

June 11, 2008

Mr. George S. Dunlop  
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works)  
Department of the Army  
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Works  
108 Army Pentagon  
Washington, D.C. 20310-0108

Dear Mr. Dunlop:

I am responding to your April 28, 2008, letter to Mr. Luis A. Reyes, then Executive Director for Operations for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). I am pleased that you agree it is appropriate to revise the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the NRC and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) regarding the regulation of nuclear power plants. The current MOU was signed in 1975 before the NRC established the one-step licensing process described in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants." Other rule changes, such as the revised limited work authorization rule, have also made revising the MOU an important issue.

As your letter states, the MOU needs to recognize the roles and responsibilities of each agency. Because of the Corps role in regulating the jurisdictional waters of the United States, it is appropriate in certain instances for the Corps to participate as a cooperating agency, with the NRC as the lead agency, on environmental impact statements for proposed new nuclear power plants. For example, the applicant for the combined license (COL) for Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 3, in Lusby, MD, has a COL application before the NRC and a permit request pending before your Baltimore District. The Baltimore District of the Corps requested to participate as a cooperating agency for the Calvert Cliffs COL application, and the NRC is willing to accept the Corps as a cooperating agency for the Calvert Cliffs application. However, we believe the MOU should provide flexibility to handle each application in the most effective manner, and in some cases, this may require different approaches.

The NRC staff has already had discussions with your staff and we will continue to work together to update the MOU.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Michael R. Johnson, Director  
Office of New Reactors

June 11, 2008

Mr. George S. Dunlop  
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works)  
Department of the Army  
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Works  
108 Army Pentagon  
Washington, D.C. 20310-0108

Dear Mr. Dunlop:

I am responding to your April 28, 2008, letter to Mr. Luis A. Reyes, then Executive Director for Operations for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). I am pleased that you agree it is appropriate to revise the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the NRC and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) regarding the regulation of nuclear power plants. The current MOU was signed in 1975 before the NRC established the one-step licensing process described in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 52, "Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants." Other rule changes, such as the revised limited work authorization rule, have also made revising the MOU an important issue.

As your letter states, the MOU needs to recognize the roles and responsibilities of each agency. Because of the Corps role in regulating the jurisdictional waters of the United States, it is appropriate in certain instances for the Corps to participate as a cooperating agency, with the NRC as the lead agency, on environmental impact statements for proposed new nuclear power plants. For example, the applicant for the combined license (COL) for Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 3, in Lusby, MD, has a COL application before the NRC and a permit request pending before your Baltimore District. The Baltimore District of the Corps requested to participate as a cooperating agency for the Calvert Cliffs COL application, and the NRC is willing to accept the Corps as a cooperating agency for the Calvert Cliffs application. However, we believe the MOU should provide flexibility to handle each application in the most effective manner, and in some cases, this may require different approaches.

The NRC staff has already had discussions with your staff and we will continue to work together to update the MOU.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Michael R. Johnson, Director  
Office of New Reactors

**DISTRIBUTION:**

PUBLIC	REmch	RidsEdoMailCenter	RidsNroOd	RidsOgcMailCenter
RidsOpaMail	RidsOcaMailCenter	RidsNroDser	RidsNroDnrl	RidsNroDserOd
RidsNrrOd	RidsAcrsMailCenter	RidsNroMailCenter	RidsSecyMailCenter	RidsResOd
RidsFsmeOd	RidsNmssOd	RidsAcnwMailCenter	RidsNroDserRenv	

**ADAMS Accession No.: ML081550250**

OFFICE	PM:RENV:NRO	BC:RENV:NRO	D:DSER	OGC	D:NRO
NAME	REmch:zxy1	BClayton	NChokshi (BClayton for)	KWinsberg (via e-mail)	MJohnson
DATE	6/5/2008	6/11/2008	6/11/2008	6/11/2008	06/11/2008

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY