

ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Brian T. Larson	Facility:	W3	First Date of Exam:	3/21/2008
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
9/23/2007	Exam Administration Date Confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	9.6.07	BSZ		
11/22/2007	NRC Staff & Facility Contact Assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	9.6.07	BSZ		
11/22/2007	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	9.6.07	BSZ		
11/22/2007	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	10-5-07	BSZ	produced by Chief Examiner	
12/22/2007	Reference Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.e; C.3.c)	N/A			
1/6/2008	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	1.4.08	BSZ		
1/11/2008	Outlines Reviewed & Feedback Provided (c.2.h; C.3.e)	1.15.08	BSZ	written 1.4.08 OpTest 1.15.08	
2/5/2008	Draft Exams w/References Due (C.1.e,f,g,h; C.3.d)	2.15.08	BSZ	written 2.15.08 OpTest 2.7.08	
2/20/2008	*Peer Reviewer Completes Review of Exam on ES-401-9	2.22.08	BSZ	OpTest 2.20.08 written 2.22.08	
2/20/2008	*NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	2.22.08	BSZ		
2/20/2008	*Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	2.22.08	BSZ		
2/20/2008	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.g; ES-202)	2.22.08	BSZ		
2/25/2008	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.i;C.2.g)	3.7.08	BSZ		
3/7/2008	Final Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.i;ES-202)	3.7.08	BSZ		
3/7/2008	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Exam Test	2.29.08	BSZ		
3/7/2008	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	2.29.08	BSZ		
3/14/2008	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waiver Letters Sent (ES-204; C.2.e)	3.24.08	BSZ	1 waiver	
3/14/2008	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)	4.2.08	BSZ	OpTest - 3.21.08 written 4.2.08	
3/14/2008	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	4.2.08	BSZ	produced by OLA	
3/14/2008	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	4.2.08	BSZ		
3/14/2008	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	3.22.08	BSZ		
3/21/2008	Administer Operating Exam On-Site	3.28.08	BSZ		
4/2/2008	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Received	4.9.08	BSZ		
4/5/2008	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	4.16.08	BSZ		
4/5/2008	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	4.16.08	BSZ		
4/15/2008	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	4.16.08	BSZ		
4/16/2008	NRC BC Review Completed	4.16.08	BSZ		
4/20/2008	License/Denials Mailed; Facility Notified of Results	4.16.08	BSZ		
4/20/2008	RPS/IP Number of Examinees Updated	4.23.08	BSZ	print Report-21	
5/5/2008	Examination Report Issued	5-1.08	BSZ	produced by Chief Examiner	
5/12/2008	Package Closed Out	5-1.08	BSZ		
5/12/2008	Chief QA of ADAMS and BSZ review complete	4.29.08	BSZ		
5/20/2008	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Res of Appeals	N/A			

* Indicates the due dates are more conservative than the ES-201-1 requires.
(Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1)

Facility:	Waterford 3		Date of Examination:	3/24/08		
Item	Task Description		Initials			
			a	b*	c#	
WRITTEN	a.	Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	JWA	CB	NR	
	b.	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	JWA	CB	NR	
	c.	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	JWA	CB	NR	
	d.	Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	JWA	CB	NR	
SIMULATED	a.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	JWA	CB	NR	
	b.	Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	JWA	CB	NR	
	c.	To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	JWA	CB	NR	
W/T	a.	Verify that systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	JWA	CB	NR	
	b.	Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	JWA	CB	NR	
	c.	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	JWA	CB	NR	
GENERAL	a.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	JWA	CB	NR	
	b.	Assess whether the 10CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	JWA	CB	NR	
	c.	Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	JWA	CB	NR	
	d.	Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	JWA	CB	NR	
	e.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	JWA	CB	NR	
	f.	Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	JWA	CB	NR	
a.	Author	Joseph G. Arsenault <i>Joseph G. Arsenault</i>	Printed Name / Signature	<i>Dennis Soule</i>	Date	12/15/2007
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	Horace J. Lewis <i>Horace J. Lewis</i>				11/2/2007
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON <i>Brian T. Larson</i>				1-29-08
d.	NRC Supervisor	RYAN LANTZ <i>Ryan Lantz</i>				3/20/08

NOTE: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c", chief examiner concurrence required.

