

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: St. Louis County, Missouri Department of Highways and Traffic 121 S. Meramec Clayton, MO 63105 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32062	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 24-26279-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 06/3 / 08	

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:


- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	E. Kulzer/D. Weideman		06/3 /08

**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE St. Louis County, Missouri REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32062	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-26279-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 06/ 3/2008	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 3121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Daniel Ahlvers	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 314.615.1185
----------------------------	------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

☒

Main Office Inspection

Next Inspection Date: 6/2013

☐

Field Office

☐Temporary Job Site
Inspection**PROGRAM SCOPE****Program Scope**

The licensee is authorized for portable moisture/density gauges county public office that employs workers that monitor construction projects in the county. The licensee possesses five Troxler 3400 Series moisture density gauges for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs 20 authorized gauge users. The gauges are stored in a locked room in the licensee's facility in Maryland Heights, Missouri.

Performance Observations

At the time of this inspection, a gauge was in the process of being transported to a construction site on Maryland Heights expressway. The licensee locked and labeled the gauge case. The security during transport and at the job site was described with no problems noted. The inspectors reviewed the leak test data and dosimetry and had no concerns.