

RAS-E-107



STATE OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

DOCKETED
USNRC

April 3, 2008 (8:30am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

ANDREW M. CUOMO
ATTORNEY GENERAL

DIVISION OF SOCIAL JUSTICE
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU

April 2, 2008

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
Two White Flint North
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Richard E. Wardwell, Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
Two White Flint North
11545 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Dr. Kaye D. Lathrop
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
190 Cedar Lane E.
Ridgway, CO 81432

Re: License Renewal Application submitted by Entergy Indian Point Unit 2, LLC, Entergy Indian Point Unit 3, LLC, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 2 and Unit 3, Docket Nos. 50-247-LR/50-286-LR;ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01

Dear Administrative Judges:

In response to the March 18, 2008 Order of the Licensing Board, enclosed please find joint corrections to the transcripts of the Indian Point oral argument held March 10, 11, and 12, 2008 in White Plains which are submitted on behalf of the State of New York, the State of Connecticut, the County of Westchester, New York, Town of Cortlandt, New York, and Riverkeeper, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

s/

John J. Sipos
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224
(518) 402-2251
John.Sipos@oag.state.ny.us

s/

Janice A. Dean
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
(212) 416-8459
Janice.Dean@oag.state.ny.us

s/

Joan Leary Matthews
Senior Attorney for Special Projects
New York State Department
of Environmental Conservation
625 Broadway, 14th floor
Albany, New York 12233-5500
(518) 402-9190
jlmatthe@gw.dec.state.ny.us

TEMPLATE = SECY - 037

DS03

s/

Robert D. Snook, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Connecticut
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120
robert.snook@po.state.ct.us

s/

Justin D. Pruyn, Esq.
Assistant County Attorney
Westchester County
Michaelian Office Building
148 Martine Avenue, 6th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601
(914) 995-5102
jdp3@westchestergov.com

s/

Daniel Riesel, Esq.
Attorney, Town of Cortlandt
Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C.
460 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 421-2150 x 224
driesel@sprlaw.com

s/

Phillip Musegaas, Esq.
Riverkeeper, Inc.
828 South Broadway
Tarrytown, NY 10591
(914) 478-4504
phillip@riverkeeper.org

cc: Service List

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

ENTERGY NUCLEAR INDIAN POINT 2, LLC,
ENTERGY NUCLEAR INDIAN POINT 3, LLC, and
ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

Docket Nos.
50-247-LR & 50-286-LR

ASLBP No.
07-858-03-LR-BD01

Regarding the Renewal of Facility Operating Licenses
No. DPR-26 and No. DPR-64 for an Additional 20-year
Period for

DPR-26, DPR-64

INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNITS 2 & 3.

**Joint Corrections to Transcripts of
Indian Point Oral Argument
March 10-12, 2008
White Plains, New York
Submitted on Behalf of the State of New York;
the State of Connecticut; the County of Westchester, New York;
the Town of Cortlandt, New York; and Riverkeeper, Inc.**

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

MARCH 10, 2008 PROCEEDINGS:

<u>Page/line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
Chairman McDade Introduction	
P. 7 / l. 8	Atomic Safety <u>and</u> Licensing Board
P. 7 / l. 20	[that] <u>then</u> the NRC staff

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

Page/Line

Correction

Questions on Westchester County's Petition

- P. 13 / 1. 21 [AUDIENCE MEMBER] Michael Kaplowitz, Vice-Chair,
Westchester County Board of Legislators,
- P. 13 / 1. 22 [I'm] he's representing me.
- P. 13 / 1. 25 denied the right to be properly represented [ing].
- P. 19 / 1. 2 we do have [our] full faith and confidence[. And] that the State of
- P. 26 / 1. 8 the staff that [the] 309

Questions on New York State's Petition

- P.31 / 1. 16 [Royceman] Roisman
- P.31 / 1. 21 [Royceman] Roisman
- P. 32 / 1. 9 [Jody] Jodi
- P. 32 / 1. 11-13 Janice Dean, who is an Assistant Attorney General [in Cape] , and
Kit Kennedy, who is in charge of the environmental protection[s]
bureau there
- P. 34 / 1. 22 [state] spate
- P. 36 / 1. 7 [in the courts] and reports
- P. 36 / 1. 12 [ripe] rife
- P. 40 / 1. 3 contentions
- P. 42 / 1. 5 or is the [renew] review at
- P. 45 / 1. 9 Indian Point [Entergy center] Energy Center
- P. 49 / 1. 1 Is that [tin]
- P. 53 / 1. 20 under an [sanction] accession number
- P. 56 / 1. 18 at[e]

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 59 / l. 13	Commissioners
P. 61 / l. 6	[at] <u>on</u> their behalf
P. 62 / l. 23	seismic information from [the] circa
P. 68 / l. 24	but it would be in current licensing [space] <u>basis</u>
P. 69 / l. 23	is a real possibility of a more significant [termor] <u>tremor</u>
P. 70 / l. 9	were necessary, it would begin in part 50 [space] <u>basis</u> ,
P. 70 / l. 10	current operating [space] <u>basis</u>
P. 71 / l. 2-3	There was a draft [NRC] <u>AEC GDC</u> in 1967. There was a final [NRC] <u>AEC GDC</u> in 1971.
P. 71 / l. 8	the [NRC] <u>GDC</u> '67 draft?
P. 71 / l. 24	[pushed] <u>published</u>
P. 72 / l. 8	[COL] <u>CLB</u>
P. 73 / l. 18	were to change, then the [accident] <u>applicant</u>
P. 75 / l. 1	[all] <u>old</u>
P. 80 / l. 14	[let] <u>left</u>
P. 81 / l. 6	[seize] <u>cease</u>
P. 86 / l. 17	and <u>am</u> now
P. 86 / l. 25	[muting] <u>meeting</u>
P. 91 / l. 25	[how] <u>who</u>
P. 92 / l. 24	[This] <u>Thus</u> if you suspend the
P. 92 / l. 25	proceeding would [has] <u>as</u> Judge McDade pointed out,
P. 93 / l. 19	summary [deposition] <u>disposition</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 93 / 1. 22	summary [deposition] <u>disposition</u>
P. 95 / 1. 8	Are we adopting [intention] <u>contentions</u>
P. 103 / 1. 23	use the word “[intention] <u>contention</u> ”
P. 106 / 1. 10	[effected] affected
P. 107 / 1. 4	[GOC] <u>GDC</u>
P. 109 / 1. 10	statement <u>was</u> connected
P. 109 / 14	that <u>what</u> there
P. 109 / 1. 15	[buy-buy] abide by
P. 112 / 1. 21	[GDCB adhere] GDC be adhered
P. 116 / 1. 21	[can] <u>can't</u> attack the current licensing basis
P. 117 / 1. 2	part of the staff [at] <u>or</u> Entergy
P. 119 / 1. 21	action” and it carries on. [”]
P. 120 / 1. 22	(Laughter.)
P. 121 / 1. 16	Unit [3] <u>2</u>
P. 124 / 1. 7	further <u>action.</u> ”
P. 129 / 1. 22	[infects] <u>affects</u>
P. 130 / 1. 3	Unit [3] <u>2</u>
P. 132 / 1. 19	license approved <u>,</u>
P. 135 / 1. 10	Unit [3] <u>2</u>
P. 140 / 1. 17	But it is not that [they're] <u>there</u>
P. 141 / 1. 1	[2.000] <u>2,000</u>
P. 141 / 1. 8	I think it's “each nuclear facility”

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 142 / l. 7	operate [A,] <u>a</u> nuclear
P. 142 / l. 16	and the regulation says to operate “ <u>a</u> ” nuclear power plant
P. 143 / l. 7	[SR. SPEC. AGENT MULLEN] JUDGE WARDWELL
P. 148 / l. 1	that’s <u>of</u> importance here
P. 150 / l. 20	It just seems like [there] <u>there’s a</u> difference,
P. 151 / l. 19	proposal is strictly the combined power [outages] outputs of
P. 152 / l. 11	contain radioactive[ly] <u>contaminants</u>
P. 154 / l. 5	[of curves] <u>occurs</u>
P. 154 / l. 25	It was aerosolized,
P. 156 / l. 12	hydro-geologically <u>influenced</u>
P. 156 / l. 18	of this effort [on] <u>in</u>
P. 158 / l. 23	one of the [A&Ps] <u>AMPs</u>
P. 167 / l. 18	tank systems[?] .
P. 168 / l. 16	applies to[;] :
P. 168 / l. 17	[field] <u>fuel</u> oil
P. 169 / l. 7	Okay, if I could sort [of] out
P. 170 / l. 23	They specifically [site] <u>cite</u>
P. 171 / l. 5	possible :
P. 171 / l. 15	contention, supported
P. 171 / l. 25	[application] <u>declaration</u>
P. 177 / l. 3	[Adams] <u>ADAMS</u>
P. 189 / l. 11	And to come to Section [54-29] <u>54.29</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 191 / 1. 11	[2309] <u>2.309</u>
P. 201 / 1. 3	[54.21-A.1.(i)] <u>54.21(a)(1)(i)</u>
P. 204 / 1. 21	[54.21-A.1.(i)] <u>54.21(a)(1)(i)</u>
P. 208 / 1. 1	Part 54 at page [22.471] <u>22,471</u>
P. 209 / 1. 10	from Paul [Blanche] <u>Blanch</u>
P. 209 / 1. 24	Paul [Blanche's] <u>Blanch's</u>
P. 210 / 1. 18	Federal Register [22.471] <u>22,471</u>
P. 210 / 1. 24	Paul [Blanche] <u>Blanch</u>
P. 213 / 1. 3	[22-477] <u>22,477</u>
P. 214 / 1. 24	Federal Register [22-471] <u>22,471</u>
P. 215 / 1. 9	Mr. [Blanche's] <u>Blanch's</u>
P. 215 / 1. 23	Mr. [Blanche] <u>Blanch</u>
P. 221 / 1. 4	putting up a wind farm , of conservation,
P. 224 / 1. 13	Clinton <u>was an</u> early site
P. 229 / 1. 21	their replies to [your's] <u>yours</u>
P. 229 / 1. 24	in our Environmental [report] <u>Report</u>
P. 234 / 1. 3	Contention Eleven is that [DER] <u>the ER</u>
P. 234 / 1. 5-6	Indian Point [II] <u>2</u> and Indian Point [III] <u>3</u>
P. 234 / 1. 9	[II] <u>2</u> and [III] <u>3</u>
P. 234 / 1. 24	[conservatism] <u>conservation</u>
P. 237 / 1. 10	Indian Point [II] <u>2</u> and Indian Point [III] <u>3</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 241 / 1. 24	[C3.IIL] <u>(c)(3)(ii)(L)</u>
MARCH 11, 2008 PROCEEDINGS:	
P. 253 / 1. 4	Cortlandt, [CT] <u>NY</u>
P. 254 / 1. 11	specific [intentions] <u>contentions</u>
P. 259 / 1. 1	Register [28.481] <u>28,481</u>
P. 264 / 1. 13	responsibilities under [CIRCA (phonetic)] <u>CERCLA</u>
P. 266 / 1. 25	threshold. It's [a really fast and] <u>really cast in</u> generic terms.
P. 270 / 1. 10	of the Code at any particular power [point] <u>plant</u>
P. 272 / 1. 5	[in] <u>and</u>
P. 272 / 1. 7	[residents] <u>residences</u>
P. 273 / 1. 25	summary [deposition] <u>disposition</u> stage
P. 274 / 1. 4	contention, which
P. 274 / 1. 5	contention that was at issue in Pilgrim ,
P. 274 / 1. 7	inputs, Judge Lathrop, as you said and [assumption] <u>assumptions</u>
P. 274 / 1. 14	And I would just note that to [Entergy] <u>Entergy's</u>
P. 274 / 1. 22	"[Date] <u>Data</u> on recovery
P. 275 / 1. 1	severe reactor accidents."
P. 275 / 1. 8	fortunately a [dire] dearth
P. 279 / 1. 7	a time because I'm this [hard-scribble] <u>hard-scrabble</u>
P. 280 / 1. 20	saying it could [effect] <u>affect</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 280 / 1. 24	might get influenced by that,
P. 280 / 1. 25	smaller particles,
P. 283 / 1. 20	[upper west side] <u>Upper West Side</u>
P. 289 / 1. 12	same term that the discussion that [State] <u>Staff</u> references
P. 289 / 1. 15	[Wash] <u>WASH</u>
P. 290 / 1. 10	MACCS2 Code, not that <u>they</u> demonstrated
P. 292 / 1. 23	it's increased <u>clean-up</u> costs
P. 302 / 1. 15	identified the risk [that's at the] <u>that a</u> fire will disable
P. 302 / 1. 19	was [no] <u>not</u> evaluated
P. 306 / 1. 15	information is going to [effect] <u>affect</u>
P. 306 / 1. 17	controlling [condition] <u>Commission</u> case law
P. 306 / 1. 23	of SAMA analysis that [is's] <u>it's</u>
P. 308 / 1. 1	Because again we think it's a
P. 308 / 1. 6	would materially [effect] <u>affect</u>
P. 308 / 1. 14	consider this information or you haven't [to] considered
P. 308 / 1. 17	properly has [effected] <u>affected</u>
P. 309 / 1. 17	does this [effect] <u>affect</u>
P. 311 / 1. 17-21	[“In order to reduce the earthquake risk for IP 1 and to critical conjoin in adjacent Units 2 and 3 is necessary to improve the ability of IP1's critical components to withstand the effects of an earthquake.”] <u>“In order to reduce the earthquake risk for IP1 (and to the conjoined and adjacent Units 2 and 3), it is necessary to improve the ability of IP1's critical components to withstand the effects of an earthquake.”</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 313 / l. 6	[effect] <u>affect</u>
P. 313 / l. 7	thing, how it's going to [effect] <u>affect</u>
P. 316 / l. 15	[si0tuations] <u>situations</u>
P. 319 / l. 17	Is that correct[>] ?
P. 326 / l. 3	system <u>by</u> system
P. 327 / l. 13	been [s] scoped in
P. 329 / l. 23	[Sherman] <u>Sherwin</u>
P. 330 / l. 17	magnitude events and that's what's then [factor] <u>factored</u> into
P. 333 / l. 1	[MS. SUTTON:] <u>MR. TURK:</u>
P. 333 / l. 3	[MR. TURK:] <u>MS. SUTTON:</u>
P. 335 / l. 5	But [is] <u>if</u> the dose is
P. 336 / l. 21	[burroughs] <u>boroughs</u>
P. 337 / l. 13	into question - - this is an outdated, obsolete [node] model,
P. 337 / l. 17	[this] the siting of this plant, that [if] this
P. 337 / l. 19	what New York is interested <u>in</u> here
P. 343 / l. 14	in here (<u>holding up CFR</u>).
P. 343 / l. 24	promulgated, I believe it's part [2309] <u>2.309</u>
P. 344 / l. 8	That's our burden under [2309] 2.309.
P. 346 / l. 12	[NOAA Evaluation] no - evacuation
P. 346 / l. 19	what the Commission said in McGuire, [COI217] CLI-217
P. 347 / l. 15	not [a] at the contention [of] admissibility stage

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 350 /1. 16	[20.13] <u>2013</u>
P. 350 /1. 17	[20.15] <u>2015</u>
P. 351 /1. 23	of the regulation that that be [addresses] <u>addressed</u> ,
P. 354 /1. 7	[MR. SIPOS:] MR. CHANDLER
P. 360 /1. 15	[MR.] <u>MS. SUTTON</u> :
P. 362 /1. 15	Is this the [new reg.] <u>NUREG</u> of
P. 362 /1. 18	I believe it is. The [new reg.] <u>NUREG</u>
P. 365 /1. 9	I would note, however, that the [present] <u>premise</u> of
P. 373 /1. 9	systems, [structure] <u>structures</u> ,
P. 373 /1. 10	the scope of 54.21(a) and therefore [is] <u>are</u> subject to
P. 374 /1. 4, 11	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 374 /1. 12	declaration [in] <u>and</u>
P. 375 /1. 18	[MR.] <u>MS. SUTTON</u>
P. 376 /1. 5, 25	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 376 /1. 7	[MR.] <u>MS. SUTTON</u>
P. 376 /1. 12	[MR.] <u>MS. SUTTON</u>
P. 377 /1. 13	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 378 /1. 1, 17, 20, 23	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 379 /1. 4, 11	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 379 /1. 16	they have [filed] <u>followed</u> the guidance,
P. 379 /1. 18, 22	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 380 / l. 6	with now, then you won't know what [you] <u>you've</u> got as you're
P. 380 / l. 14, 16, 25	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 381 / l. 8	program, and that's Entergy's burden. Our [expect] <u>expert</u> has
P. 381 / l. 17	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 381 / l. 18	one paragraph on [pages] <u>page</u> 126
P. 382 / l. 1	down to is <u>a</u> dispute between experts.
P. 382 / l. 17, 19	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 383 / l. 6, 14, 22	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 383 / l. 19	[MR.] <u>MS.</u> SUTTON
P. 386 / l. 10	[werer] <u>were</u> recessed,
P. 388 / l. 18	correct when they say that they have [gotten] <u>got in</u> their
P. 391 / l. 18, 22	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 393 / l. 7	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 395 / l. 20	facilities. It's [giving] <u>given</u> experience and aging.
P. 401 / l. 7	contention number [5] <u>25</u> ,
P. 401 / l. 14	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 402 / l. 3, 9, 14	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 403 / l. 3, 8, 22	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 404 / l. 5, 22	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 404 / l. 25	requirements. But he also discusses the [sharpey] <u>Charpy</u> test
P. 406 / l. 17	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 406 / l. 25	stainless steel. And even the welds of the [in-course] <u>in-core</u>
P. 407 / l. 3	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 407 / l. 6	these loads can damage the [course] <u>core</u>
P. 407 / l. 9, 13, 18	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 408 / l. 4, 9, 25	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 409 / l. 4, 10, 15	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 409 / l. 16	[serous] <u>serious</u>
P. 410 / l. 11	[Leahy] <u>Lahey</u>
P. 412 / l. 10	[along] <u>on the</u> same date as its reply.
P. 415 / l. 14	regulation, [5413] <u>54.13</u>
P. 440 / l. 20	Unit 1 [spend] <u>spent</u> fuel pool
P. 441 / l. 4	accurate [dada] <u>data</u>
P. 441 / l. 5	was [prepared] <u>repaired</u>
P. 452 / l. 24	56 Federal Register [64-967] <u>64,967</u> ,
P. 453 / l. 20	doesn't have to be made in the relicense [goes] <u>does not go</u> to the
P. 453 / l. 21	mitigation of the environmental impacts [or] <u>of a</u> postulated
P. 453 / l. 22	or a severe accident, <u>should it occur</u>
P. 454 / l. 7	[meteorological] <u>radiological</u> emergency preparedness plan for Indian
P. 454 / l. 20	under the <u>Part 51</u> regulations
P. 454 / l. 21	<u>appendix</u> [subpart] B to <u>subpart</u> [appendix] A
P. 454 / l. 24	also gets to the heart of, yes, it was studied

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 455 / l. 15	environmental impacts of a <u>nuclear</u> facility
P. 455 / l. 17	us has failed to comply with the [updated] <u>updating</u> requirements
P. 455 / l. 22	the incorporation by reference of the [Wood] <u>Witt</u> report,
P. 456 / l. 8	[one is producing] <u>once it</u> sunsets,
P. 459 / l. 4	[sound] <u>stated</u>
P. 460 / l. 2	[Roni] <u>Rani</u> Franovich in her statement on the 19th of
P. 460 / l. 7	to that ten-year obligation [,] we had discussed earlier,
P. 460 / l. 11	2006, they really “kicked” it off “in earnest.” That is
P. 469 / l. 24	[permanent] <u>permit in</u> effect,
P. 472 / l. 3	This is where I would <u>not</u> ask you to do the
P. 472 / l. 10	And the [DEIS] <u>analysis</u>
P. 472 / l. 24	Dilks. He has demonstrated [in] <u>with</u> great specificity and
P. 488 / l. 10	issues means that they have [weighed] <u>waived</u> their legal
P. 491 / l. 23	as a sovereign state, is at this time unable to [see] <u>cede</u>
P. 492 / l. 5	now [that] <u>and</u> New York
P. 492 / l. 13	individual [intervenor] <u>intervenors</u>
P. 493 / l. 21	excused. We would then start with [Portland] <u>Cortlandt</u> at 4:00
P. 493 / l. 22	o'clock. Anyway, I believe [Portland] <u>Cortlandt</u> would be next at

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

Page/Line

Correction

Questions on the Town of Cortlandt's Petition

- P. 496 / l. 13 they have been very [obstructive] instructive
- P. 496 / l. 16 of our contentions, Technical Contention [and Contention] 2 – on
- P. 496 / l. 25 and also appear
- P. 498 / l. 1 course [of] in and of itself
- P. 498 / l. 3 Environmental Impact Statement [proceeds] precedes [and] such
- P. 500 / l. 2 report are generally promises to carry out [our] programs as
- P. 504 / l. 1 [trust] thrust of the Sansoucy affidavit
- P. 505 / l. 9 – 11 facility; [and] there is a considerable concern that we examine the
issues of not licensing this facility [for] or relicensing [its] this
facility.
- P. 507 / l. 7 and indicate[s] that in that instance
- P. 509 / l. 3 pool issue, then we would elect to proceed under [23.5] 2.315.

Questions on the State of Connecticut's Petition

- P. 510 / l. 3 [started] stated yesterday
- P. 510 / l. 14 [the] Westchester County
- P. 511 / l. 14 [effect] affect
- P. 512 / l. 1 a significant amount
- P. 512 / l. 3 [west] east
- P. 516 / l. 24 [changed] changes
- P. 520 / l. 23 [for seeding] proceeding
- P. 522 / l. 22 [SR. SPEC. AGENT MULLEN] JUDGE WARDWELL

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 524 / 1. 2	[2-335] <u>2.335</u>

MARCH 12, 2008 PROCEEDINGS:

Questions on Riverkeeper, Inc.'s Petition

P. 529 / 1. 14	[Daronoco] <u>Daronco</u>
P. 534 / 1. 16	[Philip] <u>Phillip</u>
P. 537 / 1. 1	[and] <u>of</u>
P. 537 / 1. 9	admissibility [and]
P. 537 / 1. 20	[simple] <u>simply</u>
P. 538 / 1. 13	pool[s]
P. 544 / 1. 4	that this was <u>a</u>
P. 544 / 1. 6	the[y]
P. 544 / 1. 11	[this] <u>that</u>
P. 544 / 1. 22	broaden <u></u>
P. 545 / 1. 2	position <u>that</u>
P. 546 / 1. 23	[2206] <u>2.206</u>
P. 550 / 1. 8	[2206] <u>2.206</u>
P. 552 / 1. 4	[2206] <u>2.206</u>
P. 557 / 1. 4	[3.206] <u>2.206</u>
P. 557 / 1. 13	[206] <u>2.206</u>
P. 557 / 1. 16	says
P. 568 / 1. 5	<u>unsuccessful</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 568 / l. 11	it seems to me <u>for</u>
P. 568 / l. 24	referenced <u></u>
P. 575 / l. 15	[operated] <u>uprate</u>
P. 580 / l. 6	[float] <u>flow</u>
P. 584 / l. 9	CHECWORKS ₂
P. 586 / l. 10	[estrangement] <u>entrainment</u>
P. 588 / l. 7	[MR. TURK] <u>MR. TAFUR</u>
P. 589 / l. 12-13	entrainment <u>and</u> impingement
P. 589 / l. 14	entrainment <u>and</u> impingement
P. 590 / l. 13-14	entrainment <u>and</u> impingement
P. 590 / l. 19	levels [and] <u>of</u> impingement entrainment
P. 595 / l. 14	[FAQ] <u>Fact</u>
P. 595 / l. 17	entrainment <u>and</u> impingement
P. 595 / l. 20	analysis is ₂
P. 595 / l. 21	submit it ₂
P. 605 / l. 23	<u>DEC</u>
P. 610 / l. 17	[intentionally cause an] <u>intentionally caused</u>
P. 610 / l. 19	intentional <u></u>
P. 611 / l. 16	<u>caused</u> attacks
P. 612 / l. 25	[be] <u>we</u> have to do that
P. 612 / l. 14	[an amenable] <u>a meaningful</u>

Text in brackets [] has been deleted; underscored text has been added or corrected.

<u>Page/Line</u>	<u>Correction</u>
P. 613 / l. 16	that's [a] Baltimore
P. 615 / l. 13	consequences
P. 616 / l. 19	you[r]
P. 623 / l. 25	says
P. 623 / l. 1	[NUREG CR 6295] <u>NUREG/CR-6295</u>
P. 627 / l. 25	[balder] <u>bald or</u>
P. 629 / l. 11	[difference is] <u>differences</u>
P. 640 / l. 25	outlined
P. 647 / l. 21	[find] <u>fine</u>

Capitalization Consistency Note:

If "Applicant," "Agency," and "Commission" are to be capitalized, then so, too, should "State" and "County" be capitalized.

Dated: April 2, 2008

////