
PROPOSED EXAMINATION COVER LETTER AND CHECKLISTS FOR THE BRAIDWOOD STATION INITIAL EXAMINATION

DECEMBER 2007



eze et Generalien Company, HC etailwood Station eaux South Route 53, Suite 84 86a eville, HI 60407 (1619)



Nuclear

October 1, 2007 BW070072

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352

> Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2 Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77 NRC Docket Nos. STN 50-456 and STN 50-457

Subject:

Submittal of Integrated Initial License Training Examination Materials

Braidwood Station is submitting the enclosed examination materials in support of the Initial License Examination scheduled for the weeks of December 3, 2007 and December 10, 2007. This submittal includes the Senior Reactor Operator and Reactor Operator Written Examinations, Job Performance Measures, and Integrated Plant Operation Scenario Guides. These examination materials have been developed in accordance with NUREG-1021, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards," Revision 9. Please note that reference materials are attached to each individual examination question or item.

Some minor modifications have been made to the Integrated Examination Outline with regards to the operational scenarios in order to improve balance and content. These changes improve examination quality and are in compliance with NUREG-1021, Revision 9, "Operator Licensing Examination Standards."

Some modifications or adjustments to the examination material may be required due to procedural changes.

In accordance with NUREG 1021, Revision 9, Section ES-201, please ensure that these materials are withheld from public disclosure until after the examinations are complete.

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission October 1, 2007 Page 2

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact David Gullott, Regulatory Assurance Manager at (815) 417-2800. For questions concerning examination materials, please contact Darren Stiles at (815) 458-7829.

Respectfully,

Thomas Coutu Site Vice President Braidwood Station Enclosures: (Hand delivered to Nicholas Valos, Chief Examiner, NRC Region III)

- RO/SRO Composite Examination with references attached
- Control Room Systems and Facility Walk-Through Job Performance Measures with references attached
- Administrative Topic Job Performance Measures with references attached
- Integrated Plant Operation Scenario Guides
- Completed Checklists:
 - Operating Test Quality Checklist (Form ES-301-3)
 - Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist (Form ES-301-4)
 - Transient and Event Checklist (Form ES-301-5)
 - Competencies Checklist (Form ES-301-6)
 - Written Exam Quality Checklist (Form ES-401-6)
- Examination Security Agreements (Form ES-201-3)
- Record of Rejected K/As (Form ES-401-4)

ecc: Director, Licensing
Regulatory Assurance Manager - Braidwood Station
Manager, Licensing – Braidwood, Byron and LaSalle Stations
Braidwood Nuclear Licensing Administrator
Exelon Document Control Desk Licensing (Electronic Copy)

Facility: <u>Braidwood</u> Date of Examination: <u>12/03/2007</u> Operating Test Nu	ımber:	20073	01_
1. GENERAL CRITERIA	- 1	Initials	
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with	a	D*	C#
sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	74	بح	nau
 There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. 	Sh	\mathcal{S}	MEN
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	×n	8	nav
d. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	7	8	nav
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	*	\mathcal{D}	nav
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	*	D	nav
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	4 7	8	nav
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA			
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	M	9	MEJ
a. Author Darren C Stiles / Danny R Ackerman	09/28 09/28	Date 3/2007 3/2007 19/2 0	

Facility	: Braidwood Date of Exam: 12/03/2007 Scenario Numbers: 7-1 / 7-2 / 7-3Operating	Test Numbe	r: 2007	0301					
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials	1					
		a	b*	c#					
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	1	Q	nav					
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	(8)	nav					
3.	 Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	4	Ø	hav					
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	⟨√	8	ned					
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	な	Q	nev					
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	24	D	nau					
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.								
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	84	\mathbb{Z}	nev					
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10CFR55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	4	D	nav					
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	24	\mathcal{Q}	nev					
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	R	D	nev					
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	M	D	JIAU					
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	1 Ar	Ω	1100					
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d) Actual Attributes	s							
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8) 6 / 7 / 7	9~	Q	nav					
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) 1 / 4 / 3	4	Q	nau					
3.	Abnormal events (2-4) 4 / 2 / 3	gr^	0	mov					
4.	Major transients (1-2) 1 / 1 / 1	4	\mathcal{L}_{2}^{\prime}	nav					
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	J.	\mathcal{L}	nau					
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2) 1 / 0 / 1	N/A	D	nev					
7.	Critical tasks (2-3) 3 / 3 / 2	XX.	K.O	nau					

ES-301, Page 25 of 27

ES-301 Transient and Event Checklist Form ES-301-5

Simulator Crew 1 (3 SROI candidates)

Facility: Braidwood Date of Exam: 12/03/2007 Operating Test Number: 20070301)1					
A	E V							Sc	enario	s		_					
APPLICARE	⊞>⊞Z⊢ +>₽ Ш		07-1			07-2			07-3			4		TOT		M	
Ċ		P	CREW)N	CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			A		N N M	i
T T		SRO	A T C	B O P	ØR0	A T C	B O P	SRO	A T C	ВОР	SRO	A T C	B O P	_	R	Ü M(*)	U
RO	RX	1				1				0		_	<u> </u>	2	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR	1				0				1	-			2	1	1	1
⊠ SRO-U	1/C	3			,	2				0				5	4	4	2
	MAJ	1				1				1				3	2	2	1
	TS	3				0				0				3	0	2	2
RO	RX		1				0	1						2	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR		0				1	1					<u>-</u>	2	1	1	1
⊠ SRO-U	I/C		2				0	2						4	4	4	2
	MAJ		1				0	1						2	2	2	1
	TS		0				0	2						2	0	2	2
RO □	RX			0	1				1_					2	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR			1	1				0_					2	1	1	1
⊠ SRO-U	I/C			2	2	ļ			2_		ļ			6	4	4	2
	MAJ		<u> </u>	1	1				1_				<u> </u>	3	2	2	1
	TS			0	2				0_					2	0	2	2
RO	RX			<u> </u>											1	1	0
SRO-I □	NOR													ļ	1	1	1
SRO-U	I/C		<u> </u>										ļ		4	4	2
	MAJ						<u> </u>							<u> </u>	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

- 1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Simulator Crew 2 (2 SROI candidates)

Facility:						Date	of Exar	n: 12/0	3/2007		Oper	ating T	est Nu	mber	r: 20	07030)1
A P	EV							Sc	enario	s							
P L	E>EXT TX		07-1			07-2			07-3			4		<u> </u>		M	
APPLICANT		P	CREW	, ON	P	CREW) N	P	CREW	N	P	CREW	, DN	Ā		N – M	
N T	Y P E	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	SRO	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	∭ M(*)	U
RO	RX	1				1					<u> </u>			2	1	1	0
□ SRO-I	NOR	1	<u> </u>			0								1	1	1	1
⊠ SRO-U	1/C	3				2			_					5	4	4	2
	MAJ	1			-	1					,			2	2	2	1
	TS	3				0								3	0	2	2
RO	RX		1		1				•					2	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR		0		1									1	1	1	1
⊠ SRO-U	I/C		2		2									4	4	4	2
	MAJ		1		1									2	2	2	1
	TS		0		2									2	0	2	2
RO □	RX														1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR														1	1	1
□ SRO-U	I/C														4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO □	RX														1	1	0
SRO-I □	NOR														1	1	1
SRO-U	I/C					<u> </u>									4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

- 4. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 6. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Simulator Crew 3 (1 SROI candidate and 1 RO candidate)

Facility: Braidwood Date of Exam: 12/03/2007 Operating Test Number: 2007										7030)1						
A P P	E							Sc	enario	s							
P L			07-1			07-2			07-3			4		0-		M	
L-CAZH		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			P	Ā		N H M			
N T	T Y P E	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	SRO	A T C	B O P	SRO.	A T C	ВОР		R	ΰ Μ(*)	U
RO	RX		1		_			1						2	1	1	0
□ SRO-I	NOR		0					1						1	1	1	1
⊠ SRO-U	I/C		2		-	-		2						4	4	4	2
	MAJ		1					1						2	2	2	1
	TS		0					2						2	0	2	2
RO ⊠ SRO-I	RX			0					1					1	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR			1					0					1	1	1	1
SRO-U	I/C			2					2					4	4	4	2
	MAJ			1					1					2	2	2	1
	TS			0					0					0	0	2	2
RO	RX			<u> </u>	-										1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR I/C				-										1	1	1
SRO-U	MAJ													<u> </u>	4	4	2
	TS													<u> </u>	2	2	1
RO	RX														0	2	2
	NOR			<u> </u>											1	1	0
SRO-I	I/C			\ 	-									-	1	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ													_	2	2	1
	TS												<u> </u>		0	2	2
	<u> </u>			[L					<u></u>					Ü		

Instructions:

- 7. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 9. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Braidwood		Date of Examination: 12/03/2007									Operating Test No.: 2007301							
							AF	PLI	CAN	ΓS								
Competencies	S	RO BRO- BRO-		3	RO ⊠ SRO-I □ SRO-U □				RO SRO-I SRO-U				RO SRO-I SRO-U]]]		
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO					
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Interpret/ Diagnose Events and Conditions	2-7	3-10	3-7		2, 5-7	_	3-6											
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-7	1-10	1-7		1, 2, 5-		2-6											
Operate Control Boards (2)	1-7	1-10	1-7		1, 2, 5- 7		2-6											
Communicate and Interact	1-7	1-10	1-7		1, 2, 5- 7		2-7											
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-7	1-10	1-7		N/A		N/A											
Comply With and Use Tech Specs. (3)	2, 4	3-5	3, 4		N/A		N/A											

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Check the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facili	ity: Braidwood	Date of Exam: 12	2/03/2007		E	Exam Level	l: RO	⊠ SRC	o ⊠
								Initial	
		Item Description					а	b*	c#
1,		re technically accurate and appli	icable to the	facility.			4	Q	nav
2.	a. NRC K/As are reference	•					ZM		
		ives are referenced as available					~	10	RAV
3.		priate in accordance with Section					27	8	nav
4.		s random and systematic (If mor st 2 NRC licensing exam, consu					Q٨	Ø	nev
5.	Question duplication from as indicated below (check the audit exam was system the audit exam was conthe examinations were the licensee certifies the other (explain)	·OM	8	nav					
6.	Bank use meets limits (no from the bank, at least 10 per new or modified); enter the question distribution(s) at r	percent new, and the rest e actual RO / SRO-only	10/5 714	Modi نر 4/		New 94.3 61/20 62/21	2	D	nau
7.	exam are written at the cor the SRO exam may exceed	nt of the questions on the RO mprehension /analysis level; at 60 percent if the randomly higher cognitive levels; enter tion distribution(s) at right.	32 / 8			C/A 43 / 17	$\Delta^{\mathcal{N}}$	19	nav
8.	References/handouts prov or aid in the elimination of	vided do not give away answers distractors.					W	D	nev
9.		s with specific K/A statements in appropriate for the Tier to which					A ⁿ	D	nev
10.	Question psychometric qua	ality and format meet the guideli	lines in ES Ar	ppendi	х В.		M	19	nav
11.		quired number of one-point, mult ees with value on cover sheet	tiple choice it	tems;			a	0	nav
		Print	ted Name / St	gnatur	е			Dí	ate
c. NR(thor cility Reviewer (*) C Chief Examiner (#) C Regional Supervisor	Darren C Stiles / Danny R Ackerman / Constitution of Micheles A. Valos/ Micheles A. Miche	Leng (I dos		- Av		09/28 09/28 10/3	8/07
Note:		nitials/signature are not applicab		-					