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# FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT 

## Survey Unit:

F8130541, Room 40 Lower Walls and Floor

## Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: The reinforced concrete structure contained the RadWaste processing and supporting systems. The building contained six main elevations. Residual radioactive material was known to be present on all levels of the interior of the building. Operating records and the HSA document several events with the potential for a release of radioactivity inside this structure. One report documented contamination of the auxiliary building roof. The roof was later replaced.

Site Characterization: Direct measurements were made of each of the interior elevation surfaces as well as the exterior surfaces of the structure. These measurements confirmed the presence of plant-derived radionuclides. Direct measurements on the -47 ' elevation showed a mean gross activity level of $320,071 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $5,720,000 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Direct measurements on the $-29^{\prime}$ elevation showed a mean gross activity level of $544,756 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $11,370,000$ $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Direct measurements on the $-20^{\prime}$ elevation showed a mean gross activity level of $247,831 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $10,080,000 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Direct measurements on the grade elevation showed a mean gross activity level of 373,758 $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $5,800,000 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Direct measurements on the $+20^{\prime}$ elevation showed a mean gross activity level of $85,408 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $1,900,000 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Direct measurements on the $+40^{\prime}$ elevation showed a mean gross activity level of $3,288 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $24,781 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Direct measurements on the building exterior, including the mezzanine roof, showed a mean gross activity level of $1,897 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and a maximum value of $2,990 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. (The roof had been replaced prior to the classification survey.) Based on the classification procedure (DSIP-0020) and levels of gross activity reported, the interior of the auxiliary building was determined to be a Class 1,2 area and the exterior was a Class 2,3 .

HSA Events: HSA Report pg. 63.

## Survey Unit Design Information:

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were determined using a random-start, fixed grid pattern and $245 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ were scanned for $100 \%$ coverage. Samples of removable contamination were collected at each direct measurement location. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

| Survey Design Parameter | Value | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Area: | F813 | Room 40 Lower Walls and Floor |
| Survey Unit: | 0541 | Structure Surface |
| Class: | 1 | LTP Table 5-4 |
| SU Area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ): | 245 |  |
| Evaluator: | Gary Frank |  |
| DCGL (dpm/100 cm ${ }^{2}$ ): | 43000 | Gross Activity DCGL |
| Area Factor: | 3.3 | Class 1 |
| Design DCGLemc | 141900 | Class 1 |
| ( $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ): |  |  |
| LBGR (dpm/100 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ): | 21500 | Default $=50 \%$ DCGL |
| Design Sigma (dpm/100 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ): | 10204 |  |
| Type I Error: | 0.05 |  |
| Type II Error: | 0.05 |  |
| Predominant Nuclide: | Cs-137 | Co-60 used for Area Factor and emc |
| Sample Area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ): | 7 | Class 1 |
| Scan Area (m): | 245 |  |
| Scan Coverage (\%): | 100\% | Class 1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{1-\alpha}$ : | 1.645 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{1-\beta}$ : | 1.645 |  |
| Sign P: | 0.97725 |  |
| Calculated Relative Shift: | 2.1 |  |
| Relative Shift Used: | 2.1 | Uses 3.0 if Relative Shift is |
|  |  | >3 |
| N -Value: | 12 |  |
| Design N-Value + 20\%: | 15 | NUREG-1575 Table 5-5 |
| Design Min Samples N: | 35 | Class 1 |
| Grid Spacing L: | 2.6 | Class 1 |

## Survey Results:

A total of 35 direct measurements were made in F8130541. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All direct measurements were less than the DCGL. Nine scan measurements indicated areas of elevated activity resulting in an investigation documented in Attachment 3. Scan activity ranged from 5363 to $124762 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, based on a surveyor efficiency of 0.5 and no background subtracted. ISOCS measurements were performed on the lower walls with results ranging from 8630 to $33950 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ for Cs-137 and 995 to $1840 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ for Co-60. Samples for removable surface activity were all less than $10 \%$ of the DCGL as shown in Table 3. Removable surface activity samples were counted for alpha activity and none was detected at the MDC shown in Table 2-1 of Attachment 2.

Table 2. Direct Measurement Results

| Measurement ID | Gross Activity (dpm/100 cm ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| F8130541-C0001BD | 2630 |
| F8130541-C0002BD | 9851 |
| F8130541-C0003BD | 14177 |
| F8130541-C0004BD | 2723 |
| F8130541-C0005BD | 22233 |
| F8130541-C0006BD | 7563 |
| F8130541-C0007BD | 3092 |
| F8130541-C0008BD | 2843 |
| F8130541-C0009BD | 4414 |
| F8130541-C0010BD | 2537 |
| F8130541-C0011BD | 2666 |
| F8130541-C0012BD | 13938 |
| F8130541-C0013BD | 7039 |
| F8130541-C0014BD | 3294 |
| F8130541-C0015BD | 6593 |
| F8130541-C0016BD | 11064 |
| F8130541-C0017BD | 4196 |
| F8130541-C0018BD | 2469 |
| F8130541-C0019BD | 2148 |
| F8130541-C0020BD | 2391 |
| F8130541-C0021BD | 2469 |
| F8130541-C0022BD | 2594 |
| F8130541-C0023BD | 2371 |
| F8130541-C0024BD | 2236 |
| F8130541-C0025BD | 2018 |
| F8130541-C0026BD | 2090 |
| F8130541-C0027BD | 1956 |
| F8130541-C0028BD | 2054 |
| F8130541-C0029BD | 2397 |
| F8130541-C0030BD | 2215 |
| F8130541-C0031BD | 2220 |
| F8130541-C0032BD | 2189 |
| F8130541-C0033BD | 4394 |
| F8130541-C0034BD | 2350 |


