 | 619.7 | 293 | 185.0 | 392 | 103.1 | 141 | 177.7 |

## TABLE a-8 CONTINUED

Datesognov and Station 11At

table a-b continued.

| Taxa | Life Stage | Date=06NOV and Station 9 |  |  |  |  | 981 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | 8 |  | C |  | D |  |
|  |  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| ADIabesmy la | Larvae | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 11 | 3.5 | - |  |
| Anodonta cataracta |  |  | , | ; |  | 1 | 9.9 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Arcteonais lomond |  | 7 | 0.1 | 1 |  | 4 | . | 4 | 0.2 |
| Bathrioneurum vejoovskyanum |  | 2 | 0.2 |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Ceratopogonidae | Larvae | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 10 | 1.9 | 5 | 0.6 |
| Chaoborus | Larvae |  | 16. | 1 | 0.1 | 79 | 359 | 38 |  |
| Chironomus decorus | Larvae | 32 | 16.4 | 27 | 6.3 | 79 | 35.9 | 38 | 11.4 1.8 |
| Coelotanypus | Larvae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.2 1.6 | 12 | 1.8 0.8 |
| Cryprochironomus fulvus | Larvae | 13 | 1.1 | 10 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.0 |
| Dubirapnia | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | $i$ | 0.1 |
| Epoicocladius Gammarus fasctatus | Larvae | 10 | 0.8 5.3 | i | 0.5 | 2 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Glyptotendipes | Larvae |  | . 0 | - | 18 | 2 | 1 '9 | 4 | 1.3 |
| Helobdella elongata |  | 5 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.8 | 27 | 125.9 | 94 | 128.3 |
| Hexagenia | Larvae | 55 | 121.7 | 58 | 64.1 | 67 | 125.6 | 94 | 128.3 |
| Hydrolimax grisea |  | 4 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 37 4 | 5.9 | - | - |
| Ilyodrilus templetoni |  | 119 | 14.2 | 88 | 8.3 | 118 | 26.1 | 74 | 15.0 |
| Limnodrilus noffmeisteri Manayunk speciosa |  | 119 | 14.2 | 88 | 8.3 | 1 |  | 7 | 0.1 |
| Manayunkia speciosa Musculium eransvarsum |  | 26 | 4.1 | 42 | 6.4 | 17 | 3.6 | 8 | 1.0 |
| Nematoda |  | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | - | - | . | 1 | 0.1 |
| Oecetis | Larvae |  |  | 7 | 0.1 | 34 |  | 31 | 0.1 3.7 |
| Pisidium |  | 45 | 5.4 | 7 | 0.8 | 34 | 4.1 | 3 | 3.7 |
| Polycentropus sp | Larvae | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Polypedilum scalaenum | Larvae | 1 |  |  |  | 35 | . 3.5 | 49 | 0.1 |
| Prociadius | Larvae | 36 | 2.9 3.9 | 20 | 3.6 | 35 | . 3.5 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Sialis | Larvae | 1 | 3.9 | 1 | 3.6 | - | - | - |  |
| TOTAL |  | 373 | 180.0 | 269 | 95.5 | 438 | 225.4 | 343 | 164.9 |

## APPENDIX B

## ICHTHYOPLANKTON DATA



|  | TM-LF-11A1 |  |  | TM-LF-1481 |  |  |  | TM-LF-10日2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 9B1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 8 | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| volume Sampled (m) | 29.00 |  | . 80 | 20.10 |  | 20.10 |  | 25.20 |  | 24.70 |  | 29.00 |  | 28.40 |  |
| Taxa | $N$ Dans. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dans. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Total | 00.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |

TABLE b-2 NUMBER (N) AND DENSITY (N/100m ) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON 11 APRIL IGB9.

|  | TM-LF-12A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4A1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 28.60 |  | 28.10 |  | 33.00 |  | 32.60 |  | 30.80 |  | 30.40 |  | 32.10 |  | 31.30 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Total |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |

to
1
$N$



## TABLE B-3 CONTINUED.

|  | TM-LF-11A 1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1481 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF-981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | A |  | $\theta$ |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 30.20 |  | 29.80 |  | 29.90 |  | 29.20 |  | 29.80 |  | 29.10 |  | 31.10 |  | 30.90 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dans. | N | Dens. | N | Dans. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Young <br> Spotfin shiner |  | - |  | - |  | * |  | - |  | - | 1 | 3.44 |  | , |  | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Egg } \\ & \text { Unidentified (eggs) } \end{aligned}$ |  | . |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1 | 3.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |


| TABLE B-4 NUMEER ( N ) AND DENSITY ( $\mathrm{N} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON 24 APRIL IG89. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TM-LF-12A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13AZ |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4A1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 日 |
| $3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxa | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. |
| Total | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |



TABLE B-5 NUMBER ( N ) AND DENSITY ( $\mathrm{N} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON OS MAY 1989.

|  | TM-LF-12A |  | TM-LF-16A |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  | TM-LF-4A1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | A | 8 | A | 8 | A | B |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 32.10 | 31.20 | 27.40 | $\vdots$ 26.50 | 32.60 | 31.90 | 27.40 | 26.50 |
| таха | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dens. |
| Larvae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soortall shiner |  |  | . |  | 13.07 | 1 3.13 | - | . $\cdot$ |
| Quillback ${ }^{\text {Tessellated darter }}$ | 13.12 | $13.21$ |  | 13.77 | 1 3.07 | ; 3.13 | - | - |
| Tessellated darter | - . | 412.82 | 13.65 | : $\quad$ : | 13.07 | ; 3.13 | - |  |
| Banded darter | $3 \quad 9.35$ | 13.21 | ; 3.65 | $\cdots \quad$. | - . | $\cdots$. | $\cdots \quad$. | ; 3.77 |
| ```Ugidentified (eggs)``` | - . |  | . . | . | - - | - - | - . | . . |
| Total | 412.46 | 619.23 | 27.30 | 13.77 | 26.13 | 26.27 | $0 \quad 0.00$ | 13.77 |

table 8-5 continued.

| TM-LF-11A1 |  | TM-LF-1481 |  | TM-LF-1082 |  | TM-LF-981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | A | 8 | A | - | A | B |
| 33.00 | 31.80 | 28.80 | 28.60 | 29.80 | 29.00 | 31.10 | 29.9 |
| N Dens | $N$ Dens | $N$ Dens | N Dens. | $N$ Den | N Den | N Dens | 1 D |



TABLE B-6 NUMBER ( $N$ ) AND DENSity ( $\mathrm{N} / 100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) OF IChthyoplankton COLLECTED from York haven pond on 22 may 1989.

|  | TM-LF-12A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4AI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 25 | 00 |  | 4.50 |  | . 00 |  | . 20 |  | . 20 |  | . 90 |  | . 00 |  | . 10 |
| Taxa | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Spatrall shiner | - | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Quillback | . | . | . | - | . | - | . | - | 1 | 3.31 | - |  |  | - |  | - |
| Banded darter | - | . | - | . | . | . | . | , | 1 | 3.31 | ; |  | - | . | - |  |
| Unidentifiable fish | . | . | - | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | 1 | 3.46 | - | - | - | - |
| Larvae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spottail shiner |  |  | - | - | 1 | 3.33 | ; |  | - | - | $\dot{ }$ |  | ; |  |  |  |
| Quillback | 2 | 0.00 | - | - | 2 | 6.67 | 1 | 3.42 | 5 | 16.56 | 2 | 6.92 | 3 | 10.34 | 2 | 7.12 |
| Tessellated darter | 3 | 12.00 | 4 | 16.33 | . |  | 2 | 6.85 | 2 | 6.62 | 2 | 6.92 | . | . | . | . |
| Yellom perch | . | . |  |  | I |  |  |  | . | . | 1 | 3.46 | . | . | - | . |
| Snield darter |  | - 00 | 1 | 4.0 OB | 1 | 3.33 | 1 | 3.42 | ; |  | 4 | 19, | . | . | . | . |
| Banded darter | 2 | 8.00 | 1 | 4.08 | 3 | 10.00 | 4 | 13.70 | 1 | 3.31 | 4 | 13.84 | . | . | . | - |
| Unidentifiable fish | . | . | . | . | 1 | 3.33 | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | . | . | . |
| Total | 7 | 28.00 | 6 | 24.49 | 8 | $26^{\circ} .67^{\circ}$ | 8 | 27.40 | 10 | 33:11 |  | 34.60 | 3 | 10.34 | 2 | 7.12 |

TABLE E-6 CONTINUED.

|  | TM-LF-11A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1AB1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF-981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 8 |  |
| Volume Samplea (m) | 31.00 |  | 30.20 |  | 28.30 |  | 28.00 |  | 29.80 |  | 29.00 |  | 30.10 |  | 28.90 |  |
| Taxa | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Spottail shiner | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - | 1 | 3.32 | - | , |
| Quiliback | . | . | . | . | - | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | 1 | 3.32 | . | . |
| Eanded darter | . | . | . | . | . | - | 1 | 3.57 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Unidentifiable fish | . | - | - |  | - | . | . |  | , | . | - | . | - | - | - | - |
| Larvae <br> Spottall shiner |  |  | - | - | - |  | . | - | - |  | - |  | 2 | 6.64 | - |  |
| Qulliback | 2 | 6.45 | - | 26.49 | 2 | 7.07 | 6 | 21.43 | 1 | 3.36 | 1 | 3.45 | 5 | 16.61 | 9 | 31.14 |
| Tesselleted darter | 3 | 9.68 | 2 | 6.62 | 1 | 3.53 | 1 | 3.57 | 3 | 10.07 | 7 | 24.14 | 2 | 6.64 | 1 | 3.46 |
| Yellow perch | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| Shield darter |  |  |  |  |  | 77.74 |  | $10 \cdot 5$ | . | - |  |  | , |  | , |  |
| Banded darter | 2 | 6.45 | 1 | 3.31 | 22 | 77.74 |  | 103.6 | . | - | 5 | 17.24 | ! | 3.32 | 1 | 3.46 |
| Unidentifiable fish | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | , | - | , | . |  | . | . | . | - |
| Total |  | 22.58 |  | 36.42 | 25 | 88.34 | 37 | 132.1 | 4 | 13.42 | 13 | 44.83 | 12 | 39.87 | 11 | 38.06 |

3
TABLE E-7 NUM日ER (N) AND DENSITY (N/IOOm ) OF LCHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN PGND ON 29 MAY I 989 ,

|  | TM-LF-12AI |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4AI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | 29. | . 80 |  | . 80 |  | . 30 |  | . 20 |  | . 60 |  | . 90 |  | . 10 |  | . 80 |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Quilldack | 1 | 3.36 | - | - | 1 | 3.30 | 3 | 10.27 | 1 | 3.07 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3.47 |
| Larvae <br> Gizzard shad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spottail shiner | i | 3.36 | i | 3.47 | * | . | i | 3.42 | 2 | 6.13 | i | 3.24 | 9 | 30.93 | 4 | 13.89 |
| Quillback | 29 | 97.32 | 19 | 65.97 | 26 | 85.81 | 31 | 106.2 | 18 | 55.21 | 22 | 71.20 | 11 | 37.80 | 15 | 52.08 |
| White sucker | 1 | 3.36 | - |  | ; | 3.30 | . | . | 4 | 12* 7 | ; | 6.47 | - | . | * | - |
| Tessellated darter | 5 | 16.78 | 10 | 34.72 | 1 | 3.30 | . | . | 4 | 12.27 | 2 | 6.47 | . | . | . | - |
| Snield darter Banded darter | 2 | 6.71 10.07 | i | 3.47 | - | - | - | - | . | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | * | . | - | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | - | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |
| Total | 42 | 140.9 | 31 | 107.6 | 28 | 92.41 | 35 | 119.9 | 25 | 76.69 | 25 | 80.91 | 20 | 68.73 |  | 69.44 |

0
1
3
TABLE B-7 CONTINUED.

|  | TM-LF-11A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-148, |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF-981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 0 |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 31.50 |  | 30.80 |  | 30.40 |  | 29.20 |  | 31.20 |  | 30.00 |  | 32.40 |  | 30.70 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. |
| Quillback | 3 | 9.52 | 2 | 6.49 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6.41 | 1 | 3.33 | 2 | 6.17 | 3 | 9.77 |
| Larvae <br> Gizzard shad |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6.58 | ; |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| Spottati shiner | 3 | 9.52 | 5 | 16.23 | 5 | 16.45 | 2 | 6.85 | 1 | -3.21 | 21 | ${ }^{6} 6.67$ | 72 | ${ }_{2}^{6.17}$ | 3 54 | 9.77 175.9 |
| Quillback | 63 | 200.0 | 47 | 152.6 | 32 | 105.3 | 18 | 61.64 | 23 | 73.72 | 21 | 70.00 | 72 | 222.2 | 54 | 175.9 |
| White sucker | 1 | 3.17 | ; | 3. 25 | 5 | 16.45 | 3 5 | 10.27 17.12 | 18 | 57.69 | 6 | 20.00 | 14 | 43.21 | 13 | 42.35 |
| Tessellated darter Shield darter |  | - | 1 | 3.25 | 5 | 16.45 | 5 |  | 2 | 6.41 | 1 | 3.33 | . |  | . | . |
| Banded darter | - | - |  | - | 1 | 3.29 | 1 | 3.42 | . | . | 1 | 3.33 | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 70 | 222.2 | 55 | 178.6 | 45 | 148.0 | 29 | 99.32 | 46 | 147.4 | 32 | 106.7 | 90 | 277.8 | 73 | 237.8 |



TABLE B-8 CONTINUED.



TABLE B-9 CONTINUED.



TABLE B-10 CONTINUED.

|  |  | TM-LF-1\|A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1481 |  |  |  | TM-LF-10B2 |  |  |  | TM-LF-981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
|  | Volume Sampled (m) | 30.30 |  | 2B,90 |  | 23.70 |  | 23.20 |  | 28.60 |  | 27.00 |  | 27.60 |  | 25.70 |  |
|  | Taxa | N | Dana. | N | Dans. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. |
|  | Banded darter <br> Unidentifiable fish | - | - | ; | 3.45 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | . |
|  | Larvae Common carp | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4.22 | 2 | 8,62 | - | - |  | * |  | - | . | - |
|  | Golden shiner |  | . | . | . | . | . |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spottail shiner |  | . |  | . | - | - | 1 | 4.31 4.31 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 1 | 3.89 |
|  | Swallowtail shiner |  | , |  | . | ; | ${ }^{\circ} \cdot 2$ | 1 | 4.31 | - | * |  | 3.70 |  | - |  |  |
|  | Spotfin shiner | ; | 3.30 | ; | 3.46 | 1 | 4.22 | 1 | $4.31$ | $i$ | 3.50 | 6 | 3.70 22.22 |  | : | 1 | 3.89 |
|  | Quill Shorthead redhorse | 1 | 3.30 | 1 | 3.46 3.46 | - | . | 1 | 4.31 | 1 | 3.50 3.50 | 6 | 22.22 |  | : | 1 | 3.89 |
| 1 | Shorthead redhorse Rock bass |  | . | . | 3.4 | 9 | 37.97 | 6 | 25.86 | 1 | 3.50 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 1 | Smallmouth dass |  | . | . | . | . | . | 1 | 4.31 | - | . |  | - |  | . |  | - |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | Sunfishes | ; |  | . | . | - | - | 1 | 4,31 | - | - |  | . |  | 3. 62 |  |  |
| $\omega$ | Tessellated darter Banded darter | 2 | 3.30 6.60 | i | 3.46 | 2 | 8. 44 | 3 | 12.93 | - | - | - | - |  |  | 4 | 15.56 |
|  | Young Channel catfish | 1 | 3.30 | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | . | - |  | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 5 | 16.50 | 4 | 13.84 | 13 | 54.85 | 17 | 73.28 | 3 | 10.49 |  | 25.93 |  | 3.62 | 6 | 23.35 |

TABLE B-11 NUMBER ( N ) AND DENSITY ( $\mathrm{m} / 100 \mathrm{~m}$ ) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON $27-28$ JUNE 1989.

|  | TM-LF-12A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A 1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4A, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 31.70 |  | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 29.00 |  | 32.50 |  | 29.90 |  | 29.70 |  | 28.20 |  |
| Taxa | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Quillback | - | - | . | . |  | - |  | - |  |  | 1 | 3.34 |  |  |  | - |
| Banded darter | ; | . | - | - |  | - |  | * |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Unidentiflable fish | 1 | 3.15 | - | . |  | . |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Untdentified (eggs) | . | - | - | . |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | , |  | - |  | - |
| Larvae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gizzard shad | - |  | i |  |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Common carp | . | . | 1 | 3.33 | - | . |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Spotfin shiner | i | 3.15 | - | - | - | : |  | : | i | 3.08 | ; | 3.34 |  | . |  | . |
| Oufllback | 1 | 3.15 | * | ' | - | . |  | - | - | 3.00 | 1 | 3.34 |  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |
| Snorthead redhorse Yellow bullhead | - | - | * | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-}$ | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |
| Tessellated darter | $\cdot$ | . | - |  | . | - |  |  | 1 | 3.08 | ; |  |  | . |  | - |
| Banded darter | , | . | 1 | 3.33 | - | . |  | 3.45 |  | 6.15 | 1 | 3.34 |  | - |  | - |
| Voung channel cation | - | - | 1 | 3.33 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | 2 | 6.31 | 3 | 10.00 | 0 | 0.00 |  | 3.45 | 4 | 12.31 | 3 | 10.03 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |

TABLE B-11 CONTINUED.

|  |  | TM-LF-11A |  |  |  | TM-LF-1481 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
|  | Volume Sampleo (m) | 28.30 |  | 27.70 |  | 30.90 |  | 29.30 |  | $30.60{ }^{\prime}$ |  | 28.60 |  | 31.90 |  | 30.20. |  |
|  | Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. |
|  | Quillback |  | . | - | - | - | - |  | : |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | - |
|  | Bandid darter |  | . | * | - | . | - |  | - | 1 | 3.27 |  | - |  |  |  | 3.31 |
|  | Unidentifiable fish Unidentified (eggs) | . | : | " | . | - | - | 1 | 3.41 | . | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Larvae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{1}{\infty}$ | Glzzard shad Common carp | ' | - | - | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { b }}$ | - | - | 1 | 3.41 3.41 | - | * |  | 3. 50 |  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  | - |
| $\cdots$ | Spotfin shiner |  | , | . | . | 3 | 9.71 |  | $3 \cdot 41$ |  | , |  | 3.50 |  | 3.13 | 1 | 3.31 |
|  | Qutllback | 1 | 3.53 | - | . | 3 | 9.71 | 1 | 3.41 |  | - |  | - |  | 3.13 |  | 3.31 |
|  | Shorthead reahorse | - | - | * | ' | i | 3.24 |  |  | - | , |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yellow bullhead Tessellated darter | - | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | 1 | 3.61 | 1 | 3.24 |  | * | - | . |  | - |  |  |  | . |
|  | Tessellated darter Banded darter | - | - |  | 3.61 | 3 | 9.71 | $\dot{3}$ | 10.24 | i | 3.27 |  | . | 2 | 6.27 |  | - |
|  | Young Cnannel catfish | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | . |  | - |
|  | Total | 1 | 3.53 | 1 | 3.61 | 7 | 22.65 |  | 23.89 | 2 | 6.54 |  | 3.50 | 3 | 9.40 | 3 | 9.93 |


|  | TM-LF-12A 1 |  | TM-LF-16A |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  | TM-LF-4A1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | A | в | A | B | A | B |
| volume Sampled (m) | 29.40 | 27.60 | 30.90 | 28.90 | 31.10 | 29.10 | 30.10 | 28.40 |
| тaxa | $N$ Dens. | $N$ Dans. | $N$ Dens. | N Dens. | N Dens. | N Dens. | N Dens. | N Dens. |
| Banded darter | . - | 3.62 | - | - - | - - | - - | . $\cdot$ |  |
| Larvae Common carp |  | - $\cdot$ | 13.24 | - : | $\cdots \quad$. | i 3.44 |  |  |
| Spottall shiner Spotfin shiner |  | 2 7. 25 | - : | $\cdots \quad$. |  |  |  |  |
| Mimic shiner |  |  |  | - $\cdot$ | . . | 13.44 | . . | - $\cdot$ |
| Quiliback | 3.40 | 3.62 | 13.24 | - | - - | - : |  | ; 3.52 |
| Shorthead redhorse |  |  |  | - : |  | - : |  | ; 3.52 |
| Tessellated darter Banded darter | $1 \begin{aligned} & 3.40 \\ & 1 \quad 3.40\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | i 3.24 | $\div \cdot$ | $\cdots \quad$. |  |  | - . |
| Young <br> Channel catfish Tessellated darter | 13.40 | $\div \cdot$ | $\cdots \quad$. | 1 <br> . <br>  | $\cdots \quad$ : |  | $\cdots \quad$ : | $\cdots \quad$ : |
| Eg9 <br> Unidentified (eggs) | . $\cdot$ | . | - | - . | . . | . . | . - | - - |
| Total | 413.61 | 725.36 | 39.71 | 13.46 | $0 \quad 0.00$ | 26.87 | $0 \quad 0.00$ | 3.52 |





TABLE B-14 NUMBER (N) AND DENSITY (N/100m ) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON 17 JULY IGES.

|  | TM-LF-12AI |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4AI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 日 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 8 |  |
| Volume Samplad (m) | 27.40 |  | 26.10 |  | 27.10 |  | 26.40 |  | 29.50 |  | 28.40 |  | 28.90 |  | 28.00 |  |
| Texa | N | Dens. | N | Dans. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dans. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Larvae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common carp | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comely shiner | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7.38 | $\dot{\square}$ | . | 2 | 6.78 | 4 | 14.08 | 1 | 3.46 | 1 | 3.57 |
| Spotfin shiner |  | - | - |  | 2 | 7.38 3.69 | $i$ | 7.58 | 6 | 20.34 | 8 | 28.17 | , |  |  | 3.57 |
| Mimic shiner Rock dass |  | - | - | - | . | 3.69 | 2 | 7.50 | 6 | 20.34 | . | 20.17 | $i$ | 3.46 |  | . |
| Reobreast sunfish | 3 | 10.95 | . | . | - | - | . | . | . | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Largemoutn bass | ; |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6. 78 |  |  | i | 3.46 |  |  |
| Sunfishes | 1 | 3.65 | - | . | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6.78 |  |  | 1 | 3.46 |  | - |
| Crapples |  | . | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , |  |  |  |  |
| Tessellated darter Banded darter | - | ' | , | . | - | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | i | 3.79 | 4 | 13.56 | 2 | 7.04 | - | . |  | 3.57 |
| Young Cnannel catfish | 16 | 50.39 |  | 61.30 | 29 | 107.0 |  | 162.9 | 28 | 94.92 | 27 | 95.07 |  | 48.44 |  | 60.71 |
| Total | 20 | 72.99 |  | 61.30 | 32 | 118.1 |  | 174.2 | 42 | 142.4 | 41 | 144.4 |  | 58.82 |  | 67.86 |

TABLE B-14 CONTINUED.

|  | TM-LF-11A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-14B |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF-981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 29.70 |  | 28.60 |  | 25.30 |  | 24.90 |  | 33.70 |  | 32.20 |  | 28.70 |  | 27.80 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Larvae |  |  |  |  |  | 3.95 |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | 2 | 7.19 |
| Common carp Comely shiner | - |  | i | 3.50 |  | 3.9 | * | - | ; |  | - | . | 2 | 6.97 | 4 | 14.39 |
| Spotfin shiner | - |  | 1 | 3.50 |  | , | - | - | 2 | 5.93 | - | - | 2 | 6.97 | 1 | 14.39 3.60 |
| Mimic sminer | - | - | 1 | 3.50 |  | - | i |  |  | , | . |  | 2 | 6.97 3.48 | 1 |  |
| Roch bass | $\cdot$ | - | - | - | - | . | 1 | 4.02 | - | . | i | 3.11 | . | 3.48 | , | . |
| Redbreast sunfish Largemouth bass | $\bullet$ | - | - | . | - | $\stackrel{.}{ }$ | 1 | 4.02 | - |  | 4 |  |  | . |  | , |
| Sunfismes | - | - | ; | 3.50 |  |  | . | . | 1 | 2.97 | 4 | 12,42 |  | - | ' | . |
| Crapoies | - | , | - | . | 2 | 7.91 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | $i$ | 3.60 |
| Tessellated darter Banded darter | - | . | - | - | - | . | - | - | 1 | 2.97 | $i$ | 3.11 | 2 | 6.97 | 1 | 3.60 |
| Young Channel catifish |  | 94.28 | 38 | 132.9 |  | 59.29 |  | 68.27 | 20 | 59.35 | 29 | 90.06 |  | 146.3 | 43 | 154,7 |
| Total |  | 94.20 |  | 146.9 | 18 | 71.15 |  | 80.32 | 24 | 71.22 | 35 | 108. 7 | 49 | 170.7 | 52 | 187.1 |

TABLE E-15 NUMEER (N) AND DENSITY (N/ $100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON 24 JULY IGB9.

|  | TM-LF-12AI |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4A: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | E |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 31.00 |  | 29.80 |  | 28.80 |  | 27.90 |  | 30.10 |  | 28.80 |  | 28.00 |  | 27.10 |  |
| Taxa | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dans. | N | Dens. |


| Larvae Spotfinshiner |  |  |  |  | 4 | 14.34 | - | * | 3 | 10.42 | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluntnose minnow | .$\quad$. | . | 2 | 6.94 | . | . | . | . | - | 10. | . | . | . | . |
| mimic shiner | . . | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 10.42 |  | - | - | - |
| Rock Dass | - ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * |  | - |
| Tessellated darter | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | . |
| Banded derter | . . | - | - | . | 1 | 3.58 | - | - | 1 | 3.47 | . | - | - | - |
| Unidentifizble fisn | . . | . . | * | , | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Young <br> Yellow bullinead Channel catfish | 1238.71 | $\dot{9} 30.20$ | 22 | 76.39 | 7 | 25.09 | 24 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.32 \\ 79.73 \end{array}$ | 17 | 59.03 | 7 | 25.00 | 7 | 25.83 |
| Total | 1238.71 | 930.20 | 24 | 83.33 | 12 | 43.01 | 25 | 83.06 | 24 | 83.33 | 7 | 25.00 | 7 | 25.83 |


|  | TM-LF-11A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-14B 1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 30.20 |  | 29.60 |  | 30.50 |  | 29.20 |  | 30.40 |  | 28.80 |  | 29.80 |  | 29.00 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dans. | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Lbrvae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spotfin shiner | 2 | 6.62 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | 6 | 20.13 | , | . |
| Bluntrose minnow | . | . | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | 3. |
| Mimic shiner |  | . | - | - |  | - | ; |  |  | * | - | . |  | - | 1 | 3.45 |
| Rack bass | - | . | - | . | . | - | 2 | 6.85 |  | - | - | . |  |  |  | - |
| Tessellated darter |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | * | - |  | 1 | 3.36 |  |  |
| Banded darter Unidentifiable fish | 1 | 3.31 | 1 | 3.38 | ' | 3.28 | 1 | 3.42 | - | - | - | - |  | 3.36 | 1 | 3.45 |
| Unidentifiable fish | - |  | - |  |  | - | - | . |  | - |  | - |  | 3.36 | - |  |
| Young <br> Yellow bullhead | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ; |  |
| Channel catfish | ; | 3.31 | $i$ | 3.38 | 2 | 6.56 | 2 | 6.85 | 10 | 32.89 | 6 | 20.83 | 2 | 6.71 | 2 | 6.90 |
| Total | 4 | 13.25 | 2 | 6.76 | 3 | 9.84 | 5 | 17.12 | 10 | 32.89 |  | 20.83 |  | 33.56 | 4 | 13.79 |



TABLE B-16 CONTINUED.


table b-17 COntinued.

|  | TM-LF-11A |  |  |  | TM-LF-1481 |  |  |  | TM-LF-1082 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 981 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | 日 |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 20.20 |  | 27.20 |  | 27.90 |  | 27.40 |  | . 28.90 |  | 28.10 |  | 27.10 |  | 26.30 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dans. | $N$ | Dans. | N | Dens. |
| Gizzard shad | - | - | - | - | , | . | , | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Larvae Gizzard shad | - |  | , |  | 15 | 53.76 | 10 | 36.50 | - | , |  | * |  |  |  | - |
| Common carp | - | - | - | - | . | 53.76 | . | . | 3 | $10^{\circ} 38$ |  | . | 2 | 7.38 |  | - |
| Comely shiner | - | - | - | - | - | . |  |  | 3 | 10.38 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Spottafl shiner | 4 | 14.18 | 2 |  | 4 |  | $\dot{9}$ | 32.85 | 1 | 3.46 | 5 | 17.79 | 3 | 11.07 | 3 | 11.41 |
| Spotitin shiner | $1{ }_{1}^{4}$ | 14.18 39.01 | 12 | 74.35 | 10 | 14.34 35.84 | 4 | 14.60 | 1 | 3.46 |  | 17.78 | 7 | 25.83 | 3 | 11.81 |
| Mimic shiner Rock bass | , | 39.01 | . | . | . | . | . | . | $\dot{ }$ |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Redbreast sunfish | ; |  | . | . | - | . | 1 | 3.65 | 2 | 6.92 6.92 |  | 7.12 |  | . |  | 7.60 |
| Sunfishes | 1 | 3.55 | $\cdot$ |  |  |  | $i$ | 3.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Young |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spotfin shiner Mimic shiner | - | - | 1 | 3.68 | - | : | . |  | - | * |  |  |  | 3. 60 |  | 80 |
| Channel catfisn | . |  |  |  | . | . | , |  |  | . |  | 3.56 |  | 3.69 |  |  |
| Total | 16 | 56.74 | 15 | 55.15 |  | 103.9 |  | 91.24 | B | 27.68 |  | 28.47 |  | 47.97 |  | 34.22 |

TABLE B-1a NUMBER (N) ANO DENSITY (N/100m) OF ICHTHYOPLANKTON COLLECTED FROM YORK HAVEN POND ON 16 AUGUST IGB9.

|  | TM-LF-12A1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-16A 1 |  |  |  | TM-LF-13A2 |  |  |  | TM-LF- 4A1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | 8 |  | A |  | B |  | A |  | B |  |
| Volume Sampled (m) | 30.20. |  | 28.90 |  | 28.10 |  | 27.10 |  | 29.10 |  | 28.30 |  | 29.10 |  | 28.30 |  |
| Taxa | N | Dens. | $N$ | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dans. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. | N | Dens. |
| Spotfin shiner |  | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | * | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mimic shiner |  | . | - | - | - | - | , | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| Sunfishes Untaentiftable fish |  | $\cdots$ | - | . | - | . | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Larvae Gizzard shad |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3.69 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gizzard shad Common carp |  | 3.31 | 5 | 17.30 | , | 7.12 | ; | 3. 69 | ; | $3 \cdot 44$ | ; | 3.53 | 7 | 24.05 | - | - |
| Spotfin shiner |  | 16.56 | 5 | 17.30 | 2 | 7.12 | 1 | 3.69 | 1 | 3.44 | ; | 3.53 | 7 | 24.05 | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ |  |
| Eluntnose minnow |  | 3.31 | 2 | 6.92 | 1 | 3.56 | 1 | 3.69 | 1 | 3.44 | - | - | 1 | 3.44 | 5 | 17.67 |
| Mimic shiner Redbreast sunfish |  | 3.3 | 1 | 3.46 | . | . |  | . | " | , | - | . |  | 13.75 | 3 | 10.60 |
| Sunfishes |  | - |  | 10.38 | - | - | - | , | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Young <br> Mimic shiner |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3.53 |
| Total |  | 23.18 | 11 | 38.06 | 3 | 10.68 | 3 | 11.07 | 2 | 6.87 | 1 | 3.53 | 12 | 41.24 |  | 31.80 |







## APPENDIX C

TABLE C-1
Fishes taken by seine on 13 April 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.


Banded darter

+ Less than 0.05\%.

TABLE $\mathrm{C}-2$
Fishes taken by seine on 22 May 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

| Station | 1385 | 10B5 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 9B3 | 4A2 | Total | 8 Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sime | 0850 | 0930 | 1130 | 1050 | 1010 | 1210 |  |  |
| Air temp (C) | 19.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 25.0 |  |  |
| Water Temp ( $C$ ) | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.0 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 8.9 |  |  |
| pH | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.9 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 76.2 | 76.2 | 66.0 | 68.6 | 76.2 | 53.3 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 2.13 | 2.13 | 2.13 | 2.13 | 2.13 | 2.13 |  |  |
| Weather | Partly | Partly | Over- | Partly | Partly | Partly |  |  |
|  | Cloudy | Cloudy | cast | cloudy | Cloudy |  |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 279 | 124 | 124 | 451 | 113 | 219 | 1310 |  |
| No. of Species | 11 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 26 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Comely shiner | 1 | - | 9 | 2 | 1 | - | 38 | 2.9 |
| Spottail shiner | 19 | 6 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 19 | 1.4 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 12 | 5 | - | 392 | 23 | - | 649 | 49.5 |
| Spotfin shiner | 115 | 50 | 69 | 392 | 61 | 4 | 188 | 14.4 |
| Mimic shiner | 59 | 32 | 18 | 14 | 61 | 46 | 115 | 8.8 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 50 | 9 | - | 4 | 3 | 46 | -15 | 0.2 |
| Fallfish | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Banded killifish | 9 |  |  | $\frac{1}{3}$ |  | - | 45 | 3.4 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 9 | 16 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 18 | 23 | 1.8 |
| Green sunfish | 1 | 5 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 54 | 93 | 7.1 |
| Pumpkinseed | 6 | 5 | 2 | 23 2 | 3 | 93 | 97 | 7.4 |
| Bluegill | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Lepomis hybrid |  | I | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 1.4 |
| Smallmouth bass | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| White crappie | - |  |  |  | - | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Black crappie |  |  | 4 | 4 | 5 | - | 13 | 1.0 |
| Tessellated darter | - | - | 4 | 4 | 1 | _ | 1 | 0.1 |
| Banded darter | - | - | - |  | 1 |  |  |  |

TABLE C-3
Fishes taken by seine on 30 May 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.


TABLE C-4
Fishes taken by seine on 8 June 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

| Station | 1385 | 1085 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 9B3 | 4 A2 | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 1135 | 1037 | 0845 | 0922 | 0955 | 0815 |  |  |
| Air Temp ( C$)$ | 20.3 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.0 |  |  |
| Water Temp(C) | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 18.0 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 9.4 | 9.8 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.9 |  |  |
| pH | 7.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 139.7 | 124.5 | 94.0 | 88.9 | 91.4 | 124.5 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 | 1.54 |  |  |
| Weather | Partly Clouduy | Overcast | Overcast | Overcast | Overcast | Overcast |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 227 | 214 | 231 | 81 | 75 | 42 | 870 |  |
| No. of Species | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 17 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 26 |  |
| Common carp | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Golden shiner | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 73 | 0.1 |
| Spottail shiner | 4 | 17 | 48 | 3 | 1 | - | 73 | 8.4 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 5 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | 12 | 1.4 |
| Spotfin shiner | 43 | 63 | 51 | 14 | 9 | - | 180 | 20.7 |
| Mimic shiner | 89 | 18 | 77 | 23 | 2 | - | 209 | 24.0 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 11 | 36 | - | 9 | - | 1 | 57 | 6.6 |
| Fallfish | 1 | - | 4 | 11 | 1 | - | 17 | 2.0 |
| White sucker | 55 | 22 | 41 | 9 | 25 | 2 | 154 | 17.7 |
| Channel catfish | - | - | - | - | 34 | - | 34 | 3.9 |
| Banded killifish | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 1.6 |
| Green sunfish | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 5 | 8 | 0.9 |
| Pumpkinseed | 16 | 39 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 80 | 9.2 2.4 |
| Bluegill | 2 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 14 | 21 | 2.4 0.2 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 0.2 |
| Tessellated darter | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 6 | 0.7 |

TABLE C-5
Fishes taken by seine on 21 June 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

| Station | 13B5 | 10B5 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 9B3 | 4A2 | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 0840 | 0938 | 1200 | 1116 | 1023 | 1240 |  |  |
| Air Temp(C) | 23.0 | 24.5 | 26.0 | 25.3 | 24.0 | 27.0 |  |  |
| Water Temp ( C ) | 20.8 | 21.0 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 19.9 | 19.9 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) | 7.6 | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 8.3 |  |  |
| pH | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 71.1 | 73.7 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 38.1 | 40.6 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 2.16 | 2.16 | 2.16 | 2.16 | 2.16 | 2.16 |  |  |
| Weather | Overcast | Partly <br> cloudy | Partly <br> cloudy | Partly <br> cloudy | Overcast | partly cloudy |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 132 | 147 | 255 | 194 | 294 | 64 | 1086 |  |
| No. of Species | 12 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 22 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 22 |  |
| American shad | - | - | 2 | 5 | - | 1 | 7 | 0.6 |
| Golden shiner | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 56 | 0.1 |
| Spottail shiner | 12 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 56 | 5.2 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 6 | 1 | - | 6 | 1 | $\bar{\square}$ | 14 | 17.3 |
| Spotfin shiner | 37 | 33 | 42 | 43 | 141 | 1 | 297 | 27.3 |
| Mimic shiner | 23 | 1 | 187 | 85 | 25 | 2 | 323 | 29.7 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 9 | 4 | - | 10 | 1 | 7 | 31 16 | 2.8 |
| Fallfish | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 16 | 1.5 1.0 |
| White sucker | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | - | 11 | 1.0 0.2 |
| Northern hog sucker | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 0.2 |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | - | 1 | - |  |  | 2 | 0.2 |
| Banded killifish | 2 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 6 | 0.6 |
| Rock bass | - | - | - | 6 | - | 4 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 9 | 0.8 |
| Green sunfish | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 \frac{1}{3}$ | 14 | 5 13 | 99 | 0.8 8.4 |
| Pumpkinseed | - | 49 | 2 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 91 | 8.4 2.5 |
| Bluegill | - | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | 18 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 1.6 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Largemouth bass White crappie | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Tessellated darter | 33 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 94 | 3 | 156 | 14.4 |
| Shield darter | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 6 | 0.6 |

TABLE C-6
Fishes taken by seine on 14 July 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

| Station | 1385 | 1085 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 983 | 4A2 | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 1247 | 1155 | 0950 | 1030 | 1117 | 0907 |  |  |
| Air Temp ( C ) | 25.5 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 22.0 |  |  |
| Water Temp ( $C$ ) | 20.0 | 22.0 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 18.1 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 7.3 |  |  |
| pH | 7.4 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 30.5 | 35.6 | 53.3 | 53.3 | 61.0 | 15.2 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.59 |  |  |
| Weather | Partly <br> Cloudy | Partly cloudy | Clear | Partly cloudy | Partly Cloudy | Partly <br> cloudy |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 105 | 111 | 176 | 97 | 88 | 95 | 672 |  |
| No. of Species | 11 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 13 | 24 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 30 |  |
| American shad | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.3 |
| Gizzard shad | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.4 |
| Golden shiner | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Comely shiner | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 1 | 0.1 |
| Spottail shiner | 28 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 77 | 11.4 |
| Swallowtail shiner | - | 1 | - | - |  | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Spotfin shiner | 32 | 13 | 94 | 47 | 13 | 12 | 211 | 31.4 |
| Mimic shiner | - | 2 | 20 | 14 | - | - | 36 | 5.4 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 12 | 31 | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | 49 | 7.3 |
| Fallfish | - | 4 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 11 | 1.6 |
| White sucker | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Banded killifish | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.3 |
| Rock bass | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 6 | 0.9 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1.2 |
| Green sunfish | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 1.6 |
| Pumpkinseed | 10 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 60 | 8.9 |
| Bluegill | - | 3 | 5 | - | - | 22 | 30 | 4.5 |
| Lepomis hybrid | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 0.3 |
| Largemouth bass | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 11 | 0.1 |
| White crappie | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | 3 | 11 | 1.6 |
| Black crappie | - | - | 1 |  | $\overline{-}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.4 |
| Tessellated darter | 14 | 20 | 25 | 16 | 47 | 16 | 138 | 20.5 |
| Shield darter | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 0.4 |
| Walleye | 1 | - |  | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |


| Fishes taken by seine on 3 August 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deletedfrom table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | 13B5 | 1085 | 16A1 | 10A2 | 983 | 4 A2 | Total | \% Catch |
| Time | 1235 | 0835 | 1107 | 1030 | 0935 | 1150 |  |  |
| Air Temp(C) | 30.0 | 27.5 | 28.0 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 29.7 |  |  |
| Water Temp (C) | 22.4 | 21.7 | 23.5 | 23.2 | 22.9 | 22.5 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 8.5 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 8.4 |  |  |
| pH | 8.0 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.1 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc (cm) | 91.4 | 101.6 | 203.2 | 137.2 | 154.9 | 129.5 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 1.28 | 1. 28 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 1.28 |  |  |
| Weather | Partly <br> Cloudy | Clear | Clear | Partly Cloudy | Clear | Partly Cloudy |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 169 | 33 | 74 | 105 | 224 | 35 | 640 |  |
| No. of Species | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 15 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 4 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 31 |  |
| Comely shiner | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | 6 | 0.9 |
| Spottail shiner | 7 | - | - | - | 39 | 1 | 47 | 7.3 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 12 | 0 | O | - | 2 | - | 14 | 2.2 |
| Spotfin shiner | 10 | 10 | 30 | 21 | 9 | 6 | 86 | 13.4 |
| Mimic shiner | 129 | 3 | 30 | 60 | 14 | 12 | 236 | 36.9 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 27 | 4.2 |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | - | 1 | - | ${ }_{121}^{1}$ | - | ${ }^{2}$ | 0.3 |
| Channel catfish | - | - | - | - | 121 | - | 121 | 18.9 |
| Banded killifish | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.2 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 2 | 6 | 0.9 |
| Green sunfish | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Pumpkinseed | - | 4 | 4 | 18 | 33 | 5 | 64 | 10.0 |
| Bluegill | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | 5 | 11 | 1.7 |
| Lepomis hybrid | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | - | - | 2 | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 2 | 0.3 |
| Tessellated darter | 4 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 14 | 2.2 |

TABLE C-8
Fishes taken by seine on 16 August 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

| Station | 13B5 | $10 \mathrm{B5}$ | 16 Al | 10A2 | $9 \mathrm{B3}$ | 4 A 2 | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 1322 | 1222 | 1016 | 1100 | 1137 | 0938 |  |  |
| Air Temp( C ) | 30.0 | 29.0 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 27.5 | 24.7 |  |  |
| Water Temp ( $C$ ) | 25.1 | 27.4 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 22.7 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 13.6 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 10.3 |  |  |
| pH | 8.9 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.6 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 167.6 | 127.0 | 157.5 | 152.4 | 167.6 | 147.3 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 |  |  |
| Weather | Haze | Haze | Partly cloudy | Clear | Clear | Partly Cloudy |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 426 | 350 | 138 | 10 | 406 | 11 | 1341 |  |
| No. of Species | 7 | 7 | 11 | 4 |  | 6 | 16 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 32 |  |
| Comely shiner | - | 15 | - | $\cdots$ | 6 | - | 21 |  |
| Spottail shiner | 6 | 1 | 3 | - | 3 | - | 13 | 1.0 0.8 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 11 | - | - | - | 64 | $\bar{\square}$ | 406 | 0.8 30.3 |
| Spotfin shiner | 18 | 270 | 50 | 3 | 64 | 1 | 406 | 30.3 55.6 |
| Mimic shiner | 383 | 43 | 57 | - | 262 | 1 | 745 | 55.6 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 5 | 7 | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 37 | 1 | 5 | 3.7 |
| Fallfish | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| White sucker | - | - | 1 | - |  |  | 1 | 0.1 |
| Channel catfish | - | - | $\overline{5}$ | - | 1 | - | 5 | 0.4 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | - | 5 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 0.4 |
| Green sunfish | $\overline{2}$ | 8 | 3 9 | 4 | 30 | $\underline{-}$ | 53 | 4.0 |
| Pumpkinseed | 2 |  | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 12 | 0.9 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 0.1 |
| Largemouth bass | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Tessellated darter | 1 | - | 7 | 2 | - |  | 14 | 1.0 |

TABLE C-9
Fishes taken by seine on 7 September 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.

| Station | 1385 | 10B5 | 16 AI | 10A2 | 9B3 | 4A2 | Total | \% Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 1420 | 1315 | 1040 | 1125 | 1207 | 0945 |  |  |
| Air Temp(C) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 22.0 |  |  |
| Water Temp ( $C$ ) | 25.2 | 26.2 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 25.0 | 22.2 |  |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) | 11.1 | 12.0 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 13.2 |  |  |
| pH | 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.7 |  |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 96.5 | 96.5 | 78.7 | 73.7 | 99.1 | 73.7 |  |  |
| River Stage(m) | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  |
| Weather | Partly <br> cloudy | Partly cloudy | Clear | Partly <br> Cloudy | Partly Cloudy | Clear |  |  |
| No. of Specimens | 1218 | 486 | 1343 | 792 | 2958 | 684 | 7481 |  |
| No. of Species | 6 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 16 |  |
| No. of Hauls | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 25 |  |
| Gizzard shad. | - | 19 | - | 1 | 1 | 246 | 267 | 3.6 |
| Golden shiner | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | + |
| Comely shiner | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 6 | 0.1 |
| Spottail shiner | - | - | 9 | - | 1 | - | 10 | 0.1 |
| Swallowtail shiner | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 304 | 20 | ${ }^{44}{ }^{4}$ | + ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ |
| Spotfin shiner | 489 | 251 | 1029 | 338 | 304 | 20 | 2431 |  |
| Mimic shiner | 721 | 32 | 282 | 440 | 2644 1 | 43 11 | 4162 21 | 55.6 0.3 |
| Bluntnose minnow Rock bass | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 1 | + |
| Redbreast sunfish | _ | 2 | - | - | 4 | - | 6 | 0.1 |
| Green sunfish | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 4 | + |
| Pumpkinseed | 2 | 37 | 8 | 3 | - | 74 | 124 | 1.6 |
| Bluegill | 4 | 142 | - | 1 | - | 283 | 430 | 5.7 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | + |
| White crappie | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 11 | + + |

Tessellated darter

+ Less than 0.05\%.

```
TABLE C-10
```

Fishes taken by seine on 18 September 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-SE- deleted from table.


+ Less than 0.05\%.

+ Less than 0.05\%.


[^5]| TABLE D-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 19-20 April 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix lm (ELdeleted from table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station | 4A1 | 13A1 | 10A3 | 9B5 | 10B3 | 11B1 | Total |
| Station | 1956 | 2046 | 2144 | 2243 | 2338 | 0040 |  |
| Duration(min) | 19 | 21 | 19 | 23 | 20 | 24 |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 10.0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Water Temp (c) | 12.5 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 13.8 10.7 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/I) | 10.6 | 10.9 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.7 |  |
| pH | 7.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 78 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 240 | 210 | 210 | 220 | 1390 | 240 |  |
| Secchi Disc (cm) | 167.6 | 114.3 | 116.8 | 119.4 | 132.1 | 121.9 |  |
| Volts | 215 | 215 | 215 | 215 | 220 | 210 |  |
| Amps | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 2 |
| Gizzard shad | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| Common carp |  |  |  | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| Golden shiner | - | - | 13 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 33 |
| Spottail shinex | 1 | 2 | 13 | 13 8 | 4 6 | 6 | 36 |
| Quillback | 9 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| White sucker | - | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 12 |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | 3 | 9 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Channel catfish | - | 15 | 12 |  | 3 | 1 | 32 |
| Rock bass | 1 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 79 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 2 | 35 | 20 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 17 |
| Green sunfish | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 5 | 29 | 64 | 122 |
| Pumpkinseed | 15 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 28 |
| Bluegill | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 1 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 2 | 49 | 19 | 6 | 9 | _ | 85 |
| Smallmouth bass | 2 | 49 | 19 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 6 |
| Largemouth bass | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| White crappie | I |  |  |  | - | 2 | 3 |
| Black crappie | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 6 |
| Walleye | $\underline{1}$ | 1 | 89 | 51 | 75 | 101 | 476 |
| No. of Specimens | 39 | 121 | 89 11 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 18 |

TABLE D-2
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 24-25 May 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-ELdeleted from table.

| Station | 4Al | 13A1 | 10A3 | $9 \mathrm{P5}$ | 1083 | 1181 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 2226 | 2315 | 0018 | 0121 | 2110 | 2008 |  |
| Duration(min) | 20 | 20 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 25 |  |
| Air Temp ( $C$ ) | 14.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 15.0 |  |
| Water Temp(C) | 16.1 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 15.2 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 7.4 |  |
| pH | 6.9 | 6.7 | 200 | 200 | 160 | 240 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 210 | 210 71.1 | 63.5 | 73.7 | 99.1 | 73.7 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 73.7 | 71.1 | 215 | 205 | 220 | 215 |  |
| Volts | 210 | 215 5.5 | 210 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |  |
| Amps | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 3 |
| Gizzard shad | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Common carp | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| Spottail shiner | - | - | 5 | $\underline{-}$ | 1 |  | 6 |
| Spotfin shiner |  | 1 | 5 | - | - |  | 1 |
| Mimic shiner | - |  | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Fallfish | - | - | 5 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 42 |
| Quillback | 5 | 3 | 2 | 13 | - | 10 | 5 |
| White sucker | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Brown bullhead Rock bass | - | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | 17 | 16 | 21 | 14 | 2 | 70 |
| Green sunfish | - | 3 | - | 1 | $7 \overline{-}$ | 66 | 186 |
| Pumpkinseed | 19 | 2 | 8 | 19 | 72 | 66 | 186 |
| Bluegill | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 9 | 10 | 23 |
| Lepomis hybrid | - | 52 | 37 | 42 | 37 | 1 | 173 |
| Smallmouth bass | 4 | 52 | 37 |  |  | - | 1 |
| Largemouth bass | 1 |  |  |  | - | 1 | 1 |
| White crappie | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| No. of Specimens | 35 | 87 | 89 | 111 | 143 | 95 | 560 |
| No. of Species | 8 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 19 |

TABLE D-3
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 30-31 May 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-ELdeleted from table.

| Station | 4A1 | 13A1 | 10A3 | 9B5 | 1083 | 1181 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 2007 | 2050 | 2158 | 2309 | 0017 | 0120 |  |
| Duration(min) | 22 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 20 | 25 |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 24.0 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Water Temp(C) | 19.7 | 19.7 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 20.0 | 19.5 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) | 11.6 | 11.4 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.2 | 8.7 |  |
| pH | 8.4 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.4 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 250 | 240 | 240 | 240 | 180 | 240 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 81.3 | 76.2 | 78.7 | 88.9 | 101.6 | 101.6 |  |
| Volts | 215 | 215 | 210 | 215 | 215 | 215 |  |
| Amps | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 5.0 | 6.5 |  |
| Gizzard shad | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 6 |
| Common carp | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 | - | 18 |
| Golden shiner | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Spottail shiner | - | 2 | 11 | 10 | . - |  | 23 |
| Spotfin shiner | - | 2 | 8 | - | - | - | 10 |
| Fallfish. | - | 1 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 30 | 81 |
| Quillback | 18 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 30 | 81 |
| White sucker | - | - | 1 | - | - | $\square$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 7 |
| Channel catfish | - | 15 | 5 | 1 | 3 | -- | 2 30 |
| Rock bass | - | 15 | 5 | 7 | 3 | - | 69 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 1 | 16 | 30 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 69 |
| Green sunfish | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 130 |
| Pumpkinseed | 1.0 | 10 | 20 | 34 | 24 | 32 | 130 |
| Bluegill | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 12 | 40 133 |
| Smallmouth bass | 2 | 72 | 22 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 133 |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | - | - | - | - | $\frac{1}{5}$ | 6 |
| White crappie | - | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 6 |
| Black crappie | $\sim$ | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 6 |
| Walleye | - | 2 | 3 | 104 | 1 | 88 | 584 |
| No. of Specimens | 33 | 140 | 119 | 104 | 100 | 88 10 | 584 20 |
| No. of Species | 6 | 17 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 20 |

TABLE D-4
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 13-14 June 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

| Station | 4 Al | 13AI | 10A3 | 9B5 | 10B3 | 11B1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | 2025 | 2130 | 2224 | 2329 | 0047 | 0140 |  |
| Duration(min) | 25 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 30 |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 20.2 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 20.0 | 19.0 |  |
| Water Temp (C) | 20.7 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/1) | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 10.1 |  |
| pH | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 200 | 260 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 83.8 | 78.7 | 71.1 | 81.3 | 99.1 | 127.0 |  |
| Volts | 215 | 21.5 | 200 | 215 | 215 | 215 |  |
| Amps | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 7.5 |  |
| Gizzard shad | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 7 |
| Common carp | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Golden shiner | - | - | - | 13 | 1 | 1 | 25 |
| Spottail shiner | 2 | - | 8 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Spotfin shiner | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 13 |
| Quillback | 3 | - | 2 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 29 |
| White sucker | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | 1 |
| Shorthead redhorse | - | - | - | 1 | - |  | 1 |
| Yellow bullhead | 1 | - | - | - |  |  | 5 |
| Channel catfish | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 16 |
| Rock bass | - | 5 | 5 | 4 | - | 2 | 16 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | 21 | 26 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 74 |
| Green sunfish | 5 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 30 |
| Pumpkinseed | 46 | 5 | 26 | 60 | 20 | 17 | 174 |
| Bluegill | 13 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 19 | 51 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 4 | 1 | 23 | - 1 | 8 | 1 | 87 |
| Smallmouth bass | 4 | 45 | 23 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 11 |
| Largemouth bass | 9 | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 18 |
| White crappie | 1 | - | - |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Black crappie | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Walleye | I | 1 | $\underline{3}$ |  |  |  | 548 |
| No. of Specimens | 91 | 92 | 111 | 133 12 | 53 8 | 68 11 | $\begin{array}{r}548 \\ 20 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| No. of Species | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 11 |  |

TABLE D-5
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 28-29 June 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-EL- deleted from table.

| Station | 4 Al | 13 Al | 10A3 | $9 \mathrm{B5}$ | 10B3 | 1181 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Time | 2033 | 2118 | 2206 | 2258 | 2356 | 0043 |  |
| Duration(min) | 20 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 22 | 30 |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 18.7 |  |
| Water Temp ( $C$ ) | 22.8 | 22.9 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 22.8 7 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 7.8 |  |
| pH | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.5 | . 3 | 7.2 | 200 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 190 | 175 | 175 | 180 | 150 | 200 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 12.7 | 15.2 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 17.8 | 45.7 |  |
| Volts | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 6.0 |  |
| Amps | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 2 | 6 |
| Gizzard shad | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 10 |
| Common carp | 2 | 1 | $\underline{-}$ | 1 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Spottail shiner | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | _ | 5 |
| Spotfin shiner | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 1 |  | 6 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 25 |
| Quillback | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| White sucker | - | 3 | 2 |  | _ | - | 2 |
| Shorthead redhorse | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Brown bullhead | 1 |  | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Channel catfish |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| Rock bass |  | 6 | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| Redbreast sunfish | - | 6 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 3 |
| Green sunfish | $\overline{-}$ | 1 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 18 | 44 |
| Pumpkinseed | 5 | - | 1 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 4 |
| Bluegill | 2 | 64 | 42 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 151 |
| Smallmouth bass | 2 | 64 | 42 | 16 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Walleye | 18 | 80 | 65 | 36 | 38 | 48 | 285 |
| No. of Specimens | 18 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 6. | 8 | 17 |

TABLE D-6
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 25-26 July 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-ELdeleted from table.

|  | 4A1 | 13A1 | 10A3 | $9 \mathrm{B5}$ | 10B3 | 1181 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | 2308 | 0002 . | 0109 | 0229 | 2204 | 2108 |  |
| Time | 21 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 30 |  |
| Duration(min) | 22.5 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 23.5 | 22.0 |  |
| Air Temp(C) | 27.8 | 28.0 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 24.9 | 23.0 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.3 |  |
| pH | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 300 | 180 | 240 |  |
| Conductivity(micromhos/cm) | 290 | 300 | 300 | 1016 | 43.2 | 40.6 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 101.6 | 99.1 | 96.5 205 | 101.6 210 | 43.2 220 | 215 |  |
| Volts | 215 9.0 | 215 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 7.0 |  |
| Amps | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | - | 9 |
| Gizzard shad | $\overline{3}$ | $\underline{-}$ | $\stackrel{4}{-}$ | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | 3 |
| Common carp | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Golden shiner |  | - | 14 | 14 | 4 | - | 34 |
| Spottail shiner | 2 | $\overline{8}$ | 14 | 14 | 4 | - | 15 |
| Spotfin shiner | 4 | 8 | 1 |  | - | - | 1 |
| Bluntnose minnow | 1 |  | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Fallfish |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 23 |
| Quillback | 7 | 2 | 1 | - |  |  | 1 |
| White sucker |  |  | 1 | - |  | - | 10 |
| Shorthead redhorse |  | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Channel catfish |  | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | - | 5 |
| Rock bass |  | 24 | 27 | 19 | 4 | - | 74 |
| Redbreast sunfish |  | 24 | 27 | 1.9 | - | - | 12 |
| Green sunfish |  | 29 | 67 | 55 | 26 | 14 | 205 |
| Pumpkinseed | 14 | 29 | 67 | 3 | 2 |  | 19 |
| Bluegill | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 1 |
| Lepomis hybrid |  | 30 | 15 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 78 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | 3 | 2 |  | - | 2 | 6 |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 1 |  | - | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Yellow perch |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Walleye |  | 120 | 141 | 115 | 62 | 33 | 511 |
| No. of Specimens | 8 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 21 |

TABLE D-7
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 9-10 August 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-ELdeleted from table.

| Station | 4 Al | 13A1 | 10A3 | 9B5 | 10B3 | 11B1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Time | 1958 | 2102 | 2151 | 2315 | 0020 | 0120 |  |
| Duration(min) | 19 | 18 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 25 |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 13.0 |  |
| Water Temp (C) | 21.6 | 22.7 | 22.0 | 22.5 | 23.9 | 21.5 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 19.0 | 11.1 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 13.7 |  |
| pH | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 340 | 350 | 450 | 350 165 | 275 1397 | 250 119.4 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 162.6 | 175.3 | 111.8 | 165.1 | 139.7 | 119.4 |  |
| Volts | 200 | 215 | 200 | 200 | 215 | 215 |  |
| Amps | 7.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 7.5 |  |
| Common carp | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 8 |
| Golden shiner | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Spottail shiner | - | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Spotfin shiner | - | 1 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Fallfish | - | 1 | 4 | - | $\overline{6}$ | 11 | 31 |
| Quillback | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 31 |
| Brown bullhead | - |  |  | 1 | - | - | 4 |
| Channel catfish |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 17 |
| Rock bass | 1 | 5 7 | 9 12 | 1 | 7 | _ | 41 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 6 | 15 | 34 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| Green sunfish | 19 | 28 | 66 | 28 | 17 | 23 | 188 |
| Pumpkinseed | 26 | 28 10 | 45 | 34 | 20 | 23 | 155 |
| Bluegill | 23 | 10 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 7 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 9 | - | 30 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| Largemouth bass | 9 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| White crappie |  |  |  | - | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Black crappie |  |  |  | 1 | $\underline{-}$ | - | 1 |
| Tessellated darter | - |  |  | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Walleye | O | 96 |  | 110 | 71 | 73 | 635 |
| No. of Specimens | 90 | 96 13 | 195 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 19 |
| No. of Species | 9 | 13 | 13 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE D-8
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 22-23 August 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-ELdeleted from table.

| Station | 4A1 | 13A1 | 10A3 | 985 | 10B3 | 11B1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sime | 2138 | 2241 | 2346 | 0101 | 2024 | 1933 |  |
| Duration(min) | 24 | 23 | 27 | 22 | 26 | 25 |  |
| Air Temp ( C ) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 25.5 |  |
| Water Temp(C) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 26.5 | 25.2 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 12.2 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.2 | 13.0 8.8 | 13.4 |  |
| pH . | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 255 | 275 | 420 | 275 | 109 | 121.9 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 91.4 | 106.7 | 86.4 | 104.1 | 109.2 215 | 121.9 215 |  |
| Volts | 210 | 210 | 210 12.5 | 210 12.0 | 215 9.5 | 215 8.0 |  |
| Amps | 9.5 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 13 |
| Gizzard shad | 6 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Common carp | - | 4 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Golden shiner | - |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 13 |
| Spottail shiner | 1 | 1 | 3 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
| Spotfin shiner | 1 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Fallfish | 9 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 41 |
| Quillback | 9 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 1 |
| Shorthead redhorse | $\overline{-}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Brown bullhead | 1 | - |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| Channel catfish | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1 |  | 5 |
| Rock bass | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | - | 17 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 3 | 5 | 30 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 63 |
| Green sunfish | 10 | 4 | 30 | 13 | 2 40 | 8 | 163 |
| Pumpkinseed | 24 | 19 | 52 25 | 18 | 17 | 11 | 97 |
| Bluegill | 22 | 4 | 25 | 18 | 17 | 11 | 3 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 2 | 19 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 32 |
| Smallmouth bass | 2 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 7 |
| Largemouth bass | - 2 | 82 | 128 | 64 | 92 | 46 | 497 |
| No. of Specimens | 85 | 12 | 128 9 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 17 |


| Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 12-13 September 1989 near TMINS. Station prefixTM-EL- deleted from table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | 4A1 | 13A1 | 10A3 | 9B5 | 1083 | 11B1 | Total |
| Time | 1904 | 2018 | 2114 | 2209 | 2309 | 0015 |  |
| Duration(min) | 25 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 27 |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 21.5 | 19.7 |  |
| Water Temp ( $C$ ) | 25.1 | 26.1 | 26.0 | 26.1 | 25.9 | 25.9 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) | 10.2 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 9.2 | 8.8 |  |
| pH | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.6 |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 450 | 450 | 500 | 450 | 350 | 325 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 76.2 | 73.7 | 71.1 | 73.7 | 63.5 | 68.6 |  |
| Volts | 210 | 210 | 205 | 205 | 215 | 21.5 |  |
| Amps | 12.5 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 10.5 |  |
| Gizzard shad | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Common carp | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 |
| Golden shiner | - | - |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Common shiner |  |  |  | - | $\stackrel{-}{0}$ | 1 | 25 |
| Spottail shiner | 2 | I | 2 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 14 |
| Spotfin shiner | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | - | 1 | 14 |
| Bluntnose minnow |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 12 |
| Quillback | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| Channel catfish | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| Rock bass | 4 | 10 | 4 | - | - | . - | 18 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 8 | 20 | 10 | 7 | 3 | - | 48 |
| Green sunfish | 10 | 11 | 8 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 52 |
| Pumpkinseed | 46 | 10 | 22 | 88 | 16 | 9 23 | 117 |
| Bluegill | 32 | 5 | 8 | 21 | 28 | 23 | 13 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 12 | 9 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 | 4 | - | 19 |
| Smallmouth bass | 12 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 21 |
| Largemouth bass | 12 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Black crappie | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Walleye | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| No. of Specimens | 130 | 73 | 65 | 72 | 79 | 52 | 471 |
| No. of Species | 10 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 20 |


| TABLE D-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 26-27 September 1989 near TMINS. Station prefixTM-EL- deleted from table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station | 4A1 | 13 A1 | 10A3 | 9B5 | 10B3 | 11BI | Total |
| Time | 2051 | 2153 | 2247 | 0010 | 1952 | 1855 |  |
| Duration(min) | 23 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 17 | 25 |  |
| Air Temp (c) | 15.3 | 15.0 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 17.5 | 16.7 |  |
| Water Temp (C) | 16.3 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 17.2 | 16.5 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen(mg/l) | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 10.0 |  |
| pH | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  |
| Conductivity (micromhos/cm) | 350 | 360 | 450 58 | 390 | 360 818 | 325 106.7 |  |
| Secchi Disc(cm) | 86.4 | 63.5 | 58.4 | 71.1 | 81.3 | 106.7 |  |
| Volts | 200 | 215 | 210 | 210 | 210 | 215 |  |
| Amps | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 8.5 |  |
| American shad | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Gizzard shad | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | 8 |
| Common carp | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 7 |
| Golden shiner | - | - |  | - | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Common shiner | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 2 | 2 |
| Spottail shiner | - | - | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 19 |
| Spotfin shiner | - | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1 |
| Mimic shiner | - | - | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Quillback | 1 | - | - |  | 1 | - | 2 |
| White sucker | - | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Yellow bullhead | - | 1 | - | - | - |  | 7 |
| Channel catfish | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - |  | 7 |
| Rock bass | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | - | - | 10 |
| Redbreast sunfish | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 15 |
| Green sunfish | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | $\overline{5}$ | 1 | 29 |
| Pumpkinseed | 44 | 14 | 54 | 23 | 5 | 25 | 165 |
| Bluegill | 25 | - | 6 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 66 |
| Lepomis hybrid | 5 | - | 4 | $\sigma$ | 2 | 1 | 51 |
| Smallmouth bass | - | 29 | 14 | 6 | 2 | - | 51 |
| Largemouth bass | 8 | - | - | - | I | 4 | 12 |
| White crappie | $\cdots$ | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Black crappie | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Walleye | - | - | - | 69 | 17 | 68 | 428 |
| No. of Specimens | 95 | 66 | 103 | 69 | 27 9 | 68 | 428 22 |
| No. of Species | 11 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 22 |



TABLE D-12
Fishes taken by the AC electrofisher on 7 November 1989 near TMINS. Station prefix TM-ELdeleted from table.


## APPENDIX E

 CREEL SURVEY DATATABLE E-1.

Creel data reported for each survey day in April 1989, at the General Reservoir.


Time - Morning ( $0900-1300$ ), thidday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100)

|  | \|Morning | Midda |  | \|Evening |Morning | Midday |  |  |  | \|Morning | Midda |  | JEvening \|Morning | Midda |  |  | \|Evening | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heather | \|Clear | ICl ear | $\mid \operatorname{Prt}$ al | IPrt ol | \|Prt cl | IClear | \|Cl ear | 1Prt al | Pret | \|Clear | IC1 ear | $\mid \operatorname{lit}$ cldy |  |
| Air Temp (c) | 16.70 | 18.00 | 19.70 | 110.00 | 113.00 | 113.00 | 111.50 | 114.70 | 114.00 | 115.00 | 120.00 | 117.00 |  |
| Hater Temp (C) | 18.70 | 19.70 | 180.00 | 110.30 | 111.50 | 111.70 | 114.00 | 114.50 | 114.00 | 115.00 | 117.00 | 117.00 |  |
| Hater Mop (c) | 1 | , 1 | 1. | 10.30 | , | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1101 |  |
| Anglers | 10 | 10 | 10 | 131 | 135 | 124 | 134 | 135 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 110 ! |  |
| Fish Caught | 10 | 10 | 10 | 123 | 179 | 1105 | 188 | 160 | 10 | 114 | 115 | 1331 |  |
| Fish Kept | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 19 | 158 | 140 | 120 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 17 1 |  |
| Hours Fished | 1. | 1. | 1. | 145.75 | 169.75 | 169.00 | 168.00 | 179.50 | 16.00 | 13.50 | 112.50 | 119.25 |  |
| Catch/Effort ( h ) | 1. | 1. | 1. | 10.50 | 11.13 | 11.52 | 11.29 | 10.75 | 10.00 | 14.00 | 11.20 | 11.71 \| |  |

Species


Totals Per Day

| Anglers | 0 | 90 | 73 | 21 | 1184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish Caught | 10 | 207 | 148 | 62 | 1417 |
| Fish Kept | 10 | 70 | 60 | 15 | 1145 |
| Hours Flished | I | 184.5 | 153.5 | 35.25 | 1373.3 |
| Catch/Efrort (b) | I | 1.12 | 0.96 | 1.76 | 11.12 |

## $x=$ Kept

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Rel}$ eased
$c=$ Total catch

TABLE E-2

Creel data roported for each survey day in April 1989, at the West Dam.


## $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Kept}$

n = Rel eased
$C=$ Total catch

TABLE E-3

Creel data reported for each survey day in April 1989, at the East Dam.


Spectes


[^6]TABLE E-4

Creel data reported for each survey day in April 1989, at the York Haven Generating Station.

| Lay | 11 Tuesday | 16 Sunday | 22 Saturday | 27 Thursday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hiver Stage | 1.92 | 1.55 | 1.41 | 1.29 |

Time - Morning (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100)

|  | \|hornins | M1dda |  | IEvening lMorning \| Midda |  |  | \|Evenin | \|Morning | Myday |  | \|Evenin | \|Morning | Midday |  | \|Evening | | Total 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heather | \|Clear | \|Clear | Pret al | aldy Prt al | Pret cl | \|Clear | \|clear | $\mid \operatorname{Prt}$ cld | IClear | IClear | \|clear | $\mid \operatorname{Prt}$ cldy $\mid$ |  |
| Air Temp (C) | 14.50 | 111.50 | 17.50 | 112.50 | 115.00 | 113.00 | 115.00 | 113.00 | 110.00 | 117.70 | 120.00 | 116.50 |  |
| Water Temp (c) | 19.00 | 110.00 | 110.70 | -111.00 | \| 12.70 | 122.50 | 114.00 | 114.00 | 113.70 | 115.50 | 116.70 | 117.00 |  |
| Water (o) | 1 | 1 | 1. | 1 | , | 1 | 1 |  | , | , | 1 | 1181 |  |
| Anglers | 13 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 111 | 110 | 113 | 117 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 1181 |  |
| Fish Caught | 13 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 131 | 157 | 12 | 174 | 191 | 12021 |  |
| Fish Kept | 10 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 115 | $10 \therefore$ | 12 | 116 | 160 |  |
| Hours Fished | 16.50 | 121.75 | 13.00 | 111.50 | 130.25 | 136.25 | 121.00 | 146.75 | 13.00 | 111.00 | 113.25 | 146.00 |  |
| Catch/Effort ( h ) | 10.46 | 10.32 | 11.33 | 10.09 | 10.07 | 10.12 | 11.48 | 11.22 | 10.67 | 16.73 | 16.87 | 14.39 |  |

Species


[^7]TABLE E-5

Creel data reported for each survey day in May 1989, at the General Reservoir.


## K = Kept <br> $\mathrm{R}=$ Released

C = Total catch
= Surveys were not conducted due to high river flow.

TABLE E-6



## K = Kept <br> $\mathrm{R}=$ Released

$C=$ Total catch $\quad=$ Surveys were not conducted due to high river flow.

TABLE E-7

Creel data reported for each survey day in Hay 1989, at the East Dam


Time - Morning (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100)


Species

Walleye

|  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anglers | 15 | I |  |  | 357 | 1390 |
| Fish Caught | 33 | , |  |  | 137 | 1151 |
| Fish Kept | 14 | , |  |  | 65.00 | 189.50 |
| Hours Eished | 24.50 |  |  |  | 5.49 | 14.36 |

Hours Eished (h)
5.49

## $K=$ Kepr <br> R - Released

C = Tocal catch
= Surveys were not conducted due to high river flow.

TABLE E-8
Creel data reported for each survey day in May 1989, at the York Haven Generating Station.


Species
|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R

American shad Rainbow trout Muskellunge
Common carp
TJ Suckers
E-8
Ouillback
Channel cateish
Rock bass
Rock bass
Redbreast sunfish
Redbreast sunfi
Crapples
White crappie
Black crappie
Yellow perch
Walleye




[^8]Creel data reported for each survey day in June 1989, at the General Reservoir.


[^9]TABLE E-10

Creel data reported for each survey day in June 1989, at the West Dam.

| Day River Stage | 3 | ${ }_{1.42}^{\text {Saturday }}$ |  | I | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { Wednesday } \\ 1.54 \end{gathered}$ |  | 25 | $5{ }_{2.73}^{5 \text { Sunday }}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { Friday } \\ 1.85 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time - Morning (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Morning \| Midday |Evening |Morning | Miday |Evening |Morning | Midaay |Evening |Morning | Midday |Evening | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| weather Air Temp (C) | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Prt cldy }} \mathbf{2 3 . 3 0}$ | \|Clear 128.00 | $\left.\right\|_{126.00} ^{26.00}$ cldy | \|huy rain 117.70 | ${ }_{\text {n\|Overcast }}^{17.00}$ | \|Overcast | $\left.\right\|_{122.00}$ | \|Clear | ${ }^{\text {Pret cldy }} 1$ | \|clear | 1 Cl ear 124.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clear } \\ & 123.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Water Temp (C) | 122.30 | 123.50 | 124.00 | 120.70 | 120.30 | 120.00 | 121.00 | 121.00 | 121.70 | 121.30 | 122.00 | 122.50 |  |
| Water remp $(C)$ |  |  | 14 |  | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| Anglers | 13 150 |  | 127 | 10 | 122 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Fish Kept |  |  | 1. | 10 | 1. | 10 | 10. | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Hours Fished | 16.00 | 117.00 | 19.25 | 1. | 17.50 |  | 1. | . | 1. | 1. | I. | 11.00 |  |
| Catch/Effort (h) | 18.33 | 12.53 | 12.92 | 1. | 12.93 | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 10.00 |  |
| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K |  |  |  | K\|R|K|R| |  | \| K | |  | \| K | \|R | | K \| R | K | $1 \mathrm{R} \mid$ | R I |
| Cormon carp ${ }^{\text {Channel catsish }}$ | $!$ |  | 2 | ! | 6 <br> 6 |  | ! | I | , |  | I | 1 | 1 <br> 1 |
| Rock bass | ; | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | ; | I | i | ; | 1 | , | 4 |
| Sunfishes | 1 |  | 8 |  |  |  | , | I | I |  | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Bluegill |  | 12 | 13 |  | 10 |  | I | , | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 114 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1.50 | 141 |  |  | 1 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | mota | als Per Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anglers | , | 12 |  | I |  |  |  |  |  | ! |  |  |  |
| Fish Caught | , | 120 |  | $1$ | 22 |  | $1$ | 0 |  | , | 0 |  | $1142$ |
| Fish Kept | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 1.00 |  | 140.75 |
| Hours Flished | , | 32.25 3.72 |  | 1 | 7.50 2.93 |  | 1 | - |  | 1 | 0.00 |  | 13.48 |

## K = Kept

R $=$ Released
$\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch

TABLE E-11

Creel data reported for each aurvey day in June 1989, at the East Dam.


## $\mathrm{K}=$ Kept <br> $\mathrm{R}=$ Released

$\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch

Creel data reported for each survey day in June 1989, at the York Eaven Generating Station.

| Day <br> River Stage | 1 | 3 Saturday ${ }_{1.42}$ |  | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { Fednesday } \\ & 1.54 \end{aligned}$ |  | $1 \quad 2$ | $25 \operatorname{Sunday}_{2.73}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { Eriday } \\ 1.85 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tine - Morning (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | IMornin | midday | IEvening | \| Morning | Miday | IEvening | \|Morning | 1 Midday | IEvening | \|Morning | I 1 Miday | \|Evening | qotals |
| Weather ${ }^{\text {Air Temp (C) }}$ | ${ }_{1} 125.00$ | ${ }^{\text {Pret cldy }}$ | \|Prt cldy | [17t rain | ILt rain 17.50 | \|Overcast | \|Clear | ${ }_{129.50}{ }^{\text {Prt cold }}$ | $\left.\right\|_{123.30}{ }^{\text {Prt cldy }}$ | 1 Clear |  | ${ }_{\text {\|Clear }} 122.00$ |  |
| Water Temm ( ${ }^{\text {Al }}$. | 124.00 | 124.70 | 124.00 | 120.50 | 120.00 | 120.00 | 121,00 | 122.00 | 120.70 | 121.70 | 123.70 | 123.70 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | , | 12 | 19 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Anglers | 120 |  |  | $1$ |  | 113 | 16 | $\frac{11}{8}$ | 19 | 110 | 140 | $\stackrel{122}{150}$ |  |
| Fish Caught: | 150 | 182 | [88 | $18$ | 132 | 157 | 15 | 18 | 12 | ${ }_{129}$ | 110 | 150 |  |
| Fish Kept | ${ }_{1}^{10} 10.75$ | ${ }_{170.00}^{11}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 175.00 \end{aligned}$ | $12.00$ | 14.25 | 130 | 15.50 | 138 | ${ }_{11}^{12.25}$ | ${ }_{116.25}$ | 15.75 | ${ }_{1}^{26}$ 32.50 |  |
| Hours Fizhed ( ${ }_{\text {catcl/Effort ( }}$ | ${ }_{1}^{162.75} 10.80$ | ${ }_{11.17}$ | 175.00 | 14.00 | 12.25 | ${ }_{1}^{15.80}$ | 10.51 10.91 | 10.35 | 10.16 | 14.43 | 11.74 |  |  |

## Speciea



[^10]Creel data reported for each survey day in July 1989, at the General Reservoir.


[^11]TABLE E-14

Creel data reported for each survey day in July 1989, at the West Damo


[^12]TABLE E-15

Creel data reported for each survey day in July 1989, at the East Dam.


[^13]TABLE E-16

Creel data reported for each survey day in July 1989, at the York Maven Generating Station.

| Day River Stage | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunday } \\ 1.62 \end{gathered}$ |  | $12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { Wednesday } \\ & 1.49 \end{aligned}$ |  | $17$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { Monday } \\ 1.91 \end{gathered}$ |  | 129 | ${ }_{\text {Saturday }}^{1.31}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time - Morning (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [Morning | Midday | IEvening | \|Morning | Midday | [Evening | [Morning | Midday | IEvening | Morning | Midaday | \|Evening | Totais |
| Weather <br> Air Temp (C) |  | ${ }_{\text {[Prt cla }}^{127.00}$ ( | 124.00 | OVercast 124.00 | $\left.\right\|_{\mid 23.30} ^{\mid \text {Prt cldy }}$ | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Prt cldy }} \mathbf{2 2 . 3 0}$ | $\left.\right\|_{125.50}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Prt cldy } \\ & 123.00\end{aligned}\right.$ | \|clear | 1 Prt clay | Prt cldy 124.00 | $\mid$ Prt cldy 19.00 |  |
| Water Temp (C) | 125.30 | 125.00 | 125.00 . | 125.50 | [26.30 | 125.50 | 120.00 | 123.00 | 121.50 | 126.30 | 126.50 | 125.30 |  |
| Water reme ( C | 19 | 122 |  | 18 | 7 | 125 | 17 | 19 |  | 12 | 17 | 131 |  |
| Anglers | 129 | 132 | 133 | 124 | 65 | 148 | 19 | 144 | 174 | 150 | 137 | 168 |  |
| Fish Caught | 110 | 111 | 117 | 16 |  |  | 13 | 116 | 126 | 119 | 19 | 121 |  |
| Fish Kept <br> Hours Fished | 140.50 | 131.00 | 138.75 | 19.50 | 120.50 | 132.50 | 17.50 | 129.75 | 150.50 | 119.50 | 136.25 | 173.00 |  |
| Cotch/Effort ( h ) | 10.72 | 10.97 | 10.85 | 12.53 | 13.17 | 11.48 | \|1. 20 | 11.48 | 11.47 | 12.56 | 11.02 | 10.93 |  |



## American shad Painnow trout

 Common carpSunfishes
Redbreast gunfish
Bluegill
Smallmouth bass
Srappies
Crappies
White crapple
Black crappie
Kalleye

Anglers
Fish Caught.
Fish Rept
Boura FiEhed
Catch/Effort (h)

| 63 | 40 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 92 | 137 |
| 38 | 28 |
| 110.2 | 62.50 |
| 0.83 | 2.19 |


| 37 | 60 | 1200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 127 | 155 | 1511 |
| 45 | 49 | 1160 |
| 87.75 | 128.8 | 1389.3 |
| 1.45 | 1.20 | 11.31 |

[^14]Creel aata reported for each survey day in August 1989, at the General Reservoir.

| Day River Stage | i | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunday } \\ 1.19 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 | Tuesclay 1.10 |  | $1$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { Saturday } \\ 1.04 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thurscay } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time - Yorning (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700) , Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \| H orning | Midday |Evening |Morning | Midday |Evening |Morning | Midayy |Evening |horning | Miday |Evening | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| weather <br> Air Temp (C) |  | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Prt aldy }}$ | 1 Prt clay |  | 1Overcast 124.50 | $\left.\right\|_{\text {L2 }} ^{24.00}$ rain | \|Clear 120.70 | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Prt cldy }} \mathbf{2 6 . 7 0}$ | \|Prt cldy | $1 \begin{aligned} & \text { Clear } \\ & 123.50\end{aligned}$ | IClear 125.00 | \|Clear 123.50 |  |
|  | 129.00 129 | [128.30 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 129.00\end{aligned}$ | 124.00 | 124.50 | 125.50 | 124.70 | 27.00 | 127.00 | 125.00 | 126.70 | 127.70 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anglers | ${ }_{1}^{161}$ | 123 | 121 | 121 |  |  | 1281 | 148 | 1150 | 151 | 18 | 124 |  |
| Fish Caught Fish Kept | ${ }_{132}^{1331}$ | 15 | 140 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 138 |  | 129 | 110 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Fish Kept Hours Fished | $\stackrel{132}{151.8}$ | 15 160.25 | 1108.00 | 134.00 | 114.50 | 18.25 | 1187.3 | 160.00 | 196.00 | 145.25 | 14.00 | 118.25 |  |
| Catct/Effort ( h ) | 12.18 | 11.83 | 10.72 | 11.50 | 11.93 | 10.36 | 1.50 | 10.80 | 13.56 | 11.13 | 12.00 | 11.32 |  |




Crappies
rotals Per Day


[^15]TABLE E-18
Creel data reported for each survey day in August 1989, at the Hest Dam.


