Rancho Seco

Final Status Survey Summary Report

March 24, 2008

Service Water Piping System

Survey Unit F8990471

Prepared By: Kin L. Brown	Date: <u>3/24/2008</u>
FSS Eng	ineer / /
Mon	
Reviewed By:	Date: <u>3/24/08</u>
Lead FSS E	ngineer

Approved By: 2.7 Date: 4-28-08

Dismantlement Superintendent, Radiological

FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT

Survey Unit:

F8990471, Service Water Piping System

Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: This system filtered and conditioned untreated raw water from the makeup system and transported water to various non-safety coolers and utility stations throughout the site. This system was designed to be clean. Operating records and the HSA document no occurrences of radioactive contamination associated with this system piping.

Site Characterization: Direct measurements were made of the interior surfaces of the system piping which confirmed the absence of plant-derived radionuclides. Direct measurements of the interior showed a mean gross activity level of 187 dpm/100 cm² and a maximum value of 2,700 dpm/100 cm². Based on the classification procedure (DSIP-0020) and levels of gross activity reported, the system was determined to be a Class 3 system.

HSA Events: None

Survey Unit Design Information:

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were spaced at 15cm intervals and 5.1 m² were scanned for approximately 2% coverage. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values are listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

Survey Design Parameter	Value	Comment
Survey Area:	F899	Service Water Piping
	· .	System
Survey Unit:	0471	Structure Surface
Class:	. 3	LTP Table 5-4
SU Area (m ²):	250.3	
Evaluator:	Erin L. Brown	
DCGL (dpm/100 cm ²):	100000	Gross Activity DCGL
Area Factor:	N/A	Class 3
Design DCGLemc	N/A	Class 3
(dpm/100 cm ²):	· · · ·	
LBGR (dpm/100 cm ²):	50000	Default = 50% DCGL
Design Sigma (dpm/100 cm ²):	1992	
Type I Error:	0.05	
Type II Error:	0.05	
Predominant Nuclide:	Cs-137	
Sample Area (m ²):	N/A	Class 3
Scan Area (m ²):	5.1	
Scan Coverage (%):	2%	Class 3
Ζ _{1-α} :	1.645	
$Z_{1-\beta}$:	1.645	
Sign P:	0.99865	
Calculated Relative Shift:	25.1	•
Relative Shift Used:	3	Uses 3.0 if Relative Shift is
		>3
N-Value:	11	
Design N-Value + 20%:	14	NUREG-1575 Table 5-5
Design Min Samples N:	14	Class 3
Grid Spacing L:	N/A	Class 3

Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

Survey Results:

A total of 71 direct measurements were made in F8990471. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All direct measurements were less than the DCGL. None of the measurements indicated areas of elevated activity. Scan activity ranged from 1036 to 1580 dpm/100 cm², based on the pipe detector efficiency.

Measurement ID	Gross Activity (dpm/100 cm ²)
F8990471-M0001GI	1502
F89904/1-M0002GI	1499
F8990471-M0003GI	14/7
F8990471-M0004GI	1388
F8990471-M0005GI	1362
F8990471-M0006GI	1391
F89904/1-M000/GI	1494
F8990471-M0008GI	1431
F8990471-M0009GI	14/0
F8990471-M0010GI	1497
F8990471-M0011GI	1525
F8990471-M0012GI	1422
F8990471-M0013GI	1452
F8990471-W0014G1	1493
F8990471-W0015GI	1445
F0990471-W0010GI	1023
F0990471-W0017GI	1401
F0550471-W0010G1	1492
F0990471-W0019G1	1490
E8990471 M0020GI	1571
E8000471 M0021G1	1547.
E8990471 M0022GI	1/100
E8990471-M0023GI	1400
E8990471-M0024GI	1511
E8990471-M0025GI	1502
F8990471-M0027GI	1543
F8990471-M0027GI	1500
F8990471-M0029GI	1547
F8990471-M0030GI	1515
F8990471-M0031GI	1496
F8990471-M0032GI	1530
F8990471-M0033GI	1474
F8990471-M0034GI	1488
F8990471-M0035GI	1496
F8990471-M0036GI	1435
F8990471-M0037GI	1475
F8990471-M0038GI	1404
F8990471-M0039GI	1425
F8990471-M0040GI	1453

