### Rancho Seco

# Final Status Survey Summary Report

March 27, 2008

## South Buffer/Outfall Area

## Survey Unit F2000001

Prepared By:	Dan A. Tallman A Julius	Date: March 27, 2008
	FSS Engineer	
Reviewed By:_	And tah.	Date: 3/27/08
	Lead FSS Engineer	
	$\sim 1$	
Approved By:	5.7/8	Date: 5-5-08
Dismantlement Superintendent, Radiological		

### FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT

#### Survey Unit:

F2000001, South Buffer/Outfall Area

#### Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: This area bordered the release point for non-controlled/unmonitored liquid effluents released from the plant (ie. storm drains). The area extended from the south Industrial Area fence to the South Non-Impacted Area. Operating records and the HSA document the release of radioactivity in this survey area. The HSA recorded several unplanned release events from the RHUT with the potential to contaminate the area.

Site Characterization: Soil and sediment samples were collected and analyzed for the presence of plant-derived radionuclides. A special gamma scan survey was performed using vehicle-mounted HPGe detectors. Cs-137 was the only detected nuclide of plant origin with a mean activity level of 0.129 pCi/g and a maximum value of 0.301 pCi/g. (Site background levels of Cs-137 have been determined to be approximately 0.312 pCi/g.) As described in section 2 of the LTP, the area was evaluated and determined to be "not significantly different from background", however based on the history of spills along the south industrial area fence, the area was designated as a Class 3.

HSA Events: ODR-740017, 840217, 840317.

#### Survey Unit Design Information:

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were randomly determined and 2268 m<sup>2</sup> were scanned for approximately 1% coverage. Soil samples were collected at each direct measurement location and analyzed by HPGe detector. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values are listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

Survey Design Parameter	Value	Comment
Survey Area:	F200	South Buffer/Outfall Area
Survey Unit:	0001	Open Land Area
Class:	3	LTP Table 5-4
<b>SU Area</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	226567	
Evaluator:	D.A.Tallman	
DCGL Cs137 surrogate (pCi/g):	51.2	
Area Factor:	N/A	Class 3
<b>Design DCGLemc</b> (pCi/g):	N/A	Class 3
LBGR (pCi/g):	, 25.6	Default = 50% DCGL
<b>Design Sigma</b> (pCi/g):	0.15	DTBD-06-001, Table 5-4D
Type I Error:	0.05	
Type II Error:	0.05	
Nuclide:	Cs137	
Sample Area (m <sup>2</sup> ):	N/A	Class 3
<b>Total Area Scanned</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	2268	
Scan Coverage (%):	1%	Class 3
$Z_{1-\alpha}$ :	1.645	
$\mathbb{Z}_{1-\beta}$ :	1.645	
Sign P:	0.99865	
Calculated Relative Shift:	170.6	
<b>Relative Shift Used:</b>	. 3	Uses 3.0 if Rel Shift >3
N-Value:	11	
Design N-Value + 20%:	14	NUREG-1575 Table 5-5
Grid Spacing L:	N/A	Class 3

 Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

## Survey Results:

A total of 14 direct measurements were made in F2000001. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. None of the scan measurements indicated areas of elevated activity. Soil samples were counted to the MDC shown in Table 2-1 of Attachment 2.

Measurement ID	Cs137 MDA	Cs137 Activity	Uncertainty
Mean:		2.72E-01	
Median:		2.75E-01	
Standard Deviation:		$\frac{1.39E-01}{5.12E.02 \pm 0.5.21E.01}$	
Kaliye.		J.15E-02 to J.31E-01	·
F2000001S0001SS	7.14E-02	< 7.14E-02	
F2000001S0002SS	7.56E-02	2.53E-01	6.66E-02
F2000001S0003SS	1.15E-01	1.79E-01	1.21E-01
F2000001S0004SS	6.92E-02	3.81E-01	9.07E-02
F2000001S0005SS	5.77E-02	1.69E-01	5.11E-02
F2000001S0006SS	7.53E-02	5.10E-01	1.14E-01
F2000001S0007SS	7.82E-02	2.97E-01	7.26E-02
F2000001S0008SS	7.65E-02	5.31E-01	1.07E-01
F2000001S0009SS	1.04E-01	< 1.04E-01	
F2000001S0010SS	5.13E-02	< 5.13E-02	
F2000001S0011SS	7.69E-02	3.31E-01	7.88E-02
F2000001S0012SS	8.21E-02	1.27E-01	5.65E-02
F2000001S0013SS	8.49E-02	4.09E-01	8.14E-02
F2000001S0014SS	7.86E-02	3.93E-01	9.02E-02

### Table 2. Direct Measurement Results

(all activity values in pCi/g)

### Survey Unit Data Assessment:

The survey design required 14 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 3. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was greater than the design standard deviation. Since both values of sigma resulted in a relative shift greater than three (3), no additional samples were required.

Survey Results Parameter	Value	Comment
Actual Direct Measurements (N):	14	
Median (pCi/g):	2.75E-01	
Mean (pCi/g):	2.72E-01	
<b>Standard Deviation</b> (pCi/g):	1.59E-01	
Maximum (pCi/g):	5.31E-01	
Sign Test Final N Value:	14	
S+ Value:	14	
Critical Value:	10	
Sufficient Samples Collected:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Median Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Mean Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGLemc:	N/A	Class 3
Standard Deviation <= Sigma:	Investigate	Sufficient number of
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		sample acquired
Pass the Sign Test?	Yes	
<b>Reject the Null Hypothesis?</b>	Yes	
The survey unit passes all conditions?	Yes	Standard Deviation vs.
		design sigma (0.15 vs.
		0.159) did not alter required
		number of samples or
		evaluation outcome.

## Table 3. Data Assessment Results

#### Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

No investigations were required for either direct or scan measurements and no investigation results are reported.

#### ALARA Statement:

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL and the EMC criterion has been met), the ALARA criterion has been met.

#### Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 3 land survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was greater than the characterization data used for survey design. No potential areas of elevated activity were detected; therefore the EMC criterion was met.

### **Conclusion:**

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 3 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. No investigations were required.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F2000001 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.

FSS Summary Report

# Attachment 1

Maps

March 27, 2008



Page 2 of 4

Att. 1 Maps



Page 3 of 4

Att. 1 Maps



Page 4 of 4

Att. 1 Maps

Attachment 2 Instrumentation March 27, 2008 Survey Unit F2000001

Instrument	Detector Model No.	Detector Serial No.	MDC
HPGe	N/A	9987008	Soil – 0.115 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 0.0712 pCi/g Co-60
HPGe	N/A	05047773	Soil – 0.104 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 0.0699 pCi/g Co-60
ISOCS	N/A	1983920	Soil – 0.301 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 0.211 pCi/g Co-60
ISOCS	N/A	2983947	Soil – 0.323 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 0.197 pCi/g Co-60

# Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

 Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

Instrument	Parameter	Value
ISOCS	Investigation Criteria - Scan	Soil – 23 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 5 pCi/g Co-60
All	DCGLw	52.6 Cs-137 12.6 Co-60

Attachment 3 Investigation March 27, 2008 Survey Unit F2000001

(none required)

Attachment 4

Data Assessment

March 27, 2008

# Survey Unit F2000001

Page 2 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment





F2000001

Att. 4 Data Assessment

Page 4 of 4

