

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act. Exemptions: FOIA/PA 2008-018

**From:** James Trapp  
**To:** Dana Caron; Darrell Roberts; Joseph Willis; Marjorie McLaughlin; Marsha Gamberoni; Nancy McNamara; Paul Krohn  
**Date:** 10/4/2007 6:57:39 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Summary of MD Brief on PB AIT and updated briefing schedule

One suggestion that we do all briefings are preparing in the Resident Inspectors conference room due to the unavailability of the Peach Bottom Inn.

>>> Marjorie McLaughlin 10/03/2007 4:58 PM >>>  
Good Afternoon,

The purposes of this email are:

1. To briefly summarize the conference call conducted today with officials from the State of Maryland regarding the Peach Bottom AIT.
2. To provide an updated briefing schedule.

Changes include:

- a. the addition of the Bridgeline for the PA briefing on Tuesday, Oct 9
- b. the addition of a posterboard session in advance of the public meeting.

Thank you,  
Marjey

**MARYLAND CALL SUMMARY**

MD Participants:

Mr Stephen Pattison, Assistant Director, Maryland Dept of the Environment (MD State Liaison Officer)  
Mr. Andrew Lauland, Maryland Homeland Security Advisor  
Mr. Mike Griffen, Nuclear Emergency Preparedness Coordinator, Maryland Department of the Environment

Region I Participants:

Marsha Gamberoni  
James Trapp  
Paul Krohn  
Dana Caron

HQ (NSIR) Participants:

Paul Paduzzi  
Joe Willis

Marsha Gamberoni and Jim Trapp led the briefing, utilizing the slides that had been prepared. Marsha discussed the AIT process and objectives and the details of the events leading to the AIT.

State Questions

? The State asked how NRC determines what facilities receive an AIT. Marsha explained the NRC process for determining the need for this level of inspection.

? The State asked if the videos reviewed by the NRC were the same as those shown on TV, or if they were in-plant camera surveillance. Marsha explained that they were the same as those aired on TV.

Jim detailed the AIT: its conduct, results, and conclusions. He discussed the probable causes for the event and the actions taken by Wackenhut and Exelon in response.

El 41

