

April 2008

Revision LWT-08C

# NAC-LWT

Legal Weight Truck Cask System

---

# SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

Volume 1 of 2

Docket No. 71-9225



Atlanta Corporate Headquarters: 3930 East Jones Bridge Road, Norcross, Georgia 30092 USA  
Phone 770-447-1144, Fax 770-447-1797, [www.nacintl.com](http://www.nacintl.com)

## **List of Effective Pages**

**LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES**

Chapter 1

1-i thru 1-ii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1-iii ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1-iv ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1-1 thru 1-5 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1.1-1 thru 1.1-3 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1.2-1 thru 1.2-3 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1.2-4 thru 1.2-12 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1.2-13 thru 1.2-49 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
1.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
1.5-1 ..... Revision 38

73 drawings in the  
Chapter 1 List of Drawings

Chapter 1 Appendices 1-A  
through 1-G

Chapter 2

2-i thru 2-xxix ..... Revision 38  
2-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.1.1-1 thru 2.1.1-2 ..... Revision 38  
2.1.2-1 thru 2.1.2-3 ..... Revision 38  
2.1.3-1 thru 2.1.3-8 ..... Revision 38  
2.2.1-1 thru 2.2.1-3 ..... Revision 38  
2.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.3.1-1 thru 2.3.1-13 ..... Revision 38  
2.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.1-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.2-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.5-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.6-1 ..... Revision 38

2.5.1-1 thru 2.5.1-11 ..... Revision 38  
2.5.2-1 thru 2.5.2-17 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.1-1 thru 2.6.1-7 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.2-1 thru 2.6.2-7 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.5-1 thru 2.6.5-2 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.6-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.7-1 thru 2.6.7-136 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.8-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.9-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.10-1 thru 2.6.10-15 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.11-1 thru 2.6.11-12 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.12-1 thru 2.6.12-95 ..... Revision 38  
2.7-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.1-1 thru 2.7.1-118 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.2-1 thru 2.7.2-24 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.3-1 thru 2.7.3-5 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.5-1 thru 2.7.5-5 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.6-1 thru 2.7.6-4 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.7-1 thru 2.7.7-72 ..... Revision 38  
2.8-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.9-1 thru 2.9-14 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.1-1 thru 2.10.1-3 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.2-1 thru 2.10.2-49 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.3-1 thru 2.10.3-18 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.4-1 thru 2.10.4-11 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.5-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.6-1 thru 2.10.6-19 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.7-1 thru 2.10.7-66 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.8-1 thru 2.10.8-68 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.9-1 thru 2.10.9-9 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.10-1 thru 2.10.10-97 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.11-1 thru 2.10.11-10 ..... Revision 38

**LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES (Continued)**

2.10.12-1 thru 2.10.12-31 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.13-1 thru 2.10.13-17 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.14-1 thru 2.10.14-38 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.15-1 thru 2.10.15-10..... Revision 38

Chapter 3

3-i thru 3-v ..... Revision 38  
3.1-1 thru 3.1-2 ..... Revision 38  
3.2-1 thru 3.2-11 ..... Revision 38  
3.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
3.4-1 thru 3.4-81 ..... Revision 38  
3.5-1 thru 3.5-32 ..... Revision 38  
3.6-1 thru 3.6-12 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 4

4-i thru 4-ii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4-iii..... Revision LWT-08B  
4-iv ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4.1-1 thru 4.1-3 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.2-1 thru 4.2-13 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.3-1 thru 4.3-6 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.3-7 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4.4-1 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.5-1 thru 4.5-28 ..... Revision 38  
4.5-29 thru 4.5-53 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4.5-54 thru 4.5-90 ..... Revision LWT-07G

Chapter 5

5-i thru 5-x ..... Revision LWT-08C  
5-1 ..... Revision 38  
5-2 ..... Revision 38  
5-3 ..... Revision 38  
5.1.1-1 thru 5.1.1-17 ..... Revision LWT-08C  
5.2.1-1 thru 5.2.1-7 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.1-1 ..... Revision 38

5.3.2-1..... Revision 38  
5.3.3-1 thru 5.3.3-8..... Revision 38  
5.3.4-1 thru 5.3.4-19..... Revision 38  
5.3.5-1 thru 5.3.5-4..... Revision 38  
5.3.6-1 thru 5.3.6-18..... Revision 38  
5.3.7-1 thru 5.3.7-19..... Revision LWT-08C  
5.3.8-1 thru 5.3.8-24..... Revision 38  
5.3.9-1 thru 5.3.9-26..... Revision 38  
5.3.10-1 thru 5.3.10-14..... Revision 38  
5.3.11-1 thru 5.3.11-48..... Revision 38  
5.3.12-1 thru 5.3.12-26..... Revision 38  
5.3.13-1 thru 5.3.13-17..... Revision 38  
5.3.14-1 thru 5.3.14-20..... Revision 38  
5.3.15-1 thru 5.3.15-9..... Revision 38  
5.3.16-1 thru 5.3.16-5..... Revision 38  
5.3.17-1 thru 5.3.17-8..... Revision 38  
5.4.1-1 thru 5.4.1-6..... Revision 38

Chapter 6

6-i thru 6-xi..... Revision LWT-07G  
6-1..... Revision 38  
6.1-1 thru 6.1-4..... Revision 38  
6.2-1..... Revision 38  
6.2.1-1 thru 6.2.1-3..... Revision 38  
6.2.2-1 thru 6.2.2-3..... Revision 38  
6.2.3-1 thru 6.2.3-7..... Revision 38  
6.2.4-1..... Revision 38  
6.2.5-1 thru 6.2.5-5..... Revision 38  
6.2.6-1 thru 6.2.6-3..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.2.7-1 thru 6.2.7-2..... Revision 38  
6.2.8-1 thru 6.2.8-3..... Revision 38  
6.2.9-1 thru 6.2.9-4..... Revision 38  
6.2.10-1 thru 6.2.10-3..... Revision 38  
6.2.11-1 thru 6.2.11-3..... Revision 38  
6.2.12-1 thru 6.2.12-4..... Revision 38

LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES (Continued)

6.3.1-1 thru 6.3.1-5 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.2-1 thru 6.3.2-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.3-1 thru 6.3.3-8 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.4-1 thru 6.3.4-9 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.5-1 thru 6.3.5-12 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.3.6-1 thru 6.3.6-9 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.7-1 thru 6.3.7-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.8-1 thru 6.3.8-7 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.9-1 thru 6.3.9-7 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.1-1 thru 6.4.1-10 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.2-1 thru 6.4.2-10 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.3-1 thru 6.4.3-33 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.4-1 thru 6.4.4-24 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.5-1 thru 6.4.5-32 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
6.4.6-1 thru 6.4.6-7 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.4.6-8 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
6.4.6-9 thru 6.4.6-23 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.4.7-1 thru 6.4.7-14 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.8-1 thru 6.4.8-14 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.9-1 thru 6.4.9-10 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.10-1 thru 6.4.10-18 ..... Revision 38  
6.5.1-1 thru 6.5.1-13 ..... Revision 38  
6.5.2-1 thru 6.5.2-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.5.3-1 thru 6.5.3-2 ..... Revision 38

Appendix 6.6

6.6-i thru 6.6-iii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.6-1 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.1-1 thru 6.6.1-111 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.2-1 thru 6.6.2-56 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.3-1 thru 6.6.3-73 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.4-1 thru 6.6.4-77 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.5-1 thru 6.6.5-101 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.6-1 thru 6.6.6-158 ... Revision LWT-07G  
6.6.7-1 thru 6.6.7-84 ..... Revision 38

6.6.8-1 thru 6.6.8-189 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.9-1 thru 6.6.9-52 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.10-1 thru 6.6.10-33 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.11-1 thru 6.6.11-47 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.12-1 thru 6.6.12-20 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.13-1 thru 6.6.13-22 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.14-1 thru 6.6.14-7 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 7

7-i thru 7-ii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
7.1-1 thru 7.1-11 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
7.1-12 thru 7.1-32 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
7.1-33 thru 7.1-51 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
7.2-1 thru 7.2.1-12 ..... Revision 38  
7.3-1 thru 7.3-2 ..... Revision LWT-08B

Chapter 8

8-i ..... Revision 38  
8.1-1 thru 8.1-11 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
8.2-1 thru 8.2-4 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
8.3-1 thru 8.3-5 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 9

9-i ..... Revision LWT-08B  
9-1 thru 9-10 ..... Revision LWT-08B

April 2008

Revision LWT-08C

# NAC-LWT

Legal Weight Truck Cask System

---

# SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

Volume 2 of 2

Docket No. 71-9225



Atlanta Corporate Headquarters: 3930 East Jones Bridge Road, Norcross, Georgia 30092 USA  
Phone 770-447-1144, Fax 770-447-1797, [www.nacintl.com](http://www.nacintl.com)

# List of Effective Pages

**LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES**

Chapter 1

1-i thru 1-ii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1-iii ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1-iv ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1-1 thru 1-5 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1.1-1 thru 1.1-3 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1.2-1 thru 1.2-3 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1.2-4 thru 1.2-12 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
1.2-13 thru 1.2-49 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
1.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
1.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
1.5-1 ..... Revision 38

73 drawings in the  
Chapter 1 List of Drawings

Chapter 1 Appendices 1-A  
through 1-G

Chapter 2

2-i thru 2-xxix ..... Revision 38  
2-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.1.1-1 thru 2.1.1-2 ..... Revision 38  
2.1.2-1 thru 2.1.2-3 ..... Revision 38  
2.1.3-1 thru 2.1.3-8 ..... Revision 38  
2.2.1-1 thru 2.2.1-3 ..... Revision 38  
2.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.3.1-1 thru 2.3.1-13 ..... Revision 38  
2.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.1-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.2-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.5-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.4.6-1 ..... Revision 38

2.5.1-1 thru 2.5.1-11 ..... Revision 38  
2.5.2-1 thru 2.5.2-17 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.1-1 thru 2.6.1-7 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.2-1 thru 2.6.2-7 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.5-1 thru 2.6.5-2 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.6-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.7-1 thru 2.6.7-136 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.8-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.9-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.10-1 thru 2.6.10-15 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.11-1 thru 2.6.11-12 ..... Revision 38  
2.6.12-1 thru 2.6.12-95 ..... Revision 38  
2.7-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.1-1 thru 2.7.1-118 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.2-1 thru 2.7.2-24 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.3-1 thru 2.7.3-5 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.5-1 thru 2.7.5-5 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.6-1 thru 2.7.6-4 ..... Revision 38  
2.7.7-1 thru 2.7.7-72 ..... Revision 38  
2.8-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.9-1 thru 2.9-14 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.1-1 thru 2.10.1-3 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.2-1 thru 2.10.2-49 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.3-1 thru 2.10.3-18 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.4-1 thru 2.10.4-11 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.5-1 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.6-1 thru 2.10.6-19 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.7-1 thru 2.10.7-66 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.8-1 thru 2.10.8-68 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.9-1 thru 2.10.9-9 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.10-1 thru 2.10.10-97 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.11-1 thru 2.10.11-10 ..... Revision 38



**LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES (Continued)**

2.10.12-1 thru 2.10.12-31 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.13-1 thru 2.10.13-17 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.14-1 thru 2.10.14-38 ..... Revision 38  
2.10.15-1 thru 2.10.15-10 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 3

3-i thru 3-v ..... Revision 38  
3.1-1 thru 3.1-2 ..... Revision 38  
3.2-1 thru 3.2-11 ..... Revision 38  
3.3-1 ..... Revision 38  
3.4-1 thru 3.4-81 ..... Revision 38  
3.5-1 thru 3.5-32 ..... Revision 38  
3.6-1 thru 3.6-12 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 4

4-i thru 4-ii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4-iii ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4-iv ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4.1-1 thru 4.1-3 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.2-1 thru 4.2-13 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.3-1 thru 4.3-6 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.3-7 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4.4-1 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
4.5-1 thru 4.5-28 ..... Revision 38  
4.5-29 thru 4.5-53 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
4.5-54 thru 4.5-90 ..... Revision LWT-07G

Chapter 5

5-i thru 5-x ..... Revision LWT-08C  
5-1 ..... Revision 38  
5-2 ..... Revision 38  
5-3 ..... Revision 38  
5.1.1-1 thru 5.1.1-17 ..... Revision LWT-08C  
5.2.1-1 thru 5.2.1-7 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.1-1 ..... Revision 38

5.3.2-1 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.3-1 thru 5.3.3-8 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.4-1 thru 5.3.4-19 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.5-1 thru 5.3.5-4 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.6-1 thru 5.3.6-18 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.7-1 thru 5.3.7-19 ..... Revision LWT-08C  
5.3.8-1 thru 5.3.8-24 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.9-1 thru 5.3.9-26 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.10-1 thru 5.3.10-14 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.11-1 thru 5.3.11-48 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.12-1 thru 5.3.12-26 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.13-1 thru 5.3.13-17 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.14-1 thru 5.3.14-20 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.15-1 thru 5.3.15-9 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.16-1 thru 5.3.16-5 ..... Revision 38  
5.3.17-1 thru 5.3.17-8 ..... Revision 38  
5.4.1-1 thru 5.4.1-6 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 6

6-i thru 6-xi ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6-1 ..... Revision 38  
6.1-1 thru 6.1-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.2-1 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.1-1 thru 6.2.1-3 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.2-1 thru 6.2.2-3 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.3-1 thru 6.2.3-7 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.4-1 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.5-1 thru 6.2.5-5 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.6-1 thru 6.2.6-3 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.2.7-1 thru 6.2.7-2 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.8-1 thru 6.2.8-3 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.9-1 thru 6.2.9-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.10-1 thru 6.2.10-3 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.11-1 thru 6.2.11-3 ..... Revision 38  
6.2.12-1 thru 6.2.12-4 ..... Revision 38

**LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES (Continued)**

6.3.1-1 thru 6.3.1-5 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.2-1 thru 6.3.2-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.3-1 thru 6.3.3-8 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.4-1 thru 6.3.4-9 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.5-1 thru 6.3.5-12 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.3.6-1 thru 6.3.6-9 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.7-1 thru 6.3.7-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.8-1 thru 6.3.8-7 ..... Revision 38  
6.3.9-1 thru 6.3.9-7 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.1-1 thru 6.4.1-10 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.2-1 thru 6.4.2-10 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.3-1 thru 6.4.3-33 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.4-1 thru 6.4.4-24 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.5-1 thru 6.4.5-32 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
6.4.6-1 thru 6.4.6-7 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.4.6-8 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
6.4.6-9 thru 6.4.6-23 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.4.7-1 thru 6.4.7-14 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.8-1 thru 6.4.8-14 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.9-1 thru 6.4.9-10 ..... Revision 38  
6.4.10-1 thru 6.4.10-18 ..... Revision 38  
6.5.1-1 thru 6.5.1-13 ..... Revision 38  
6.5.2-1 thru 6.5.2-4 ..... Revision 38  
6.5.3-1 thru 6.5.3-2 ..... Revision 38

Appendix 6.6

6.6-i thru 6.6-iii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
6.6-1 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.1-1 thru 6.6.1-111 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.2-1 thru 6.6.2-56 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.3-1 thru 6.6.3-73 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.4.-1 thru 6.6.4-77 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.5-1 thru 6.6.5-101 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.6-1 thru 6.6.6-158 ... Revision LWT-07G  
6.6.7-1 thru 6.6.7-84 ..... Revision 38