[^16]TABLE E-19

Creel data reported for each survey day in August 1989, at the East Dam.


[^17]$C=$ Total catch

TABLE E-20

Creel data reported for each survey day in August 1989, at the York Haven Generating Station.

| Day River Stage | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { Sunday } \\ 1.19 \end{gathered}$ | 15 Tuesday 1.10 | $\begin{gathered} 26 \text { saturday } \\ 1.04 \end{gathered}$ | $31 \text { Thursclay }$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time - sorning (0900-1300), Midayy (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | \|Morning | \| Midcay | \|Evening | 1 Morning | 1 Nidaay | IEvening | \|Morning | \| Midaay | \|Evening | \|Hornin | 1 Midday | IEvening | 1 Tot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weather (C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Air Tenp (C) | 131.00 128.30 | 1329.00 | 128.70 | 122.00 | 123.00 | 123.00 | 123.50 | 124.00 | 24.00 | 124.30 | 124.70 | 125.00 |  |  |
| piater terme ( $C$ ) | $\left.\right\|^{28.30}$ | 29.00 | 128.0 | 122.00 | 123.00 | 123.00 | 23.50 | 17 | 200 |  |  | 13 | 1 |  |
| Anglers |  | 15 | 19 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  | 10 | 13 | 13 <br> 17 | $1$ |  |
| Fish Caught | 117 | 17 | 124 | 16 |  | 10 | 14 | 121 |  | 10 | 11 | 14 |  |  |
| Fish Kept |  | 18.50 |  |  | 13.00 | 10.75 | 18.50 | 124.25 | 138.25 |  | 18.50 | 13.50 | , |  |
| Hours Fished | ${ }_{12.42}^{12.00}$ | 18.50 10.82 | ${ }_{120.50}^{12}$ | 116.50 10.36 | 13.00 1.00 | 10.00 | 10.47 | 13.30 | 13.29 | 1. | 11.06 | 12.00 | 1 |  |
| species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|R | K | R |  | /R\|K|R|K|R|K|R|K |  |  |  | R\|K|R|K|R|K| |  |  | 1 R | \|R|K|R|K|R|K|C |  |  |  |
| Fikes | 1 |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9\end{aligned}$ |  |
| Common carp | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ | \| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5\end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3 | 4115 | 23 38 |
| Channel catfish | 12 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 1 |  | , | 1 | , | 11 |
| Fock bass | , |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 5 | $\because 7$ |
| Sunfishes | - |  | 61 | $1)$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | I |  | 22 |
| Probreast sumfish |  | 4 | $14 \quad 2$ | 1 3 | 1 | , | 1 | $24 \quad 14$ | 1051 |  | - 7 | $1)$ | 172 | 18190 |
| Smallmouth bass Wite cramp |  |  | 14 | , | 11 | 1 | 1 | 24 | \| 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |
| White crappie Walleye |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| fotals Per Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anglers | 1 | 17 |  | 1 | 11 |  | I | 30 |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 164 1250 |  |
| Fish Caught | 1 | 48 |  | 1 | 9 |  | , | 371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Kept | I | 5 |  | , |  |  | , | 34.00 |  |  | 12.00 |  | 1134.3 |  |
| Hours Fished | , | 41.00 |  | 1 | 20.25 |  | 1 | 2.90 |  | 1 | 1.33 |  | 11.86 |  |
| Catch/Eifort ( h ) | 1 | 1.17 |  | 1 | 0.44 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^18]TABLE E-21

Creel cata reported for each survey cay in Septeriber 1989, at the General Reservoir.

| Day River Stage | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \text { Friazy } \\ 0.99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { Sunday } \\ 0.99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 23 \text { Saturcay } \\ 1.12 \end{gathered}$ |  | $1$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \text { Thurscay } \\ 1.22 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tine - Mornimg (0900-1300), Midday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|Horning | Hidany | Evening |morning | bidday |Evening |Horning | Ifday |Evening |forning | iticiay |Evening | Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weather |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|Overcast } \\ & \mid 23.00 \\ & 124.70 \end{aligned}$ | Overcast 123.70 124.70 | Fiaze 127.00 125.70 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \| Hvy rain } \\ & \mid 21.00 \\ & \mid 22.00 \end{aligned}$ | in\|livy rain ${ }^{15.00} \mid$ | LLt rain 111.50 120.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|Clear } \\ & 111.00 \\ & 16.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|Clear } \\ & 117.00 \end{aligned}$ | iclear <br> 116.00 |  |  |
| Fater Temp (C) | 122.50 |  | 124.70 | 125.70 |  |  | 121.00 | 120.50 | 117.00 |  | 116.30 |  |  |
| Anglers | 114 |  |  | 136 | 13 | 113 |  | 113 | 12.2 | 10 | 113 | 18 | 12 |  |  |
| Fish Caught | 147 | 175 | 118 | 196 | 125 | 132 | 141 | 120 | 10 | 114. | 135 | 10 |  |  |
| Fish :ept | 19 | 112 | 15 |  | 12 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 13. | 110 | 10 |  |  |
| Hours Fished | 124.25 | 130.00 |  | 176.75 | 119.50 | 118.50 | 135.17 | 115.00 | 1. | 113.50 | 122.75 | 14.00 |  |  |
| Catcl/Efiort ( l ) | 11.94 | 12.50 | 11.50 | 11.25 | 12.28 | 11.73 | 11.17 | 11.33 | 1. | 11.04 | 11.54 | 10.00 |  |  |
| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|R|K|R|S|R |  |  | $\mid \mathrm{R} \mathrm{\mid}$ | K \\| R | K | \|R | | R \| H | K\|R|K| | R I | R 1 | K\|R | K | 1 R | P | : 1 c |
| Channel catfish | 11 | $1 \mid$ 4 1 <br> 31 2 5 <br> 11 6  <br> 11 49 6 | $1 \mid$ 5 3 <br> 1 1  <br> 1   <br> 1   <br> 1 1  <br> 1 4 1 <br> 1   <br> 1   |  |  | $\|$1  <br> 2  <br> 2  <br> 27  <br>   | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  | 1 | (1) | 311313111 | 15 13 |  |
| Rocl hass | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \|13 18 | $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 21 \\ 2 & 20\end{array}$ |
| Sunfishes | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 24 |
| Redbreast sunfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |
| Bluegill Smaulmouth bass | \| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 285 | 33318 |
| Largerouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Crappies | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellon parch | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| motals Per cay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anglers | 1 | 28 |  |  | 62 |  | 1 | 25 |  | I | 23 |  | 1138 |  |
| Fish Caught |  | 140 |  | I | 153 |  | ! | ${ }_{9} 6$ |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |
| Fish Kept | ! | 26 |  | 1 | 10 |  | , | $\stackrel{9}{50} 17$ |  | , |  |  | 1371.4 |  |
| llours Fished | 1 | 66.25 |  | 1 | 114.7 |  | , | 50.17 1.22 |  | 1. |  |  | 11.10 |  |
| Catcl/Effort ( h ) | 1 | 2.11 |  | 1 | 1.33 |  | 1 | 1.22 |  | 1. | 1.22 |  |  |  |

[^19]TABLE E-22

Creel data reported for each survey day in Septerber 1989, at the West Dam.

| Lay | 8 Friday 0 | 1 | $10 \begin{gathered}\text { Sunday } \\ 0.99\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \text { saturday } \\ 1.12 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 28 \text { Thursday } \\ 1.22 \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Time - Vorning (0900-1300), Nioday (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \|Horning | tioday |mvening |rorning | Lidday |Evening |horning | liouklay |Evening |lorning | Eiciday |Evening | Totels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weather | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFog } \\ & 118.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|Prt clay \|Clear } \\ & \|31.50 \quad\| 30.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | \|Overcast|Overcast jovercast|Clear$122.70\|14.70\| 11.50$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|Clear } \\ & \mid 16.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clear } \\ & 117.30 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Air Temp ( C ) |  | lovercast 123.30 | Overcastiraze <br> \|22.00 <br> 28.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Viater Tenq (C) | 122.30 | 124.00 | 124.30 | 125.00 | 128.50 | 129.50 |  |  |  |  | 122.00 | 121.00 | 119.70 | 125.70 | 116.30 | 116.50 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 |  |  |
| Anglers | 10 |  |  | 116 | 12 | 1 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| Fish Kept | 10 |  | 19 | 16 | 1. | 11 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |
| Hours Fished | 1. | 15.25 | 14.00 | 13.00 | 11.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. |  |  |
| Catch/Efiort (h) | 1. | 14.00 | 13.50 | 13.00 15.33 | 11.00 | 10.67 | 12.00 | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. |  |  |
| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|R|K|R|K| |  | \| R | K | | \|R1\% | R I K | R I | $\mathrm{R} \mid \mathrm{K}$ | R \| K | R I | R | R I | R 1 | R | K 1 C |
| Channel catfish | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\|$12 <br> 1 <br> $\mid$ <br> 1 <br> 1 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}3 & 8 \\ \mid & 2 & 1\end{array}\right.$ | $\|$8  <br>   <br>   <br>   | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\|$1 23 15 38 <br> 1 1  1 <br> 1 13 1 14 <br> 1 1 2 2 <br> 1 2  2 |  |  |
| Fock bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crappies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\longrightarrow$ totals Per Day

| Anglers | 1 | 3 | I | 6 | I | 1 | I | 0 | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish Caught | 1 | 35 | , | 18 | , | 5 |  | 0 | 58 |
| Fish Fept | 1 | 9 | I | 7 | 1 | 2 | I | 0 | 118 |
| Hours rished | I | 9.25 | , | 5.50 | 1 | 2.50 | ! | - | 117.25 |
| Catch/effort (h) | 1 | 3.78 | I | 3.27 | 1 | 2.00 | 1 |  | 13.36 |

[^20]Creel data rejorted for each survey day in September 1969r at the East Dam.


[^21]TABLE E-24

Creel iata rejorted for each survey day in Septenber 1989, at the York Haven Generating Station.


[^22]TABLE E-25

Creel cata reportaci for ench survey chy in cetober 1909, at the General Reservoir.

| Day: River Stage | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Boncay } \\ 1.12 \end{gathered}$ |  | 114 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Saturciay } \\ & 0.99 \end{aligned}$ |  | 124 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Muesday } \\ 1.96 \end{gathered}$ |  | $29$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sunclay } \\ 1.39 \end{gathered}$ | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time - Iorning (0900-1300), Midaly (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|horning | 1 Hidazy |  | lltorning | 1 Hidday | \| Wvening | \|ltorning | Niciday | \|Evening | \|Horning | \| sidcay | \|Evening*| | rotals |
| ! ieather | 1 s t rain | IOvercast | IOvercast | ICvercast | Clear | \|Clear | \|clear | \|Clear | \|Clatar | IClear | IClear |  |  |
| nir Term (C) | 119.30 | 120.50 | 119.70 | 114.00 | 120.00 | 117.00 | 19.50 | 116.30 | 114.70 111.00 | 116.30 <br> 113.70 | 110.00 | 1 |  |
| Water Terne (C) | 117.00 | 117.70 | 117.70 | 116.30 | 117.50 | 117.00 | 110.70 | 11.50 | 111.00 | 113.70 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 18 | 16 | 147 | 151 | 118 | 18 | 113 | 16 | 174 | 139 | 1 |  |
| Anglers Fish Caught | 117 | 116 | 145 | 1164 | 1203 | 1132 | 132 | 129 | 15 | 1255 | 1152 |  |  |
| Fish !epe | 12 | 15 | 15 | 124 | 135 | 111 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 128 | 131 |  |  |
| Ilours Figher | 18.00 | 19.25 | 123.00 | 1105.7 | 1152.3 | 178.50 | 112.75 | 131.50 | 112.50 | 1180.3 | 106.25 | 1 |  |
| Catch/ifitort (h) | 12.1\% | 12.73 | 11.96 | 11.55 | 12.33 | 11.68 | 12.51 | 10.92 | 10.40 | 1.35 | 11.3 | 1 |  |

specics


## $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Kept}$

R = Released
C = Total catch

* $=$ Survey not completed due to darkness

TABLE E-26

Creel data reported Ior each survey day in October 1909, at the fiest Dan.

| Day River Stage | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Ionclay } \\ 1.12 \end{gathered}$ |  | 1 Is | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { Saturday } \\ 0.29 \end{gathered}$ |  | $1$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \text { Tuestazy } \\ 1.96 \end{gathered}$ |  | $1$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { Sunclay } \\ 1.39 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time - Itorning (0900-1300), Midkay (1301-1700), Evening (1701-2100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|Rorning | Miciday |Evening |Horning | Hidday |Evening |hornins | Hicciay |Evening |korning | Widday |Evening * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tota |
| Veatior | \|Lt rain | ICvercast | 10 vercast | Overcast |  | \|clear | IClear |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rir Ter.q (C) | 113.00 | 120.00 | 119.30 | 113.70 | 120.00 | 117.00 | 17.50 | 114.00 | 113.00 | 116.30 | 116.00 |  |  |
| Vater Temy ( $C$ ) | 116.70 | 117.30 | 117.00 | 115.70 | 127.30 | 116.70 | 10.00 | 111.10 | 110.30 | 12.30 | 13.00 |  |  |
| Noglers | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Fish Caught | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 110 | 10 |  |  |
| Fish leyt | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 | , |  |
| nlours Fished | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | I. | ! | ! | 16.75 | . |  |  |
| Catch/EtFort (h) | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 1. | 11.48 | 1. | 11 |  |
| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R|K|R| |  |  |  |  |  | $1 \mathrm{R} \mid$ | K1R1K | R \| K | $1!1 \mathrm{k}$ | K\|R1F | $1 \mathrm{n}\|\mathrm{K}\|$ | \| R | |
| Sradlmouth bess thalleye | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | 19 |
| Totals ler Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , | 0 |  | , | 0 |  | , | 0 |  |  |  |  | 150 |
| Fish Caught | 1 | 0 |  | I | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | I | 10 |  | 110 |
| Fish Kept | ! | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | , | 6.75 |  | 16.75 |
| Catch/Effort ( h ) | 1 | - |  |  | - |  | 1 | : |  | 1 | 1.18 |  | 12.68 |

## $k=$ kept

$\mathrm{F}=$ Released
C = Iotal catch

* = Survey not completed due to darkness.

TABLE E-27

Creel date: relorteci for cach survey cay in Octaixer 1989, at the East Dam,

$K=$ Fiept
R = released
$C=$ Total catch

* Survey not completed due to darkness

Creel ciata reported for each surver cay in October 1989, at the York Haven Cenerating Station.


