

September 5, 2006

NOTE TO: Mike Murphy
Chief Examiner, Operations Branch

FROM: Vincent Gaddy, Chief
Operations Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

SUBJECT: EXAMINATION ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned as Chief of the River Bend Station examination. The operating test has been scheduled to be completed by June 22, 2007. Thank you for contacting the River Bend Station facility to finalize the details of the examination. You are reminded that the RPS/IP system must be maintained to ensure that the examiners and numbers of candidates are accurate. In addition, you are reminded that only qualified examiners are permitted to conduct any part of the examination.

Vincent Gaddy 9/5/06
Vincent Gaddy, Chief
Operations Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

CHIEF:	Mike Murphy	FACILITY:	River Bend Station	DATE of OPERATING EXAM:	6/11/07
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
12/13/06	Exam Administration Date Confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	1/24/07	JM		
2/11/07	NRC Staff & Facility Contact Assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	2/9/07	JM		
2/11/07	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	2/12/07	JM		
2/11/07	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	3/2/07	JM	produced by Chief Examiner	
3/13/07	Reference Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.e; C.3.c)	2/13/07	JM		
3/28/07	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	3/27/07	JM		
4/2/07	Outlines Review & NRC Feedback Provided (c.2.h; C.3.e)	3/30/07	JM		
4/27/07	Draft Exams w/References Due (C.1.e,f,g,h; C.3.d)	4/26/07	JM		
5/12/07	*Peer Reviewer Completes Review of Exam on ES-401-9	3/15/07	JM		
5/12/07	*NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	N/A	N/A	NRC AUTHORED EXAM	
5/12/07	*Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	5/25/07	JM		
5/12/07	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.g; ES-202)	5/9/07	JM		
5/17/07	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.i;C.2.g)	5/11/07	JM		
5/28/07	Final Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.i;ES-202)	5/31/07	JM		
5/28/07	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Exam	5/25/07	JM		
5/28/07	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	6/15/07	JM		
6/4/07	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waiver Letters Sent (ES-204; C.2.e)	6/1/07	JM		
6/4/07	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)	6/2/07	AT		
6/4/07	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	6/4/07	JM	produced by OLA	
6/4/07	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	6/7/07	JM		
6/4/07	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	6/4/07	JM		
6/11/07	Administer Operating Exam On-Site	6/11/07	JM		
6/23/07	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Received	6/15/07	JM		
6/26/07	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	6/25/07	SM	See Note ①	
6/26/07	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	6/25/07	SM		
7/6/07	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	6/25/07	SM		
7/7/07	NRC BC Review Completed	6/28/07	AT		
7/11/07	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	7/28/07	JM		
7/11/07	RPS/IP Number of Examinees Updated	7/28/07	JM	print Report-21	
7/26/07	Examination Report Issued	7/28/07	JM	produced by Chief Examiner	
8/2/07	Package Closed Out	8/1/07	GM		
8/2/07	Chief QA of ADAMS and SISF review complete	8/1/07	GM		

* Indicates the due dates are more conservative than the ES-201-1 requires.




① Temporary Chief Examiner acting for M. Murphy

Facility: <u>River Bend</u>		Date of Examination: <u>6/12 - 6/22/07</u>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	①		SL
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	①		SL
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	①		SL
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	①		SL
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	⑧	DK	DM
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	⑧	DK	DM
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	⑧	DK	DM
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	⑧	DK	DM
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	⑧	DK	DM
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	⑧	DK	DM
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	⑧	DK	DM
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	⑧	DK	DM
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	⑧	DK	DM
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	⑧	DK	DM
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	⑧	DK	DM
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	⑧	DK	DM
a. Author	<u>John Fralick</u>	Printed Name/Signature		Date
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Donald Chase</u>			<u>4/26/07</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>M. E. MURPHY</u>			<u>5/30/07</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>A.T. GODY</u>			<u>6/1/07</u>
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				


① EXAMINATION DEVELOPED BY G. APGER FOR INITIAL QUALIFICATIONS AND INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWED BY B. LARSON. AT

