



Purpose/Content

- AREVA is deciding on our future global container.
 - Two candidates are being evaluated: The MAP-14 and the FCC4
- Meeting with French and US authorities to identify any obvious licensing barriers, challenges or items of concern to assist in the evaluation.
- We are seeking your initial feedback on the FCC4 with recent knowledge of the MAP container in hand.

Presentation Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. FCC4 Packaging Description
- **3.** Description of packaging contents
- 4. Analysis of compliance with regulatory requirements
- 5. Licenses that are in effect today
- 6. Adaptation of FCC4 packaging for EPR deliveries

Introduction

The FCC4 design was the next generation of the RCC and MCC packages

- With improvements on the interior structure
- Due to Regulation change :
 - IAEA 85 amended in 1990, IAEA 1996 for application in 2001
 - Compliance with regulatory tests : drop and thermal tests, subcriticality
- Any PWR fuel assembly design
 - All patterns from 14x14 up to 18x18 (FCC3/FCC4)
 - UO2 enrichment up to 5% 235U for ENU & ERU
- Package:
 - Empty Weight: 3670 Kg / Full Weight: 5400 Kg
 - L: 5740 mm (226 ") / W: 1130 mm (44.5 ") / H: 1293 mm (51 ")

First certificate issued in January 2000 by ASN, F/348/IF-96

Type IP2 (Industrial package type II), ref. IAEA-96 regulations (TSR-1)



FCC4 container











FCC4 container



FCC4 container



NRC-AREVA NP meeting – 14 April 2008

A

AREVA

FCC4 packaging description

Materials:

- Shells: carbon steel S355 (E36.3 and E36.4)
- Internal system structure, connecting pins: stainless steel (X2CrNi1809/X4CrNiMo16-5-1)
- Screws: stainless steel (42CD4)
- Resin: polymer resin (B: 2.1%, H: 4.65%, C, Si, O, Al, Zn, Mg)
- Impact limiters: stainless steel enclosure containing balsa
- Coating: corrosion inhibitor + paint, applied on the shells
- Shocks mounts: rubber

Compliance with regulatory requirements

- Drop tests performed on 1 FCC4 prototype (Sept. 1998, CESTA facility, France)
 - Drop from 1m (3 ft) height onto a vertical bar (2 tests)
 - Flat drop from 9 m (30 ft) with slap down effect (1 test)
- Drop tests performed on 1 FCC3 prototype (Feb. 1998, CESTA facility, France)
 - Axial drop from 9 m (30 ft) (1 test)
 - Drop on bar from 1m height (3 ft) (2 tests)
 - Flat drop from 9 m (30 ft) with slap down effect (1 test)

Thermal test: evaluated by calculation

FCC4 Pin test 1



A

AREVA







- Perforation of the upper enclosure 150-200 mm (6-8'')
- Indentation of the sheet metal doors, no rupture
- Doors hinge pins nearest the impact were intact
- Neutronic cavity remained undamaged

FCC4 Pin test 1



3.1.











V

Assembly



FCC4 Pin test 2

- Perforation of the upper shell (335 mm- 150 mm)(13"-6")
- Indentation of the door plate
- Tearing of the door plate, along two axes





FCC4 – 9 m drop test

PLATE 8

DROP N*



AREVA

FCC4 9m drop test

- Container no longer cylindrical at the two ends
- All shells connecting bolts still in place
- Indentation of the upper shell
- Support frame and craddle pulled apart, but internal system still supporting the neutronic cavity
 - 30 mm (1.2") bowing of the frame-door assembly





FCC4 mechanical test





FCC4 Mechanical tests

- Conclusions of the FCC4 mechanical tests:
 - Two shells still connected,
 - Impact limiters in place and undamaged,
 - Relative position and spacing between assemblies unchanged
 - Neutronic cavity fulfils its restraining function, geometry preserved,
 - Section of the cavity reduced overall, local maximum values bellow criticality assumptions
 - Resin thickness and characteristics unchanged
- Additional conclusions from FCC3 vertical drop test:
 - Two shells still connected
 - Impact limiters crushed from 165mm -> 89 mm (6.5" -> 3.5")
 - Internal housing end plates still in places
 - A few rods pins slid between nozzle and housing

<u>No rod failure</u>: check for contamination research carried out with negative results



FCC4 Thermal test

- Package exposed to a thermal environment of 800 °C (1472 °F) during 30 minutes
- THERMAL TEST : analytical evaluation
 - Determination of rods temperatures using STAR CD code
 - Thermo-mechanical behavior of the rods under [Pressure;Temperature] increase
 - Assumptions
 - Sun exposure during 24 hrs: initial temp. 70°C (158°F)
 - Flames directly applied on the outer skin of the housing [doors-Tframe]
 - Gap between doors and TFrame: max. local gap measured after drop tests, applied on the whole length



000000 00 000000

00

00 000000000

0000 000000

26-JAN-04 TEMPERATURE RELATIVE CELSIUS TIME = 1799.80 LOCAL MX= 781.5 LOCAL MN= 78.42

731.4 682.9 634.3 585.7 537.1 488.6 440.0 391.4 342.9 294.3 245.7 197.1 148.6



FCC4 Thermal test

- THERMAL EVALUATION: modeling
- Thermal results are driven by the convection of air inside the cavity
 - Higher temperatures are local
 - cooling is quick
 - Max. temp. fuel column (avera

• Max. obtained at the end, in front of the void volume (top of fuel assembly)





FFC4 CONTAINER – THERMAL TEST (FIRE) 17x17 clearance T 7 mm B 5 mm conductMty variable with the temperature heat exchange coefficient for the exposed walls 10 W/mF.K



FCC4 Thermal test

- Calculation of cumulated strain under Pressure-Temperature increase, for clad 1(void volume):
 - < elongation limit</p>
 - Conclusion
 - No rods failure



FCC4 - Criticality safety analysis

Safety limits (Keff < 0.95) met for normal and accidental conditions using CRISTAL calculational tool

Geometry Assumptions After Drop and Thermal Tests:

- Cross section of the assembly expanded on 1 third of its length,
- Crushing of the shell to a reduce section
- Resin burnt (results of a fire test on a resin sample)
- <u>Differential flooding</u>
- Safety case:
 - Keff = 0.943 under ACT, for 17x17 14' fuel assemblies,
 - Including an uncertainty of 682 pcm (CRISTAL calculations for the present study)
 - N=80, CSI = 0.63

New Analysis done for 25% poison reduction = smaller array

AREVA

FCC4 Criticality – Accidental conditions of transport





Status of FCC4 certificates

- Licensed in France (2010), Belgium for road, rail and sea shipment
 - For fuel assemblies and rod boxes
- Validation in Germany
 - FCC4 design is similar to FCC3:
 - FCC3 currently validated in Sweden, UK, South Africa, Netherland
 - FCC4 may undergo same validations due to need of deliveries

FCC4 – Adaptation to EPR

- Changes Currently Being Evaluated:
 - To eliminate carbon steel \rightarrow to adopt stainless steel
 - To remove balsa material \rightarrow foam
 - To allow transport of RCCA inserted in fuel assemblies → modification of the top plate
 - To design a new stowing/lifting system
 - Options:
 - To modify external shells shape