NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201			U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
l s	SAFETY INSPI	ECTION REPORT	AND COMPLIANCE	INSPECTION			
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
CRD & Associates, Inc. 10805 Sunset Office Drive, Suite 110 St. Louis, Missouri 63127 REPORT NUMBER(S)			U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(	S)	4. LICENSEE NUM	<u> </u>	5. DATE(S) OF INSP	PECTION		
030-35629		24-24559	· · ·				
LICENSEE:							
2. Previous violation	Nuclear Regular ed of selective ender inspector. The pection findings, no n(s) closed.	tory Commission (NF xaminations of proce e inspection findings violations were identified.	RC) rules and regulation dures and representation are as follows:	ns and the conditions of the records, interviews	of your license. with personnel,		
3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.							
	Non-Cited Violatio	n(s) was/were discussed	involving the following requir	ement(s) and Corrective Ac	tion(s):		
4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.  (Violations and Corrective Actions)							
	license	e's Statement of Corre	ctive Actions for Item 4	ahora			
Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.  I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.  Title Printed Name Signature Date							
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	Carl Repp,			, acure	6/ /2008		
NRC INSPECTOR	Darrel G. Wied	deman/Ed Kulzer	Al Tri	alum	6/2/2008		
NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (10-20	003)	-	•	W. 1			

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (10-2003) 10 CFR 2:201			Docket File Information		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
			SAFETY INSPE AND COMPLIAN			
1. LICENSEE  CRD & Associates, Inc.  REPORT 2009-001  NUMBER(S)				2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532		
		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-24559-02		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 6/ 2 /2008		
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 1 through 7				
			SUPPLEMENTAL INSP	ECTION INFORMA	TION	
1.	PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICEI Carl Rapp, RSC	NSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 314- 909-9600	
	Ma	in Office Inspectio	n	Next Inspection Sate: \$\frac{1}{2}/1/3		
	X Field Office	Field Office St. Louis International Airport				
	Temporary J Inspection	ob Site				

**PROGRAM SCOPE** 

The licensee is a small civil engineering firm employing ten individuals. The licensee is physically located at the St. Louis Lambert Airport. The licensee possessed three moisture/density gauges (serial Nos. 4452, 4459 and 4451) that are used occasionally for soil/asphalt compaction tests at the airport. The licensee had three (3) approved gauge users that successfully completed the manufacturer's training course. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services were performed by the device manufacturer.

During this inspection, the inspectors toured the gauge storage area and surveyed the gauge storage room, interviewed selected licensee personnel, and reviewed selected records. Licensee personnel discussed and demonstrated security measures in the field, transportation procedures and emergency procedures. At the time of this inspection the gauges were not in use. Therefore, the inspectors were unable to conduct a field inspection. The licensee was aware of the double barrier rule (10 CFR 30.34(1)) and demonstrated to the inspectors how the gauges are stored and transported to the job site. Leak tests were current (April and October 2007) and records indicated that the sealed sources were not leaking.

No violations of NRC requirements were identified.