

PMBelCOL PEmails

From: Ray, Phillip M [pmray@tva.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2008 12:48 PM
To: Joseph Sebrosky
Subject: BLN Letter
Attachments: document2008-01-14-124258.pdf

Joe,

Attached is the TVA letter to conform the BLN application to the recent changes to the DCD rev 16 and TR 134.

Phillip M. Ray

Bellefonte Licensing Manager
Nuclear Generation Development
Tennessee Valley Authority
423-751-7030

Hearing Identifier: Bellefonte_COL_Public_EX
Email Number: 44

Mail Envelope Properties (C4A4C9A16294FB4CBA5A36312D05FFAC034CBD1315)

Subject: BLN Letter
Sent Date: 1/14/2008 12:47:52 PM
Received Date: 1/14/2008 12:47:52 PM
From: Ray, Phillip M

Created By: pmray@tva.gov

Recipients:
"Joseph Sebrosky" <Joseph.Sebrosky@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 241 | 1/14/2008 12:47:52 PM |
| document2008-01-14-124258.pdf | | 415928 |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Mr. R. William Borchardt
Page 2
January 14, 2008

As a result of Westinghouse's changes to the DCD contained in the above referenced letters from Westinghouse Electric Corporation to the NRC, TVA is providing the enclosed information to address conforming changes to the Bellefonte application in support of the ongoing acceptance review.

TVA commits to incorporate in a future amendment to the Bellefonte application all changes indicated in Enclosures 1, 2, and 3. If there are any questions concerning these changes, please contact Phillip Ray at (423) 751-7030.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 14th day of Jan, 2008.



Jack A. Bailey
Vice President, Nuclear Generation
Development

Enclosures (3)

cc (Enclosures):

Mr. Thomas A. Bergman
Division of New Reactor Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Two White Flint North, 6 F27
11545 Rockville Pike
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Michael P. Cazaubon
NuStart Project Manager
Manager, Project Development
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
200 Exelon Way
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348

Mr. R. William Borchardt
Page 3
January 14, 2008

Mr. Ronald B. Clary
General Manager, New Nuclear Development
South Carolina Electric and Gas Company
P.O. Box 88, MC P40
Jenkinsville, South Carolina 29065

Ms. Stephanie M. Coffin
Office of New Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Two White Flint North, 7 E18
11545 Rockville Pike
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Steve Frantz
Morgan Lewis Bockius
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Martin W. Gettler
Vice President, Nuclear Projects
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Mr. Richard Grumbir
NuStart AP1000 Project Manager
4205 Willow Bend Court, SE
Decatur, Alabama 35603

Mr. Peter Hastings
AP1000 DCWG Lead
Licensing Manager, Nuclear Plant Development
Duke Energy
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. Paul Hinnenkamp
Vice President, Business Development
Entergy New Nuclear Development, LLC
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Mr. R. William Borchardt
Page 4
January 14, 2008

Mr. Gary Holahan
Office of New Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Two White Flint North, 6 F15
11545 Rockville Pike
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Robert Kitchen
Manager, Nuclear Plant Licensing
Progress Energy
100 East Davie Street, TPP 15
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Ms. Marilyn C. Kray
NuStart President
Vice President, Project Development
Exelon Generation Company, LLC
200 Exelon Way, KSA 3-N
Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348

Mr. David Matthews
Division of New Reactor Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Two White Flint North, 6 F27
11545 Rockville Pike
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Victor McCree
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Ms. Eileen McKenna
Office of New Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Two White Flint North, 7 E18
11545 Rockville Pike
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. R. William Borchardt

Page 5

January 14, 2008

Mr. Garry Dale Miller
General Manager, Nuclear Plant Development
Progress Energy
100 East Davie Street, TPP 15
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Charles Pierce
Southern Nuclear
40 Inverness Center Parkway
Birmingham, Alabama 35242

Mr. Loren Plisco
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. Richard Reister
Nuclear Power 2010 Program Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
NE-31, GTN
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Joseph M. Sebrosky
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Two White Flint North, E3 D2M
11545 Rockville Pike
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Georges Serviere
Director, EDF INA
Electricite De France International North America, Inc.
1300 Eye Street, NW, Suite 305
Washington, DC 20005

Mr. R. William Borchardt
Page 6
January 14, 2008

Ms. Martha Shields
Federal NuStart Project Director
U.S. Department of Energy
NE-31, GTN
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585

