HLWYM NPEmails

From: Albert Wong
Sent: Friday, October 06, 2006 1:28 PM
To: Christopher Ryder
Subject: Fwd: Re: Draft Reliability Key Messages

tina's comments

>>> Tina Ghosh 10/06/2006 12:15 PM >>>
Looks fine, just a few suggestions/questions for your consideration:
*Instead of saying “excluding human reliability” in the title, could you just add a footnote that there are additional key messages on human reliability on the next page/attachment? Because the reliability KMs are applicable to human reliability (which is a subset of overall reliability) as well.
*I am a little confused that all the messages are specifically tied to comments on the reliability assessment methodology -- I thought we discussed sending a separate letter on feedback on document after the interactions, and that key msgs at this time would be more general but informed by our read of the doc? I guess for the reliability interactions, everything will be focused on the doc. and it makes sense. This doesn’t have to be answered/resolved today, I just want everyone to recognize that for HRA, there simply isn’t room in 4-5 key messages to both capture the most important general messages and provide specific feedback (even if at a high level) on the doc...
*Why are we giving the DOE advice on revising the document, with the sentence: “Specifically, the summary document can benefit from improvements in the following areas…”? I think the points/KMs are good ones, but these are things we want them to consider/get right in the LA… Does this particular document even have a role in their LA? How about revising the sentence to direct the messages to their methodology in general, rather than specific improvements to this summary document?
*In bullet 1, how about adding “administrative safety controls (ASCs), or procedural safety controls (PSCs)” after “SSCs”, before “reliability”?

Thanks for considering,
Tina

>>> Albert Wong 10/06/06 10:47 AM >>>
All - Attached is the draft reliability KMs (excluding human reliability). I merged three sets of inputs from different groups and put them on this one pager. Please quickly review the one-pager to make sure I did not mis-characterize anything during the consolidation. With your help, I think we can make the noon deadline.

Thank you very much,

Albert

Tina - I will call you shortly.

Hearing Identifier: HLW_YM_NonPublic_EX
Email Number: 24

Mail Envelope Properties (Albert.Wong@nrc.gov20061006132755)

Subject: Fwd: Re: Draft Reliability Key Messages
Sent Date: 10/6/2006 1:27:55 PM
Received Date: 10/6/2006 1:27:55 PM
From: Albert Wong

Created By: Albert.Wong@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Christopher Ryder" <Christopher.Ryder@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	2214	10/6/2006 1:27:55 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: