

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Rochester Medical Center 543 N. Main Rochester, MI REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32081	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-26287-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION April 28, 2008	

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:


- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	G. Parker		April 28, 2008

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Rochester Medical Center		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532	
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008-001			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32081	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26287-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 4/28/08	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87130		7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07	

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 2201	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Won Chae, M.D.	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 248/651-9200
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection Date: 4/2013	
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Office			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site			

PROGRAM SCOPE

Licensee is a small cardiology clinic located in Rochester, Michigan. Licensee has a medium sized nuclear medicine program conducting routine imaging procedures. The licensee has one tech who performs approximately 5 procedures per day. This clinic receives its radiopharmaceuticals in the form of unit doses from Cardinal Health. This licensee performs primarily cardiac procedures.

Performance Observations

The inspector toured the facilities and interviewed staff members encountered. Each appeared knowledgeable in radiation safety and isotope handling techniques. Licensee personnel demonstrated package receipt procedures for the inspector. Staff members also demonstrated proper rad waste handling practices. Independent surveys by the inspector did not detect any abnormal reading and were within the expected range.

The inspector observed members of the nuclear medicine staff perform injections of radiopharmaceuticals. Techniques employed by the staff demonstrated good handling practices as well as adequate knowledge of radiation safety. During the course of the inspection, no abnormalities were noted.