| Measurement ID | Gross Activity <br> (dpm/100 cm |
| ---: | ---: |
| F8130541-C0035BD | 2277 |
| Mean: |  |
| Median: | 4677 |
| Standard Deviation: | 2594 |
| Range: | 4517 |

Table 3. Removable Surface Activity Results

| Measurement ID | Surface Beta Activity (dpm/100 cm ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| F8130541C0001SM | 6.14 |
| F8130541C0002SM | 3.58 |
| F8130541C0003SM | 13.83 |
| F8130541C0004SM | 6.14 |
| F8130541C0005SM | 29.21 |
| F8130541C0006SM | 6.14 |
| F8130541C0007SM | 6.14 |
| F8130541C0008SM | 11.27 |
| F8130541C0009SM | 13.83 |
| F8130541C0010SM | 11.27 |
| F8130541C0011SM | 9.98 |
| F8130541C0012SM | 11.27 |
| F8130541C0013SM | 8.7 |
| F8130541C0014SM | 26.65 |
| F8130541C0015SM | 4.86 |
| F8130541C0016SM | 22.8 |
| F8130541C0017SM | 4.86 |
| F8130541C0018SM | 7.42 |
| F8130541C0019SM | 7.42 |
| F8130541C0020SM | 1.01 |
| F8130541C0021SM | 3.58 |
| F8130541C0022SM | 11.27 |
| F8130541C0023SM | 9.98 |
| F8130541C0024SM | 4.86 |
| F8130541C0025SM | 1.01 |
| F8130541C0026SM | 3.58 |
| F8130541C0027SM | 2.29 |
| F8130541C0028SM | 4.86 |
| F8130541C0029SM | 3.58 |
| F8130541C0030SM | 7.42 |
| F8130541C0031SM | 8.7 |
| F8130541C0032SM | 4.86 |
| F8130541C0033SM | 9.98 |
| F8130541C0034SM | 4.86 |
| F8130541C0035SM | 6.14 |
| Mean: | 8.56 |
| Median: | 6.14 |
| Standard Deviation: | 6.45 |
| Range: | 1.01 to 29.21 |

## Survey Unit Data Assessment:

The survey design required 35 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 4. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was less than the design standard deviation so no additional samples were required.

Table 4. Data Assessment Results

| Survey Results Parameter | Value | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material Background Used ( $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ): | N/A |  |
| Ambient Background Used ( $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ): | N/A | Average Ambient $\mathrm{BKG}=0$ |
| Actual Direct Measurements ( N ): | 35 |  |
| $\cdots$ Median (dpm/100 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | 2594 |  |
| Mean (dpm/100 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ): | 4677 |  |
| Direct Measurement Standard Deviation ( $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ): | 4517 |  |
| Total Standard Deviation ( $\mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ): | 4517 | Based on samples and backgrounds. |
| Maximum (dpm/100 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ): | 22233 |  |
| Material Type: | N/A | Background Subtract Not Applied |
| Sign Test Final N Value: | 35 |  |
| S+ Value: | 35 |  |
| Critical Value: | 22 |  |
| Sufficient Samples Collected: | Yes |  |
| Maximum Value < DCGL: | Yes |  |
| Median Value < DCGL: | Yes |  |
| Mean Value < DCGL: | Yes |  |
| Maximum Value < DCGLemc: | Yes | Class 1 |
| Total Standard Deviation <=Sigma: | Yes |  |
| Pass the Sign Test? | Yes |  |
| Reject the Null Hypothesis? | Yes |  |
| Does the Survey Unit Pass All Criteria? | Yes |  |

## Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

Ninè investigations (C0013BS, C0015BS, C0053BS, C0055BS, NE Corner, West Wall Junction, West Wall Corner, South Wall Juncture, and North Wall 7" Up) were required for the scan measurements and the results are reported in Attachment 3. The EMC unity rule was not exceeded as shown in Table 3-1.

## ALARA Statement:

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL and the EMC criterion has been met), the ALARA criterion has been met.

## Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 1 structure survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was less the characterization data used for survey design. Potential areas of elevated activity were dẹtected and evaluated as shown in Attachment 3. Therefore the EMC criterion was met.

## Conclusion:

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 1 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP. No direct measurements exceeded the DCGL of $43000 \mathrm{dpm} / 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and none of the removable surface activity measurements exceeded $10 \%$ of the DCGL. The four investigation results while exceeding in three out of four the DCGL are less than $20 \%$ of DCGL $_{\mathrm{emc}}$ and pass unity.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F8130541 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.
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Room 40 South end of East Trench
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Room 40 North Wall, East of second Trench
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Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

| Instrument <br> Model; Serial No. | Detector <br> Model; Serial No. | MDC Static <br> $\left(\mathbf{d p m} / 100 \mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | MDC Scan <br> $\left(\mathbf{d p m} / 100 \mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M2350;180733 | $43-98 \mathrm{~B} ; 148638^{3}$ | 590 | 1070 |
| M2350; 203481 | $43-68 \mathrm{~B} ; 161405^{1}$ | 433 | 1033 |
| M2350;142507 | $43-68 \mathrm{~B} ; 160781^{1}$ | 433 | 1033 |
| M2350;149794 | $43-68 / 5 \mathrm{~B} ; 149103^{1}$ | 433 | 1033 |
| M2350;142499 | $43-37 ; 148502^{2}$ | 198 | 616 |
| M2350;142515 | $43-116-1 \mathrm{~B} ; 256007^{4}$ | 491 | 739 |
| M2350; 142515 | $43-116-1 \mathrm{~B} ; 256007^{5}$ | 796 | 5895 |
| Tennelec; 0401171 | N/A | $5 \mathrm{dpm} \alpha, 11 \mathrm{dpm} \beta$ | N/A |

133-68 Surface Concrete
${ }^{2} 43$-37B Surface Concrete
${ }^{3} 43-98 B 2$ 2" Concrete
${ }^{4} 43$-116-1B Juncture Concrete
${ }^{5}$ 43-116-1B Surface Concrete

Table 2-1, Survey Unit Instrumentation

| Instrument | Detector <br> Serial No. | MDC <br> $\left(\mathbf{d p m} / 100 \mathbf{c m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISOCS | 1983920 | $934 \mathrm{Cs}-137$ <br> $1410 \mathrm{Co}-60$ |

Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

| Parameter | Value <br> (dpm/100 $\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Investigation Criteria - Direct | 141900 |
| Investigation Criteria - Scan | 141900 |
| DCGL $_{\text {W }}$ | 43000 |
| DCGL $_{\text {EMC }}$ | 141900 |


| Instrument | Parameter | Value (dpm/100cm $\mathbf{c m}^{2}$ ) <br> To detect a 100 $\mathbf{c m}^{2}$ hot spot at the EMC <br> Criterion within the detector field of view |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISOCS | Investigation Criteria - | Concrete <br>  Scan |

## Attachment 3

## Revision 1

Investigation
April 17, 2008
Survey Unit F8130541

## Attachment 3

## Revision 1

Investigation
May 1, 2008
Survey Unit F8130541

Table 3-1 Survey Unit Investigation

| Grid/Location | Investigation <br> Level (cpm) | Initial Value (cpm) | Investigation Result (cpm) | Elevated <br> Area ( $m^{2}$ ) | Area Factor | $D C G L_{\text {emc }}$ | Investigation Result (dpm/100 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | $D C G L_{\text {emc }}$ Unity Fraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} 0013 \mathrm{BS}$ | 14200 | 20765 | 17007 | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 124760 | 0.0023 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} 0015 \mathrm{BS}$ | 14200 | 27896 | 3273 | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 70542 | 0.0013 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} 0053 \mathrm{BS}$ | 14200 | 22202 | 16106 | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 118170 | 0.0021 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C} 0055 \mathrm{BS}$ | 14200 | 18026 | 13062 | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 95836 | 0.0017 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 95 NE Corner | 36000 | 67000 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 2.18 e 6 | 0.0393 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 02 W Wall Junction | 36000 | 125000 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 3.11 e 6 | 0.0560 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 101 W Wall Corner | 36000 | 54000 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 2.44 e 5 | 0.0044 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 104 S Wall Juncture | 36000 | 58000 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 1.34 e 6 | 0.0024 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 07 N <br> Wall 7" Up | 36000 | 75000 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 6.16 e 5 | 0.0111 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 113 <br> Surface | 36000 | 37211 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 1.65 e 5 | 0.0030 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 61 Juncture | 36000 | 40380 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 1.22 e 6 | 0.0220 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Grid 107 Surface | 36000 | 36371 | N/A | . 01 | 1290 | 5.55 e 7 | 1.94 e 5 | 0.0035 |
| Survey Unit Remainder |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DCGL = } \\ 43,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SU Mean = } \\ & 4677 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1088 |
| EMC Unity Sum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2564 |

${ }^{1}$ Scans were performed with $2350-1 \mathrm{w} / 43-37 \mathrm{~B}$ and the investigation level was determined using $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ versus the actual area of the probe of $584 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.
${ }^{1}$ The investigation was performed using a 43-68B with an investigation level of 19,200 cpm. Grid C0015BS was remediated and final scan was performed with 43-116-1B with an investigation level of 3630 cpm .
${ }^{2}$ The investigation level was determined with the 44-10 detector in contact with the floor resulting in an investigation level of 36000 cpm .
${ }^{2}$ Gamma scans were performed to complete confirmatory surveys performed following completion of remediation in the adjacent areas to identify any potential particle contamination and eight elevated areas were identified. The identified areas were further bounded and analyzed with InSpector 1000.
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