Rancho Seco

644.115

Final Status Survey Summary Report

March 31, 2008

**Retention Basin Buffer Area** 

Survey Unit F8480021

Prepared By: Da	in A. Tallman of Jay	maDate: April 1, 2008
•	FSS Engineer	
Reviewed By:	Lead FSS Engine	Date:// <i>08</i> er
Approved By: Disma	5. 7 Intlement Superintender	Date:Date:

#### FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT

#### Survey Unit:

F8480021, Retention Basin Buffer Area

#### Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: This area is located at the southwest corner of the site. The area surrounds the structures that were used for containment and final treatment of liquid effluents prior to their release from the site. Contaminated resin was reported to have been found in the basins. Operating records and the HSA document occurrences of radioactive material with the potential for a release of radioactivity associated with this survey area. Records confirmed the presence of radioactive material within the area and basin sediment/soil contamination levels up to ~290 pCi/g. In addition, soil contamination levels up to ~5 pCi/g prior to some decontamination activities.

Site Characterization: Soil samples were collected and showed Cs-137 at mean activity levels of 0.086 pCi/g and a maximum activity of 0.196 pCi/g. Based on the classification procedure (DSIP-0020) and levels of gross activity reported, the soil area around the asphalt was determined to be Class 3.

HSA Events: LER-8812.

#### Survey Unit Design Information:

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were randomly determined and 1484 m<sup>2</sup> were scanned for approximately 14% coverage. Soil samples were collected at each direct measurement location and analyzed by HPGe detector. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values are listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

Survey Design Parameter	Value	Comment
Survey Area:	F848	Retention Basin Buffer
		Area
Survey Unit:	0021	Open Land Area
Class:	3	LTP Table 5-4
<b>SU Area</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	10845	
Evaluator:	D.A.Tallman	
DCGL Cs137 surrogate (pCi/g):	51.2	
Area Factor:	N/A	Class 3
<b>Design DCGLemc</b> (pCi/g):	N/A	Class 3
LBGR (pCi/g):	25.6	Default = 50% DCGL
<b>Design Sigma</b> (pCi/g):	0.047	DTBD-06-001, Table 5-4D
Type I Error:	0.05	
Type II Error:	0.05	
Nuclide:	Cs137	
Sample Area (m <sup>2</sup> ):	`N/A	Class 3
<b>Total Area Scanned</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	1484	
Scan Coverage (%):	13.7%	Class 3
$Z_{1-\alpha}$ :	1.645	· · · ·
$Z_{1-\beta}:$	1.645	
Sign P:	0.99865	
Calculated Relative Shift:	544.6	
Relative Shift Used:	3	Uses 3.0 if Rel Shift >3
N-Value:	11	
Design N-Value + 20%:	14	NUREG-1575 Table 5-5
Grid Spacing L:	N/A	Class 3

### Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

### Survey Results:

A total of 14 direct measurements were made in F8480021. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. None of the scan measurements indicated areas of elevated activity. Soil samples were counted to the MDC shown in Table 2-1 of Attachment 2.

Measurement ID	Cs137 MDA	Cs137 Activity	Uncertainty
Mean: Median: Standard Deviation:		6.25E-02 5.66E-02 2.49E-02	
Range:		4.27E-02 to 1.46E-01	l
F8480021S0001SS	4.94E-02	< 4.94E-02	
F8480021S0002SS	5.15E-02	< 5.15E-02	
F8480021S0003SS	5.10E-02	< 5.10E-02	
F8480021S0004SS	5.80E-02	< 5.80E-02	
F8480021S0005SS	5.52E-02	< 5.52E-02	·
F8480021S0006SS	7.03E-02	< 7.03E-02	
F8480021S0007SS	6.20E-02	< 6.20E-02	
F8480021S0008SS	6.03E-02	< 6.03E-02	
F8480021S0009SS	4.27E-02	< 4.27E-02	
F8480021S0010SS	5.34E-02	< 5.34E-02	
F8480021S0011SS	6.01E-02	< 6.01E-02	
F8480021S0012SS	5.46E-02	< 5.46E-02	
F8480021S0013SS	6.80E-02	1.46E-01	5.18E-02
F8480021S0014SS	6.12E-02	< 6.12E-02	

### **Table 2. Direct Measurement Results**

(all activity values in pCi/g) -

### Survey Unit Data Assessment:

The survey design required 14 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 3. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was less than the design standard deviation so no additional samples were required.

	·····	
Survey Results Parameter	Value	Comment
Actual Direct Measurements (N):	14	
Median (pCi/g):	5.66E-02	
Mean (pCi/g):	6.25E-02	
Standard Deviation (pCi/g):	2.49E-02	
Maximum (pCi/g):	1.46E-01	
Sign Test Final N Value:	. 14	
S+ Value:	14	
Critical Value:	10	
Sufficient Samples Collected:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGL:	Yes	· .
Median Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Mean Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGLemc:	N/A	Class 3
Standard Deviation <= Sigma:	Yes	
Pass the Sign Test?	Yes	
Reject the Null Hypothesis?	Yes	
The survey unit passes all conditions?	Yes	

### Table 3. Data Assessment Results

#### Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

No investigations were required for either direct or scan measurements and no investigation results are reported.

#### **ALARA Statement:**

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL and the EMC criterion has been met), the ALARA criterion has been met.

#### Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 3 land survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was less than the characterization data used for survey design. No potential areas of elevated activity were detected.

#### Conclusion:

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 3 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. No investigations were required.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F8480021 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.

### Attachment 1

Maps

March 31, 2008

# Survey Unit F8480021





Att.



Attachment 2 Instrumentation March 31, 2008 Survey Unit F8480021

Instrument	Detector Model No.	Detector Serial No.	MDC
HPGe	N/A	05069128	Soil – 0.0713 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 0.0642 pCi/g Co-69
ISOCS	N/A	2983947	Soil – 0.328 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 0.215 pCi/g Co-60

# Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

# Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

Instrument	Parameter	Value
HPGe	Investigation Criteria	Soil – 25.6 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 6.3 pCi/g Co-60
ISOCS	Investigation Criteria - Scan	Soil – 20 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 5 pCi/g Co-60
All	DCGL <sub>W</sub>	51.2 Cs-137 12.6 Co-60

# Attachment 3

Investigation

March 31, 2008

# Survey Unit F8480021

# (none required)

Attachment 4

Data Assessment

March 31, 2008

Survey Unit F8480021

F8480021 Quantile Plot Cs137 DCGL = 51.2 pCi/g 0.160 0.140 0.120 Activity (pCi/g) 0.0000 0.0000 0.057 0.040 0.020 0.000 20 60 80 40 100 0 Percentile -Median — - - 75th Percentile - - - - 50th Percentile Activity ٠

Page 2 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment

F848002





Page 3 of 4