Facility: River Bend Station Date of Exam: 11 June 2007 Exam Level: RO/SRO

Item Description	Initial		
	a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility	GWA	M/A	BSL
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available	GWA	"	BSL
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	GWA	"	BSL
4. If more than four RO or two SRO questions are repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams, the facility licensee's sampling process was random and systematic.	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Question duplication from the licensee screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below and appears appropriate (check item that applies): <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	GWA	"	BSL
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO/SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New
	1/0	0/0	74/25
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO/SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A	
	31/0	44/25	
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distracters.	GWA	"	BSL
9. Question content conforms to specific K/A statements in the previously approved outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	GWA	"	BSL
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	GWA	"	BSL
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	GWA	"	BSL

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	Gabriel Apger (RIV, NRC)  (GWA)	3/1/07
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	N/A	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	M.E. Murphy 	5/31/07
d. NRC Regional supervisor	A.T. Gody 	6/1/07

Note: * The facility reviewers initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required

* INITIAL QUALIFICATION EXAM FOR GABRIEL APGER 

Facility: <u>River Bend</u>		Date of Examination: <u>6/12-6/22/07</u> Operating Test Number:		
1. General Criteria		Initials		
		a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
2. Walk-Through Criteria		-	-	-
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
3. Simulator Criteria		-	-	-
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		<u>S</u>	<u>DAC</u>	<u>JOM</u>
	Printed Name / Signature	Date		
a.	Author <u>John Fratlick / [Signature]</u>	<u>4/26/07</u>		
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>Donald Chase / [Signature]</u>	<u>4/27/07</u>		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>M. E. MURPHY / [Signature]</u>	<u>5/30/07</u>		
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>A.T. Gody / [Signature]</u>	<u>6/2/07</u>		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

6/12-6/22/07

Facility: <u>River Bend</u>		Date of Exam:	Scenario Numbers: <u>1/2/3</u> Operating Test No.:		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	8	DAC	JOM	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	8	DAC	JOM	
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	8	DAC	JOM	
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	8	DAC	JOM	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	8	DAC	JOM	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	8	DAC	JOM	
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	NA	N/A	JOM	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	8	DAC	JOM	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	8	DAC	JOM	
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	8	DAC	JOM	
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	8	DAC	JOM	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	8	DAC	JOM	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	8	DAC	JOM	
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes	-	-	-
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6 15 5	8	DAC	JOM
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 1 1 2	8	DAC	JOM
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 1A 4	8	DAC	JOM
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2 2 2	8	DAC	JOM
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	3 3 3	8	DAC	JOM
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 1 1 2	8	DAC	JOM
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 2 1	8	DAC	JOM

6/12-6/22/07

Facility: <u>River Bend</u>		Date of Exam:	Scenario Numbers: <u>4 / /</u>	Operating Test No.:			
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b*	c#		
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	3	OK	JOM			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	3	OK	JOM			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	3	OK	JOM			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	3	OK	JOM			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	3	OK	JOM			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	3	OK	JOM			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	NA	N/A	N/A			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	3	OK	JOM			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	3	OK	JOM			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	3	OK	JOM			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	3	OK	JOM			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	3	OK	JOM			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	3	OK	JOM			
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes					
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6	1	1	3	OK	JOM
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1	1	1	3	OK	JOM
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2	1	1	3	OK	JOM
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2	1	1	3	OK	JOM
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	4	1	1	3	OK	JOM
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1	1	1	3	OK	JOM
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3	1	1	3	OK	JOM


Facility: <u>River Bend</u>		Date of Exam: <u>6/12 - 6/22/07</u>									Operating Test No.:						
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		1			2			3			4						
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RX													1	1	0	
	NOR													1	1	1	
	I/C	6			5			5			6			4	4	2	
	MAJ	2			2			2			2			2	2	1	
	TS	1			2			1			1			0	2	2	
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1			1			1			1		1	1	0	
	NOR		1			1			1			1		1	1	1	
	I/C		3			4			4			2		4	4	2	
	MAJ		2			2			2			2		2	2	1	
	TS													0	2	2	
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX													1	1	0	
	NOR			1			1			1			1	1	1	1	
	I/C			3			4			4			5	4	4	2	
	MAJ			2			2			2			2	2	2	1	
	TS													0	2	2	
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX													1	1	0	
	NOR													1	1	1	
	I/C													4	4	2	
	MAJ													2	2	1	
	TS													0	2	2	





Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

The ES-301-6 Competencies Checklist was lost following completion of this exam.

Since the checklist was completed during the development of the operating examination, and the operating exam met the NRC requirements, the checklist can be reproduced by reviewing the final submittal of the simulator operating exam in ADAMS.

 APR 17 4/17/2008
Operations Engineer, RIV, NRC

Facility: <u>RIVER BEND</u>		Date of Exam: <u>6/11/07</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	Y	Amo	Jusul		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	Y	Amo	Jusul		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	Y	Amo	Jusul		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	578 NA Y	6/13/07 Amo	Jusul		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	Y	Amo	Jusul		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	Y	Amo	Jusul		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>John Fralick</u> 			<u>6/13/07</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Angela M. Orgeron</u> 			<u>6/13/07</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Walter R. ...</u> 			<u>6/25/07</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>A.T. Gody</u> 			<u>6/28/07</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Examination Security Agreement

ES-201

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/11-22/07 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/11-22/07. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE
John Fralick	Instructor / Exam Developer	[Signature]	2/6/07	[Signature]	6/15/07
Rob Blaxey	Instructor	[Signature]	2/15/07	[Signature]	6/15/07
Brian [unclear]	Instructor	[Signature]	2-15-07	[Signature]	6-19-07
Louis F Brown	NCO	[Signature]	2-23-07	[Signature]	05/4 6/17
Jeff Reynolds	NCO	[Signature]	2-23-07	[Signature]	588 7-12-7
Donald Chase	Instructor	[Signature]	3-29-07	[Signature]	6/19/07
Halle Tesfay	Simulator Sim. Engineer	[Signature]	4-9-07	[Signature]	6/15/07
Gary Degraw	Supervisor Simulator Support	[Signature]	4/9/07	[Signature]	6/15/2007
John Lee	Simulator SW Engr	[Signature]	7/10/07	[Signature]	6/19/07
Daryl J. Ballmer	Sim Support	[Signature]	4-11-7	[Signature]	6/19/07
STEPHANIE PERKINSON	ADMIN SPECIALIST	[Signature]	4-11-07	[Signature]	6/17/07
J. GILYAN BERGERON	ADMIN SPEC	[Signature]	4-16/07	[Signature]	6/26/07
GARY W HENRY	NCO	[Signature]	4-16-7	[Signature]	6/16-7
Franck J. McLead	NCO	[Signature]	4-16-7	[Signature]	7-5-7
TERRY L. WYMORE	CRS	[Signature]	4-16-7	[Signature]	7-5-7

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/11 - 6/17/07 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 6/11/07. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Timothy C. Manry	NCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/17/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/16/2007	
2. Scott A. Dallas	NCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/17/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/5/07	
3. Jeff Reynolds	NCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	4-18-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-12-07	
4. John Hodgepeth	Ops Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-21-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-12-07	
5. L. A. HORRAN	ERS	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-22-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-27-07	
6. D. L. Hornor	Ops NCO	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-22-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-27-07	
7. Norman H. Guidry	DE/CMG	<i>[Signature]</i>	5-19-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-27-07	
8. John East	Ops Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/1/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/15/07	
9. Mike Wagner	Ops TUG SPU.	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/11/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/15/07	
10. Angela Orger	Ops Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/12/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/15/07	
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES:

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 05/21/2007 To 06/11/2007

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
04/01/2007	River Bend / 05000458 / 2007003 Procedure #: 7111111Q				ALTER, PETER J.	ALTER, PETER J. MILLER, MICHAEL O.
05/21/2007	River Bend / 05000458 / 2007301 TAC #: X02348	RO - 8 SROU - 2	Prep	NNN	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
06/11/2007	River Bend / 05000458 / 2007301 TAC #: X02348	RO - 8 SROU - 2	Admin	NNN	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 05/21/2007 To 06/11/2007

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Date

04/2007 RBS - River Bend

04/2007

05/2007 RBS - River Bend

RO - 8

SROU - 2

LSRO - 0

Total for River Bend: 18

05/2007

RO - 8

SROU - 2

LSRO - 0

Total for 05/2007: 18

06/2007 RBS - River Bend

RO - 8

SROU - 2

LSRO - 0

Total for River Bend: 18

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 05/21/2007 To 06/11/2007

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site

RBS - River Bend

RO - 16

SROI - 16

SROU - 4

LSRO - 0

Total for River Bend: 36

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 05/21/2007 To 06/11/2007

Summary By Region

Region 4

RO - 16

SROI - 16

SROU - 4

LSRO - 0

Total for Region 4: 36