Ms. Rebecca Smith-Kevern
Office of Light Water Reactor Deployment
U.S. Department of Energy
NE-31, GTN
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Dennis Spurgeon
Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy
U.S. Department of Energy
NE-1, FORS
1000 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20585

Mr. George Zinke
NuStart Licensing Lead
Entergy New Nuclear Development, LLC
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Mr. R. William Borchardt

Page 7

January 14, 2008

cc (Enclosures):

G. Arent, EQB 1A-WBN

A. S. Bhatnagar, LP 6A-C

S. A. Vance, WT 6A-K

E. J. Vigluicci, WT 6A-K

EDMS, WT CA-K (Enclosures)

Enclosure 1

Bellefonte Units 3 & 4 Combined License Application Changes to Part 2 (FSAR), Chapter 3

Background

During discussions associated with the NRC Staff's acceptance review of the AP1000 Design Certification Document (DCD) amendment (for Revision 16), the Staff requested clarification regarding the piping Design Acceptance Criteria (DAC) information. Westinghouse has provided information to revise the DCD amendment request to address the NRC questions reflected in References 2, 4, and 5 (as identified in the cover letter for this enclosure). The changes to the application Chapter 3 provided in this enclosure are appropriate changes to bring the Bellefonte application into conformance with the revised DCD amendment request. Additionally, changes to the application Part 10 reflect the post-license activities necessary for the holder to complete the revised COL items.

Description of Change

The change to the application Part 2 (FSAR), Section 3.6.4.1 (Combined License Information), Section 3.9.8.2 (Combined License Information), and to the application Part 10 (proposed license condition #2) as indicated in the redline/strikeout markup below, will be incorporated in a future revision of the Bellefonte application. These changes reflect the additions to the combined license information items added to the corresponding sections of the DCD.

Part 2, FSAR 3.6.4.1 will be revised to read:

3.6.4.1 Pipe Break Hazards Analysis

Replace the last paragraph in DCD Subsection 3.6.4.1 with the following text.

The pipe whip restraint design and an as-designed pipe break hazards analysis will be completed in accordance with the criteria outlined in subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5. The as-designed pipe rupture hazard analysis including break locations based on as-designed pipe analysis will be documented in an as-designed Pipe Rupture Hazards Analysis Report. The design, analysis and the report will be completed prior to fuel load.

A pipe rupture hazard analysis is part of the piping design. It is used to identify postulated break locations and layout changes, support design, whip restraint design, and jet shield design. The final design for these activities will be completed prior to fabrication and installation of the piping and connected components. The as-built reconciliation of the pipe break hazards analysis in accordance with the criteria outlined in subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5 will be completed prior to fuel load.

Enclosure 1 (continued)

Part 2, FSAR Section 3.9.8.2 will be revised to read:

3.9.8.2 Design Specifications and Reports

Add the following text after the second paragraph in DCD Subsection 3.9.8.2.

The design specifications and as-designed design reports prepared for major ASME Section III components and ASME Code, Section III piping will be available for NRC audit prior to fuel load.

The design specifications prepared for ASME Section III auxiliary components and valves will be available for NRC audit prior to fuel load.

Reconciliation of the as-built piping (verification of the thermal cycling and stratification loading considered in the stress analysis discussed in DCD Subsection 3.9.3.1.2) is completed after the construction of the piping systems and prior to fuel load.

Enclosure 1 (continued)

The application Part 10, proposed license condition #2 (COL Holder Items) for COL item 3.6-1 will be revised to read:

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| 3.6-1 | Pipe Break Hazards Analysis | 3.6.4.1 | Prior to initial fuel load |
| <p>After a Combined License is issued, the following activity will be completed by the COL holder:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="305 527 1406 726">1) <u>Combined License holders referencing the AP1000 design will complete the pipe whip restraint design and complete an as-designed pipe break hazards analysis in accordance with the criteria outlined in subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5. The as-designed pipe rupture hazard analysis including break locations based on as-designed pipe analysis will be documented in an as-designed Pipe Rupture Hazards Analysis Report.</u> <li data-bbox="305 762 1406 989">2) A pipe rupture hazard analysis is part of the piping design. It is used to identify postulated break locations and layout changes, support design, whip restraint design, and jet shield design. The final design for these activities will be completed prior to fabrication and installation of the piping and connected components. The as-built reconciliation of the pipe break hazards analysis in accordance with the criteria outlined in subsections 3.6.1.3.2 and 3.6.2.5 will be completed prior to fuel load. | | | |

