

PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK SUMMARY

MN No: 08-010

Meeting Date: April 8, 2008 Meeting Location: Joint News Center, Oswego County Airport, Fulton, NY

Meeting Purpose/Licensee: Meeting with Entergy Nuclear Northeast to discuss the NRC's annual assessment of the safety performance of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant for the calendar year 2007.

Was the overall public perception of the meeting POSITIVE or NEGATIVE? POSITIVE

Summary of feedback received (consider the factors described on the next page):

The opportunity to speak with the NRC staff after the meeting was particularly valuable.


Any useful suggestions / ideas: There were no useful suggestions or ideas provided in the feedback forms.

Constructive criticism (what can be improved): None provided

Actions recommended as a result of feedback received: None.

Other actions planned: None.

Individual feedback form information was entered into the Public Meeting Feedback System.

Branch Chief	<u></u>	Date: <u>5/29/08</u>
Meeting Sponsor	<u></u>	Date: <u>5/29/08</u>
Division Director	<u>N/A</u>	Date: <u>N/A</u>

Factors to consider in summary of feedback received:

1. Provide some perspective regarding the meeting "atmosphere". For example, was the public concerned because of some earlier event? What recent news had motivated people to come to the meeting?
2. Were people expecting to have an opportunity to express their views, when the meeting was not intended or designed to provide such an opportunity?
3. Has the public's perception of, or opposition to, the meeting subject already been strongly expressed in the area media?

Keep in mind that the purpose of this analysis is on the quality of NRC communications and how to improve them. The purpose does not include how to persuade stakeholders to like the message; they may not like the NRC, the licensee, or the message to be delivered. Our objective is to make our communications to the stakeholders more effective.