[^23]TABLE E-29

Creel ciata rerorted for each survey cay in iovember 19\%9, at the General Ressmoit.


[^24]TABLE E-30

Creel date refortec : or each surver ciay in Iloverber 1989, at the Hest Dan.


[^25]* = Survey not completed due to darkness

TABLE E-31

Creel data reported for each survey day in Hovenker 1989, at the East Rarn.


## $K=k e p t$

= Meleascr
$\mathrm{K}=$ releasca
$\mathrm{C}=$ Total catech

* $=$ Survey not completed due to darkness

TABLE E-32

Creel cata renorter? for each turvey chy in Novenber 1989, at the York Faven Gererating Station.


[^26]
## APPENDIX F

WATER QUALITY DATA

TABLE F-1 WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTED AT ZONE 1 NEAR TMINS, 1989.

| DATE | TEmPERATURE (C) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DISSOLVED } \\ & \text { OXYGEN } \\ & \text { (MG/L) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECCHI } \\ & \text { DISC } \\ & \text { (CM) } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT VELOCITY |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DISSOLVED } \\ \text { SOLIDS } \end{gathered}$ | SAMPLE DEPTH (M) | TIME OF COLLECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SURFACE |  | BOTTOM | CONDUCTIVITY |  |  |  |
|  | AIR | WATER | PH |  |  | (CM/SEC) | ( $\mathrm{CM} / \mathrm{SEC}$ ) | (UHMOS/SEC) |  |  |  |
| 06APR | 6.5 | 8.2 | 7.8 |  | 11.2 | - | 39.0 | - | - | - |  | 20:19 |
| 11 APR | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 12.5 | . | 25.0 | - | - | . |  | 2):15 |
| 13 APR | 13.0 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 152.4 |  | . | . | - |  | 12:37 |
| 17 APR | 15.0 | 11.5 | 7.9 | 11.8 | - | 15.0 | - | . | - | - | 21:55 |
| 24APR | 10.5 | 12.8 | 8.3 | 14.3 | - | 6.0 | - | - | - | - | 22:25 |
| 03may | 9.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 76. | 8.0 | - | - | - | * | 20:53 |
| 22MAY | 19.0 | 17.0 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 76.2 | 25. | - | - | - | - | 21:33 |
| 22MAY | 18.0 | 16.5 | 7.5 | 8.4 | - | 25.0 | - | - | ' | , | 23:09 |
| 29 MAY | 16.5 | 18.9 | 7.7 | 8.9 |  | 18.0 | - | - | - | - | 83:45 |
| SOMAY | 18.0 | 18.4 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 124.5 | $15^{\circ}$ | - | - | - | - | 8:45 |
| OGJUN | 16.0 | 20.5 | 8.4 | 10.6 |  | 15.0 | - | - | - | - | 23:19 |
| OBJUN | 20.3 | 19.3 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 139.7 |  | - | - | * | - | 11:35 |
| 12JUN | 19.0 | 20.8 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 71.1 | 10.0 | - | - | " | - | $11: 52$ $8: 40$ |
| 21JUN | 23.0 | 20.8 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 71.1 |  | - | - | * | - | 21:20 |
| $21 J U N$ | 20.5 | 20.1 | 6.4 | 7.4 | - | 58.0 40.0 | - | - | - | " | 21:41 |
| 2BJUN | 20.0 | 22.8 | 6.7 | 7.7 | - | 40.0 24.0 | - | - | - | - | 22:07 |
| 06Jul | 22.0 | 19.5 | 7.4 | 8.2 | - | 24.0 17.0 | - | - |  | " | 23:30 |
| IOJUL | 26.5 | 24.0 | 7.6 | 8.0 |  | 17.0 | - | - |  |  | 12:47 |
| 14 JUL | 25.5 | 20.0 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 30.5 |  | - | $\cdot$ |  |  | 21:31 |
| 17 JUL | 19.6 | 19.0 | 7.0 | 8.8 | - | 30.0 | , | - | - |  | 23:0B |
| 24JUL | 23.5 | 22.0 | 7.8 | 7.5 | - | 21.0 | , | - | - | - | 21:09 |
| O1AUG | 22.0 | 18.8 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 9. | 1.0 | , | - | - | - | 12:35 |
| O3Aug | 30.0 | 22.4 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 91.4 | . | - | - | - | - | 22:46 |
| 07Aug | 18.0 | 24.2 | 8.8 | 8.0 |  | 4.0 | * | - |  | . | 13:22 |
| igavg | 30.0 | 25.1 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 167.6 |  | - | - | - | - | 22:45 |
| 16avg | 24.0 | 25.0 | 8.9 | 17.8 | . | 2.0 | ' | - | - | - | 20:49 |
| 2 IAUG | 25.0 | 23.5 | 8.1 | 8.8 | - | 3.0 | - | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | - | , | 22:23 |
| 29aug | 23.5 | 24.2 | 8.4 | 11.2 |  | 3.0 | - | - | - | . | 14:20 |
| O7SEP | 25.0 | 25.2 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 96.5 | . | - | - | - |  | 13:10 |
| 18SEP | 19.0 | 20.3 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 76.2 | - | - | - | - | , | 14:30 |
| 180CT | 9.7 | 16.5 | 9.2 | 9.4 | $116 . \mathrm{B}$ | , | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | 9:40 |
| 02nOV | 11.5 | 12.7 | 8.8 | 10.1 | 116.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 9:40 |

TABLE F-2 WATER QUALITV DATA COLLECTED AT ZONE 2 NEAR TMINS, 1989.

|  | date | TEMPE | WATER | PH | DISSOLVED OXYGEN (MG/L) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECCHI } \\ & \text { DISC } \\ & \text { (CM) } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT <br> SURFACE (CM/SEC) | velocity <br> BOTTOM <br> (CM/SEC) | conductivity <br> (UHMOS/SEC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { DISSOLVED } \\ & \text { SOLIDS } \end{aligned}$ | SAMPLE DEPTH (M) | time of COLLECTIOM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13APR | 10.3 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 11.8 | 149.9 | - | - | - | - | - | 11:55 |
|  | 20APR | 5.0 | 13.8 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 121.9 | " | - | 240 | - | . | 0:40 |
|  | 22MAY | 20.0 | 17.0 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 76.2 | . | , | 0 |  |  | $9: 30$ 20.08 |
|  | 24MAY | 15.0 | 15.2 | 7.4 | 9.4 | 73.7 | . | - | 240 | - | . | 20:08 |
|  | 30may | 22.0 | 19.2 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 124.5 | . | - |  |  |  | $11: 40$ $1: 20$ |
|  | 31 may | 20.0 | 19.5 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 101.6 | . | - | 240 | * | - | $1: 20$ $10: 37$ |
|  | O8.JUN | 19.0 | 19.3 | 7.0 | 9.8 | 124.5 | - | - |  | * | - | $10: 37$ $1: 40$ |
|  | 14JUN | 19.0 | 21.0 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 127.0 | . | . | 260 | - | . | $1: 40$ $9: 38$ |
|  | 21 JUN | 24.5 | 21.0 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 73.7 | . |  |  | - | $\cdot$ | $9: 38$ 0.43 |
|  | 29JUN | 18.7 | 22.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 45.7 | . | , | 200 | - | * | $0: 43$ $11: 55$ |
|  | 14JUL | 23.0 | 22.0 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 35.6 | . | . |  | - | - | 11:55 |
|  | 25JUL | 22.0 | 23.0 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 40.6 | - | ' | 240 | - | - | 21:08 8:53 |
|  | ozaug | 27.5 | 21.7 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 101.6 | - | - | 250 | - | - | 8:53 $1: 20$ |
|  | loaug | 13.0 | 21.5 | 9. 1 | 13.7 | 119.4 | - | - | 250 | - | * | 12:22 |
|  | igaug | 29.0 | 27.4 | 9.1 | 11.5 | 127.0 | . | . | 250 |  | ' | $12: 22$ $19: 33$ |
|  | $22 A U G$ | 25.5 | 25.2 | 8.8 | 13.4 | 121.9 | - | * | 250 |  | - | 19:33 |
|  | 075EP | 25.0 | 26.2 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 96.5 | . | - | 375 | , | - | $13: 15$ 0.15 |
|  | 135EP | 19.7 | 25.9 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 68.6 | - | . | 325 | - | - | $0: 15$ $8: 25$ |
|  | 18 SEP | 18.0 | 20.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 53.3 | - | - | 325 | - | - | $8: 25$ $18: 55$ |
|  | 265EP | 16.7 | 16.5 | - 7 | 10.0 | 106.7 | - | - | 325 | - | - | $18: 55$ 0.10 |
|  | 050ct | 9.0 | 14.5 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 101.6 | - | - | 350 | - | - | $0: 10$ $13: 35$ |
|  | 180CT | 10.3 | 17.5 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 127.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 13:35 $14: 00$ |
| $\stackrel{1}{N}$ | $02 N O V$ $07 N O V$ | 11.5 12.0 | 13.0 10.3 | 8.2 8.6 | 10.1 12.4 | 124.5 | , | - | 300 | : | * | 14:00 $23: 00$ |

TABLE F-3 WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTED AT ZONE 4 NEAR TMINS: 1989.

| DATE | TEMPE | WATER | PH | DISSOLVED OXYGEN (MG/L) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECCHI } \\ & \text { DISC } \\ & \text { (CM) } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT <br> SURFACE <br> (CM/SEC) | velocity <br> BOTTOM <br> (CM/SEC) | CONDUCTIVITV <br> (UHMOS/SEC) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { DISSOLVED } \\ & \text { SOLIDS } \end{aligned}$ | SAMPLE DEPTH (M) | time OF COLLECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06APR | 8.8 | 6.0 | 8.2 | 12.0 | - | 35.0 | - | - | - | - | 20:37 |
| OGAPR | 8.8 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 15.0 | . | 40.0 | . | - | - |  | 20:51 |
| 11 APR | 7.0 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 12.6 | . | 30,0 | - | . | - | - | 20:39 |
| 11 APR | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 12.5 |  | 30.0 | - | - | - |  | 20:52 |
| 17APR | 15.0 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 11.3 | - | 24.0 | - | - | - | - | 22:09 |
| 17APR | 14.5 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 11.5 |  | 19.0 | - | 190 | . | - | 22:22 |
| 19APR | 10.0 | 11.5 | 7.1 | 10.4 | 132.1 | . | - | 190 | , | - | 23:38 |
| 24APR | 13.5 | 12.5 | B.8 | 13.0 | . | 14.0 | - | . | - |  | 21:11 |
| 24APR | 10.0 | 12.4 | 7.9 | 12.5 | . | 14.0 | - | - | - | - | 22:43 |
| OSMAV | 9.0 | 13.0 | 8.9 | 14.6 | - | 13.0 | - | - | , | - | 21:09 |
| OSMAY | 9.0 | 13.0 | 6.2 | 12.0 | - | 18.0 | . | - | * | * | 23:13 |
| 22 MAY | 19.0 | 17.0 | 6.9 | 9.5 | - | 31.0 | - | - | - | - | $21: 14$ $21: 51$ |
| 22MAY | 17.5 | 17.0 | 7.7 | 9.3 |  | 29.0 | - | O | : | - | $21: 51$ $21: 10$ |
| 24 MAY | 14.5 | 16.7 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 99.1 |  | - | 160 | - | - | 21:10 |
| 29MAY | 17.0 | 19.5 | 8.0 | 10.5 | . | 26.0 | - | - | $:$ | - | 22:57 |
| 29may | 17.0 | 19.5 | 8.0 | 10.5 |  | 21.0 | - |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | - | $22: 51$ $0: 17$ |
| 31 MAY | 22.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 10.2 9.5 | 101.6 |  | - | 180 | - | - | 22:57 |
| O6JUN | 17.0 | 22.0 | 8.2 | 9.5 | . | 21.0 23.0 | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | - | - | - | $22: 57$ $23: 39$ |
| O6JUN | 17.0 | 22.0 | 8.3 | 9.6 | - | 23.0 20.0 | - | - |  |  | 21:18 |
| 12 JUN | 20.5 | 21.1 | 7.7 | 10.5 | - | 20.0 | - | - |  |  | 21:32 |
| 12 JUN | 19.0 | 21.2 | 7.7 | 10.8 |  | 14.0 | - |  | - |  | $21: 32$ $0: 47$ |
| 14JUN | 20.0 | 21.0 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 99.1 |  | , | 200 | - |  | 23:22 |
| 21JUN | 20.5 | 18.7 | 6.4 | 8.8 | - | 27.0 | - | - | - | - | 23:38 |
| 21 JUN | 21.0 | 18.7 | 7.0 | 9.2 | - | 34.0 | - | - | - | - | 23:38 |
| 28 JUN | 20.0 | 22.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | - | 41.0 | - | - | - | . | 1:02 |
| 28JUN | 20.0 | 22.0 | 6.8 | 8.3 |  | 36.0 | - | 150 | - | - | 23:56 |
| 28JUN | 20.0 | 22.4 | 7.2 | 8.3 | 17.8 |  | - | 150 |  |  | 21:33 |
| O6JUL | 22.5 | 23.8 | 7.6 | 10.1 | - | 27.0 | - | - |  |  | 21:49 |
| 06JUL | 23.0 | 24.0 | 8.0 | 10.2 | . | 27.0 | - | - | * |  | 22:59 |
| 10JUL | 27.0 | 26.5 | 7.8 | 9.9 | - | 23.0 | - | * | - | - | 23:12 |
| 10JUL | 27.0 | 26.5 | 7.8 | 10.0 | - | 22.0 | . | - | - | , | 21:50 |
| 17 JUL | 19.5 | 19.5 | 7.1 | 9.0 | - | 40.0 | . | . | - | - | 23:31 |
| 17JUL | 19.0 | 19.0 | 7.3 | 9.0 | - | 42.0 | - | - | - | . | 23:46 |
| 24JUL | 24.5 | 23.0 | 7.6 | 7.5 | - | 24.0 | - | - |  |  | 23:26 |
| 24JUL | 23.0 | 23.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 |  | 34.0 | - | 180 |  |  | 22:04 |
| 25JUL | 23.5 | 24.9 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 43.2 |  | - | 180 | - | - | 21:34 |
| glaug | 22.5 | 21.2 | 8.6 | 9.1 | - | 2.0 | - | . | - | - | 21:47 |
| OIAUG | 22.0 | 21.5 | 7.7 | 9.1 | - | 17.0 | . | - | - | . | 22:30 |
| 07AUG | 18.5 | 26.0 | 8.8 | 8.8 | - | 14.0 15.0 | - | * | - | . | 23:07 |
| O7AUG | 18.0 | 26.0 | 8.8 | 8.7 10.4 | 139.7 | 15.0 | - | 275 | - | . | 23:00 |
| 10AUG | 15.5 | 23.9 |  | 10.4 | 139.7 | 9.0 | - | 275 | " | - | 22:07 |
| 1 IGAUG | 24.8 | 25.0 | 8.6 | 10.0 | - | 9.0 | - | * | - | . | 23:05 |
| IGAUG | 23.5 | 26.8 | 8.8 | 11.0 | - | 12.0 | - | - | - | . | 21:15 |
| 21 AUG | 25.0 | 24.8 | 8.8 | 10.2 | - | 9.0 | - | - | - | - | 21:20 |
| $214 U G$ | 24.5 | 25.0 | 8.8 | 9.6 | * | 9.0 | , | - | - | - | 21:28 |

table f-3 continued.

table f-4 water quality data collected at zone 7 Near tmins, 1989.