The application Part 10, proposed license condition #2 (COL Holder Items) for COL item 3.9-2 will be revised to read:

| | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| 3.9-2 | Design Specification and Reports | 3.9.8.2 | Prior to initial fuel load |
| <p>After a Combined License is issued, the following activities are completed by the COL holder:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="248 1337 1406 1436">1) <u>A Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 design will have available for NRC audit the design specifications and as-designed design reports prepared for major ASME Section III components and ASME Code, Section III piping.</u> <li data-bbox="248 1472 1406 1570">2) <u>A Combined License holder referencing the AP1000 design will have available for NRC audit the design specifications prepared for ASME Section III auxiliary components and valves.</u> <li data-bbox="248 1606 1406 1705">3) Reconciliation of the as-built piping (verification of the thermal cycling and stratification loading considered in the stress analysis discussed in subsection 3.9.3.1.2) is completed by the COL holder after the construction of the piping systems and prior to fuel load. | | | |

Enclosure 2

Bellefonte Units 3 & 4 Combined License Application Changes to Part 2 (FSAR), Chapter 6

Background

During discussions associated with the NRC Staff's acceptance review of the AP1000 Design Certification Document (DCD) amendment (for Revision 16), the Staff requested clarification regarding the Containment Recirculation and Incontainment Refueling Water Storage Tank (IRWST) screen designs. Westinghouse has provided information to revise the DCD amendment request to address the NRC questions reflected in References 1 and 4 (as identified in the cover letter for this enclosure). The changes to the application Chapter 6 provided in this enclosure are appropriate changes to bring the Bellefonte application into conformance with the revised DCD amendment request. Additionally, changes to the application Part 10 reflect the post-license activity necessary for the holder to complete the revised COL item.

Description of Change

The change to the application Part 2 (FSAR), Section 6.3.8 (Combined License Information), and to the application Part 10 (proposed license condition #2) as indicated in the redline/strikeout markup below, will be incorporated in a future revision of the Bellefonte application. These changes reflect the additions to the combined license information items added to the corresponding section of the DCD.

Part 2, FSAR Section 1.8, Table 1.8-202 will be revised to add new COL item 6.3-2 to read:

| | | | | |
|-------|---|---------|---------|---|
| 6.3-2 | <u>Verification of Water Sources for Long-Term Recirculation Cooling Following a LOCA</u> | 6.3.8.2 | 6.3.8.2 | H |
|-------|---|---------|---------|---|

Part 2, FSAR Section 6.3.8 will be revised to add new section 6.3.8.2 to read:

6.3.8.2 Verification of Water Sources for Long-Term Recirculation Cooling Following a LOCA

Insert the following information between the first and second paragraphs of DCD Subsection 6.3.8.2.

An assessment of the acceptability of the screen performance will be provided by performing testing and analysis of the screens. Downstream effects will be assessed to confirm the coolability of the core. The testing, analysis, and assessments will be completed prior to fuel load.

Enclosure 2 (continued)

The application Part 10, proposed license condition #2 (COL Holder Items) will be revised to include an additional COL holder item 6.3-2, to read:

| | | | |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| <u>6.3-2</u> | <u>Verification of Water Sources for Long-Term Recirculation Cooling Following a LOCA</u> | <u>6.3.8.2</u> | <u>Prior to initial fuel load</u> |
| <p>After a Combined License is issued, the following activities are completed by the COL holder: <u>The combined license holder referencing the AP1000 design will provide an assessment of the acceptability of the screen performance by performing testing and analysis of the screens. Downstream effects will be assessed to confirm the coolability of the core.</u></p> | | | |

Enclosure 3

Bellefonte Units 3 & 4 Combined License Application Changes to Part 4 (Technical Specifications)

Background

During discussions associated with the NRC Staff's acceptance review of the AP1000 Design Certification Document (DCD) amendment (for Revision 16), the Staff requested clarification regarding the availability of supporting information for several instrumentation completion times and surveillance test frequencies. Westinghouse has provided information to revise the DCD amendment request to address the NRC questions reflected in References 3 and 4 (as identified in the cover letter for this enclosure). The changes to the application Part 4 provided in this enclosure are appropriate changes to bring the Bellefonte combined license application into conformance with the revised DCD amendment request.