Table 2. Direct Measurement Results

FSS Summary Report

F8990471-M0041GI	1394
F8990471-M0042GI	1431
F8990471-M0043GI	1488
F8990471-M0044GI	1441
F8990471-M0045GI	1408
F8990471-M0046GI	1400
F8990471-M0047GI	1431
F8990471-M0048GI	1376
F8990471-M0049GI	1377
F8990471-M0050GI	1082
F8990471-M0051GI	1036
F8990471-M0052GI	1334
F8990471-M0053GI	1426
F8990471-M0054GI	1431
F8990471-M0055GI	1380
F8990471-M0056GI	1349
F8990471-M0057GI	1376
F8990471-M0058GI	1348
F8990471-M0059GI	1331
F8990471-M0060GI	1396
F8990471-M0061GI	1383
F8990471-M0062GI	1404
F8990471-M0063GI	1346
F8990471-M0063GI	1361
F8990471-M0065GI	1371
F8990471-M0066GI	1342
F8990471-M0067GI	1375
F8990471-M0068GI	1355
F8990471-M0069GI	1387
F8990471-M0070GI	1349
F8990471-M0071GI	1369
Mean:	1433
Median:	1431
Standard Deviation:	93 -
Range:	<u> 1036 - 1580 </u>

Survey Unit Data Assessment:

The survey design required 71 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 4. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was less than the design standard deviation so no additional samples were required.

Survey Results Parameter	Value	Comment
Material Background Used (dpm/100 cm ²):	N/A	
Ambient Background Used (dpm/100 cm ²):	N/A	Average Ambient BKG = 0
Actual Direct Measurements (N):	71	
Median (dpm/100 cm ²):	1431	
Mean (dpm/100 cm ²):	1433	
Direct Measurement Standard Deviation	93	
(dpm/100 cm ²):		
Total Standard Deviation (dpm/100 cm ²):	93	Based on samples and backgrounds.
Maximum (dpm/100 cm ²):	1580	
Material Type:	N/A	Background Subtract Not
		Applied
Sign Test Final N Value:	~ 71	
S+ Value:	71	
Critical Value:	42	
Sufficient Samples Collected:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Median Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Mean Value < DCGL:	Yes	· ·
Maximum Value < DCGLemc:	N/A	Class 3
Total Standard Deviation <= Sigma:	Yes	
Pass the Sign Test?	Yes	
Reject the Null Hypothesis?	Yes	• •
Does the Survey Unit Pass All Criteria?	Yes	

Table 4. Data Assessment Results

Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

No investigations were required and no investigation results are reported.

ALARA Statement:

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL), the ALARA criterion has been met.

Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 3 structure survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was less than the characterization data used for survey design. No potential areas of elevated activity were detected

Conclusion:

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 3 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP for pipe surveys. No direct measurements exceeded the DCGL of 100000 dpm/100 cm² or the grout limit of 21000dpm/100cm². No investigations were required.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F8990471 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.

Attachment 1

Maps

March 24, 2008

Survey Unit F8990471





Page 3 of 8

Att. 1 Maps





Att. 1 Maps



Att. 1 Maps



Page 7 of 8

Att. 1 Maps



Attachment 2 Instrumentation March 24, 2008 Survey Unit F8990471

Instrument Model; Serial No.	Detector Model; Serial No.	MDC Static (dpm/100 cm²)	MDC Scan (dpm/100 cm²)
M2350; 203484	44-157; 215854	1680	N/A
		I	J

Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

Parameter	Value (dpm/100 cm²)
Investigation Criteria - Direct	50000
Investigation Criteria – Scan	N/A
DCGLw	100000
DCGL _{EMC}	N/A

Attachment 3

Investigation

March 24, 2008

Survey Unit F8990471

(none required)

Attachment 4

Data Assessment March 24, 2008

Survey Unit F8990471



Page 2 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment



Page 3 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment



Page 4 of 4

tt. 4 Data Assessment