Rancho Seco

Final Status Survey Summary Report

April 1, 2008

Diesel Tank Storage Pad

Prepared By: Bin L. Brown	Date: 4/1/2008				
FSS Engineer	<b>, ,</b> .				
Reviewed By:	Date: 4/1/08				
Lead FSS Engineer					
Approved By: 5.7	Date: 4-28-08				
Dismantlement Superintendent, Radiological					

#### FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT

## Survey Unit:

F8320001, Diesel Tank Storage Pad

## Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: This land area contained the diesel tank which was used to fuel the diesel generators on site.

### **Survey Unit Design Information:**

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were randomly determined and 141 m<sup>2</sup> were scanned for approximately 9% coverage. Soil samples were collected at 14 randomly determined locations and analyzed by HPGe detector. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values are listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

Survey Design Parameter	Value	Comment
Survey Area:	F832	Diesel Tank Storage Pad
Survey Unit:	0001	Open Land Area
Class:	3	LTP Table 5-4
<b>SU Area</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	1560	
Evaluator:	Erin L. Brown	
DCGL Cs137 surrogate (pCi/g):	51.2	
Area Factor:	N/A	Class 3
<b>Design DCGLemc</b> (pCi/g):	N/A	Class 3
LBGR (pCi/g):	25.6	Adjusted
<b>Design Sigma</b> (pCi/g):	0.01	DTBD-06-001, Table 5-4D
Type I Error:	0.05	
Type II Error:	0.05	
Nuclide:	Cs137	
Sample Area (m <sup>2</sup> ):	N/A	Class 3
<b>Total Area Scanned</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	141	•
Scan Coverage (%):	9%	Class 3
$Z_{1-\alpha}$ :	1.645	
Z <sub>1-β</sub> :	1.645	
Sign P:	0.99865	
Calculated Relative Shift:	2560	
<b>Relative Shift Used:</b>	< 3	Uses 3.0 if Rel Shift >3
N-Value:	. 11	
Design N-Value + 20%:	14	NUREG-1575 Table 5-5
Grid Spacing L:	N/A	Class 3

Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

## **Survey Results:**

A total of 14 direct measurements were made in F8320001. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. None of the scan measurements indicated areas of elevated activity. Soil samples were counted to the MDC shown in Table 2-1 of Attachment 2.

Measurement ID	Cs137 MDA	Cs137 Activity	Uncertainty
Mean:		5.05E-02	
Median:		4.91E-02	
Standard Deviation:		6.17E-03	
Range:		4.34E-02 to 6.26E-02	· ·
F8320001S0001SS	4.84E-02	< 4.84E-02	
F8320001S0002SS	4.97E-02	< 4.97E-02	
F8320001S0003SS	4.70E-02	< 4.70E-02	
F8320001S0004SS	4.34E-02	< 4.34E-02	
F8320001S0005SS	5.48E-02	< 5.48E-02	
F8320001S0006SS	4.37E-02	< 4.37E-02	
F8320001S0007SS	4.45E-02	< 4.45E-02	
F8320001S0008SS	5.91E-02	< 5.91E-02	
F8320001S0009SS	4.99E-02	< 4.99E-02	
F8320001S0010SS	5.36E-02	< 5.36E-02	
F8320001S0011SS	5.77E-02	< 5.77E-02	
F8320001S0012SS	4.47E-02	< 4.47E-02	
F8320001S0013SS	4.72E-02	< 4.72E-02	
F8320001S0014SS	6.26E-02	< 6.26E-02	

#### Table 2. Direct Measurement Results (all activity values in pCi/g)

## Survey Unit Data Assessment:

The survey design required 14 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 3. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was less than the design standard deviation so no additional samples were required.

Survey Results Parameter	Value	Comment
Actual Direct Measurements (N):	14	
Median (pCi/g):	4.91E-02	
Mean (pCi/g):	5.05E-02	
Standard Deviation (pCi/g):	6.17E-03	
Maximum (pCi/g):	6.26E-02	
Sign Test Final N Value:	14	
S+ Value:	14	
Critical Value:	10	
Sufficient Samples Collected:	Yes	•
Maximum Value < DCGL:	Yes	• .
Median Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Mean Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGLemc:	N/A	Class 3
Standard Deviation <= Sigma:	Yes	
Pass the Sign Test?	Yes	
<b>Reject the Null Hypothesis?</b>	Yes	
The survey unit passes all conditions?	Yes	

# Table 3. Data Assessment Results

#### Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

No investigations were required for either direct or scan measurements and no investigation results are reported.