Description of Change

The change to the application Part 4 (Technical Specifications), Specification 3.3.1 (RTS Instrumentation) and Specification 3.3.2 (ESFAS Instrumentation), as indicated in the identified changes below, will be incorporated in a future revision of the Bellefonte application. These changes reflect the additions to the combined license information items added to the corresponding section of the DCD.

Part 4, Section A.1 will be revised to include new paragraphs as identified below:

- GTS 3.3.1 Specification 3.3.1 {3.3.2} contains several Required Action Completion
{GTS 3.3.2} Times and Surveillance Frequencies which require further justification. Determination of available justification for such Required Action Completion Times and Surveillance Frequencies cannot be determined until the specific instrumentation is chosen which may not occur until after the COL has been issued. Therefore, a license condition is proposed in Part 10 of this application to require an amendment to be promptly submitted once the instrumentation is chosen and the data availability is determined to support the choice of Required Action Completion Times and Surveillance Frequencies. The amendment will provide the plant specific Required Action Completion Times and Surveillance Frequencies to replace the bracketed values.

Enclosure 3 (continued)

Part 4, Section B, Technical Specification 3.3.1 will be revised as identified below:

Required Action D.1.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action D.1.3 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action D.2.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action E.1.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action E.1.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action F.1.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[2] hours”
Required Action F.1.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[2] hours”
Required Action K.1.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action K.1.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action L.1.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action L.1.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action N.2.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[7] hours”
Required Action N.2.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[7] hours”
Required Action R.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[48] hours”
Required Action R.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[49] hours”
Surveillance Requirement 3.3.1.6 – Revise Frequency to read “[92] days”

Part 4, Section B, Technical Specification 3.3.2 will be revised as identified below:

Required Action B.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action B.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action C.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action I.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action I.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[6] hours”
Required Action J.2.1 – Revise Completion Time to read “[7] hours”
Required Action J.2.2 – Revise Completion Time to read “[7] hours”
Surveillance Requirement 3.3.2.5 – Revise Frequency to read “[92] days”

Part 4, Section B, Technical Specifications Bases 3.3.1 will be revised as identified below:

Actions D.1.1, D.1.2, D.1.3, D.2.1, D.2.2, and D.3
– Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:
With one or two channels inoperable, one affected channel must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [6] hours.
– Revise last sentence of the second paragraph to read:
The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [7].
– Revise first sentence of the fourth paragraph to read:
As an alternative to reducing power, the inoperable channel(s) can be placed in the bypassed or tripped condition within [6] hours and the QPTR monitored every 12 hours as per SR 3.2.4.2, QPTR verification.

Enclosure 3 (continued)

Actions E.1.1, E.1.2, and E.2

- Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:
With one or two channels inoperable, one affected channel must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [6] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the second paragraph to read:
The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [7].
- Revise last two sentences of the third paragraph to read:
An additional [6] hours is allowed to place the unit in MODE 3. [Six] hours is a reasonable time, based on operating experience, to reach MODE 3 from full power in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems.

Actions F.1.1, F.1.2, F.2, and F.3

- Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:
With one or two channels inoperable, one affected channel must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [2] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the second paragraph to read:
The [2] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [7].

Actions K.1.1, K.1.2, and K.2

- Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:
With one or two channels inoperable, one affected channel must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [6] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the second paragraph to read:
The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [7].

Actions L.1.1, L.1.2, and L.2

- Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:
With one or two channels inoperable, one affected channel must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [6] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the second paragraph to read:
The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [7].

Enclosure 3 (continued)

Actions N.1, N.2.1, N.2.2, and N.3

– Revise second sentence of the first paragraph to read:

With one or two channels inoperable, the associated interlock must be verified to be in its required state for the existing plant condition within 1 hour, or the Functions associated with inoperable interlocks placed in a bypassed or tripped condition within [7] hours, or the unit must be placed in MODE 3 within [13] hours.

– Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:

If one interlock channel is inoperable, the associated Function(s) must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [7] hours.

– Revise last sentence of the third paragraph to read:

The [7] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [7].

