

July 7, 2008

Mr. Timothy J. O'Connor
Site Vice President
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
2807 West County Road 75
Monticello, MN 55362-9637

SUBJECT: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT - REQUEST FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: SECURITY BULLETIN 2007-01 "SECURITY
OFFICER ATTENTIVENESS" (TAC NO. MD7620)

Dear Mr. O'Connor:

By letter dated February 11, 2008, Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) submitted the required written response to Security Bulletin 2007-01 "Security Officer Attentiveness" Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant. The response was submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(f) and 10 CFR 70.22(d).

The NRC staff has reviewed NMC's submittal and has determined that additional information is needed to complete the final assessment of your response. Security Bulletin 2007-01 requested licensees to provide information regarding administrative and managerial programs and controls established to prevent, identify, and correct security personnel inattentiveness, complicity, and failures to implement the behavioral observation program by individuals among licensee security personnel including security contractors and subcontractors. The NRC staff will use the additional information received to inform the Commission and to determine if further regulatory action is warranted or if additional assessment of licensee program implementation is needed.

The specific information requested is addressed in the enclosure to this letter. We request your response within 35 days of the date of issuance of this letter. Before submitting your response, you should evaluate it for proprietary, sensitive, safeguards, or classified information and handle such information appropriately. If you have any question, please contact me at 301-415-1451.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch III-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-263

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. Timothy J. O'Connor
Site Vice President
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
2807 West County Road 75
Monticello, MN 55362-9637

July 7, 2008

SUBJECT: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT - REQUEST FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: SECURITY BULLETIN 2007-01 "SECURITY
OFFICER ATTENTIVENESS" (TAC NO. MD7620)

Dear Mr. O'Connor:

By letter dated February 11, 2008, Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) submitted the required written response to Security Bulletin 2007-01 "Security Officer Attentiveness" Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant. The response was submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(f) and 10 CFR 70.22(d).

The NRC staff has reviewed NMC's submittal and has determined that additional information is needed to complete the final assessment of your response. Security Bulletin 2007-01 requested licensees to provide information regarding administrative and managerial programs and controls established to prevent, identify, and correct security personnel inattentiveness, complicity, and failures to implement the behavioral observation program by individuals among licensee security personnel including security contractors and subcontractors. The NRC staff will use the additional information received to inform the Commission and to determine if further regulatory action is warranted or if additional assessment of licensee program implementation is needed.

The specific information requested is addressed in the enclosure to this letter. We request your response within 35 days of the date of issuance of this letter. Before submitting your response, you should evaluate it for proprietary, sensitive, safeguards, or classified information and handle such information appropriately. If you have any question, please contact me at 301-415-1451.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch III-1
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-263
Enclosure: As stated
cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	LPL3-1 r/f	RidsNrrDorlLpl3-1 Resource
LRegner, NRR/DORL	MBanic, NRR/DPR	FPeduzzi, NSIR
RCorreia, NSIR	RidsNrrLATHarris	RidsNrrPMPTam Resource
RidsOgcRp Resource	RidsAcrcAcnw_MailCTR Resource	
RidsRgn3MailCenter Resource		

ADAMS Accession Number: **ML081820855**

OFFICE	LPL3-1/PM	LPL3-1/LA	NSIR*	LPL3-1/BC
NAME	PTam	THarris	--	LJames
DATE	7/1/08	7/1/08	--	7/1/08

*See package of e-mails from NSIR (Accession No. ML081820158)

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REVIEW OF RESPONSE TO SECURITY BULLETIN 2007-01
MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT
DOCKET NO. 50-263

In responding to each of the following questions, the licensee should provide information that addresses measures that are currently in place, and any additional planned actions with expected completion dates.

The NRC staff reviewed your response to Question 1a and requests the following additional information:

- (1) Describe the process for security post rotations including the rotation process for isolated positions. Include the following information in your response:

A description of the security post rotation process including, but not limited to: (1) a discussion of the types of posts a typical security officer would rotate through during a normal shift; (2) a discussion on whether the type of activity (i.e. roving or foot patrol or stationary in a Bullet Resisting Enclosure (BRE)) performed at each individual post is taken into consideration when a security officer moves from post to post throughout the shift; and, (3) the length of time at each post. When responding, particular emphasis should be placed on whether the licensee takes into consideration the activities associated with each post assignment when formulating its post rotation schedules for each shift (i.e., rotating from foot patrol to BRE to Vital Area patrol, or rotating from BRE to ready room to BRE, etc.).

The NRC staff reviewed your response to Question 1c. and requests the following additional information:

- (2) What is the level of involvement from management personnel who do not have direct responsibility for the security program (including executive and corporate management) in conducting behavior observations of security personnel? Include the following information in your response:

A description of any processes in place for licensee and/or contract management personnel, who work day to day at the site or visit the site on a routine basis from a corporate office or other applicable offsite location, for conducting behavior observations of security personnel while on duty at their assigned posts. Examples should include, but are not limited to, a discussion of random or scheduled observations conducted by licensee and/or contract management personnel such as the Plant Operations Shift Managers or other Plant Operations Shift Supervisors, Plant Maintenance Supervisors (licensee and contractor), or Quality Assurance Supervisors, etc. The discussion should include whether these random or scheduled observations are proceduralized, and the required or recommended level of licensee and/or contract management involvement.

The NRC staff reviewed your response to Question 1 and requests the following additional information:

- (3) Are security personnel provided opportunities to participate in any personnel surveys regarding the work environment? If so, what is the frequency of the surveys, the average participation rate of security personnel as compared to the general site average, and the process for providing feedback and addressing the results from the survey?
- (4) How is the licensee's policy regarding site employee attentiveness and/or inattentiveness communicated to personnel, both licensee and contractor, and at what frequency?

The NRC staff reviewed your response to Question 2 and requests the following additional information:

- (5) Describe the process for employees to file reports through the site corrective action program (CAP). Can employees file CAP reports without prior supervisory/management review or approval? Include the following information in your response:

Describe the process for employees to file reports through the CAP. Discuss the supervisor/management review and/or approval process including, but not limited to: (1) does a supervisor/manager have the authority to reject a report before entering it into the corrective action program without additional management review and approval; and (2) does a supervisor/manager have the authority to modify the report before such report has been entered into the CAP.

- (6) Can the employees view the status and disposition of reports directly, or must this information be requested? If yes, please describe the process.

The NRC staff reviewed your response to Question 5 and requests the following additional information:

- (7) How do you assess the effectiveness of your oversight of contractors and subcontractors? Include the following information in your response:

Describe program for oversight of contractors and subcontractors including, but not limited to: (1) a brief overview and description of licensee's procedures that describe the oversight process; (2) include a detailed list (bulleted is preferred) of assigned duties for the licensee supervisor(s) or manager(s) responsible for overseeing contractors and subcontractors at the site; (3) include a detailed list (bulleted is preferred) of the assigned duties for the contractor and subcontractor supervisor(s) or manager(s) responsible for overseeing the contractor and subcontractor staff at the site; and (4) a brief discussion of the corporate (management) involvement with the oversight of contractors and subcontractors at the site.

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

cc:

Peter M. Glass
Assistant General Counsel
Xcel Energy Services, Inc.
414 Nicollet Mall (MP4)
Minneapolis, MN 55401

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector's Office
2807 W. County Road 75
Monticello, MN 55362

Manager, Nuclear Safety Assessment
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
2807 West County Road 75
Monticello, MN 55362-9637

Commissioner
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Commissioner
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street, S. E.
Minneapolis, MN 55440

Douglas M. Gruber, Auditor/Treasurer
Wright County Government Center
10 NW Second Street
Buffalo, MN 55313

Commissioner
Minnesota Department of Commerce
85 7th Place East, Suite 500
St. Paul, MN 55101-2198

Manager - Environmental Protection
Division
Minnesota Attorney General's Office
445 Minnesota St., Suite 900
St. Paul, MN 55101-2127

Nuclear Asset Manager
Xcel Energy, Inc.
414 Nicollet Mall (MP4)
Minneapolis, MN 55401

Dennis L. Koehl
Chief Nuclear Officer
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
414 Nicollet Mall (MP4)
Minneapolis, MN 55401