

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: George Wunder
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2008 9:53 AM
To: Gibson, Gregory T; Mookhoek, William
Cc: STPCOL
Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Attachments: ML0810705544.pdf

Greg,

Attached please find a Request for Additional Information.

George

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 26

Mail Envelope Properties (419623E8C7444444BF132DA3AE69EDA20AE669E3DE)

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Sent Date: 4/22/2008 9:52:31 AM
Received Date: 4/22/2008 9:52:33 AM
From: George Wunder

Created By: George.Wunder@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"STPCOL" <STP.COL@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Gibson, Gregory T" <gtgibson@STPEGS.COM>
Tracking Status: None
"Mookhoek, William" <wemookhoek@STPEGS.COM>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	105	4/22/2008 9:52:33 AM
ML0810705544.pdf	89736	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

April 16, 2008

Mr. Gregory Gibson, Manager
Regulatory Affairs
STP Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 289
Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 08 RELATED TO
THE SRP SECTION 17.5 FOR THE SOUTH TEXAS COMBINED LICENSE
APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Gibson:

By letter dated September 20, 2007, STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submitted for approval a combined license application pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed application.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter. These questions were discussed with Mr. Bill Stillwell and other members of your staff on April 16, 2008.

To support the review schedule, you are requested to respond within 45 days of the date of this letter. If changes are needed to the safety analysis report, the staff requests that the RAI response include the proposed wording changes.

Mr. Gregory Gibson

-2-

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, I can be reached at 301-415-1494 or by e-mail at george.wunder@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

George F. Wunder, Senior Project Manager
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch 2
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-012
52-013

eRAI Tracking No. 65

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

cc: William Mookhoek

Mr. Gregory Gibson

-2-

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, I can be reached at 301-415-1494 or by e-mail at george.wunder@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

George F. Wunder, Senior Project Manager
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch 2
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-012
52-013

eRAI Tracking No. 65

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

cc: William Mookhoek

Distribution:
PUBLIC
NGE 1/2 R/F
BSosa
GWunder, NRO
JHernandez, NRO
SBrock, OGC
RidsNroDsraSbpb
RidsNroDnrlNge2

ADAMS Accession No. ML081070554

NRO-002

OFFICE	CQVB/TR	CQVB/TR	CQVB/BC	NGE1/PM	OGC	NGE1/L-PM
NAME	SCleavenger	ARivera	JNakoski	MTonacci	SBrock	GWunder
DATE	03/22/08	03/26/08	03/27/08	04/02/08	04/11/08	04/16/08

*Approval captured electronically in the electronic RAI system.

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Request for Additional Information
South Texas Project Units 3 and 4
South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Co
Docket No. 52-012 and 52-013

SRP Section: 17.5 - Quality Assurance Program Description - Design Certification, Early Site Permit
and New License Applicants

Application Section: 17.5S STP 3 & 4 Quality Assurance Program Description

QUESTIONS

17.5-1

Section A, "Organization," of Standard Review Plan (SRP) Section 17.5 indicates that the applicant's Quality Assurance Program Description (QAPD) should contain an organizational description that addresses the organizational structure, functional responsibilities, levels of authority, and interfaces. The organizational description is to include the onsite and offsite organizational elements that function under the cognizance of the Quality Assurance (QA) program. The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) template provides flowcharts (Figures II.1-1 and II.1-2) to delineate the organizational interfaces. Please provide a clear illustration of the interrelationships between STP corporate and the QA organization.

17.5-2

Subpart C of 10 CFR Part 52 identifies the general provisions for combined licenses. More specifically, 10 CFR Part 52.79 identifies the technical information required to be contained in the final safety analysis report. Section 2.5 of STP's QAPD states that, "10 CFR 50.34(b)(6)(ii) and 10 CFR Part 52.59(a)(25) requires that the Final Safety Analysis Report(FSAR) include, among other things, the managerial and administrative controls to be used to assure safe operation, including a discussion of how the applicable requirements of Appendix B will be satisfied." In this statement, STP references 10 CFR 52.59, instead of 10 CFR 52.79. The application also references 10 CFR 50.34, which no longer will be required. Please justify or amend these departures.

17.5-3

Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50 requires the applicant to establish a quality assurance program that provides for the indoctrination and training of personnel performing activities affecting quality. In Section 2.8 of its QAPD, STP commits to compliance with American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) NQA-1-1994 Basic Requirement 2 and Supplements 2S-1, 2S-2, 2S-3, and 2S-4, with certain exceptions to Supplements 2S-2 and 2S-3, in establishing qualification and training programs. The NEI template identifies exceptions to Supplements 2S-1, 2S-2, and 2S-3. However, STP did not include the exception to Supplement 2S-1 for the qualifications of personnel performing independent quality verification activities, inspection planning, and the evaluation of the capabilities of inspectors or the training program for inspectors. Please verify that STP will not be implementing this exception.

17.5-4

Section U, "Regulatory Commitments," of SRP Section 17.5 indicates that the applicant should commit to the most recent revision of certain Regulatory Guides (RGs) and Generic Letters (GLs). Regulatory Guide 1.37, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Cleaning of Fluid Systems and Associated Components of Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants," is included on this list and is referenced in Section 13.2 of STP's QAPD. However, Part IV, "Regulatory Commitments," of the STP QAPD does not identify RG 1.37 as a commitment. Please justify this exception.

17.5-5

SRP Section 17.5(V), "Nonsafety-Related SSC Quality Controls," indicates that inspections of nonsafety-related SSCs should be performed where necessary to verify conformance of an item or activity to specified requirements or to verify that activities are satisfactorily accomplished. STP QAPD Part III Section 1.10, "Inspection," states that "These inspections may be performed by personnel in the line organization through the process that utilizes knowledgeable personnel to perform the verification function." Please identify and provide an explanation of the process that utilizes knowledgeable personnel to perform the verification function within STP's organization.

17.5-6

The SRP, which indicates that the applicant's organizational description should address functional responsibilities, identifies these responsibilities to include activities such as preparing, reviewing, approving, and verifying designs; qualifying suppliers; preparing, reviewing, approving, and issuing instructions, procedures, schedules, and procurement documents; purchasing; verifying supplier activities; identifying and controlling acceptable and nonconforming hardware and software; manufacturing; calibrating and controlling measuring and test equipment; qualifying and controlling special processes; constructing; inspecting; testing; startup; operating; performing maintenance; performing the audit function; and controlling records. The NEI template identifies the overall organization as well as the individual positions that are responsible for performing and verifying these specific activities. (1) Please address in more detail the functional responsibilities. For example, for the Plant General Manager responsible for the operations and maintenance of the plant, the description does not specify the functions related to operations (e.g., startup, testing, surveillance, engineering), and how these functions will be performed. (2) Please refer to the specific organizational titles throughout the QAPD.

17.5-7

STP QAPD Section 13.2, "NQA-1-1994 Commitment/Exception," includes the reference to RG 1.37 in the text of a sub-bullet labeled "Subpart 3.2, Appendix 2.1." This sub-bullet is placed under the bullet "NQA-1-1994, Subpart 2.2." The applicant should add a bullet labeled "NQA-1-1994, Subpart 3.2" above this sub-bullet for proper organization of the exceptions.