Rev 3

Facility: **WATERFORD 3** Date of Exam: ~~5/24/2008~~ **4/3/08** Exam Level: RO SRO

Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	KV	EG	MR			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	KV	EG	MR			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	KV	EG	MR			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	KV	EG	MR			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	KV	EG	MR			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	KV	EG	MR			
<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Bank</th> <th>Modified</th> <th>New</th> </tr> <tr> <td>5 / 20%</td> <td>0 / 0%</td> <td>20 / 80%</td> </tr> </table>				Bank	Modified	New
Bank	Modified	New				
5 / 20%	0 / 0%	20 / 80%				
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	KV	EG	MR			
				<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Memory</th> <th>CIA</th> </tr> <tr> <td>7 / 28%</td> <td>18 / 72%</td> </tr> </table>	Memory	CIA
Memory	CIA					
7 / 28%	18 / 72%					
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	KV	EG	MR			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	KV	EG	MR			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	KV	EG	MR			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	KV	EG	MR			

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	E.K. Vines / <i>[Signature]</i>	4/2/2008
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	H.J. Lewis / <i>[Signature]</i>	4/2/2008
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON / <i>[Signature]</i>	4/3/2008
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	R. [Signature]	4/3/2008

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

Rev 3

Facility: WATERFORD 3		Date of Exam: 3/24/2008 4/3/08		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	KV	CV	ML			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	KV	CV	ML			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	KV	CV	N/A ML			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	KV	CV	ML			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	KV	CV	ML			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	KV	CV	ML
	30 / 40%	2 / 2.7%	43 / 57.3%			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		KV	CV	ML
	34 / 45.3%	41 / 54.7%				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	KV	CV	ML			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	KV	CV	ML			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	KV	CV	ML			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	KV	CV	ML			
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author	E.K. Vines / <i>[Signature]</i>		4/2/2008			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	H.J. Lewis / <i>[Signature]</i>		4/2/2008			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON / <i>[Signature]</i>		4/2/2008			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	RELANTE / <i>[Signature]</i>		4/3/2008			
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: Waterford 3		Date of Examination: 3/24/2008		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	KV			
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	KV			
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	KV			
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	KV			
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	KV			
2. Walk-Through Criteria			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	KV			
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	KV			
3. Simulator Criteria			--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		KV			
	Printed Name / Signature	Date			
a.	Author <u>E.K. Vines / </u>	<u>3/17/2008</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>H.J. Lewis / </u>	<u>3/17/2008</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>BRIAN LARSON / </u>	<u>3/20/2008</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>RELANTZ / </u>	<u>3/20/2008</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

ES-301

Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist
Exam Submittal Rev 2

Form ES-301-4

Facility: Waterford 3		Date of Exam: 03/24/2008		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No.: 1		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	KV	BR	BR				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	KV	BR	BR				
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	KV	BR	BR				
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	KV	BR	BR				
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	KV	BR	BR				
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	KV	BR	BR				
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	KV	BR	BR				
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	KV	BR	BR				
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	KV	BR	BR				
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	KV	BR	BR				
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	KV	BR	BR				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	KV	BR	BR				
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	KV	BR	BR				
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		--	--	--		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7 / 5 / 6 / 8	KV	BR	BR			
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 1 / 1 / 2	KV	BR	BR			
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 3 / 4 / 5	KV	BR	BR			
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1	KV	BR	BR			
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1	KV	BR	BR			
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 0 / 1 / 0	KV	BR	BR			
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 3 / 2	KV	BR	BR			

Facility: <u>Waterford III</u>		Date of Exam: <u>4/3/08</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	KV		BR		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented <i>No Key changes or Deletions</i>	KV		BR		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	KV		BR		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	KV		BR		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	KV		BR		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	KV		BR		
	Printed Name/Signature		Date		
a. Grader	<u>Keith Vines </u>		<u>4/9/08</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Horace J. Lewis </u>		<u>4/9/08</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>BRIAN T. LARSON </u>		<u>4/10/2008</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>RYAN LANTZ </u>		<u>4/10/2008</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2007 To 09/30/2008

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
11/16/2007	Waterford / 05000382 / 2008301 TAC #: X02382		Prep	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T.
02/25/2008	Waterford / 05000382 / 2008301 TAC #: X02382		Prep	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
03/01/2008	Waterford / 05000382 / 2008301 TAC #: X02382		Prep	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
03/24/2008	Waterford / 05000382 / 2008301 TAC #: X02382	RO - 4 SROU - 2	Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
03/29/2008	Waterford / 05000382 / 2008301 TAC #: X02382		Doc	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
 From 10/01/2007 To 09/30/2008

Page 2 of 4
 04/23/2008 09:48:00
 Report 21
 Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Date

11/2007	WAT - Waterford	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Waterford: 0
11/2007		RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 11/2007: 0
02/2008	WAT - Waterford	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Waterford: 0
02/2008		RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 02/2008: 0
03/2008	WAT - Waterford	RO - 4	SROI - 2	SROU - 2	LSRO - 0	Total for Waterford: 8

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 10/01/2007 To 09/30/2008

Page 3 of 4
04/23/2008 09:48:00
Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site

WAT - Waterford

RO - 4

SROI - 2

SROU - 2

LSRO - 0

Total for Waterford: 8

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 10/01/2007 To 09/30/2008

Page 4 of 4
04/23/2008 09:48:00
Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4

RO - 4

SROI - 2

SROU - 2

LSRO - 0

Total for Region 4: 8

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/24/08 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3/24/08 - 4/13/08. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
JOSEPH ARSENAULT	Developer	<i>Joseph Arsenault</i>	11/6/07			
DENNIS SOUPE	Developer	<i>Denis Soupe</i>	11/6/07			
Keith Vines	Exam Development Lead	<i>Keith Vines</i>	11/6/07		4/3/08	
Horace J Lewis	Facility Reviewer	<i>Horace J Lewis</i>	11/6/07		4/3/08	
BRYON LUTZKE	OPS REPRESENTATIVE	<i>Bryon Lutzke</i>	11/12/07		4/3/08	
JAMES DELCANS	SIMULATOR SUPPORT	<i>James Delcans</i>	11/28/07		4/3/08	
Roger Jones	Simulator Support	<i>Roger Jones</i>	11/27/07		4/3/08	
GLENN M'S DOUGLAS	Simulation Support	<i>Glenn M's Douglas</i>	11/29/07		4/3/08	
Thomas Woolley	Developer	<i>Thomas Woolley</i>	12-7-07			
Gilbert L. Alday	Reactor Engineering	<i>Gilbert L. Alday</i>	12/12/07		4/9/08	
BERNARD A. LAJAVIE	Reactor Engineering	<i>Bernard A. Lajavie</i>	12/17/07		4/8/08	
VICTOR COLLINS	EXAM REVIEWER	<i>Victor Collins</i>	12/17/07		4/8/08	
BRUCE R. VICTOR	Exam Validation	<i>Bruce R. Victor</i>	12/17/07		4-7-08	
IVES LACOMBE	SIM SUPPORT	<i>Ives Lacombe</i>	1/17/08			2
Ronald Bailey	Developer	<i>Ronald Bailey</i>	1/30/08		4/3/08	

NOTES: ① Separated ES-201-3 attached for out of state contractors
 ② Post Exam acknowledgment via Telecon with confirmatory email attached

Page 1 of 2
 NUREG-1021, Revision 9

WATERGAP 3 2008
ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3/21/08 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator both operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examinations administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. JAMES RESENER	Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/20/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/3/08	
2. DEANIS SOUCE	Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/20/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/3/08	
3. THOMAS WOLBY	Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	12-2-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/17/08	
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:



VINES, KEITH EDGAR

From: COBLE, BILLY N
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2008 7:47 AM
To: VINES, KEITH EDGAR
Subject: RE: NRC Exam Security

Keith,

I did comply with the W3 NRC Post-Examination requirements and give my permission to sign me off the W3 NRC Exam security agreement per this e-mail and telecon.

Bill Coble
 ANO Unit 2 OPS Training Instructor
 Office: (479) 858-6816 or 8-759-6816
 Cell: (479) 747-2026
 Home: (479) 967-2436

-----Original Message-----

From: VINES, KEITH EDGAR
Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2008 10:00 AM
To: LEWIS, HORACE J; ROHE, THOMAS A; BRIGGS, JAMES; LIETZKE, BRYON V; DEL CANO, JAMES C; MCDUGALD, GLENN M; JONES, ROGER D; ALDAY, CLINT L; WESLEY, WESLEY D; LABRY, JIM M; COLLINS, VICTOR T; VIATOR, ERNEST P; LAJAUNIE, BERNARD A; Bailey, Ronald ; GORDON, WILLIAM KIRK; WILLSON, HUEY; LITOLFF, DAVID F; CHESTER, ARTHUR K; BERRY, TRACY; JORY, DAVID S; COBLE, BILLY N; DODDS, RALPH A; Pendergrass, William H
Subject: FW: NRC Exam Security

ES-201-3 form Exam security agreement must be signed off and submitted as part of the post exam submittal package. The 30 day clock for the NRC to have licensing decisions starts when the post exam submittal is received. So it is important to get everyone on the security agreement signed off in a timely manner to get the post exam submittal package to the NRC as quickly as possible. Therefore if any one knows that they will not be available to sign off of the agreement please let me know so we can make alternative arrangements to comply with the requirements. This may be satisfied by a phone communication and/or email verifying the following; (this will occur after 4/4/2008 until 4/10/2008)

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

Actual signatures are the expectation but the above method can be substituted on a **LIMITED** basis. Such as being out of state on vacation.

Bill Pendergrass	Exam support
Al Dodds	Operations manager
Bill Coble	External Assessment ANO
David Jory	Ops Validation

VINES, KEITH EDGAR

From: DEL CANO, JAMES C
Sent: Monday, April 07, 2008 7:00 AM
To: VINES, KEITH EDGAR
Subject: FW: NRC Exam Security

-----Original Message-----

From: Yves Lacombe [mailto:yves.lacombe@thundersim.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2008 7:41 PM
To: DEL CANO, JAMES C
Subject: RE: NRC Exam Security

This message serves to affirm the statements below regarding NRC licensing exam security.

Yves Lacombe
Thunder Simulation
Saint Marys, Georgia

From: DEL CANO, JAMES C [mailto:JDELCAN@entergy.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2008 4:18 PM
To: yves.lacombe@thundersim.com
CC: VINES, KEITH EDGAR
Subject: FW: NRC Exam Security

Yves, [mailto:yves.lacombe@thundersim.com]

Please reply verifying the following:

Jim del Cano
Simulator Support
(504) 739-6153

ES-201-3 form Exam security agreement must be signed off and submitted as part of the post exam submittal package. The 30 day clock for the NRC to have licensing decisions starts when the post exam submittal is received. So it is important to get everyone on the security agreement signed off in a timely manner to get the post exam submittal package to the NRC as quickly as possible. Therefore if any one knows that they will not be available to sign off of the agreement please let me know so we can make alternative arrangements to comply with the requirements. This may be satisfied by a phone communication and/or email verifying the following; (this will occur after 4/4/2008 until 4/10/2008)

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not inspect, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

4/7/2008