6.6.8-1 thru 6.6.8-189 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.9-1 thru 6.6.9-52 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.10-1 thru 6.6.10-33 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.11-1 thru 6.6.11-47 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.12-1 thru 6.6.12-20 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.13-1 thru 6.6.13-22 ..... Revision 38  
6.6.14-1 thru 6.6.14-7 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 7

7-i thru 7-ii ..... Revision LWT-07G  
7.1-1 thru 7.1-11 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
7.1-12 thru 7.1-32 ..... Revision LWT-07G  
7.1-33 thru 7.1-51 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
7.2-1 thru 7.2.1-12 ..... Revision 38  
7.3-1 thru 7.3-2 ..... Revision LWT-08B

Chapter 8

8-i ..... Revision 38  
8.1-1 thru 8.1-11 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
8.2-1 thru 8.2-4 ..... Revision LWT-08B  
8.3-1 thru 8.3-5 ..... Revision 38

Chapter 9

9-i ..... Revision LWT-08B  
9-1 thru 9-10 ..... Revision LWT-08B

# Chapter 5

Table of Contents

5 SHIELDING EVALUATION .....5-1

5.1 Discussion and Results.....5.1.1-1

5.1.1 NAC-LWT Contents .....5.1.1-1

5.2 Gamma and Neutron Sources.....5.2.1-1

5.2.1 ORIGEN 2.....5.2.1-1

5.3 Model Specification .....5.2.1-1

5.3.1 Description of Radial and Axial Shielding Configuration .....5.3.1-1

5.3.2 Shield Regional Densities .....5.3.2-1

5.3.3 Metallic Fuel Configuration .....5.3.3-1

5.3.4 MTR Fuel Configuration.....5.3.4-1

5.3.5 25 PWR Fuel Rods Configuration .....5.3.5-1

5.3.6 TRIGA Fuel Element Model Specification and Shielding Evaluation .....5.3.6-1

5.3.7 TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Model Specification and Shielding Evaluation.....5.3.7-1

5.3.8 High Burnup PWR and BWR Rods Shielding Evaluation.....5.3.8-1

5.3.9 DIDO Fuel Configuration.....5.3.9-1

5.3.10 GA IFM Shielding Evaluation.....5.3.10-1

5.3.11 High Burnup PWR and BWR Rods in a Fuel Assembly Lattice .....5.3.11-1

5.3.12 Damaged High Burnup PWR and BWR Rods in a Rod Holder .....5.3.12-1

5.3.13 TPBAR Shielding Evaluation .....5.3.13-1

5.3.14 PULSTAR Fuel Configuration.....5.3.14-1

5.3.15 Spiral Fuel Assembly Configuration .....5.3.15-1

5.3.16 MOATA Plate Bundle Configuration .....5.3.16-1

5.3.17 Irradiated Hardware Shielding Evaluation .....5.3.17-1

5.4 Shielding Evaluation .....5.3.17-1

5.4.1 Shielding Evaluation Codes .....5.4.1-1

List of Figures

Figure 5.3.3-1	Three-Dimensional Radial Model.....	5.3.3-2
Figure 5.3.3-2	End-Fitting Model with Fuel .....	5.3.3-3
Figure 5.3.3-3	Lead Slump Accident – PWR Top End-Fitting .....	5.3.3-4
Figure 5.3.3-4	Lead Slump Accident – PWR Bottom End-Fitting.....	5.3.3-5
Figure 5.3.3-5	Lead Slump Accident – BWR Bottom End-Fitting .....	5.3.3-6
Figure 5.3.3-6	One-Dimensional Radial Computational Model.....	5.3.3-7
Figure 5.3.4-1	MTR Fuel Evaluated Configurations .....	5.3.4-5
Figure 5.3.4-2	SAS4 Shielding Model for the MTR Fuel Basket in the NAC-LWT (Upper Half).....	5.3.4-6
Figure 5.3.4-3	Dose Rates 2 Meters from Transport Vehicle (30 W Uniform Loading).....	5.3.4-7
Figure 5.3.6-1	TRIGA Fuel Element One-Dimensional Bounding Radial Dose Rate - Normal Conditions of Transport - Curves and Data Points .....	5.3.6-7
Figure 5.3.6-2	TRIGA Fuel Element One-Dimensional Bounding Radial Dose Rate - Accident Condition - Curves and Data Points .....	5.3.6-8
Figure 5.3.6-3	TRIGA SAS4A Radial Model Geometry.....	5.3.6-9
Figure 5.3.6-4	TRIGA SAS4A Basket Model Geometry .....	5.3.6-10
Figure 5.3.6-5	TRIGA SAS4A Upper Half Model Geometry (Normal Condition – Shifted Fuel).....	5.3.6-11
Figure 5.3.6-6	TRIGA SAS4A Upper Half Model Geometry (Normal Condition)..	5.3.6-12
Figure 5.3.6-7	TRIGA SAS4A Lower Half Model Geometry (Normal and Accident Condition) .....	5.3.6-13
Figure 5.3.7-1	HEU TRIGA Cluster Fuel Rod SAS2H Sample Input (600 GWd/MTU) .....	5.3.7-3
Figure 5.3.7-2	LEU TRIGA Cluster Fuel Rod SAS2H Sample Input (140 GWd/MTU) .....	5.3.7-5
Figure 5.3.8-1	PWR Rod SAS2H Model .....	5.3.8-6
Figure 5.3.8-2	BWR 7×7 SAS2H Model Shown at 80,000 MWd/MTU .....	5.3.8-6
Figure 5.3.8-3	BWR 8×8 Rod SAS2H Model.....	5.3.8-7
Figure 5.3.8-4	PWR Rods Axial Burnup and Source Profiles .....	5.3.8-7
Figure 5.3.8-5	BWR Rods Axial Burnup and Source Profiles.....	5.3.8-8
Figure 5.3.9-1	SAS2H Input for HEU DIDO Fuel 70% <sup>235</sup> U Burnup and 18W Heat Load .....	5.3.9-5
Figure 5.3.9-2	SAS4 Fuel Gamma Input for HEU DIDO Fuel 70% <sup>235</sup> U Burnup and 18W Heat Load – Radial Biasing & Normal Transport Conditions .....	5.3.9-6
Figure 5.3.9-3	SAS4 Shielding Model for the DIDO Fuel Basket in the NAC-LWT (Upper Half).....	5.3.9-12
Figure 5.3.9-4	SAS4 Shielding Model for the DIDO Fuel Basket in the NAC-LWT (Section through Fuel) .....	5.3.9-13
Figure 5.3.9-5	DIDO LEU Cooling Time vs. Fuel Burnup Basket Module Loading Guidelines for Uniform Loading.....	5.3.9-14
Figure 5.3.9-6	DIDO MEU Cooling Time vs. Fuel Burnup Basket Module Loading Guidelines for Uniform Loading.....	5.3.9-14

List of Figures (Continued)

Figure 5.3.9-7	DIDO HEU Cooling Time vs. Fuel Burnup Basket Module Loading Guidelines for Uniform Loading.....	5.3.9-15
Figure 5.3.9-8	DIDO LEU Element Cooling Time vs. <sup>235</sup> U % Depletion.....	5.3.9-15
Figure 5.3.9-9	DIDO MEU Element Cooling Time vs. <sup>235</sup> U % Depletion.....	5.3.9-16
Figure 5.3.9-10	DIDO HEU Element Cooling Time vs. <sup>235</sup> U % Depletion.....	5.3.9-16
Figure 5.3.9-11	Comparison of DIDO Element 25W Minimum Cool Time Curves as a Function of <sup>235</sup> U % Depletion.....	5.3.9-17
Figure 5.3.9-12	Bounding DIDO Element Minimum Cool Time vs. % <sup>235</sup> U Depletion.....	5.3.9-17
Figure 5.3.9-13	18W DIDO HEU Fuel Predicted vs. Actual <sup>235</sup> U Depletion Loading Curve.....	5.3.9-18
Figure 5.3.10-1	ORIGEN-S Input for GA RERTR IFM .....	5.3.10-3
Figure 5.3.10-2	ORIGEN-S Input for GA HTGR IFM .....	5.3.10-4
Figure 5.3.10-3	SAS1 Input for GA RERTR IFM .....	5.3.10-5
Figure 5.3.10-4	SAS1 Input for GA HTGR IFM.....	5.3.10-6
Figure 5.3.10-5	GA IFM One-Dimensional Radial Model of NAC-LWT.....	5.3.10-7
Figure 5.3.10-6	One-Dimensional Radial Model of GA RERTR and HTGR IFM.....	5.3.10-8
Figure 5.3.11-1	PWR Lattice Axial Source Profiles .....	5.3.11-7
Figure 5.3.11-2	BWR Lattice Axial Source Profiles.....	5.3.11-7
Figure 5.3.11-3	MCBEND Model of NAC-LWT with Fuel Assembly Lattice – Axial Detail.....	5.3.11-8
Figure 5.3.11-4	MCBEND Model of NAC-LWT with Fuel Assembly Lattice – Radial Detail .....	5.3.11-9
Figure 5.3.11-5	Normal Condition Radial Surface Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Fuel Assembly Lattice .....	5.3.11-10
Figure 5.3.11-6	Normal Condition Radial 2m Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Fuel Assembly Lattice.....	5.3.11-10
Figure 5.3.11-7	Accident Condition Radial 1m Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Fuel Assembly Lattice.....	5.3.11-11
Figure 5.3.11-8	MCBEND Input – High Burnup Fuel Lattice – Radial Fuel Gamma.....	5.3.11-12
Figure 5.3.12-1	MCBEND Model of NAC-LWT with Damaged Fuel Rods – Axial Detail.....	5.3.12-6
Figure 5.3.12-2	MCBEND Model of NAC-LWT with Damaged Fuel Rods – Radial Detail.....	5.3.12-7
Figure 5.3.12-3	Normal Condition Axial Surface Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Damaged Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-8
Figure 5.3.12-4	Normal Condition Radial 2m Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Damaged Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-8
Figure 5.3.12-5	Accident Condition Radial 1m Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Damaged Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-9
Figure 5.3.12-6	Sample Input File for Damaged Fuel Evaluation.....	5.3.12-10
Figure 5.3.13-1	ORIGEN-S Input for TPBARs at 30 Days Cool Time .....	5.3.13-3

List of Figures (Continued)

Figure 5.3.13-2	MCNP Input for 300 TPBARs at 30 Days Cool Time – Normal Conditions & Radial Biasing .....	5.3.13-4
Figure 5.3.13-3	MCNP Three-Dimensional Model of NAC-LWT with 300 TPBAR Payload – Radial Detail.....	5.3.13-8
Figure 5.3.13-4	MCNP Three-Dimensional Model of NAC-LWT with 300 TPBAR Payload - Axial Detail.....	5.3.13-9
Figure 5.3.13-5	Normal Condition Radial Surface Dose Rate Profile for 300 TPBAR Payload.....	5.3.13-10
Figure 5.3.13-6	Normal Condition Radial 2 Meter Dose Rate Profile for 300 TPBAR Payload.....	5.3.13-10
Figure 5.3.13-7	Accident Condition Radial 1 Meter Dose Rate Profile for 300 TPBAR Payload.....	5.3.13-11
Figure 5.3.14-1	PULSTAR Fuel Assembly.....	5.3.14-6
Figure 5.3.14-2	SAS2H Input for PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-7
Figure 5.3.14-3	MCNP Model of NAC-LWT with PULSTAR Fuel – Axial Detail ...	5.3.14-8
Figure 5.3.14-4	MCNP Model of NAC-LWT with PULSTAR Fuel – Radial Detail ..	5.3.14-9
Figure 5.3.14-5	Sample MCNP Input File for Minimum Height Canned PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-10
Figure 5.3.14-6	Normal Condition Axial Surface Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Minimum Height Canned PULSTAR Fuel.....	5.3.14-14
Figure 5.3.14-7	Normal Condition Radial 2m Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Minimum Height Canned PULSTAR Fuel.....	5.3.14-14
Figure 5.3.14-8	Accident Condition Radial 1m Dose Rate Profile by Source Type – Minimum Height Canned PULSTAR Fuel.....	5.3.14-15
Figure 5.3.15-1	SAS2H Input for Spiral Fuel 70% <sup>235</sup> U Depletion and 18-Watt Heat Load .....	5.3.15-3
Figure 5.3.15-2	Spiral Fuel versus MEU DIDO Gamma Spectrum Comparison (18 Watts, 70% Depletion) .....	5.3.15-4
Figure 5.3.15-3	Minimum Cool Time Curve for 18-Watt Heat Load (Spiral Fuel and MEU DIDO) .....	5.3.15-5
Figure 5.3.16-1	SAS2H Input for the MOATA Plate Bundle .....	5.3.16-3
Figure 5.3.17-1	SAS2H Input for Irradiated Hardware (on a per kg basis).....	5.3.17-3
Figure 5.3.17-2	Sample SAS1 Input for Irradiated Hardware (Source 1 kg Material).	5.3.17-4
Figure 5.3.17-3	Irradiated Hardware One-Dimensional Radial Model of NAC-LWT .....	5.3.17-5
Figure 5.3.17-4	Irradiated Hardware Normal Condition Surface Dose Rate as a Function of Irradiated Hardware Height.....	5.3.17-6
Figure 5.3.17-5	Irradiated Hardware Normal Condition 2 Meter Dose Rate as a Function of Irradiated Hardware Height.....	5.3.17-6
Figure 5.3.17-6	Irradiated Hardware Accident Condition 1 Meter Dose Rate as a Function of Irradiated Hardware Height.....	5.3.17-7

List of Tables

Table 5.1.1-1	Type, Form, Quantity and Potential Sources of Design Basis Fuel .....	5.1.1-7
Table 5.1.1-2	Design Basis Fuel for Shielding Evaluation .....	5.1.1-11
Table 5.1.1-3	Nuclear and Thermal Source Parameters .....	5.1.1-14
Table 5.1.1-4	Combined Dose Rates for Normal Operations Conditions .....	5.1.1-15
Table 5.1.1-5	Hypothetical Accident – Loss of Shielding Materials .....	5.1.1-16
Table 5.1.1-6	Hypothetical Accident –Lead Slump .....	5.1.1-17
Table 5.2.1-1	LOR-2 Input Data .....	5.2.1-3
Table 5.2.1-2	Photon Spectrum for Design Basis Fuel .....	5.2.1-5
Table 5.2.1-3	Fission Product Gas Inventory .....	5.2.1-6
Table 5.2.1-4	Design Basis Fuel Neutron Spectrum .....	5.2.1-7
Table 5.3.3-1	Source Material Compositions.....	5.3.3-8
Table 5.3.3-2	Shield Material Densities and Compositions.....	5.3.3-8
Table 5.3.4-1	Design Basis MTR Fuel Assembly Characteristics .....	5.3.4-8
Table 5.3.4-2	MTR Fuel Element Gamma Source Terms by Thermal Output – 380 grams <sup>235</sup> U .....	5.3.4-9
Table 5.3.4-3	MTR Fuel Element Neutron Source Terms by Thermal Output – 380 grams <sup>235</sup> U .....	5.3.4-10
Table 5.3.4-4	MTR Fuel Element Gamma Source Terms by Thermal Output – 460 grams <sup>235</sup> U .....	5.3.4-11
Table 5.3.4-5	MTR Fuel Element Neutron Source Terms by Thermal Output – 460 grams <sup>235</sup> U .....	5.3.4-12
Table 5.3.4-6	LEU MTR Hardware Source to Fuel Source Comparison.....	5.3.4-13
Table 5.3.4-7	HEU MTR Hardware Source to Fuel Comparison .....	5.3.4-14
Table 5.3.4-8	Material Densities for MTR Fuel Shielding Analysis.....	5.3.4-15
Table 5.3.4-9	LWT Cask Surface Total Dose Rates (Normal Conditions of Transport).....	5.3.4-16
Table 5.3.4-10	LWT Cask Plan of Conveyance Dose Rates (Normal Conditions of Transport).....	5.3.4-16
Table 5.3.4-11	LWT Cask 2 Meter Off The Plane of Conveyance Dose Rates (Normal Conditions of Transport) .....	5.3.4-17
Table 5.3.4-12	LWT Cask 1 Meter From the Cask Surface Dose Rates (Normal Conditions of Transport).....	5.3.4-17
Table 5.3.4-13	Axial Surface Dose Rates at Cask Lid (Normal Conditions of Transport).....	5.3.4-18
Table 5.3.4-14	LWT Cask Dose Rates 5 Meters from the Cask Lid (Back of Tractor Cab) for Normal Conditions of Transport.....	5.3.4-19
Table 5.3.4-15	LWT Cask Dose Rates – 1 Meter from the Cask Surface (Hypothetical Accident Conditions) .....	5.3.4-19
Table 5.3.5-1	25 PWR Fuel Rods Design Basis Fuel Source Spectra .....	5.3.5-2
Table 5.3.5-2	Material Densities for 25 Design Basis PWR Rods Fuel Shielding Analysis.....	5.3.5-3
Table 5.3.5-3	Cask Radial Dose Rates with 25 Design Basis PWR Fuel Rods (mrem/hr) .....	5.3.5-4



List of Tables (continued)

Table 5.3.6-1	TRIGA Fuel Element Gamma Source Term - Normal Transport (ACPR, 86,100 MWd/MTU, 231 Days Cooling, 50% <sup>235</sup> U Depletion).....	5.3.6-14
Table 5.3.6-2	TRIGA Fuel Element Neutron Source Term - Normal Transport (ACPR, 86,100 MWd/MTU, 231 Days Cooling, 50% <sup>235</sup> U Depletion).....	5.3.6-15
Table 5.3.6-3	TRIGA Fuel Element Gamma Source Term - Accident Conditions (FLIP- LEU-II, 151,100 MWd/MTU, 908 Days Cooling, 80% <sup>235</sup> U Depletion).....	5.3.6-16
Table 5.3.6-4	TRIGA Fuel Element Neutron Source Term - Accident Conditions (FLIP-LEU-II, 151,100 MWd/MTU, 908 Days Cooling, 80% <sup>235</sup> U Depletion).....	5.3.6-17
Table 5.3.6-5	Material Densities for TRIGA Fuel Element Shielding Analysis .....	5.3.6-18
Table 5.3.7-1	TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Parameters.....	5.3.7-7
Table 5.3.7-2	Incoloy 800 Clad Composition.....	5.3.7-8
Table 5.3.7-3	Representative HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Gamma Spectra at 150 GWd/MTU and 1.342 Year Cool Time.....	5.3.7-9
Table 5.3.7-4	Representative HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Neutron Spectrum at 150 GWd/MTU and 1.342 Year Cool Time.....	5.3.7-10
Table 5.3.7-5	Representative LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Gamma Spectra.....	5.3.7-11
Table 5.3.7-6	Representative LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Neutron Spectrum .....	5.3.7-12
Table 5.3.7-7	Fuel Basket Region Material Composition Used in Shielding Analysis .....	5.3.7-13
Table 5.3.7-8	Normal Condition Dose Response to Gammas for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-14
Table 5.3.7-9	Normal Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-14
Table 5.3.7-10	Accident Condition Dose Response to Gammas for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-15
Table 5.3.7-11	Accident Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-15
Table 5.3.7-12	Normal Condition Dose Response to Gammas for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-16
Table 5.3.7-13	Normal Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-16
Table 5.3.7-14	Accident Condition Does Response to Gammas for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-17
Table 5.3.7-15	Accident Condition Does Response to Neutrons for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods .....	5.3.7-17
Table 5.3.7-16	HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Dose Rate Results at Various Fuel Burnups .....	5.3.7-18
Table 5.3.7-17	LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Dose Rate Results at Various Fuel Burnups .....	5.3.7-19

List of Tables (continued)

Table 5.3.8-1	High Burnup Fuel Rod Model Parameters.....	5.3.8-9
Table 5.3.8-2	High Burnup Fuel Assembly Model Parameters .....	5.3.8-9
Table 5.3.8-3	SCALE 27N18G Neutron Group Structure and ANSI Dose Factors ...	5.3.8-10
Table 5.3.8-4	SCALE 27N18G Gamma Group Structure and ANSI Dose Factors....	5.3.8-11
Table 5.3.8-5	LWT Cask Total Decay Heat [kW] for 25 Rods at Various Cool Times.....	5.3.8-11
Table 5.3.8-6	PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Neutron Source Term [n/sec/assy].....	5.3.8-12
Table 5.3.8-7	PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Gamma Source Term [γ/sec/assy] .....	5.3.8-12
Table 5.3.8-8	BWR 7×7 60,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Neutron Source Term [n/sec/assy].....	5.3.8-13
Table 5.3.8-9	BWR 7×7 60,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Gamma Source Term [γ/sec/assy] .....	5.3.8-13
Table 5.3.8-10	BWR 7×7 70,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Neutron Source Term [n/sec/assy].....	5.3.8-14
Table 5.3.8-11	BWR 7×7 70,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Gamma Source Term [γ/sec/assy] .....	5.3.8-14
Table 5.3.8-12	BWR 7×7 80,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Neutron Source Term [n/sec/assy].....	5.3.8-15
Table 5.3.8-13	BWR 7×7 80,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Gamma Source Term [γ/sec/assy] .....	5.3.8-15
Table 5.3.8-14	BWR 8×8 80,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Neutron Source Term [n/sec/assy].....	5.3.8-16
Table 5.3.8-15	BWR 8×8 80,000 MWd/MTU Fuel Model Gamma Source Term [γ/sec/assy] .....	5.3.8-16
Table 5.3.8-16	Fuel Axial Source Profile Parameters.....	5.3.8-17
Table 5.3.8-17	PWR Fuel Axial Source Profile.....	5.3.8-17
Table 5.3.8-18	BWR Fuel Axial Source Profile .....	5.3.8-18
Table 5.3.8-19	Fuel Region Homogenized Material Description [atom/b-cm] .....	5.3.8-19
Table 5.3.8-20	Basket and Cask Shielding Material Composition [atom/b-cm] .....	5.3.8-19
Table 5.3.8-21	Basket Model Parameters.....	5.3.8-20
Table 5.3.8-22	LWT Cask One-Dimensional Model for LWR High Burnup Rod Analysis.....	5.3.8-20
Table 5.3.8-23	LWT Cask Surface Neutron Dose Response Function.....	5.3.8-21
Table 5.3.8-24	LWT Cask Surface Gamma Dose Response Function .....	5.3.8-21
Table 5.3.8-25	LWT Cask 2m Neutron Dose Response Function.....	5.3.8-22
Table 5.3.8-26	LWT Cask 2m Gamma Dose Response Function .....	5.3.8-22
Table 5.3.8-27	Surface Dose Responses [mrem/hr] and Cask Decay Heat [kW] for Various Decay Times.....	5.3.8-23
Table 5.3.8-28	2m Dose Responses [mrem/hr] and Cask Decay Heat [kW] for Various Decay Times.....	5.3.8-24

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 5.3.8-29	Loading Table for PWR and BWR High Burnup Rods Showing Minimum Required Cool Time as a Function of Burnup and Enrichment .....	5.3.8-25
Table 5.3.9-1	Design Basis DIDO Fuel Assembly Characteristics.....	5.3.9-19
Table 5.3.9-2	DIDO Fuel Assembly Gamma Source Terms by Thermal Output.....	5.3.9-20
Table 5.3.9-3	DIDO Fuel Assembly Neutron Source Terms by Thermal Output.....	5.3.9-21
Table 5.3.9-4	Material Densities for DIDO Fuel Shielding Analysis.....	5.3.9-22
Table 5.3.9-5	LWT Cask Surface Total Dose Rates - DIDO Fuel (Normal Conditions of Transport) .....	5.3.9-23
Table 5.3.9-6	LWT Cask Plane of Conveyance Dose Rates – DIDO Fuel (Normal Conditions of Transport) .....	5.3.9-23
Table 5.3.9-7	LWT Cask 2 Meters Off the Plane of Conveyance Dose Rates – DIDO Fuel (Normal Conditions of Transport).....	5.3.9-24
Table 5.3.9-8	LWT Cask 1 Meter from the Cask Surface Dose Rates – DIDO Fuel (Normal Conditions of Transport).....	5.3.9-24
Table 5.3.9-9	Axial Surface Dose Rates at Cask Lid – DIDO Fuel (Normal Conditions of Transport) .....	5.3.9-25
Table 5.3.9-10	LWT Cask Dose Rates - 5 Meters from the Cask Lid – DIDO Fuel (Back of Tractor Cab) for Normal Conditions of Transport .....	5.3.9-26
Table 5.3.9-11	LWT Cask Dose Rates - 1 Meter from the Radial Cask Surface – DIDO Fuel (Hypothetical Accident Conditions).....	5.3.9-26
Table 5.3.10-1	GA IFM Activity Inventory as of January 1, 1996 .....	5.3.10-9
Table 5.3.10-2	GA IFM Neutron and Gamma Spectra in SCALE Format.....	5.3.10-10
Table 5.3.10-3	GA IFM Primary and Secondary Enclosure Dimensions.....	5.3.10-11
Table 5.3.10-4	Elemental Constituents of GA IFM.....	5.3.10-12
Table 5.3.10-5	Material Compositions of GA IFM and NAC-LWT .....	5.3.10-13
Table 5.3.10-6	Combined Payload Radial Dose Rates for GA IFM.....	5.3.10-14
Table 5.3.11-1	MCBEND Standard 28 Group Neutron Boundaries .....	5.3.11-24
Table 5.3.11-2	MCBEND Standard 22 Group Gamma Boundaries.....	5.3.11-25
Table 5.3.11-3	BWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Three-Dimensional Model Parameters .....	5.3.11-26
Table 5.3.11-4	PWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Three-Dimensional Model Parameters	5.3.11-27
Table 5.3.11-5	Fuel Assembly Lattice SAS2H Burnup Parameters at 80,000 MWd/MTU.....	5.3.11-28
Table 5.3.11-6	B&W 15×15 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format .....	5.3.11-29
Table 5.3.11-7	B&W 17×17 PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.11-30
Table 5.3.11-8	CE 14×14 PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format .....	5.3.11-31
Table 5.3.11-9	Westinghouse 14×14 PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.11-32

List of Tables (continued)

Table 5.3.11-10	Westinghouse 15×15 PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.11-33
Table 5.3.11-11	Westinghouse 17×17 PWR 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.11-34
Table 5.3.11-12	BWR 7×7 80,000 MWd/MTU, 210 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.11-35
Table 5.3.11-13	BWR 8×8 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.11-36
Table 5.3.11-14	PWR Fuel Lattice Axial Source Profile.....	5.3.11-37
Table 5.3.11-15	BWR Fuel Lattice Axial Source Profile.....	5.3.11-38
Table 5.3.11-16	BWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Fuel Region Homogenization.....	5.3.11-39
Table 5.3.11-17	PWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Fuel Region Homogenization.....	5.3.11-40
Table 5.3.11-18	Fuel Assembly Lattice Activated Hardware Region Homogenization.....	5.3.11-42
Table 5.3.11-19	Fuel Lattice Accident Condition Damaged Fuel Material Heights.....	5.3.11-43
Table 5.3.11-20	BWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Fuel Region Homogenized Material Description.....	5.3.11-43
Table 5.3.11-21	PWR Fuel Assembly Lattice Fuel Region Homogenized Material Description.....	5.3.11-44
Table 5.3.11-22	Basket and Cask Shielding Material Composition.....	5.3.11-44
Table 5.3.11-23	ANSI/ANS 6.1.1-1977 Neutron Flux-to-Dose Conversion Factors ...	5.3.11-45
Table 5.3.11-24	ANSI/ANS 6.1.1-1977 Gamma Flux-to-Dose Conversion Factors....	5.3.11-46
Table 5.3.11-25	Maximum Radial Dose Rates for PWR and BWR Fuel Rods in an Irradiated Fuel Assembly Lattice.....	5.3.11-47
Table 5.3.11-26	Maximum Axial Dose Rates for PWR and BWR Fuel Rods in an Irradiated Fuel Assembly Lattice.....	5.3.11-47
Table 5.3.12-1	PWR Rods 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.12-20
Table 5.3.12-2	BWR 7×7 Rods 80,000 MWd/MTU, 210 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.12-21
Table 5.3.12-3	BWR 8×8 Rods 80,000 MWd/MTU, 150 Day Cool Time Source Terms in MCBEND Format.....	5.3.12-22
Table 5.3.12-4	Fuel Region Homogenization for PWR Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-23
Table 5.3.12-5	Fuel Region Homogenization for BWR 7×7 Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-23
Table 5.3.12-6	Region Homogenization for BWR 8×8 Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-24
Table 5.3.12-7	Intact/Damaged Fuel Mixture Composition Determinations.....	5.3.12-24
Table 5.3.12-8	Fuel Region Homogenized Material Description.....	5.3.12-25
Table 5.3.12-9	Maximum Radial Dose Rates for Damaged PWR and BWR Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-26
Table 5.3.12-10	Maximum Axial Dose Rates for Damaged PWR and BWR Fuel Rods.....	5.3.12-26
Table 5.3.13-1	Single TPBAR Activity Inventory at 30 Days Cool Time.....	5.3.13-12

**List of Tables (continued)**

Table 5.3.13-2	TPBAR 30-Day Gamma Source Spectrum .....	5.3.13-13
Table 5.3.13-3	TPBAR Elemental Constituents .....	5.3.13-14
Table 5.3.13-4	Material Compositions of NAC-LWT for 300 TPBAR Payload .....	5.3.13-15
Table 5.3.13-5	Dose Rate Summary for 300 TPBARs at 30 Days Cool Time.....	5.3.13-16
Table 5.3.13-6	Reactor Operating Conditions for TPBAR Source Term Generation	5.3.13-17
Table 5.3.14-1	PULSTAR Fuel Geometry .....	5.3.14-16
Table 5.3.14-2	Source Term Generation Parameters for PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-16
Table 5.3.14-3	PULSTAR Fuel Assembly Neutron Source Term for 1 Year Cool Time.....	5.3.14-17
Table 5.3.14-4	PULSTAR Fuel Assembly Gamma Source Term for 1 Year Cool Time.....	5.3.14-18
Table 5.3.14-5	Intact Assembly Fuel Homogenization for PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-19
Table 5.3.14-6	Nominal Height Can Fuel Homogenization for PULSTAR Fuel.....	5.3.14-19
Table 5.3.14-7	Minimum Height Can Fuel Homogenization for PULSTAR Fuel.....	5.3.14-19
Table 5.3.14-8	Fuel Region Homogenized Material Description for PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-20
Table 5.3.14-9	Cask/Basket Material Descriptions for PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-20
Table 5.3.14-10	Maximum Radial Dose Rates for PULSTAR Fuel .....	5.3.14-21
Table 5.3.14-11	Maximum Axial Dose Rates for PULSTAR Fuel.....	5.3.14-21
Table 5.3.15-1	Spiral Fuel Assembly Characteristics.....	5.3.15-6
Table 5.3.15-2	Spiral Fuel Assembly Neutron and Gamma Source (18 Watt Heat Load).....	5.3.15-7
Table 5.3.15-3	Spiral Fuel Assembly Source Comparison to DIDO MEU Fuel (70% Depletion and 18 Watts) .....	5.3.15-8
Table 5.3.15-4	Spiral Fuel Assembly Source Comparison to DIDO MEU Fuel (70% Depletion and Fixed 2.23-Year Cool Time) .....	5.3.15-9
Table 5.3.16-1	MOATA Plate Bundle Characteristics .....	5.3.16-4
Table 5.3.16-2	MOATA Plate Bundle Source Comparison .....	5.3.16-5
Table 5.3.17-1	Irradiated Hardware Gamma Spectra in SCALE Format (1 kg Activated Stainless Steel).....	5.3.17-8
Table 5.3.17-2	Material Compositions of the NAC-LWT.....	5.3.17-9
Table 5.4.1-1	Discrete Axial Source Distribution .....	5.4.1-14
Table 5.4.1-2	Flux to Dose Conversion Factors .....	5.4.1-16

## 5.1 Discussion and Results

The NAC-LWT cask is designed for the safe transport of spent nuclear fuel from various commercial nuclear installations and research reactors.

### 5.1.1 NAC-LWT Contents

The following contents constitute the design basis for transport in the NAC-LWT cask:

- 1 PWR assembly;
- up to 2 BWR assemblies;
- up to 15 sound metallic fuel rods;
- up to 9 failed metallic fuel rods;
- up to 3 severely failed metallic fuel rods in filters;
- up to 42 MTR fuel elements;
- up to 42 DIDO fuel assemblies;
- up to 25 PWR fuel rods (including up to 14 rods classified as damaged);
- up to 25 BWR fuel rods (including up to 14 rods classified as damaged);
- up to 140 TRIGA fuel elements;
- up to 560 TRIGA fuel cluster rods;
- 2 GA IFM packages;
- up to 300 TPBARs (of which two can be prefailed);
- up to 55 TPBARs segmented during PIE, including segmentation debris;
- up to 700 PULSTAR fuel elements (intact or damaged);
- up to 42 spiral fuel assemblies;
- up to 42 MOATA plate bundles;
- any combination of individual ANSTO basket modules containing either spiral fuel assemblies or MOATA plate bundles up to a total of 42 assemblies/bundles; or
- up to 4,000 lbs of solid, irradiated and contaminated hardware.

The high burnup PWR and BWR rods may be transported in three configurations: 1) a maximum of 25 intact fuel rods loaded in the rod holder; 2) a maximum of 25 fuel rods with up to 14 damaged fuel rods or rod fragments loaded in the rod holder; and 3) a maximum of 25 intact fuel rods housed in a fuel assembly lattice within the NAC-LWT PWR basket. The fuel assembly lattice may be irradiated up to an equivalent burnup of 80,000 MWd/MTU.

The metallic fuel consists of a single rod of uranium metal clad with aluminum. The intact metallic fuel rods are placed into a transport canister that will hold five intact rods. The cask can hold three transport canisters for a total of 15 intact metallic fuel rods. In the event the metallic fuel has failed or is suspected of having failed, each fuel rod is sealed in its own container. The

failed metallic fuel is loaded into either one of the three holes in the metallic fuel basket or into one of the six openings in the failed metallic fuel basket.

MTR research reactor fuel elements are typically 33 to 57 inches long, including lower nozzle and upper handle. The fuel plates typically consist of U-Al,  $U_3O_8$ -Al, or USi-Al clad with aluminum. The fuel plates are held in a parallel arrangement with two thick aluminum slotted pieces to form a fuel element. Standard fuel elements have between 10 and 23 fuel plates. The active fuel region is typically 22.75 inches in height, and the fuel meat is typically 0.023-inch thick. The highly enriched uranium (HEU) fuel has been analyzed conservatively with an enrichment of 90 wt %  $^{235}U$  and fuel loading per element up to 380 g  $^{235}U$ , with a separate analysis performed to accommodate up to 460 g  $^{235}U$ . The design basis fuel parameters are provided in Table 5.1.1-1. The fuel characteristics are presented in Table 5.1.1-2. The dose rates produced from the design basis 470 g  $^{235}U$  and 640 g  $^{235}U$  LEU and 380 g  $^{235}U$  MEU MTR fuel are bounded by the HEU MTR design basis fuel. Therefore, a mixed loading of LEU, MEU and HEU MTR fuel elements are also bounded by a full HEU MTR fuel element loading.

The source term characteristics of the design basis PWR fuel assembly, BWR fuel assembly, metallic rods, 25 PWR rods and MTR fuels are given in Table 5.1.1-3. The design basis PWR and BWR fuels require two years of cooling after discharge to meet the neutron and gamma source, and decay heat limits of the cask. The 25 design basis PWR rods burned to 60,000 MWd/MTU require 150 days of cooling. The design basis metallic fuel requires one year cooling. The design basis MTR fuel requires a variable number of years cooling, after discharge, to meet the decay heat limits of the cask. Loading configurations must conform to the limits stated in Section 7.1.5.

DIDO research reactor fuel elements typically consist of U-Al,  $U_3O_8$ -Al, or  $U_3Si_2$ -Al that is aluminum clad. The fuel elements are held in a concentric arrangement inside an outer aluminum cylinder to form a fuel assembly. Fuel assemblies have 4 fuel elements. The active fuel region is typically 23.6 inches in height, and the fuel meat is typically 0.026 inch thick. The highly enriched uranium (HEU) fuel has been analyzed with a minimum enrichment of 90 wt %  $^{235}U$  and fuel loading per assembly up to 190 g  $^{235}U$ . Low enriched (LEU) and medium enriched (MEU) assemblies are evaluated at 190 g  $^{235}U$  with minimum enrichments of 19 and 40 wt %  $^{235}U$ , respectively. The design basis fuel parameters are provided in Table 5.1.1-1. The fuel characteristics are presented in Table 5.1.1-2. As discussed in Section 5, the dose rates produced from the design basis LEU and MEU DIDO fuel are bounded by the HEU DIDO design basis fuel. Therefore, a mixed loading of LEU, MEU and HEU DIDO fuel assemblies is also bounded by a full HEU DIDO fuel assembly loading.

Two GA IFM Fuel Handling Units (packages) are intended for a single shipment in the NAC-LWT. The first package is composed of Reduced-Enrichment Research and Test Reactor (RERTR) type fuel, which is an Incoloy clad TRIGA fuel. The second is composed of High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor (HTGR) type fuel. Each set of IFM is packaged into stainless steel weld-encapsulated primary and secondary enclosures. Design basis fuel parameters are summarized in Table 5.1.1-1, with fuel characteristics presented in Table 5.1.1-2. Design basis source terms are provided in Table 5.1.1-3. NAC-LWT combined dose rates for GA IFM are bounded by the dose rates for PWR fuel shown in Table 5.1.1-4 through Table 5.1.1-6.

An inventory of up to 300 production TPBARs (of which two can be prefailed) is intended for multiple shipments in the NAC-LWT. A separate content condition is for the transport of up to 55 segmented TPBARs and associated segmentation debris from PIE contained in a waste container. Each TPBAR is a Type 316 stainless steel rod with a 0.381-inch outer diameter and a 0.336-inch inner diameter and a post-irradiation length of approximately 154 inches. Tritium is produced by irradiation of  $^6\text{Li}$ . Design basis fuel parameters are summarized in Table 5.1.1-1 with characteristics presented in Table 5.1.1-2. Design basis source terms are provided in Table 5.1.1-3. NAC-LWT dose rates for the payloads of up to 300 production TPBARs in a consolidation canister, or up to 55 segmented TPBARs in the waste container, are bounded by the dose rates for PWR fuel shown in Table 5.1.1-4 through Table 5.1.1-6.

Source terms for the high burnup PWR and BWR rods are developed using the SCALE SAS2H code package. Cask dose rates are evaluated using the SCALE SAS1 shielding analysis sequence. Results presented in Section 5.3.8 give the required cool time for PWR and BWR rods as a function of burnup for up to 25 intact fuel rods loaded in the NAC-LWT rod holder. The results presented in Sections 5.3.11 and 5.3.12 demonstrate that dose rate limits are met for the shipment of fuel rods in an irradiated fuel assembly lattice and damaged fuel rods in a rod holder, respectively.

As can be seen from Table 5.1.1-3, the PWR fuel assembly has the largest source terms and was used as the design basis fuel for shielding analysis of PWR and BWR fuel in the NAC-LWT presented in this section. The metallic fuel shielding analysis is presented in Section 5.3.3. Metallic fuel is shipped with the neutron shield drained and the analysis reflects this. The MTR fuel shielding analysis is presented in Section 5.3.4. The design basis source terms for 25 PWR rods at 60,000 MWd/MTU are well below the design basis PWR fuel assembly. However, the self shielding of 25 PWR rods is less than the 204 rod design basis PWR fuel assembly. Thus, a shielding evaluation of 25 design basis PWR rods is presented in Section 5.3.5. Similarly, the self shielding for either the 25 high burnup PWR or BWR rods at 80,000 MWd/MTU is lower



than that of the design basis assemblies. Shielding evaluations for these rods are presented in Sections 5.3.8, 5.3.11 and 5.3.12.

The transport of up to 140 TRIGA fuel elements is evaluated in Section 5.3.6. TRIGA fuel is a solid metal hydride, U-ZrH and may be high enriched (70%), or low enriched (20%). The fuel clad is either aluminum or stainless steel. TRIGA fuel is fabricated in several configurations, as described in Section 1.2.3.1, that vary in weight, active fuel length and overall length. The typical fuel element length and weight is 28.3 inches and 8.82 pounds. The fuel follower control rod element (FFCR) establishes the upper bound weight (13.2 pounds) and length (approximately 45 inches). These elements can only be loaded in the top module of the TRIGA fuel basket. The design basis TRIGA fuel parameters are presented in Table 5.1.1-1 and Table 5.1.1-2. Source term characteristics are presented in Table 5.1.1-3. Cooling time for TRIGA fuel is variable, down to a minimum of 90 days, based on the time required for the decay heat to reach 7.5 watts.

In addition, the transport of TRIGA fuel cluster rods is evaluated in Section 5.3.7. These rods are obtained from the disassembly of the 5x5 (25 rod) arrays comprising the cluster-type TRIGA fuel as shown in Figure 1.2-6. Only the shipment of the fuel cluster rods is analyzed here; no other activated components of the TRIGA cluster assembly are considered for shipment in this analysis. The TRIGA fuel cluster rod is considered to contain a maximum design-basis fuel mass of 50.5 g of U (evaluated at 92 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$ ) for HEU cluster rods and 289.4 g of U (19 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$ ) for LEU elements. Both elements are modeled with a nominal H to Zr ratio of 1.6. A manufacturing tolerance produced H to Zr ratio of 1.7 is evaluated in Chapter 6 for criticality. The manufacturing tolerances have no significant effect on the shielding evaluations. The HEU fuel contains 10 wt % uranium in the U-ZrH<sub>x</sub> fuel meat, while the LEU material contains 45 wt % uranium. The rods are clad in Incoloy 800 and contain upper and lower stainless steel end plugs with a mass of approximately 60.5 g each. For shipment, each rod is placed inside an aluminum tube (ID 0.625 in, OD 0.750 in), with 16 rods occupying each LWT basket opening for a total of up to 112 rods per basket or 560 rods per cask.

The basis for the dose rate evaluation of the TRIGA fuel cluster rods is a source term and one-dimensional shielding analysis in which the minimum cooling time required for the dose rates produced by the TRIGA fuel cluster rods to fall below the dose rates produced by the design basis TRIGA fuel elements. Cooling time results are determined at a large number of fuel burnup values (at approximately every 2.5% increment in  $^{235}\text{U}$  depletion).

PULSTAR fuel elements are zirconium alloy-clad UO<sub>2</sub> pellets with a physical design characteristic as listed in Table 5.1.1-1 and Table 5.1.1-2. PULSTAR fuel assemblies are a 5x5 rectangular array of elements surrounded by a zirconium alloy box, with aluminum upper and lower fittings. The element pitch is nominally 0.524 x 0.606 inch. PULSTAR fuel elements are

analyzed at a loading of 32 grams  $^{235}\text{U}$  per element, an initial enrichment of 6 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$ , and 45%  $^{235}\text{U}$  burnup. For conservatism, a cool time of one year from discharge is employed in the shielding analysis; a cool time of at least 1.5 years is required to meet the basket cell heat load limit of 30 W. Source term characteristics are presented in Table 5.1.1-3

Spiral fuel assemblies typically consist of 10 curved plates (also referred to as elements) of metallic U-Al fuel meat that is aluminum clad. The fuel elements are held in a spiral arrangement between an inner and outer aluminum cylinder to form a fuel assembly. The active fuel region is typically 60.325 cm in height, and the fuel meat is typically 0.061 cm thick. The elements are nominally enriched to 80 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$  and were conservatively evaluated at 75 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$ . Maximum fuel loading per assembly is evaluated at 160 g  $^{235}\text{U}$ . The design basis fuel parameters are provided in Table 5.1.1-1. The fuel characteristics are presented in Table 5.1.1-2. Applying MEU DIDO fuel assembly minimum cool time curves, which are based on a 40 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$  enriched 190 g  $^{235}\text{U}$  fuel assembly, to the spiral fuel elements produces source terms that are bounded by the DIDO MEU fuel. Given similar basket designs, the dose rates produced by the spiral fuel elements are bounded by the MEU DIDO evaluation set.

MOATA fuel bundles consist of a maximum of 14 flat MTR type fuel plates. The fuel plates are composed of a metallic U-Al fuel meat that is aluminum clad. The fuel elements are held in place with aluminum outer plates and pins through the top and bottom of the plate stack in their shipment configuration. The plates are held in a typical MTR plate (12 plates per assembly) with a comb side plate configuration during reactor operations. The active fuel region is typically 58.4 cm in height, and the fuel meat is typically 0.1016-cm thick. The elements are nominally enriched to 90 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$  and were conservatively evaluated at 80 wt %  $^{235}\text{U}$ . Maximum fuel loading per plate is evaluated at 25 g  $^{235}\text{U}$  (nominal loading is 22 g  $^{235}\text{U}$ ). The design basis fuel parameters are provided in Table 5.1.1-1. The fuel characteristics are presented in Table 5.1.1-2. The gamma radiation source for the 14 fuel plate bundle is approximately 2% of the DIDO MEU assembly. Since the basket designs are similar, the dose rates produced by the plate bundle are bounded by the MEU DIDO evaluation set.

The shield materials are selected and arranged to minimize cask weight while maintaining overall shield effectiveness. Lead and steel are chosen as effective gamma radiation shields, and a water tank on the outside of the cask is provided to efficiently moderate and absorb the neutron radiation.

The total neutron and gamma dose rates calculated for the normal operations conditions are shown in Table 5.1.1-4. Note that the maximum dose rate is on the cask lid surfaces at the top end of the cask and does not exceed the design limit of 200 mrem/hour for the surface of the cask. The 10 CFR 71 limits of 10 mrem/hour at two meters from the cask surface and the design limit of 200 mrem/hour on the cask surface are met. Table 5.1.1-4 contains the total dose

rates for the hypothetical accident conditions. These dose rates are well under the 49 CFR 173 limit of 1000 mrem/hour at one meter from the cask surface. The dose rates for the lead slump accident are shown in Table 5.1.1-5. These dose rates show that even with the lead slumped, the hypothetical accident dose rate limits have not been exceeded and the cask is safe for transport.

The cask surface fuel centerline normal operations and hypothetical accident dose rates calculated include neutrons and gammas originating from the fuel, neutrons and gammas scattered from the ground and secondary gammas resulting from neutron capture in the neutron shield. All of the other dose locations also include the contribution from the  $^{60}\text{Co}$  in the end-fittings.

Table 5.1.1-1 Type, Form, Quantity and Potential Sources of Design Basis Fuel

<u>Fuel Type</u>	- PWR, Assembly
	- 3.7 wt % <sup>235</sup> U maximum initial enrichment
	- 35,000 MWd/MTU maximum burnup
	- 2.5 kW per assembly maximum decay heat
	- 2 years (or more) decay time after reactor discharge
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact assemblies
<u>Quantity</u>	- 1 design basis fuel assembly
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Commercial PWR nuclear power reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 35
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- BWR, Assembly
	- 4.0 wt % <sup>235</sup> U maximum initial enrichment
	- 30,000 MWd/MTU maximum burnup
	- 1.1 kW per assembly maximum decay heat, 2.2 kW per cask for 2 assemblies
	- 2 years (or more) decay time after reactor discharge
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact assemblies
<u>Quantity</u>	- 2 design basis fuel assemblies
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Commercial BWR nuclear power reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 35
<u>Fuel Type</u>	High Burnup PWR or BWR rods
	- 5.0 wt % maximum <sup>235</sup> U initial enrichment
	- 80,000 MWd/MTU maximum average burnup
	- 2.3 kW /cask maximum decay heat
	- Minimum cool time dependent on burnup (See Table 5.3.8-29)
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact rods in a fuel assembly lattice or rod holder and intact rods with up to 14 fuel rods classified as damaged in a rod holder
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 25
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Commercial PWR or BWR nuclear power reactor
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 36 (intact rods) 28 (intact rods in a fuel assembly lattice) 37 (intact rods with 14 rods classified as damaged)
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- Uranium metal fuel rods
	- Natural wt % <sup>235</sup> U
	- 1,600 MWd/MTU maximum burnup
	- 0.0357 kW per sound rod maximum decay heat, 0.54 kW per cask for 15 sound fuel rods
	- 1 year (or more) decay time after reactor discharge
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact or encapsulated failed fuel rods
<u>Quantity</u>	- 15 design basis fuel rods, or 6 design basis failed fuel rods
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Research reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 25

**Table 5.1.1-1 Type, Form, Quantity and Potential Sources of Design Basis Fuel  
(cont'd)**

<u>Fuel Type</u>	- Material Test Reactor (MTR) Fuel Elements
	- HEU: 90 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, Maximum burnup variable up to 660,000 MWd/MTU for 380 g <sup>235</sup> U and 577,500 MWd/MTU for 460 g <sup>235</sup> U
	- MEU: 40 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, Maximum burnup variable up to 293,300 MWd/MTU for 380 g <sup>235</sup> U
	- LEU: 19 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, Maximum burnup variable up to 139,300 MWd/MTU for 470 g <sup>235</sup> U and 640 g <sup>235</sup> U
	- 210 W per basket decay heat
	- Variable cool time down to 90 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.5
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact aluminum clad parallel plates
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 42 fuel elements
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Research and Material Test Reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 45
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- TRIGA Fuel Element
	- 20 to 70 wt % <sup>235</sup> U
	- 80% <sup>235</sup> U depletion (approximately 151 GWd/MTU for LEU fuel and 460 GWd/MTU HEU fuel)
	- 7.5 watts per element decay heat
	- Variable cool time down to 90 days
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Aluminum or stainless steel (304) clad rods, intact, failed or as debris
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 140 fuel elements
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Test, Research and Isotope Reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 25
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- HEU and LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods
	- Minimum 92 wt % <sup>235</sup> U (HEU) and minimum 19 wt % <sup>235</sup> U (LEU)
	- 80% <sup>235</sup> U depletion (approximately 600 GWd/MTU for HEU and approximately 140 GWd/MTU for LEU)
	- 1.875 watts per rod decay heat
	- Variable cool time down to 90 days
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Incoloy 800 clad damaged or undamaged rods
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 560 fuel rods
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Test, Research and Isotope Reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 17.9
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- DIDO Fuel Assemblies
	- HEU: 90 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, Maximum burnup variable up to 577,460 MWd/MTU or 70% <sup>235</sup> U depletion
	- MEU: 40 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, Maximum burnup variable up to 256,650 MWd/MTU or 70% <sup>235</sup> U depletion
	- LEU: 19 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, Maximum burnup variable up to 121,910 MWd/MTU or 70% <sup>235</sup> U depletion

**Table 5.1.1-1 Type, Form, Quantity and Potential Sources of Design Basis Fuel  
(cont'd)**

	- 175 or 126 W per basket decay heat
	- Variable cool time down to 180 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.4
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact aluminum clad concentric fuel tubes
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 42 fuel assemblies
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Research Reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 40.1
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- General Atomics (GA) Irradiated Fuel Material (IFM)
	- RERTR (see activity inventory in Table 5.3.10-1)
	- HTGR (see activity inventory in Table 5.3.10-1)
	- <13.05 W
	- Transport after 1/1/96
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- RERTR: 13 intact TRIGA elements, 7 sectioned elements
	- HTGR: Spherical loose fuel particles, cylindrical fuel rods, 2 fuel pebbles
<u>Quantity</u>	- 1 Fuel Handling Unit holding RERTR IFM and 1 Fuel Handling Unit holding HTGR IFM
<u>Source of Fuel</u>	- Research reactors, commercial LWR reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- <1
<u>Maximum Activity</u>	- 3,403 Ci
<u>Material Type</u>	- Tritium Producing Burnable Absorber Rods (TPBARs)
	- 3.35 W/TPBARs; 1.005 kW total <sup>1</sup>
	- 30 days minimum cool time
<u>Material Form</u>	- Type 316 stainless steel clad TPBARs
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 300 TPBARs (of which two can be prefailed)
<u>Source of Material</u>	- Commercial LWR reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 22
<u>Maximum Activity</u>	- 12,800 Ci/TPBAR; 3,840,000 Ci total <sup>1</sup>
<u>Material Type</u>	- Tritium Producing Burnable Poison Rods (TPBARs)
	- 2.31 W/TPBAR, 127 W total
	- 90 days
<u>Material Form</u>	- Type 316 stainless steel clad TPBARs segmented for PIE
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 55 segmented TPBARs
<u>Source of Material</u>	- Commercial LWRs
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 22 <sup>2</sup>
<u>Maximum Activity</u>	- 12,000 Ci/TPBAR, 665,500 Ci total

<sup>1</sup> Conservatively calculated for 30-day minimum cooling time. Actual minimum cooling period for thermal requirements is 90 days.

<sup>2</sup> Conservatively applied 300 TPBAR shipment transport index.

**Table 5.1.1-1 Type, Form, Quantity and Potential Sources of Design Basis Fuel  
(cont'd)**

<u>Fuel Type</u>	- PULSTAR Fuel Elements
	- 6 wt % <sup>235</sup> U
	- 32 grams <sup>235</sup> U per element
	- 45% <sup>235</sup> U depletion (burnup)
	- 210 W per basket decay heat (30 watts per basket cell) × 4 = 840W
	Minimum cool time from discharge of 1.5 years <sup>3</sup>
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact assemblies; intact elements in fuel rod insert; canned intact or failed elements
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 700 elements (25 elements per cell)
<u>Sources of Fuel</u>	- Research reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 25
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- Spiral Fuel Assemblies
	- 75 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, maximum burnup variable up to 70% <sup>235</sup> U depletion
	- 18 W per assembly , 126 W per basket (at given cool time and burnup limits, maximum heat load is 15.7 W per assembly or 110 W per basket)
	Variable cool time down to 270 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.4 for 18 W DIDO MEU fuel
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact aluminum clad fuel plates within concentric aluminum inner and outer shells
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 42 fuel assemblies
<u>Sources of Fuel</u>	- Research reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 40.1 (applied bounding MEU DIDO limit)
<u>Fuel Type</u>	- MOATA Plate Bundles
	- 80 wt % <sup>235</sup> U, maximum burnup variable up to a 30,000 MWd/MTU or 4.1% <sup>235</sup> U depletion
<u>Fuel Form</u>	- Intact aluminum-clad fuel plates
<u>Quantity</u>	- Up to 42 bundles
<u>Sources of Fuel</u>	- Research reactors
<u>Transport Index</u>	- 40.1 (applied bounding MEU DIDO limit)

<sup>3</sup> Conservatively evaluated at a one-year cool time and 38 watts per basket cell.

Table 5.1.1-2 Design Basis Fuel for Shielding Evaluation

Parameter	PWR	BWR	Metallic	MTR (HEU)	MTR (MEU)	MTR (LEU)	DIDO
Assembly Array	15 × 15	7 × 7	N/A	Parallel Plates	Parallel Plates	Parallel Plates	Fuel Tubes
Assembly or Element Weight (lbs)	1650	750	1805 (15 rods)	13.0 (max)	13.0 (max)	13.0 (max)	15.0 (max)
Assembly/Element/Rod Length (in)	162	176	120.5	25.23 <sup>5</sup>	26.14 <sup>5</sup>	26.14 <sup>5</sup>	24.6
Active Fuel Length (in)	144	144	120.0	24.80	25.59	25.59	23.6
No. Rods per Assembly	204	49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
No. of Plates per Element	N/A	N/A	N/A	23	23	23	4
Fuel Rod Diameter/Plate Thickness (in)	0.422	0.563	1.36	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.059
Clad Material	Zr-4	Zr-4	Al	Al	Al	Al	Al
Clad Thickness (in)	0.0243	0.032	0.080	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150	0.0167
Pellet Diameter/Meat Thickness (in)	0.3659	0.487	1.36	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.026
Fuel Material	UO <sub>2</sub>	UO <sub>2</sub>	U metal	U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> -Al; U-Al; or U <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> -Al	U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> -Al; U-Al; or U <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> -Al	U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> -Al; U-Al; or U <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> -Al	U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> -Al; U-Al; or U <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> -Al
Percent Theoretical Density	95	95	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enrichment (wt % <sup>235</sup> U)	3.7	4.0	Natural	90 <sup>8</sup>	40 <sup>8</sup>	19 <sup>8</sup>	90 (HEU) 400 (MEU) 199 (LEU)
Maximum Average Burnup (MWd/MTU)	35,000	30,000	1,600	Variable up to 660,000 <sup>29</sup>	Variable up to 293,300 <sup>2</sup>	Variable up to 139,300 <sup>2</sup>	Variable up to 577,460 (HEU) 256,650 (MEU) 121,910 (LEU)
Minimum Cool Time	2 Years	2 Years	1 Year	Variable down to 90 days <sup>2</sup>	Variable down to 90 days <sup>2</sup>	Variable down to 90 days <sup>2</sup>	Variable down to 180 days <sup>10</sup>
U Weight (kg/assembly)	475	198	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
U Weight (kg/element)	N/A	N/A	54.5	0.422 0.511	0.950	2.4737 3.3684	0.2111 (HEU) 0.4750 (MEU) 1.0000 (LEU)
UO <sub>2</sub> Weight (kg/assembly)	538.9	224.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

- Up to 2 of the PWR rods may have a maximum average burnup of 65,000 MWd/MTU.
- Variable cool time down to 90 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.4.
- Design Basis normal condition source term is for ACPR fuel with 86,100 MWd/MTU (50% <sup>235</sup>U depletion) and accident condition source term is for FLIP-LEU-II with 151,100 MWd/MTU (80% <sup>235</sup>U depletion).
- Detailed fuel data is presented in Tables 1.2-1 and 6.2.5-1. The values presented here are the physical values for the bounding source terms of the ACPR and FLIP-LEU-II fuel types.
- For MTR fuel assemblies, which are cut to remove non-fuel bearing hardware prior to transport, a nominal 0.28 inch of non-fuel hardware will remain above and below the active fuel region to allow for fuel handling operations
- Minimum cool time varies with burnup such that maximum decay heat is 1.875 watts/rod.
- Varies with burnup – see Table 5.3.8-29.
- For the shielding evaluation, lower values are conservatively assumed.
- Maximum burnup of 660,000 MWd/MTU for 380 g <sup>235</sup>U and 577,500 MWd/MTU for 460 g <sup>235</sup>U.
- Variable cool time down to 180 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.4.



Table 5.1.1-2 Design Basis Fuel for Shielding Evaluation (continued)

Parameter	PWR Rods	High B/U PWR Rods	High B/U BWR Rods	TRIGA <sup>4</sup>	TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods	TPBARs
Assembly Array	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assembly or Element Weight (lbs)	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.82 (nominal) 13.2 (max)		2.655
Assembly/Element/Rod Length (in)	162	162	176.1	45	31.0	153.035 (pre-irradiation)
Active Fuel Length (in)	144	150	150	15	22	N/A
No. Rods per Assembly per Shipment	25	25	25	1	1	300 Production or 55 Segmented
No. of Plates per Element	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fuel Rod Diameter/Plate Thickness (in)	0.422	0.440	0.570 (7×7) 0.4961 (other)	1.478	0.542	0.381
Clad Material	Zr-4	Zr-4	Zr-2	304SS	Incoloy 800	316 SS
Clad Thickness (in)	0.242	0.026	0.036 (7×7) 0.0343 (other)	0.02	0.016	0.0225
Pellet Diameter/Meat Thickness (in)	0.3659	0.3805	0.4900 (7×7) 0.4213 (other)	1.435 (max)	0.510	N/A
Fuel Material	UO <sub>2</sub>	UO <sub>2</sub>	UO <sub>2</sub>	U-ZrH	U-ZrH	N/A
Percent Theoretical Density	97	95	95	95	95	N/A
Enrichment (wt % <sup>235</sup> U)	5.0	5.0	5.0	20	92 (HEU) 19 (LEU)	N/A
Maximum Average Burnup (MWd/MTU)	60,000 <sup>1</sup>	80,000	60,000 – 80,000	ACPR 86,100 (50% <sup>235</sup> U) <sup>3</sup> FLIP-LEU-II 151,100 (80% <sup>235</sup> U) <sup>3</sup>	Variable up to 600,000 (HEU) Variable up to 140,000 (LEU)	N/A
Minimum Cool Time	150 (days)	150 days	Varies with burnup <sup>7</sup>	ACPR 231 days FLIP-LEU-II 908 days	Varies with burnup <sup>6</sup>	30 days for production TPBAR; 90 days for PIE TPBAR
U Weight (kg/assembly)	58.2	65.6	108.8 (7×7) 91.3 (other)	N/A	N/A	N/A
U Weight (kg/element)	N/A	N/A	N/A	ACPR 0.280 FLIP-LEU-II 0.824	0.0505 (HEU) 0.2894 (LEU)	N/A
UO <sub>2</sub> Weight (kg/assembly)	66.0	66.0	74.5	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

- Up to 2 of the PWR rods may have a maximum average burnup of 65,000 MWd/MTU.
- Variable cool time down to 90 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.4.
- Design Basis normal condition source term is for ACPR fuel with 86,100 MWd/MTU (50% <sup>235</sup>U depletion) and accident condition source term is for FLIP-LEU-II with 151,100 MWd/MTU (80% <sup>235</sup>U depletion).
- Detailed fuel data is presented in Tables 1.2-1 and 6.2.5-1. The values presented here are the physical values for the bounding source terms of the ACPR and FLIP-LEU-II fuel types.
- For MTR fuel assemblies, which are cut to remove nonfuel-bearing hardware prior to transport, a nominal 0.28 inch of non-fuel hardware will remain above and below the active fuel region to allow for fuel handling operations
- Minimum cool time varies with burnup such that maximum decay heat is 1.875 watts/rod.
- Varies with burnup – see Table 5.3.8-29.
- For the shielding evaluation, lower values are conservatively assumed.
- Maximum burnup of 660,000 MWd/MTU for 380 g <sup>235</sup>U and 577,500 MWd/MTU for 460 g <sup>235</sup>U.
- Variable cool time down to 180 days using the procedure in Section 7.1.4.

Table 5.1.1-2 Design Basis Fuel for Shielding Evaluation (continued)

Parameter	GA IFM RERTR	GA IFM HTGR	PULSTAR Fuel	Spiral Fuel Assembly	MOATA Plate Bundle
Assembly Array	N/A	N/A	5x5	Spiral Plates	Parallel Plates
Assembly or Element Weight (lbs)	23.73	23.52	45 (assembly); 1.3 (element)	7.9	13.6 <sup>11</sup>
Assembly/Element/Rod Length (in)	29.92	N/A	38 (assembly) 26.2 (element)	63.5 cm	58.4 cm <sup>12</sup>
Active Fuel Length (in)	22.05	N/A	24.1	60.325 cm	58.4 cm
No. Rods per Assembly	13 intact; 7 sectioned	N/A	25	N/A	N/A
No. of Plates per Element	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	maximum 14
Fuel Rod Diameter/Plate Thickness (in)	0.543	N/A	0.47	0.147 cm	0.203 cm
Clad Material	Incoloy	N/A	Zirconium alloy	Al	Al
Clad Thickness (in)	0.031	N/A	0.0185	0.043 cm	N/A
Pellet Diameter/Meat Thickness (in)	0.512	N/A	0.423	0.061 cm	0.1016 cm
Fuel Material	U-ZrH	UC <sub>2</sub> ; UCO; UO <sub>2</sub> ; (Th,U)C <sub>2</sub> ; or (Th,U)O <sub>2</sub>	UO <sub>2</sub>	U-Al	U-Al
Percent Theoretical Density	N/A	N/A	94.9% (nominal); 99.5% (analyzed)	N/A	N/A
Enrichment (wt % <sup>235</sup> U)	19.7	93.15 (maximum)	6	75	80
Maximum Average Burnup (MWd/MTU)	N/A	N/A	45	70% <sup>235</sup> U depletion	30,000 MWd/MTU 4.1% <sup>235</sup> U depletion
Minimum Cool Time	None	None	1.0 Year	see MEU DIDO	10 yr
U Weight (kg/assembly)	8.49	0.45	13.33	0.213 <sup>13</sup>	0.4375 <sup>14</sup>
U Weight (kg/element)	0.42	N/A	0.53	0.0213 <sup>15</sup>	0.03125 <sup>16</sup>
UO <sub>2</sub> Weight (kg/assembly)	N/A	N/A	15.13	N/A	N/A

Notes: (cont'd)

11. For 14-fuel plate bundle.
12. Not available for in-core configuration. Analysis input restricted to active fuel length.
13. Based on a 160 g <sup>235</sup>U fissile material load and listed enrichment.
14. Based on fuel mass per plate multiplied by 14 plates.
15. Based on 10 plates per assembly.
16. Based on 25 g <sup>235</sup>U and listed enrichment.

Table 5.1.1-3 Nuclear and Thermal Source Parameters

Payload	Decay Heat (kW)	Gamma Source (g/sec)	Neutron Source (n/sec)	Top End-Fitting (g/sec)	Bottom End-Fitting (g/sec)
1 PWR Assembly	2.5	1.27E+16	2.21E+08	1.49E+13	1.25E+13
2 BWR Assemblies	2.2	1.04E+16	1.34E+08	1.16E+12	2.78E+12
15 Sound Metallic Fuel Rods <sup>2</sup>	0.532	4.37E+15	1.61E+05	N/A	N/A
6 Failed Metallic Fuel Rods <sup>1</sup>	0.03	1.75E+15	6.44E+04	N/A	N/A
42 HEU MTR Elements <sup>3,9</sup>	1.26	7.42E+15	1.40E+08	N/A <sup>15</sup>	N/A <sup>15</sup>
42 MEU MTR Elements <sup>3,8</sup>	1.26	7.86E+15	2.88E+07	N/A <sup>15</sup>	N/A <sup>15</sup>
42 LEU MTR Elements <sup>3,8,14</sup>	1.26	7.51E+15	3.96E+07	N/A <sup>15</sup>	N/A <sup>15</sup>
42 DIDO Assemblies <sup>10</sup>	1.05	6.07E+15	9.73E+04	N/A	N/A
25 PWR Rods <sup>2</sup>	1.41	8.39E+15	1.40E+08	N/A	N/A
TRIGA (140 Elements) Normal Condition	1.05	6.52E+15 <sup>4</sup>	1.57E+06	Note 6	Note 6
TRIGA (140 Elements) Accident Condition	1.05	5.97E+15 <sup>5</sup>	1.06E+08	Note 6	Note 6
HEU TRIGA Cluster Rod <sup>7</sup>	1.875E-03	1.12E+13	4.918E+01	N/A	N/A
LEU TRIGA Cluster Rod <sup>7</sup>	1.875E-03	1.11E+13	4.005E+02	N/A	N/A
General Atomics Irradiated Fuel Material	0.013	3.429E+13	1.279E+04	Note 11	Note 11
300 Production TPBARs	1.005	6.681E+15	N/A	N/A	N/A
55 PIE TPBARs	1.005	5.6E+13	N/A	N/A	N/A
PULSTAR Fuel	1.05 <sup>12</sup>	6.206E+15	2.115E+07	N/A	N/A
Spiral Fuel Assembly <sup>13</sup>	0.756	1.07E +14	4.54E+03	N/A	N/A
MOATA Plate Bundle	0.042	2.2E +12	< 1E+03	N/A	N/A

Notes:

- Gamma and neutron source terms conservatively calculated based on design basis sound metallic fuel rods.
- 23 rods with 60,000 MWd/MTU burnup and two rods with 65,000 MWd/MTU burnup. Source terms as a function of cool time for the 80,000 MWd/MTU burnup PWR and BWR rods are presented in Section 5.3.8.
- Bounding values of the gamma and neutron source terms presented for 30W uniform loading for 80% burnup.
- Based on TRIGA ACPR fuel (86,100 MWd/MTU, 231 days cooling, 50% <sup>235</sup>U depletion).
- Based on TRIGA FLIP-LEU-II fuel (151,100 MWd/MTU, 908 days cooling, 80% <sup>235</sup>U depletion).
- Total hardware gamma is 7.64E+14 gamma/second for ACPR fuel (86,100 MWd/MTU, 231 days cooling, 50% <sup>235</sup>U depletion).
- Source term at TRIGA cluster rods maximum dose rate burnup/cool time combination. For HEU fuel, 150 GWd/MTU, 1.34 years cooled. For LEU fuel, 30 GWd/MTU, 1.5 years cooled. Gamma source includes source from activated inconel clad.
- Moderator used is light water, H<sub>2</sub>O.
- Moderator used is heavy water, D<sub>2</sub>O.
- Bounding values of the gamma and neutron source terms presented for 25W uniform loading for 70% burnup HEU fuel.
- Hardware activation, including end-fitting sources, for the TRIGA elements included in the total gamma source for GA IFM.
- Cool time required to meet 30 watt per cell heat load limit is 1.5 years.
- Based on 18 W per assembly heat load.
- Fuel source represents maximum magnitude gamma source obtained from the 470 g <sup>235</sup>U analysis, and the maximum neutron source obtained from the 640 g <sup>235</sup>U analysis.
- A maximum 100 grams of cadmium may be included as part of the MTR fuel element or plate construction. Activation of the cadmium produces no significant source per Section 5.3.4.

Table 5.1.1-4 Combined Dose Rates for Normal Operations Conditions

(1 PWR assembly, 35,000 MWd/MTU, 2-year cool time)

Location	Detector I.D.	Radiation	Normal Dose Rate (mrem/hr)
Radial at 2 m from personnel barrier, Fuel midplane	1	Neutron	1.25
		Secondary Gamma	0.18
		Primary Gamma	<u>6.71</u>
		TOTAL	8.14
Radial surface, Fuel midplane	2	Neutron	6.53
		Secondary Gamma	1.37
		Primary Gamma	<u>43.44</u>
		TOTAL	51.34
Bottom surface, Axial centerline	3	Neutron	0.33
		Primary Gamma	35.51
		End-fitting Gamma	<u>17.02</u>
		TOTAL	52.86
Bottom at 2 m from impact limiter, Axial centerline	4	Neutron	0.03
		Primary Gamma	2.19
		End-fitting Gamma	<u>0.79</u>
		TOTAL	3.01
Top surface, Axial centerline	5	Neutron	0.12
		Primary Gamma	54.17
		End-fitting Gamma	<u>41.45</u>
		TOTAL	95.74
Top at 2 m from impact limiter, Axial centerline	6	Neutron	0.01
		Primary Gamma	3.82
		End-fitting Gamma	<u>2.17</u>
		TOTAL	6.00
Top at Cab	7	Neutron	0.00135
		Primary Gamma	0.47
		End-fitting Gamma	<u>0.25</u>
		TOTAL	0.72

**Table 5.1.1-5 Hypothetical Accident – Loss of Shielding Materials**

(1 PWR assembly, 35,000 MWd/MTU, 2-year cool time)

Location	Detector I.D.	Radiation	Normal Dose Rate (mrem/hr)
Radial surface, Fuel midplane, With neutron shield	8	Neutron	6.53
		Secondary Gamma	1.37
		Primary Gamma	<u>43.44</u>
		TOTAL	51.34
Radial surface, Fuel midplane, Without neutron shield	9	Neutron	177.13
		Secondary Gamma	0.39
		Primary Gamma	<u>75.00</u>
		TOTAL	252.52
Radial at 1 m from surface, Fuel midplane, Without neutron shield	10	Neutron	50.93
		Secondary Gamma	1.52
		Primary Gamma	<u>54.59</u>
		TOTAL	107.04

Table 5.1.1-6 Hypothetical Accident –Lead Slump

Location	Detector I.D.	Radiation	Normal Dose Rate (mrem/hr)
Radial at 1 m from surface, PWR top end-fitting	11	End-fitting Gamma	3.60
		TOTAL	3.60
Radial at 1 m from surface, PWR top end-fitting	12	End-fitting Gamma	1.31
		TOTAL	1.31
Radial at 1 m from surface, PWR top end-fitting	13	End-fitting Gamma	0.80
		TOTAL	0.80
Radial at 1 m from surface, PWR bottom end-fitting	14	End-fitting Gamma	0.01
		TOTAL	0.01
Radial at 1 m from surface, PWR bottom end-fitting	15	End-fitting Gamma	0.35
		TOTAL	0.35
Radial at 1 m from surface, PWR bottom end-fitting	16	End-fitting Gamma	1.48
		TOTAL	1.48
Radial at 1 m from surface, BWR bottom end-fitting	17	End-fitting Gamma	0.10
		TOTAL	0.10
Radial at 1 m from surface, BWR bottom end-fitting	18	End-fitting Gamma	0.54
		TOTAL	0.54
Radial at 1 m from surface, BWR bottom end-fitting	19	End-fitting Gamma	0.84
		TOTAL	0.84

### 5.3.7 TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Model Specification and Shielding Evaluation

TRIGA fuel cluster rods are shown to comply with regulatory dose rate limits by determining the cool time required for the fuel to fall below the design basis TRIGA element-type dose rates. Source terms for the fuel cluster rods are determined using the SCALE SAS2H (Herman) code at various fuel burnup values. For each burnup considered, the resulting set of cool time and decay heat values is interpolated to find the cool time required for the fuel to meet the maximum allowed heat load (1.875W). A final ORIGEN-S calculation is performed at this cool time in order to compute the source spectra at the required decay time.

For consistency, the one-dimensional SCALE SAS1 dose rates computed for the fuel cluster rods are compared with one-dimensional dose rates for the design basis TRIGA fuel elements. Both normal condition and accident condition dose rates are considered, and the maximum cool time required to meet both limits is reported. Further, since the TRIGA fuel cluster rods have approximately the same end-fitting mass as the element-type fuel, the comparison is made on the basis of fuel radiation sources alone.

The maximum computed one-dimensional dose rate at 2 meters from the conveyance for the normal condition TRIGA fuel element analysis is 4.5 mrem/hr. The more accurate three-dimensional analysis predicts a dose rate at the same location of 3.18 mrem/hr. Hence, the one-dimensional analysis provides a conservative estimate of computed dose rates. Similarly, in the loss of neutron shielding hypothetical accident scenario, the maximum computed one-dimensional dose rate for the design basis fuel is 35 mrem/hr and the three-dimensional result is 28.07 mrem/hr.

Section 5.3.7.1 presents the SAS2H source term model for the TRIGA fuel cluster rods. Section 5.3.7.2 discusses the methodology used to compute one-dimensional dose rates for each burnup and cool time case. The resulting required cool times for the fuel cluster rods are presented in Section 5.3.7.3.

#### 5.3.7.1 TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Source Terms

The SAS2H description of the TRIGA fuel cluster rods is based on the material and dimensional parameters given in Table 5.3.7-1. The irradiation parameters are based on a nominal 14 MW TRIGA reactor operating with 29 cluster-type assemblies consisting of 25 rods each. The SAS2H models use a fuel and clad temperature of 517K, and a moderator temperature of 363K (unpressurized nonboiling reactor), at a density of 0.981 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. For each burnup case, the required exposure time is computed at this fixed power level. This conservatively assumes that all fuel irradiation occurs during the period immediately prior to fuel discharge. Representative

gamma and neutron source terms are summarized in Table 5.3.7-3 and Table 5.3.7-4 for HEU fuel material and Table 5.3.7-5 and Table 5.3.7-6 for LEU fuel material. Source terms are based on a heat load limit of 1.875W per rod. SAS2H input files for the maximum burnup HEU and LEU cases are shown in Figure 5.3.7-1 and Figure 5.3.7-2, respectively.

The Incoloy 800 (density 7.94 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) clad material composition is given in Table 5.3.7-2. A cobalt impurity concentration of 1.2 g/kg is assumed. No cobalt is listed in the manufacturer specifications for this material.

### **5.3.7.2 TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod One-Dimensional Dose Rate Analysis**

The task of computing one-dimensional dose rates for the dozens of source terms developed in this analysis is simplified by the use of a dose response methodology. In this approach, a dose rate response function is computed at various detector locations outside the cask. The response function for a location gives the contribution to the total dose rate from an unit source strength in each energy group. Hence, the computation of a response function involves the solution of a one-dimensional problem for each energy group in the spectrum. Here, 18 gamma responses and 7 neutron responses are computed. Only seven neutron responses are required because the spent fuel neutron spectrum is non-zero only in the first seven groups.

For the one-dimensional response calculations, the LWT basket region is represented as a homogenized smear of the fuel, fuel tube, and basket structural materials. The resulting composition of the smear is shown in Table 5.3.7-7. The basket smear is homogenized on the basis of a cylinder of radius 14.329 cm and height 279.40 cm (5 times the active fuel height).

With these response functions, the dose rate at the corresponding detector location is determined for any given burnup and cool time combination by simply multiplying the fuel source spectrum by the appropriate response function. The SCALE SAS1 sequence is used to develop dose rate response functions. The computed response functions are shown in Table 5.3.7-8 through Table 5.3.7-11 for HEU material and Table 5.3.7-12 through Table 5.3.7-15 for LEU material.

### **5.3.7.3 TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Required Cool Times**

The cool time results for the HEU and LEU TRIGA fuel cluster rods are shown in Table 5.3.7-16 and Table 5.3.7-17, respectively. The result tables include the cool time required to meet the 1.875W heat load limit per rod. For each burnup and cool time combination, the normal condition 2-meter and accident condition 1-meter dose rates are given. As the cask surface dose rates are documented for the TRIGA rods to be significantly below the limits (by over a factor of 5), normal condition surface dose rates are not included in the result summary. All dose rates are significantly below licensing limits, with HEU fuel producing bounding dose rates. The maximum 1-meter dose rate under normal conditions is 17.9 mrem/hr for a transport index (TI) of 17.9.



Figure 5.3.7-1 HEU TRIGA Cluster Fuel Rod SAS2H Sample Input (600 GWd/MTU)

```
=SAS2H      PARM=(HALT04,SKIPSHIPDATA)
TRIGA v1.2 - CLST - U=10.0% - U235=92.0% - H/Zr=1.6 - 505g - 600.0 GWD/MTU
27GROUPNDF4 LATTICECELL
U 1 DEN=6.8570E-01 1.0 517 92235 92.0 92238 8.0 END
Zr 1 DEN=6.0641E+00 1.0 517      END
H 1 DEN=1.0720E-01 1.0 517      END
NI 2 DEN=7.94 0.3250 517      END
FE 2 DEN=7.94 0.4182 517      END
CR 2 DEN=7.94 0.2100 517      END
C 2 DEN=7.94 0.0010 517      END
MN 2 DEN=7.94 0.0150 517      END
S 2 DEN=7.94 0.0002 517      END
SI 2 DEN=7.94 0.0100 517      END
CU 2 DEN=7.94 0.0075 517      END
CO 2 DEN=7.94 0.0012 517      END
AL 2 DEN=7.94 0.0060 517      END
TI 2 DEN=7.94 0.0060 517      END
H2O 3 DEN=9.8060E-01 1.0 363      END
C 4 DEN=2.4823E+00 1.0 300      END
Zr 5 1.0 517      END
U 6 DEN=6.8570E-01 1.0 517 92235 92.0 92238 8.0 END
Zr 6 DEN=6.0641E+00 1.0 517      END
H 6 DEN=1.0720E-01 1.0 517      END
END COMP
SQUAREPITCH 1.74752 1.295 1 3 1.377 2      END
MORE DATA ISN=16 IIM=50 ICM=50      END
NPIN/ASSM=1 FUELNGTH=55.88 NCYCLES=4 NLIB/CYC=1 LIGHTEL=5
PRINTLEVEL=6 INPLEVEL=2
NUMZTOTAL=1 MXREPEATS=1 END
500 1.39432
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=392.2838 DOWN=10 END
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=392.2838 DOWN=10 END
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=392.2838 DOWN=10 END
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=392.2838 DOWN=90 END
FE 0.672
CR 0.19
NI 0.115
MN 0.02
CO 0.0012
END
=ORIGENS
0$$ A4 21 A8 26 A10 51 71 E
1$$ 1 1T
Decay - fission product gamma rebin
3$$ 21 0 1 A33 -86 E
54$$ A8 1 E T
35$$ 0 T
56$$ 0 1 A13 -2 5 3 E
57** 0.2464 E T
Decay - fission product gamma rebin
SINGLE Rod
60** 3.4759
65$$ A4 1 A7 1 A10 1 A25 1 A28 1 A31 1 A46 1 A49 1 A52 1 E
61** F1.e-6
81$$ 2 51 26 1 E
82$$ F6 T
FISSION PRODUCT GAMMA SPECTRA IN SCALE 18 GROUPS
56$$ F0 T
END
=ORIGENS
0$$ A4 21 A8 26 A10 51 71 E
1$$ 1 1T
Decay - actinide gamma rebin
3$$ 21 0 1 A33 -86 E
54$$ A8 1 E T
35$$ 0 T
56$$ 0 1 A13 -2 5 3 E
57** 0.2464 E T
Decay - actinide gamma rebin
SINGLE Rod
60** 3.4759
65$$ A4 1 A7 1 A10 1 A25 1 A28 1 A31 1 A46 1 A49 1 A52 1 E
61** F1.e-6
```

```
81$$ 2 51 26 1 E
82$$ F5 T
ACTINIDE GAMMA SPECTRA IN SCALE 18 GROUPS
56$$ F0 T
END
=ORIGENS
0$$ A4 21 A8 26 A10 51 71 E
1$$ 1 1T
Decay - light element gamma rebin
3$$ 21 0 1 A33 -86 E
54$$ A8 1 E T
35$$ 0 T
56$$ 0 1 A13 -2 5 3 E
57** 0.2464 E T
Decay - light element gamma rebin
SINGLE Rod
60** 3.4759
65$$ A4 1 A7 1 A10 1 A25 1 A28 1 A31 1 A46 1 A49 1 A52 1 E
61** F1.e-6
81$$ 2 51 26 1 E
82$$ F4 T
LIGHT ELEMENT SCALE GROUP STRUCTURE
56$$ F0 T
END
```

Figure 5.3.7-2 LEU TRIGA Cluster Fuel Rod SAS2H Sample Input (140 GWD/MTU)

```
=SAS2H    PARM=(HALT04,SKIPSHIPDATA)
TRIGA v1.2 - CLST - U=45.0% - U235=19.0% - H/Zr=1.6 - 643g - 140.0 GWD/MTU
27GROUPNDF4 LATTICECELL
U 1 DEN=3.9289E+00 1.0 517 92235 19.0 92238 81.0 END
Zr 1 DEN=4.7186E+00 1.0 517      END
H 1 DEN=8.3417E-02 1.0 517      END
NI 2 DEN=7.94 0.3250 517      END
FE 2 DEN=7.94 0.4182 517      END
CR 2 DEN=7.94 0.2100 517      END
C 2 DEN=7.94 0.0010 517      END
MN 2 DEN=7.94 0.0150 517      END
S 2 DEN=7.94 0.0002 517      END
SI 2 DEN=7.94 0.0100 517      END
CU 2 DEN=7.94 0.0075 517      END
CO 2 DEN=7.94 0.0012 517      END
AL 2 DEN=7.94 0.0060 517      END
TI 2 DEN=7.94 0.0060 517      END
H2O 3 DEN=9.8060E-01 1.0 363      END
C 4 DEN=2.4823E+00 1.0 300      END
Zr 5 1.0 517      END
U 6 DEN=3.9289E+00 1.0 517 92235 19.0 92238 81.0 END
Zr 6 DEN=4.7186E+00 1.0 517      END
H 6 DEN=8.3417E-02 1.0 517      END
END COMP
SQUAREPITCH 1.74752 1.295 1 3 1.377 2  END
MORE DATA ISN=16 IIM=50 ICM=50  END
NPIN/ASSM=1 FUELENGTH=55.88 NCYCLES=4 NLIB/CYC=1 LIGHTTEL=5
PRINTLEVEL=6 INPLEVEL=2
NUMZTOTAL=1 MXREPEATS=1 END
500 1.39432
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=524.4562 DOWN=10 END
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=524.4562 DOWN=10 END
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=524.4562 DOWN=10 END
POWER=1.9310E-02 BURN=524.4562 DOWN=90 END
FE 0.672
CR 0.19
NI 0.115
MN 0.02
CO 0.0012
END
=ORIGENS
0$$ A4 21 A8 26 A10 51 71 E
1$$ 1 1T
D Decay - fission product gamma rebin
3$$ 21 0 1 A33 -86 E
54$$ A8 1 E T
35$$ 0 T
56$$ 0 1 A13 -2 5 3 E
57** 0.2464 E T
Decay - fission product gamma rebin
SINGLE Rod
60** 5.2795
65$$ A4 1 A7 1 A10 1 A25 1 A28 1 A31 1 A46 1 A49 1 A52 1 E
61** F1.e-6
81$$ 2 51 26 1 E
82$$ F6 T
FISSION PRODUCT GAMMA SPECTRA IN SCALE 18 GROUPS
56$$ F0 T
END
=ORIGENS
0$$ A4 21 A8 26 A10 51 71 E
1$$ 1 1T
Decay - actinide gamma rebin
3$$ 21 0 1 A33 -86 E
54$$ A8 1 E T
35$$ 0 T
56$$ 0 1 A13 -2 5 3 E
57** 0.2464 E T
Decay - actinide gamma rebin
SINGLE Rod
60** 5.2795
65$$ A4 1 A7 1 A10 1 A25 1 A28 1 A31 1 A46 1 A49 1 A52 1 E
61** F1.e-6
```

```
81$$ 2 51 26 1 E
82$$ F5 T
ACTINIDE GAMMA SPECTRA IN SCALE 18 GROUPS
56$$ F0 T
END
=ORIGENS
0$$ A4 21 A8 26 A10 51 71 E
1$$ 1 1T
Decay - light element gamma rebin
3$$ 21 0 1 A33 -86 E
54$$ A8 1 E T
35$$ 0 T
56$$ 0 1 A13 -2 5 3 E
57** 0.2464 E T
Decay - light element gamma rebin
SINGLE Rod
60** 5.2795
65$$ A4 1 A7 1 A10 1 A25 1 A28-1 A31 1 A46 1 A49 1 A52 1 E
61** F1.e-6
81$$ 2 51 26 1 E
82$$ F4 T
LIGHT ELEMENT SCALE GROUP STRUCTURE
56$$ F0 T
END
```

**Table 5.3.7-1 TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Parameters**

Parameter	[in]	[cm]
Overall length	30.130	76.530
Fuel height	22.000	55.880
Fuel diameter	0.510	1.295
U mass fraction	10% (HEU) / 45% (LEU)	-
<sup>235</sup> U enrichment <sup>1</sup>	92% (HEU) / 19% (LEU)	-
Fuel mass [g]	50.5 (HEU) / 289.4 (LEU)	-
H to Zr ratio	1.6	-
Cladding thickness	0.016	0.041
Clad diameter	0.542	1.377
Clad material	Incoloy 800	-
Tube ID	0.625	1.588
Tube OD	0.750	1.905
Tube material	aluminum	-
Power [MW]	0.0193	-
Number cycles	4	-
Down time between cycles [d]	10	-
Exposure [d]	varies	-

Note: Fuel dimensions represent the nominal configuration values.

<sup>1</sup>Enrichments represent minimum values. Lower limit enrichments produce maximum source terms.

**Table 5.3.7-2 Incoloy 800 Clad Composition**

$\rho=7.94 \text{ g/cm}^3$ Isotope	Mass Fraction	Number Density [atm/b-cm]
NI	0.3250	2.6479E-02
FE	0.4182	3.5803E-02
CR	0.2100	1.9312E-02
C	0.0010	3.9846E-04
MN	0.0150	1.3055E-03
S	0.0002	2.2369E-05
SI	0.0100	1.7025E-03
CU	0.0075	5.5949E-04
CO	0.0012	9.7361E-05
AL	0.0060	1.0633E-03
TI	0.0060	5.9920E-04

**Table 5.3.7-3 Representative HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Gamma Spectra at 150 GWd/MTU and 1.342 Year Cool Time**

Group	E <sub>min</sub>	E <sub>max</sub>	E <sub>av</sub>	Fuel Gamma	Hardware
				g/s/assy	g/s/kg
1	8.00E+00	1.00E+01	9.00E+00	1.3715E-02	0.0000E+00
2	6.50E+00	8.00E+00	7.25E+00	6.5356E-02	0.0000E+00
3	5.00E+00	6.50E+00	5.75E+00	3.3880E-01	0.0000E+00
4	4.00E+00	5.00E+00	4.50E+00	8.5991E-01	0.0000E+00
5	3.00E+00	4.00E+00	3.50E+00	1.3994E+07	2.1078E-18
6	2.50E+00	3.00E+00	2.75E+00	1.5076E+08	1.5024E+04
7	2.00E+00	2.50E+00	2.25E+00	4.6634E+10	9.6891E+06
8	1.66E+00	2.00E+00	1.83E+00	4.5791E+09	1.0911E+08
9	1.33E+00	1.66E+00	1.50E+00	3.4986E+10	4.0828E+11
10	1.00E+00	1.33E+00	1.17E+00	5.4462E+10	1.4458E+12
11	8.00E-01	1.00E+00	9.00E-01	1.3568E+11	2.4795E+11
12	6.00E-01	8.00E-01	7.00E-01	1.7889E+12	8.9675E+06
13	4.00E-01	6.00E-01	5.00E-01	4.9752E+11	7.0972E+09
14	3.00E-01	4.00E-01	3.50E-01	2.7316E+11	1.2509E+08
15	2.00E-01	3.00E-01	2.50E-01	3.5064E+11	1.1931E+08
16	1.00E-01	2.00E-01	1.50E-01	1.5849E+12	1.3870E+09
17	5.00E-02	1.00E-01	7.50E-02	1.5295E+12	5.2359E+09
18	1.00E-02	5.00E-02	3.00E-02	4.6914E+12	2.5711E+10
<b>Total</b>				1.0992E+13	2.1418E+12

**Table 5.3.7-4 Representative HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Neutron Spectrum at 150 GWd/MTU and 1.342 Year Cool Time**

Group	E <sub>min</sub>	E <sub>max</sub>	E <sub>av</sub>	Fuel Neutron
				n/s/assy
1	6.43E+00	2.00E+01	1.32E+01	3.907E-01
2	3.00E+00	6.43E+00	4.72E+00	1.025E+01
3	1.85E+00	3.00E+00	2.43E+00	2.027E+01
4	1.40E+00	1.85E+00	1.63E+00	6.741E+00
5	9.00E-01	1.40E+00	1.15E+00	5.921E+00
6	4.00E-01	9.00E-01	6.50E-01	4.708E+00
7	1.00E-01	4.00E-01	2.50E-01	9.014E-01
8-27				0
<b>Total</b>				4.918E+01



Table 5.3.7-5 Representative LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Gamma Spectra

Group	E <sub>min</sub>	E <sub>max</sub>	E <sub>av</sub>	30 GWd/MTU – 1.5 Years		140 GWd/MTU – 5.3 Years	
				Fuel Gamma	Hardware	Fuel Gamma	Hardware
				g/s/rod	g/s/kg	g/s/rod	g/s/kg
1	8.00E+00	1.00E+01	9.00E+00	1.6759E-01	0.0000E+00	8.3995E+01	0.0000E+00
2	6.50E+00	8.00E+00	7.25E+00	7.9334E-01	0.0000E+00	3.9568E+02	0.0000E+00
3	5.00E+00	6.50E+00	5.75E+00	4.0740E+00	0.0000E+00	2.0176E+03	0.0000E+00
4	4.00E+00	5.00E+00	4.50E+00	1.0234E+01	0.0000E+00	5.0286E+03	0.0000E+00
5	3.00E+00	4.00E+00	3.50E+00	1.9609E+07	3.5241E-18	5.9296E+06	1.0031E-15
6	2.50E+00	3.00E+00	2.75E+00	1.9278E+08	1.5442E+04	4.9029E+07	4.3586E+04
7	2.00E+00	2.50E+00	2.25E+00	4.4530E+10	9.9589E+06	2.4023E+09	2.8109E+07
8	1.66E+00	2.00E+00	1.83E+00	4.8799E+09	7.9346E+07	8.9544E+08	1.1584E+02
9	1.33E+00	1.66E+00	1.50E+00	3.5841E+10	4.1965E+11	3.9671E+10	1.1845E+12
10	1.00E+00	1.33E+00	1.17E+00	6.1250E+10	1.4860E+12	1.8088E+11	4.1943E+12
11	8.00E-01	1.00E+00	9.00E-01	1.5418E+11	2.7182E+11	5.3290E+11	2.3524E+10
12	6.00E-01	8.00E-01	7.00E-01	1.6820E+12	7.0347E+06	4.4478E+12	4.9556E+06
13	4.00E-01	6.00E-01	5.00E-01	4.4530E+10	5.1626E+09	1.0886E+12	1.4277E+07
14	3.00E-01	4.00E-01	3.50E-01	4.8799E+09	1.1079E+08	1.1637E+11	2.2578E+08
15	2.00E-01	3.00E-01	2.50E-01	3.5841E+10	1.0458E+08	1.7638E+11	1.7208E+08
16	1.00E-01	2.00E-01	1.50E-01	6.1250E+10	1.3677E+09	6.2780E+11	3.4656E+09
17	5.00E-02	1.00E-01	7.50E-02	1.5418E+11	5.2957E+09	7.8533E+11	1.4365E+10
18	1.00E-02	5.00E-02	3.00E-02	1.6820E+12	2.6174E+10	2.7120E+12	7.2383E+10
<b>Total</b>				1.0913E+13	2.2158E+12	1.0711E+13	5.4930E+12

**Table 5.3.7-6 Representative LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Neutron Spectrum**

Group	E <sub>min</sub>	E <sub>max</sub>	E <sub>av</sub>	30 GWd/M.TU – 1.5 Years	140 GWd/MTU – 5.3 Years
				n/s/rod	n/s/rod
1	6.43E+00	2.00E+01	1.32E+01	3.907E-01	2.738E+03
2	3.00E+00	6.43E+00	4.72E+00	1.025E+01	3.151E+04
3	1.85E+00	3.00E+00	2.43E+00	2.027E+01	3.559E+04
4	1.40E+00	1.85E+00	1.63E+00	6.741E+00	1.972E+04
5	9.00E-01	1.40E+00	1.15E+00	5.921E+00	2.644E+04
6	4.00E-01	9.00E-01	6.50E-01	4.708E+00	2.870E+04
7	1.00E-01	4.00E-01	2.50E-01	9.014E-01	5.616E+03
8-27				0	0
<b>Total</b>				4.918E+01	1.503E+05

**Table 5.3.7-7 Fuel Basket Region Material Composition Used in Shielding Analysis**

Isotope	Number Density
	[a/b-cm]
HYDROGEN	1.4660E-02 (HEU)
	1.1407E-02 (LEU)
CARBON-12	1.1803E-05
ALUMINUM	9.1431E-03
SILICON	5.0432E-05
SULFUR	8.8350E-07
TITANIUM	1.7750E-05
CHROMIUM	5.7206E-04
CHROMIUM(SS304)	2.9885E-03
MANGANESE	3.3640E-04
IRON	1.0607E-03
IRON(SS304)	1.0178E-02
COBALT-59	2.8841E-06
NICKEL	7.8439E-04
NICKEL(SS304)	1.3239E-03
COPPER	1.6574E-05
ZIRCONIUM	9.1613E-03 (HEU)
	7.1286E-03 (LEU)
URANIUM-235	3.6989E-04 (HEU)
	4.3769E-04 (LEU)
URANIUM-238	3.1758E-05 (HEU)
	1.8424E-03 (LEU)

**Table 5.3.7-8 Normal Condition Dose Response to Gammas for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

**Conveyance +2m Response to Gammas [mrem/hr per 10<sup>10</sup> g/sec/cm<sup>3</sup>]**

Gamma Group	Neutron	Gamma	Total
1	0.0000E+00	8.7827E+02	8.7827E+02
2	0.0000E+00	1.1271E+03	1.1271E+03
3	0.0000E+00	1.2216E+03	1.2216E+03
4	0.0000E+00	1.1507E+03	1.1507E+03
5	0.0000E+00	9.3368E+02	9.3368E+02
6	0.0000E+00	6.0752E+02	6.0752E+02
7	0.0000E+00	3.3223E+02	3.3223E+02
8	0.0000E+00	1.3049E+02	1.3049E+02
9	0.0000E+00	3.8963E+01	3.8963E+01
10	0.0000E+00	4.8262E+00	4.8262E+00
11	0.0000E+00	1.6823E-01	1.6823E-01
12	0.0000E+00	2.2095E-03	2.2095E-03
13	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
14	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
15	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
16	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
17	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
18	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00

**Table 5.3.7-9 Normal Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

**Conveyance +2m Response to Neutrons [mrem/hr per 10<sup>10</sup> n/sec/cm<sup>3</sup>]**

Neutron Group	Neutron	N-Gamma	Total
1	1.5108E+07	3.5697E+06	1.8678E+07
2	9.7886E+06	3.4320E+06	1.3221E+07
3	9.3287E+06	3.5005E+06	1.2829E+07
4	8.1394E+06	3.6006E+06	1.1740E+07
5	7.6472E+06	3.6808E+06	1.1328E+07
6	7.6040E+06	3.8733E+06	1.1477E+07
7	8.5034E+06	4.2076E+06	1.2711E+07

**Table 5.3.7-10 Accident Condition Dose Response to Gammas for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

Accident 1m Response to Gammas [mrem/hr per 10 <sup>10</sup> g/sec/cm <sup>3</sup> ]			
Gamma Group	Neutron	Gamma	Total
1	0.0000E+00	3.3595E+03	3.3595E+03
2	0.0000E+00	4.3690E+03	4.3690E+03
3	0.0000E+00	4.8553E+03	4.8553E+03
4	0.0000E+00	4.7248E+03	4.7248E+03
5	0.0000E+00	3.9709E+03	3.9709E+03
6	0.0000E+00	2.6934E+03	2.6934E+03
7	0.0000E+00	1.5268E+03	1.5268E+03
8	0.0000E+00	6.2615E+02	6.2615E+02
9	0.0000E+00	1.9495E+02	1.9495E+02
10	0.0000E+00	2.5529E+01	2.5529E+01
11	0.0000E+00	9.5430E-01	9.5430E-01
12	0.0000E+00	1.3397E-02	1.3397E-02
13	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
14	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
15	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
16	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
17	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
18	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00

**Table 5.3.7-11 Accident Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

Accident 1m Response to Neutrons [mrem/hr per 10 <sup>10</sup> n/sec/cm <sup>3</sup> ]			
Neutron Group	Neutron	N-Gamma	Total
1	6.9825E+08	1.8022E+06	7.0005E+08
2	6.4426E+08	1.4226E+06	6.4568E+08
3	6.5723E+08	1.3323E+06	6.5856E+08
4	6.5822E+08	1.3207E+06	6.5954E+08
5	6.5912E+08	1.3375E+06	6.6046E+08
6	6.5766E+08	1.4370E+06	6.5910E+08
7	6.6848E+08	1.7133E+06	6.7019E+08

**Table 5.3.7-12 Normal Condition Dose Response to Gammas for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

**Conveyance +2m Response to Gammas [mrem/hr per 10<sup>10</sup> g/sec/cm<sup>3</sup>]**

Gamma Group	Neutron	Gamma	Total
1	0.0000E+00	7.3425E+02	7.3425E+02
2	0.0000E+00	9.5273E+02	9.5273E+02
3	0.0000E+00	1.0435E+03	1.0435E+03
4	0.0000E+00	9.9317E+02	9.9317E+02
5	0.0000E+00	8.1421E+02	8.1421E+02
6	0.0000E+00	5.3413E+02	5.3413E+02
7	0.0000E+00	2.9313E+02	2.9313E+02
8	0.0000E+00	1.1505E+02	1.1505E+02
9	0.0000E+00	3.4153E+01	3.4153E+01
10	0.0000E+00	4.1479E+00	4.1479E+00
11	0.0000E+00	1.4023E-01	1.4023E-01
12	0.0000E+00	1.7465E-03	1.7465E-03
13	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
14	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
15	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
16	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
17	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
18	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00

**Table 5.3.7-13 Normal Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

**Conveyance +2m Response to Neutrons [mrem/hr per 10<sup>10</sup> n/sec/cm<sup>3</sup>]**

Neutron Group	Neutron	N-Gamma	Total
1	1.3321E+07	2.8932E+06	1.6214E+07
2	7.7218E+06	2.6000E+06	1.0322E+07
3	7.1589E+06	2.6093E+06	9.7682E+06
4	5.6939E+06	2.6182E+06	8.3120E+06
5	4.9653E+06	2.6149E+06	7.5802E+06
6	4.4947E+06	2.6660E+06	7.1607E+06
7	4.8302E+06	2.7813E+06	7.6116E+06

**Table 5.3.7-14 Accident Condition Dose Response to Gammas for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

Accident 1m Response to Gammas [mrem/hr per 10 <sup>10</sup> g/sec/cm <sup>3</sup> ]			
Gamma Group	Neutron	Gamma	Total
1	0.0000E+00	2.8056E+03	2.8056E+03
2	0.0000E+00	3.6896E+03	3.6896E+03
3	0.0000E+00	4.1438E+03	4.1438E+03
4	0.0000E+00	4.0750E+03	4.0750E+03
5	0.0000E+00	3.4606E+03	3.4606E+03
6	0.0000E+00	2.3668E+03	2.3668E+03
7	0.0000E+00	1.3464E+03	1.3464E+03
8	0.0000E+00	5.5180E+02	5.5180E+02
9	0.0000E+00	1.7081E+02	1.7081E+02
10	0.0000E+00	2.1931E+01	2.1931E+01
11	0.0000E+00	7.9507E-01	7.9507E-01
12	0.0000E+00	1.0585E-02	1.0585E-02
13	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
14	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
15	0.0000E+00	~0	~0
16	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
17	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
18	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00

**Table 5.3.7-15 Accident Condition Dose Response to Neutrons for LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rods**

Accident 1m Response to Neutrons [mrem/hr per 10 <sup>10</sup> n/sec/cm <sup>3</sup> ]			
Neutron Group	Neutron	N-Gamma	Total
1	5.8927E+08	1.4562E+06	5.9073E+08
2	5.0824E+08	1.0199E+06	5.0925E+08
3	5.1272E+08	9.0017E+05	5.1362E+08
4	4.9699E+08	8.4472E+05	4.9784E+08
5	4.8366E+08	8.2164E+05	4.8448E+08
6	4.5526E+08	8.4466E+05	4.5610E+08
7	4.1740E+08	1.0303E+06	4.1843E+08

**Table 5.3.7-16 HEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Dose Rate Results at Various Fuel Burnups**

Burnup [GWd/MTU]	Depletion [% <sup>235</sup> U]	Cool Time (days)	Accident (mrem/hr)	Normal 2-m (mrem/hr)
20	2.8%	170.5	11.4	2.4
30	4.1%	213.4	14.6	3.1
40	5.6%	246.4	17.5	3.7
50	6.9%	273.8	19.9	4.3
60	8.4%	298.7	21.9	4.7
70	9.7%	322.6	23.6	5.1
80	11.2%	344.6	24.9	5.3
90	12.5%	366.9	26.0	5.6
100	14.0%	388.2	26.8	5.7
110	15.3%	410.1	27.4	5.9
120	16.8%	429.5	27.9	6.0
130	18.1%	451.0	28.2	6.0
140	19.6%	471.4	28.4	6.1
150	20.9%	490.1	28.5	6.1
160	22.2%	510.0	28.5	6.1
170	23.7%	527.6	28.5	6.1
180	25.0%	545.3	28.5	6.1
190	26.5%	563.4	28.3	6.0
200	27.8%	580.6	28.2	6.0
225	31.3%	620.7	27.8	5.9
250	34.7%	660.3	27.3	5.8
275	38.1%	697.4	26.8	5.6
300	41.4%	734.5	26.2	5.5
325	44.8%	772.7	25.5	5.3
350	48.3%	806.1	25.1	5.2
375	51.5%	845.3	24.5	5.1
400	55.0%	881.8	24.0	5.0
425	58.2%	922.6	23.4	4.8
450	61.4%	963.2	23.0	4.7
475	64.7%	1005.8	22.6	4.6
500	67.9%	1051.3	22.2	4.4
525	71.1%	1099.4	21.9	4.3
550	74.4%	1150.5	21.7	4.2
575	77.6%	1207.2	21.6	4.2
600	80.6%	1269.6	21.6	4.1



**Table 5.3.7-17 LEU TRIGA Fuel Cluster Rod Dose Rate Results at Various Fuel Burnups**

Burnup [GWd/MTU]	Depletion [% <sup>235</sup> U]	Cool Time (years)	Accident (mrem/hr)	Normal 2-m (mrem/hr)
2	1.3%	0.32	10.3	2.2
3	2.0%	0.42	9.3	2.0
4	2.7%	0.50	10.8	2.3
5	3.3%	0.57	12.4	2.7
10	6.6%	0.80	18.8	4.0
15	9.8%	1.0	22.3	4.8
20	13.1%	1.2	24.1	5.1
30	19.5%	1.5	24.5	5.2
40	25.7%	1.8	23.6	5.0
50	31.7%	2.0	22.3	4.7
60	37.5%	2.2	21.1	4.4
70	43.4%	2.5	20.1	4.0
80	48.8%	2.7	19.5	3.8
90	54.3%	3.0	19.2	3.5
100	59.6%	3.3	19.7	3.2
110	64.5%	3.7	21.1	3.0
120	69.4%	4.1	23.9	2.9
130	74.0%	4.6	28.2	2.8
140	78.5%	5.3	34.6	2.7