Actions R.1 and R.2

– Revise third sentence of the first paragraph to read:

With one or two of the source range channels inoperable, [48] hours is allowed to restore three of the four channels to an OPERABLE status.

– Revise last sentence of the first paragraph to read:

The allowance of 48 hours to restore the channel to OPERABLE status, and the additional hour to open the RTBs, are justified in Reference [7].

SR 3.3.1.6

– Revise first paragraph to read:

SR 3.3.1.6 is the performance of a REACTOR TRIP CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST (RTCOT) every [92] days.

– Revise first sentence of the eighth paragraph to read:

This test frequency of [92] days is justified based on Reference [7] and the use of continuous diagnostic test features, such as deadman timers, cross-check of redundant channels, memory checks, numeric coprocessor checks, and tests of timers, counters and crystal time bases, which will report a failure within the protection and safety monitoring system cabinets to the operator within 10 minutes of a detectable failure.

REFERENCES

– Revise Reference 7 to read:

7. [WCAP-10271-P-A (Proprietary) and WCAP-10272-A (Non-Proprietary), "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out-of-Service Times for the Reactor Protection Instrumentation System," Supplement 2, Revision 1, June 1990.]

Enclosure 3 (continued)

Part 4, Section B, Technical Specifications Bases 3.3.2 will be revised as identified below:

Actions B.1 and B.2

- Revise first sentence of the paragraph to read:
With one or two channels or divisions inoperable, one affected channel or division must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [6] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the paragraph to read:
The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) or division(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [6].

Action C.1

- Revise first two sentences of the paragraph to read:
With one channel inoperable, the affected channel must be placed in a bypass condition within [6] hours. The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel in the bypass condition is justified in Reference [6].

Actions I.1 and I.2

- Revise second sentence of the paragraph to read:
With one or two channels inoperable, one affected channel must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [6] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the paragraph to read:
The [6] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [6].

Actions J.1 and J.2

- Revise second sentence of the first paragraph to read:
With one or two required channel(s) inoperable, the associated interlock must be verified to be in its required state for the existing plant condition within 1 hour, or any Function channels associated with inoperable interlocks placed in a bypassed condition within [7] hours.
- Revise first sentence of the second paragraph to read:
If one interlock channel is inoperable, the associated Function(s) must be placed in a bypass or trip condition within [7] hours.
- Revise last sentence of the last paragraph to read:
The [7] hours allowed to place the inoperable channel(s) in the bypassed or tripped condition is justified in Reference [6].

SR 3.3.2.5

- Revise first paragraph to read:
SR 3.3.2.5 is the performance of an CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST (COT) every [92] days.
- Revise first sentence of the eighth paragraph to read:
The [92] day Frequency is based on Reference [6] and the use of continuous diagnostic test features, such as deadman timers, cross-check of redundant channels, memory checks, numeric coprocessor checks, and tests of timers, counters and crystal time bases, which will report a failure within the integrated protection cabinets to the operator.

Enclosure 3 (continued)

REFERENCES

– Revise Reference 6 to read:

6. [WCAP-10271-P-A (Proprietary) and WCAP-10272-A (Non-Proprietary), Supplement 2, Rev. 1, "Evaluation of Surveillance Frequencies and Out-of-Service Times for the Reactor Protection Instrumentation System," dated June 1990.]

Part 10, Proposed License Condition 9, Generic Technical Specification Completion, will be revised to include two new sub-items as identified below:

B.1. GTS 3.3.1 The licensee shall submit a license amendment application following selection of the plant specific instrumentation and completion of a plant-specific setpoint study to replace any unconfirmed values in Table 3.3.1-1 and in Notes 1 and 2 and to remove the Reviewer Note.

B.2. GTS 3.3.1 The licensee shall submit a license amendment application following the choice of instrumentation and determination of the data availability to support a plant-specific Required Action Completion Times and Surveillance Frequencies.

C.1. GTS 3.3.2 The licensee shall submit a license amendment application following selection of the plant specific instrumentation and completion of a plant-specific setpoint study to replace any unconfirmed values in Table 3.3.2-1 and to remove the Reviewer Note.

C.2. GTS 3.3.2 The licensee shall submit a license amendment application following the choice of instrumentation and determination of the data availability to support a plant-specific Required Action Completion Times and Surveillance Frequencies.