#### ALARA Statement:

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL and the EMC criterion has been met), the ALARA criterion has been met.

#### Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 3 land survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was less than the characterization data used for survey design. No potential areas of elevated activity were detected.

#### **Conclusion:**

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 3 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. No investigations were required.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F8320001 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.

Maps

April 1, 2008

DIESEL STORAGE 27'--4" 8:33m 61"--6" 18.5m 6813874E 1666915N 6813874E 1886915N KEY PLAN 13'-10" 4.22m 15'-8" 4.77m (0505 0365 5813874E -1888915N 6165 6513874E 1888878N 6813874E 1888878N 27'-2' 8.28m 6205 41'-9" 0465 8.12m 6813874E 1866876N 6813874E 1888916N 26'-78" 32'-58 66'-4 19'-4\* 24'-6" 7.47m 8.12m 9.9m 20.23m 5,9m KNZ 0 1 2 3 4 5 SCALE METERS DIESEL STORAGE PAD GAMMA SCAN ACTIVITY MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS F8320001-M1 SMUD SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT FILE: 832000 RC RAYMOND

F8320001

Att. 1 Maps

Page 2 of 4

	++++++++		*****	111111111	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++									11111111	DIES	STORAG		1		
		1111111		11111111				11U1111 5-10	·····	24 1m					*****					
		6.9		8m		111111 <b>11</b> 9	111211111 17m			114 ni 11⊉ 5.8m	7	<b>∔0*11111</b> §m				881387 166891		ЧТ КÉ	Y PLA	
				RANDON START	OINT HHHHH	L C E	18'-10 <sup>5</sup>			B)	<u></u> <u> </u> 20 <sup>-4</sup> 2									
68136 18885				625 1111111			13'-18 13'-18 14					759 1117				2 681387 188887 111111111 1	#E 8N			
	HHHH					ш <u>г</u> Н	- <u>-</u> 13				hunu			1 1 1						HI HI HI
	<u>úuun</u>												74 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 				, UUUUUUU		THE PARTY OF
		1(()))	0 - 11					ннин (955)- Е	1	ninting										
***				261 <u>1</u> 1		1111111111	uuuili	1259					1111116 <u>1</u> (455)							
681387 1888916	ະ 	14'-0] 4.3m		39'-37 12m			49'-7]* 15.1m	(1355)-		-1 <u>1</u> * 9.9m		2.7m		22.7H						
	Milinin	******				*****														
						- 			<u> </u>					DIF	SFI	STOR		BA5 ALE ERS PAD	Į	N
							11111111					IPAL		S	SOIL AMPLI F837	E LO	PLES CATIO	NS Z		
		ŧ	ŧ	<u>‡</u>	‡	ŧ	<b>.</b>	<u>I</u>					FILE: 83	12000					RC RAY	n K

Page 3 of 4

Att. 1 Maps

F8320001

13



Att. 1 Maps

F8320001

# Instrumentation

April 1, 2008

Instrument	Detector Model No.	Detector Serial No.	MDC
HPGe	N/A	05069128	Soil – 6.27E-08 pCi/g Cs-137
ISOCS	N/A	2983947	Soil – 2.72E-01 pCi/g Cs-137 Soil – 2.83E-01 pCi/g Co-60

## Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

# Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

Instrument	Parameter	Value
ISOCS	Investigation Criteria - Scan	Soil – 20 pCi/g Cs-137
All	DCGLw	51.2 Cs-137 12.6 Co-60
All	DCGL <sub>EMC</sub>	N/A

Investigation

April 1, 2008

Survey Unit F8320001

(none required)

Data Assessment

April 1, 2008

F8320001 Quantile Plot Cs137 DCGL = 51.2 pCi/g 0.080 0.070 0.060 4ctivity (pci/g) 0.040 0.030 0.049 **▲ι\_\_\_**♦ ۲ 0.020 0.010 0.000 -0 20 40 60 80 100 Percentile Activity -Median — - - 75th Percentile - - - - 50th Percentile ٠

Att. 4 Data Assessment

Page 2 of 4

F8320001

-

Att. 4 Data Assessment



Page 3 of 4

