

CONVERSATION RECORD
(time) (date)

TIME | DATE
| 5/29/08

VISIT CONFERENCE TELEPHONE X

INCOMING
 OUTGOING

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT ORGANIZATION (OFFICE, DEPT. ETC.) TELEPHONE NO
James Fisher, RSO Shared PET Imaging 330-491-0480

SUBJECT
C/N's 317019 and 316992

SUMMARY

Shared PET Imaging submitted a request to add F-18 as material described in 10 CFR 35.200, add a list of medical authorized users, and add some calibration sources.

Their current license only authorizes sealed sources for the purpose of calibrating instrumentation. As a result of NRC's jurisdiction over NARM material and the recent waiver termination for users of NARM in Indiana, Shared PET has requested that NARM be added to their license. This request is timely, i.e., the request was submitted to the NRC before the deadline date of May 30, 2008. [When the waiver was terminated on November 30, 2007, for NARM users in Indiana, these users had 6 months to apply to the NRC for an amendment to their license, or 12 months to apply for a new licenses. Shared PET met this deadline. They use NARM material at temporary job sites (medical facilities) in Indiana].


However, in reviewing their application, it was noted that their request will results in a significant upgrade in their license from a calibration program to a Part 35 license. Their submittals under C/N's 316992 and 317019 did not address the elements in NUREG-1556, Volume 9, rev.2. In particular, they did not address Appendix V for Mobile Services licenses.

Therefore, the reviewer contacted Mr. Fisher to advise him that both actions were going to be voided and Shared PET would have to resubmit their application in accordance with Volume 9, rev. 2.. Also, the reviewer noted that in order for Mr. Fisher to continue to be the RSO, he would have to demonstrate how he qualifies as the RSO for a Part 35 license.

NOTE: The reviewer informed Mr. Fisher that their original submittal to add NARM to their license was submitted timely, i.e., within 6 months of November 30, 2007. Therefore, even though these two actions are being voided and their resubmittal will be after 5/30, they will be considered to be in compliance because their original submittal is the one of record, and was submitted timely.

ACTION REQUIRED

VOID C/N's 316992 and 317019

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION SIGNATURE DATE
Kevin Null  5/29/08

ACTION TAKEN

SIGNATURE

TITLE

DATE