

## HLWYM NPEmails

---

**From:** Christopher Ryder  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 03, 2006 10:05 AM  
**To:** George Adams; Albert Wong; Michael Waters  
**Subject:** RE: FYI - Revised Slides for HLWRB Presentation tomorrow

In Appendix A, the confidence interval is 90%, not 95%. You have a two-tailed test, the lower bound is at the 5% level, the upper bound is at the 95% level. Thus, 5% on either side of the distribution - 90% inside the distribution.

Chris

>>> Michael Waters 08/03/2006 9:43 AM >>>

Thanks. We need to recheck Appendix A and reconcile the terminology when discussing confidence interval versus confidence level.

Are we calculating the 90th% confidence interval that lies between the 5th and 95th confidence levels ?

Thanks,

Mike

>>> George Adams <[gadams@cnwra.swri.edu](mailto:gadams@cnwra.swri.edu)> 08/02/2006 5:52 PM >>>

Mike,

Slide 14, 4th bullet should indicate 90th percentile confidence interval.  
It was calculated between the 5th and 95th limits.

Also, Amit is out sick today. I have forwarded the info to his home e-mail address. I didn't notice anyone missed on slide 2.

George

-----Original Message-----

From: Michael Waters [mailto:[MDW1@nrc.gov](mailto:MDW1@nrc.gov)]

Sent: Wednesday, August 02, 2006 4:02 PM

To: [bdasgupta@cnwra.swri.edu](mailto:bdasgupta@cnwra.swri.edu) ; Fernando Ferrante; [gadams@cnwra.swri.edu](mailto:gadams@cnwra.swri.edu) ; Albert Wong; Christopher Ryder; Jon Chen; Mahendra Shah; Amitava Ghosh

Cc: Andy Campbell; Marissa Bailey; N King Stablein; Tina Ghosh

Subject: FYI - Revised Slides for HLWRB Presentation tomorrow

Attached are a revised draft of the slide presentation based on our walk-through meeting yesterday. I plan on noodling the fonts and talking points tomorrow morning and send the final presentation to HLWRB by mid-morning.

Other than that, please let me know if you have any clarifications to the parts I revised.

Albert/Amit, please let me know if I missed any primary contributors on slide 2, including spelling errors

Jon, please look at proposed dates on path forward to see if they are reasonable with your internal schedule.

Thanks,

Mike

**Hearing Identifier:** HLW\_YM\_NonPublic\_EX  
**Email Number:** 68

**Mail Envelope Properties** (Christopher.Ryder@nrc.gov20060803100506)

**Subject:** RE: FYI - Revised Slides for HLWRB Presentation tomorrow  
**Sent Date:** 8/3/2006 10:05:06 AM  
**Received Date:** 8/3/2006 10:05:06 AM  
**From:** Christopher Ryder

**Created By:** Christopher.Ryder@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"George Adams" <gadams@cnwra.swri.edu>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Albert Wong" <Albert.Wong@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Michael Waters" <Michael.Waters@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:**

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1846	8/3/2006 10:05:06 AM

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**