

HLWYM HEmails

From: Albert Wong
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2006 2:22 PM
To: Lee Abramson; Christopher Ryder
Subject: Fwd: Re: Are you here today?

Chris/Lee - Can you incorporate Dr. Damon's thoughts into the uncertainty KM(s) you're preparing, please? Alternatively, it can also be a stand-alone KM if necessary. Thanx a lot, Albert

>>> Dennis Damon 10/04/2006 10:52 AM >>>
Albert,

I called, but you were out. I think that the main comment on the DOE report should be that the report should describe methods and criteria to incorporate a reasonable degree of conservatism in assessing frequencies and dose for event sequences when these values are near the Category 2 boundary values of 0.01 per year frequency and 5 rem dose. For example, the report could state that for events with frequencies near the 0.01 per year criterion, a 95th percentile estimator will be used to estimate the frequency, unless the assessed dose is clearly below 15 mrem. This is a matter of reasonable assurance. That is, it is reasonable to require greater assurance when the potential risk is high, and risks are potentially high near the 0.01 per year - 5 rem point.

Dennis Damon

>>> Albert Wong 10/4/2006 9:24 AM >>>

If so, can you give me a ring x 7843, please? Based on what you read of the DOE reliability doc, what's the #1 top key message you would send to DOE? Tks.

Hearing Identifier: HLW_YuccaMountain_Hold_EX
Email Number: 29

Mail Envelope Properties (Albert.Wong@nrc.gov20061004142150)

Subject: Fwd: Re: Are you here today?
Sent Date: 10/4/2006 2:21:50 PM
Received Date: 10/4/2006 2:21:50 PM
From: Albert Wong

Created By: Albert.Wong@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Lee Abramson" <Lee.Abramson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Christopher Ryder" <Christopher.Ryder@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1232	10/4/2006 2:21:50 PM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: