

HLWYM NPEmails

From: Frederick Brown
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 4:04 PM
To: Christopher Ryder
Cc: Robert Johnson (NMSS); Michael Waters
Subject: Re: Stabb at Uniqueness key message

Chris,

good catch on the sub-component point. "common - common - unique" rolls off the tongue, but it requires some translation. Maybe aggregate does to, is there a way to eliminate the need for translation?

>>> Christopher Ryder 05/04/06 2:32 PM >>>

Suggestions

Change sub-component to component. The former could mean individual parts.

Not sure what you mean be aggregate.

I like my words "common configuration of common components in a unique layout".

>>> Robert Johnson 05/04/06 2:27 PM >>>

Fred,

Please take a quick look at the following attempt at a uniqueness key message. Please feel free mark up where necessary.

When determining reliability DOE should focus and commit to systems level analogues at the highest level possible. When higher level analogues are not available, DOE should then consider looking at analogues at the sub-component level and provide enough information for the staff to assess the unique system as an aggregate of the sub-components.

Thanks,

Robert

Hearing Identifier: HLW_YM_NonPublic_EX
Email Number: 60

Mail Envelope Properties (Frederick.Brown@nrc.gov20060504160348)

Subject: Re: Stabb at Uniqueness key message
Sent Date: 5/4/2006 4:03:48 PM
Received Date: 5/4/2006 4:03:48 PM
From: Frederick Brown

Created By: Frederick.Brown@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Robert Johnson (NMSS)" <Robert.Johnson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Michael Waters" <Michael.Waters@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Christopher Ryder" <Christopher.Ryder@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1023	5/4/2006 4:03:48 PM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: