**Table 1. NRC Comments to 3-4-08 Moab RAP submittal**

| NRC Comment | Comment | DOE Response |
|---|---|---|
| (1) D. Gillen | Will DOE perform cell cover settlement monitoring post closure If so, add language reflecting DOE's commitment. | Cell cover monitoring language added to RAS Section 4.6 and RAIP Section 6.11. Draft Language provided to D. Gillen 3/13/08 |
| (2) D. Gillen 3/21/08 | RAIP – Pg. 7 of 25 – 2nd bold heading "In-Place Density Testing of Waste Cell Spoil Material Embankment" - this Section doesn't belong here. | Section will be removed. |
| (3) D. Gillen 3/21/08 | RAS Pg. 7-1, last paragraph – Sum of quantity of individual cell components do not equal total quantity indicated. | Error in quantity of wedge quantity. Clarifying language provided 5/8/08. |
| (4) D. Gillen 3/20/08 | Cover thickness inconsistencies in RAS and Calculations C-10 and C-11. (8',9'10') | The correct final cover thickness is 9'. The RAS text will be corrected to reflect this. Revised calculations provided to NRC 5/8/08. |
| (5) D. Gillen 3/20/08 | Cover cracking typo, RAS, pg.4-9, allowable strain given as .065 and .056 in same paragraph. | .065 is correct value. RAS text will be revised. |
| (6) T. Johnson / M. Fliegel | Rock quality results in Section 6.6 – The overall score for the tan, friable SS appears to be too high based on the individual scores | Rock lab made error in overall score of tan, friable SS |
| (7) M. Fliegel / T. Johnson / R. Johnson conf. call 4/17/08 | Discuss concerns regarding Silliman rock – test crush Silliman rock to see if poor quality rock breaks up, perform petrographic analysis on Silliman rock types, perform analog study | DOE is no longer proposing Silliman rock as a source. For RAP approval, DOE will propose Fremont Jct. as sole source. Revised Section 6.6 will be submitted to NRC ASAP. |