| DATE | TEMPERATURE (C) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DI SSOLVED } \\ & \text { OXYGEN } \\ & \text { (MG/L) } \end{aligned}$ | SECCHI DISC (CM) | CURRENT VELOCITY |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { DISSOLVED } \\ & \text { SOLIDS } \end{aligned}$ | SAMPLE DEPTH (M) | TIME OF COLLECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AIR | WATER | PH |  |  | (CM/SEC) | ( $\mathrm{CM} / \mathrm{SEC}$ ) | (UHMOS/SEC) |  |  |  |
| 05APR | 12.0 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 27.9 | 13.0 | 17.0 | - | 102 | 2.0 | 9:00 |
| OGAPR | 6.8 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 12.4 | . | 45.0 | . | . | . | . | 21:40 |
| OGAPR | 6.0 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 12.7 | . | 58.0 | . | . | - | - | 21:56 |
| 11 APR | 4.5 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 12.5 | - | 30.0 | - | - | - | , | 21:56 |
| $11 A P R$ | 3.5 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 12.8 |  | 45.0 | - | . | - | - | 22:26 |
| 13APR | 9.5 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 11.7 | 86.4 |  | - | - |  | - | 10:00 |
| 17 APR | 17.5 | 9.2 | 7.6 | 11.5 | . | 30.0 | - | - |  | $\cdot$ | 20:36 $21: 14$ |
| 17 APR | 15.5 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 11.3 |  | 34.0 | - | 210 |  | - | 21:14 |
| 19APR | 11.5 | 11.9 | 7.1 | 10.9 | 114.3 | 2 | - | 210 |  |  | 20:46 |
| 24APR | 13.0 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 12.2 | . | 20.0 | . | - | , | - | 21:37 |
| 24APR | 12.5 | 12.8 | 8.8 | 12.5 |  | 24.0 |  | - | 186 | 1.3 | $21: 48$ $9: 50$ |
| O2MAY | 15.0 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 71.1 | 13.0 | 16.0 | - | 186 | 1.3 | $9: 50$ $21: 29$ |
| OJMAY | 9.5 | 13.2 | 7.7 | 10.6 | . | 22.0 27.0 | , | - | - | , | 21:29 22:20 |
| DЗMAY | 9.0 23.0 | 13.0 | 7.3 6.9 | 13.2 8.8 | 66.0 | 27.0 | - | - | - | - | 11:30 |
| 22 MAY | 23.0 | 17.6 | 6.9 | 8.8 9.4 | 66.0 | 62.0 | " | * | - | - | 22:20 |
| 22 MAY 22 MAY | 17.0 16.0 | 17.2 | 7.7 | 9.4 8.4 | - | 62.0 54.0 | ' | - | - | : | 22:54 |
| 22 MAY | 16.0 | 17.2 | 7.7 | 8.4 9.3 | 71.1 | 54.0 | - | 210 | - | - | 23:15 |
| 24MAY | 14.0 | 16.4 | 6.7 | 9.3 11.0 | 71.1 | 27.0 | - | 210 | - | - | 21:40 |
| 29MAY | 19.0 | 19.1 | 7.9 | 11.0 |  | 36.0 | , | - | - |  | 22:28 |
| 29MAY | 18.0 | 18.9 | 7.6 | 11.9 |  | 36.0 | , | - | - |  | 10:05 |
| 30MAY | 20.0 | 18.6 | 7.0 | 9.6 | 73.7 | - | - | 240 |  |  | 20:50 |
| 30MAY | 23.5 | 19.7 | 8.0 | 11.4 | 76.2 |  | 0 | 240 | 171 | 1.5 | 20:50 |
| 05JUN | 22.0 | 23.2 | 7:3 | 8.2 | 101.6 | 4.0 | 8.0 | * | 171 | 1.5 | 21:27 |
| OGJUN | 20.0 | 21.9 | 7.4 | 8.9 | . | 31.0 | . | - | - | - | 21:54 |
| O6JUN | 18.0 | 21.6 | 7.9 | 8.8 | , | 31.0 | - | - | - | - | $21: 54$ $8: 45$ |
| OBJUN | 18.0 | 19.5 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 94.0 | 26. | , | * | - | - | 8:45 |
| 12 JUN | 17.5 | 20.5 | 7.6 | 10.1 | . | 26.0 | , | - | - | - | 23:05 |
| 12JUN | 17.5 | 20.5 | 7.5 | 10.3 | 78.7 | 18.0 | - | 0 | - | , | 23:17 |
| I3JUN | 21.0 | 20.7 | 7.3 | 10.6 | 78.7 | . | . | 250 | - | - | 21:30 |
| $21 . J U N$ | 26.0 | 19.8 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 33.0 | $6{ }^{*}$ | - | - | - | , | 12:00 |
| 21 JUN | 22.0 | 19.3 | 6.7 | 8.5 | . | 61.0 | . | $\cdot$ | - |  | 22:18 |
| $21 . J U N$ | 22.5 | 19.5 | 6.2 | 8.8 | . | 70.0 | - | - | . |  | 21:43 |
| 27JUN | 23.7 | 23.0 | 6.7 | 8.2 |  | 43.0 | - | - | - |  | 22:53 |
| 27JUN | 22.0 | 23.0 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 15. | 49.0 | - | 175 | - | - | 22:53 |
| 28JUN | 23.0 | 22.9 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 15.2 | 5. |  | 175 | 139 | 1.5 | $21: 18$ $10: 05$ |
| 06JUL | 22.5 | 20.3 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 40.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | . | 139 | 1.5 | 10:05 |
| 06JUL | 22.0 | 21.2 | 8.2 | 10.0 | . | 44.0 | - | . | - | - | 23:48 |
| 06JUL | 21.0 | 21.2 | 8.2 | 9.8 |  | 23.0 | - | - | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | - | $23: 48$ $22: 20$ |
| 10JUL | 27.0 | 26.2 | 8.5 | 10.4 | - | 34.0 | - | - | - | - | 22:32 |
| 10JUL | 27.0 | 26.2 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 53* | 26.0 | - | - | * | - | $22: 30$ $9: 50$ |
| 19JUL | 22.5 | 20.7 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 53.3 | $53^{\circ}$ | - | - | - | - | 22:10 |
| 17JUL | 19.5 | 21.0 | 6.7 | 8.6 | - | 53.0 | - | , | * | - | 22:40 |
| 17 JUL | 19.5 | 20.5 | 6.9 | 8.8 | - | 53.0 | - | * | - | - | $22: 40$ $21: 50$ |
| 24JUL | 25.0 | 27.0 | 7.4 | 8.2 | - | 33.0 | - | - | - | - | 21:50 |
| 24JUL | 25.0 | 26.5 | 7.2 | 8.2 | - | 29.0 | - | - | - | - | 22:03 |

TABLE F-4 CONTINUED.


TABLE F-5 WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTED AT ZONE E NEAR TMINS, 1989.


TABLE F-5 CONTINUED


TABLE F-6 WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTED AT ZONE 9 NEAR TMINS, 1989.

| DATE | TEMPERATURE (C) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DISSOLVED } \\ & \text { OXYGEN } \\ & \text { (MG/L) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECCHI } \\ & \text { DISC } \\ & \text { (CM) } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT VELOCITY |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DISSOLVED } \\ \text { SOLIDS } \end{gathered}$ | SAMPLE DEPTH (M) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME OF } \\ & \text { COLLECTION } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A 18 | WATER | PH |  |  | SURFACE (CM/SEC) | BOTTOM <br> (CM/SEC) | CONDUCTIVITY <br> (UMMOS/SEC) |  |  |  |
| 05APR | 11.5 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 12.2 | 27.9 | 25.0 | 20.0 | - | 103 | 2.2 | 9:25 |
| DGAPR | 7.8 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 12.5 |  | 45.0 | . |  | . | . | 21:11 |
| 11 APR | 8.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 12.5 |  | 38.0 | - | - | - | - | 20:24 |
| I3APR | 10.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 11.7 | 78.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 11:17 |
| 17 APR | 17.0 | 10.0 | 7.5 | 11.2 | 119. | 30.0 | - |  | - |  | 20:52 |
| 19APR | 10.3 | 11.8 | 7.0 | 10.3 | 119.4 |  | - | 220 | - |  | 22:43 |
| 24APR | 13.0 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 12.2 |  | 12.0 |  | . |  |  | 21:23 |
| O2MAY | 14.0 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 76.2 | 11.0 | 18.0 | . | 181 | 1.B | 8:30 |
| 03may | 9.5 | 13.0 | 7.3 | 9.6 |  | 20.0 | . | - | - | - | 22:02 |
| 22 may | 21.5 | 17.5 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 76.2 | . | - | - | - | - | 10:10 |
| z2may | 16.5 | 17.2 | 7.6 | 8.8 |  | 42.0 | - | 200 | - | - | 22:37 |
| 25 MAY | 12.0 | 16.2 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 73.7 |  | - | 200 | - | - | 1:21 |
| 29may | 18.0 | 19.2 | 8.0 | 11.5 |  | 30.0 | . | - | - | - | $21: 58$ $11: 10$ |
| somay | 20.5 | 18.8 | 7.0 | 10.2 | 91.4 | . | $\cdot$ | 240 | - | - | 11:10 |
| 3OMAY | 22.5 | 19.6 | 8.0 | 10.6 | 86.9 109.2 | 23.0 |  | 240 | 173 | 1.5 | 23:50 |
| O5JUN | 22.0 | 23.0 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 109.2 | 23.0 | 19.0 | - | 173 | 1.5 | 22:09 |
| 06JUN | 18.0 | 21.8 | 7.8 | B. 8 |  | 24.0 | - | - | * | - | 2:55 |
| OBJUN | 18.5 | 19.7 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 91.4 | 21. | - | - |  |  | 22:15 |
| 12 JUN | 18.0 | 21.0 | 7.1 | 10.1 |  | 21.0 | - | 250 | - |  | 23:29 |
| I3JUN | 21.5 | 20.7 | 7.5 | 10.4 | 81.3 |  | * | 250 | - |  | $23: 29$ $10: 23$ |
| $21 J U N$ | 24.0 | 19.9 | 6.6 | 9.6 | 38.1 | 49 | - | . | - | - | 10:23 |
| 21 JUN | 21.0 | 19.2 | 6.4 | 8.5 | . | 49.0 | - | - | - | * | 23:07 |
| 27JUN | 21.5 | 23.0 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 15". 2 | 30.0 | * |  | - | - | 22:58 |
| 2BJUN | 21.0 | 22,8 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 15.2 |  | 23.0 | 180 | $14 \dot{5}^{\circ}$ | 2.2 | $22: 58$ $9: 35$ |
| O6JUL | 22.3 | 20.7 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 33.0 | 25.0 34.0 | 23.0 | - | 145 |  | 23:05 |
| D6JUL | 21.0 | 21.0 | 7.5 | 10.0 | - | 34.0 26.0 | - | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ | . | - | 21:56 |
| 10 JUL | 27.5 | 26.5 | 8.4 | 10.5 | 61.0 | 26.0 | - | - | - | - | 11:17 |
| 14 JUL | 24.0 | 21.2 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 61.0 | 46. | - | - |  | - | 22:25 |
| 17JUL | 19.5 | 21.0 | 6.6 | 8.8 | - | 46.0 | - | - |  |  | 21:34 |
| 24JUL | 26.0 | 27.0 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 101* | 24.0 | - | 300 | - | - | 21:34 |
| 26JUL | 22.0 | 27.8 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 101.6 | $21^{\circ}$ | - | 300 | * | - | 22:58 |
| olaug | 20.0 | 21.0 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 132. | 21.0 | B | * | 217 | 1.3 | $22: 58$ $9: 50$ |
| 02aug | 23.0 | 21.0 | 8.0 | B. 3 | 132.1 | 10.0 | 8.0 | - | 217 |  | 9:35 |
| o3aug | 26.7 | 22.9 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 154.9 | 21.0 | - | - |  |  | 21:31 |
| 07AUG | 19.5 | 25.2 | 8.3 | 8.5 |  | 21.0 | - | 350 | - | - | 23:15 |
| osaug | 15.5 | 22.5 |  | 11.0 | 165.1 | . | - | 350 | - | : | 11:37 |
| 16aug | 27.5 | 25.0 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 167.6 | 120 | - | - | - | . | 22:22 |
| 1 16AUG | 24.5 | 25.5 | 8.7 | 12.0 | . | 12.0 11.0 | $\bullet$ | - | : | - | 22:54 |
| 21 aug | 23.5 | 23.2 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 104.1 | 11.0 | - | 275 | - | - | 22:54 |
| 23aug | 24.3 | 25.0 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 104.1 |  | - | 275 | . | . | 21:38 |
| 29AUG | 23.5 | 24.1 | 8.8 | 10.5 |  | 11.0 | 40 | - | 324 | 1.3 | 9:00 |
| O5SEP | 18.0 | 20.1 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 81.3 | 6.0 | 4.0 | - | 324 | 1.3 | 12:07 |
| 07 SEP | 25.0 | 25.0 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 99.1 | - | - | 450 | - | - | 22:09 |
| 12 SEP | 20.5 | 26.1 | 8.7 | 10.4 | 73.7 | , | - | 450 | - | - | 12:10 |
| 18SEP | 18.0 | 20.3 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 81.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 12:10 |

TABLE F-6 CONTINUED,

| DATE | TEMPERATURE (C) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OISSOLVED } \\ & \text { OXYGEN } \\ & \text { (MG/L) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECCHI } \\ & \text { DISC } \\ & \text { (CM) } \end{aligned}$ | CURRENT VELOCITY |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { DISSOLVED } \\ & \text { SOLIDS } \end{aligned}$ | SAMPLE DEPTH <br> (M) | TIME OF COLLECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONDUCTIVITY |  |  |  |
|  | AIR | WATER | PH |  |  | (CM/SEC) | (CM/SEC) | (UHMOS/SEC) |  |  |  |
| 27 SEP | 13.0 | 15.5 |  |  | 1.0.3 | 71.1 |  | - | 390 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 0:10 |
| 040CT | 10.0 | 14.9 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 81.3 | 12.0 | 4.0 | - | 218 | 1.1 | 9:15 |
| 040CT | 10.3 | 15.0 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 76.2 | . | . | 375 | . | . | 22:00 |
| 180CT | 10.2 | 17.5 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 104.1 | - | - | . | , | - | 9:50 |
| 02 NOV | 13.0 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 137.2 | \% | , |  | 185 | 1.8 | 13:00 |
| OENOV | 11.5 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 11.2 | 154.9 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 5 | 185 | 1.6 | $9: 35$ $20: 52$ |
| O7NOV | 12.0 | 10.7 | 7.7 | 11.6 | 152.4 | . | . | 275 | - | . | 20:52 |

TAELE F-7 WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTED AT ZONE 10 NEAR TMINS, 1989.



[^0]:    * Significant at $P \leq 0.01$.

[^1]:    $\mp$ Less than 0.05\%.

[^2]:    * GR, General Reservoir; YHGS, York Haven Generating Station.

[^3]:    $n$ Significant at $\overline{0} \leq 0.05$.
    ** Significant at $P \leq 0.01$.

[^4]:    » Refer to Figure 7-1 for location.

[^5]:    + Less than 0.05\%.

[^6]:    $K=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    C $=$ Total catch

[^7]:    $K=K e p t$
    $\mathrm{B}=$ Fel eased
    $C=$ Total catch

[^8]:    K = Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    $C$ a Total catch

[^9]:    $K=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    $C=$ Total catch

[^10]:    $\mathrm{K}=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    C = Motal catch

[^11]:    $K=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    $C=$ Total catch

[^12]:    $\mathrm{K}=$ Rept
    R = Released
    $C=$ Total catch

[^13]:    $\mathrm{K}=$ Kept
    R $=$ Released
    $\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch

[^14]:    $\mathrm{K}=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Releasicd
    $\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch

[^15]:    $k=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    $\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch

[^16]:    $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Kept}$
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    C = Mbtal catch

[^17]:    $K=$ Kept
    R = Released

[^18]:    $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{kept}$
    R = Meleased
    C = Iotal catch

[^19]:    $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Kef}$
    $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{inelease}$
    $\mathrm{c}=$ Fotal catch

[^20]:    $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Kept}$
    R = Released
    $\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch

[^21]:    $k=$ Kept
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Released
    $\mathrm{C}=$ Fotal catch

[^22]:    I = Kept
    F = Releascd
    $C=$ Total catch

[^23]:    $K=$ Ricpt

    - Releaced
    $C=$ Fotal citch

[^24]:    $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{Kei} \mathrm{t}$
    $\mathrm{r}=$ neieased
    $\mathrm{C}=$ Total catch
    $*=$ Survey not completed due to darkness

[^25]:    $=$ l:ejit
    $r=$ Released
    $\mathrm{C}=$ = Touril catch

[^26]:    $\bar{l}=$ la;
    $\dot{r}=$ Repit
    $r$
    $\stackrel{r}{i}=$ Relmacd

