

HLWYM HEmails

From: Randall Fedors
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2007 6:45 AM
To: James Winterle; Osvaldo Pensado; Stuart Stothoff
Cc: Chandrika Manepally; David Pickett; Hakan Basagaoglu
Subject: RE: SubareaWetFraction and WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor

I missed why we switched from uniform to loguniform. At the meeting last week, we first talked about making them both uniform distribution. Is there some rationale that shift the sampling, like more belief in one conceptual model over another?

Conceptual models for Fwet

1. All fractures flow and will lead to dripping, Fwet=1
2. Secondary mineralization indicates fraction of fractures that flow and might drip, Fwet~0.58
3. Prominent structural features have flow that will drip, Fwet~0.25

--Randy

>>> Osvaldo Pensado <opensado@cnwra.swri.edu> 02/27/2007 4:31 PM >>>
Sorry guys for the email overload,

Please delete the previous attachment. Stu observed that if X is loguniform then 1/X is also loguniform. The math to prove this is simple. Duh!

So there is nothing to it. This is the solution

SubareaWetFraction=loguniform(0.25, 1.0)
WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor=loguniform(1.0, 4.0)
Correlation coefficient = -0.9999 (it is impossible to request -1 as a correlation in TPA code)

The product, SubareaWetFraction x WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor is still not equal to 1.0 (due to the scatter introduced by the -0.9999 correlation), but it is close enough (see the attachment).

The deadline for the parameters is tomorrow. I am not keeping track of the parameters, do not tell me your changes. You (Chandrika/Hakan) need to get in touch with David Pickett for the EBSREL parameters, and Femi for all of the other parameter updates.

=====
Osvaldo Pensado, Ph.D.
Senior Research Scientist, SwRI
6220 Culebra Road. San Antonio TX 78238
(210) 522 6084
Fax: (210) 522 6081
=====

-----Original Message-----

From: Osvaldo Pensado [mailto:opensado@cnwra.swri.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2007 2:29 PM
To: Stuart Stothoff; 'James Winterle'

Cc: 'Chandrika Manepally'; 'hbasagaoglu'; Randall Fedors
Subject: SubareaWetFraction and WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor

Fellows,

The original suggestion for the distributions SubareaWetFraction and WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor did not satisfy the requirement $\text{SubareaWetFraction} \times \text{WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor} = 1.0$ that Stu suggests (it actually misses that requirement by quite a bit).

Find attached an approach to fix that problem. I assumed that $\text{WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor} = \text{loguniform}(1.0, 4.0)$. Then I derived the distribution for SubareaWetFraction as $1/\text{WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor}$.

The resulting distribution is not exactly any of the pre-defined distributions but it can be approximated with a finite-exponential. Alternatively, a user-defined CDF can be used to define SubareaWetFraction.

I give you two alternatives, pick your choice. **Please let David Pickett your choice (he is compiling the EBSREL parameters).**

I also included the distribution on the product $\text{SubareaWetFraction} \times \text{WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor}$. The product is not exactly 1.0, but close.

I like the finite-exponential, but the product $\text{SubareaWetFraction} \times \text{WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor}$ is not exactly 1.0. The user-defined CDF produces a more accurate product (closer to 1.0), but it needs a number of lines in tpa.inp to be implemented.

Pick your choice and let Dave and me know. I am fine with both choices (although I told you I like the finite-exponential better).

=====
Osvaldo Pensado, Ph.D.
Senior Research Scientist, SwRI
6220 Culebra Road. San Antonio TX 78238
(210) 522 6084
Fax: (210) 522 6081
=====

-----Original Message-----
From: hbasagaoglu [<mailto:hbasagaoglu@cnwra.swri.edu>]
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 9:37 AM
To: Osvaldo Pensado; James Winterle
Cc: Chandrika Manepally
Subject: Seepage Workshop Phase 2- Summary and Action Items_Version2-Feb23

Osvaldo and Jim,

I just wonder if you have any concerns and/or suggestions on the parameter list, values, and the technical basis included in the attached file Chandrika e-mailed you last week. Thanks,

Hakan

Hearing Identifier: HLW_YuccaMountain_Hold_EX
Email Number: 135

Mail Envelope Properties (Randall.Fedors@nrc.gov20070228064505)

Subject: RE: SubareaWetFraction and WastePackageFlowMultiplicationFactor
Sent Date: 2/28/2007 6:45:05 AM
Received Date: 2/28/2007 6:45:05 AM
From: Randall Fedors

Created By: Randall.Fedors@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Chandrika Manepally" <cmanepally@cnwra.swri.edu>
Tracking Status: None
"David Pickett" <dpickett@cnwra.swri.edu>
Tracking Status: None
"Hakan Basagaoglu" <hbasagaoglu@cnwra.swri.edu>
Tracking Status: None
"James Winterle" <jwinterle@cnwra.swri.edu>
Tracking Status: None
"Osvaldo Pensado" <opensado@cnwra.swri.edu>
Tracking Status: None
"Stuart Stothoff" <sstothoff@cnwra.swri.edu>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4106	2/28/2007 6:45:05 AM

Options

Priority:	Standard
Return Notification:	No
Reply Requested:	No
Sensitivity:	Normal
Expiration Date:	
Recipients Received:	